Types of Writing Assignments in Large Science Classes (Living Document)

| Approach to Writing | Known/Perceived Advantages | Known/Perceived Disadvantages | Examples |
|---|---|---|---|
| Long Writing Assignment | -gives students practice in discipline-specific writing that closely resembles professional academic writing -students must construct and order logical arguments -writing intensive courses can improve student confidence about writing in their discipline | -marking can take a lot of time, including time for grading grammar/technical English (Note: assessment style can reduce time, e.g. Boyd et al. 2010 - minimal marking, holistic rubric, 2-3 feedback comments per paper) -providing appropriate assignment scaffolding requires time, creating a trade-off between writing skills and course content -students may lack skills for reading scientific literature | -Comprehensive or argumentative essay -Journal article -1000+ word essays (Boyd et al. 2010) |
| Short Writing Assignment | -forces students to be concise, which can require more planning and thinking than longer assignments -makes detailed marking manageable, less time to mark -communicating ideas in brief written pieces is a useful format for future employment | -some can still require considerable time marking, including time for grammar, technical English | -Press release (Robin Young, BIOL200) -500 word essays evaluating paired scientific articles (Ng et al. 1999) -100-200 word summaries or descriptions of chemistry topics (Cooper 1993) |
| Writing short pieces for non-specialist audiences | -familiarization with an important course concept -focus on clearly explaining scientific concepts (sometimes rather than grammar, | -sometimes only one concept is covered in detail | - Youtube Scripts (Franz 2012) -Press release (Robin Young, BIOL200) |

| | depending on the assignment) -forces students to be concise -informal assignments require plain language, cuts down on jargon | | - Lay summaries of journal articles (Brownell et al. 2013) - Song-writing assignments (Crowther 2012) |
|---|---|--|---|
| Writing questions or short answers to questions | -allows students to think about how to formulate an answer and why some answers are better than others -students practice writing, sometimes without taking much longer than other active learning activities (e.g. Clicker questions) -helps instructor to identify and focus on areas where students are struggling -receive feedback from all students, not only vocal ones -maximizes time students spend thinking about concepts, less time writing -can be used to generate class discussion -can encourage attendance -can be marked for participation | -takes away from time to cover content -students may feel that the writing is too short to help develop their writing skills | -1-2 sentence answers, later used in clicker questions (Robin Young BIOL200) -Hypothesis writing or 25 word definitions -Written explanation to a question posed by a peer (Cooper 1993) -brief responses to lecture-related questions, microthemes (Schmidt et al. 2002) |

References

Boyd, J. 2010. The best of both worlds: the large lecture, writing-intensive course. Communication Teacher, 24(3): 229-237.

Brownell SE, Price, JV, Steinman L. A writing-intensive course improves biology undergraduates' perception and confidence of their abilities to read scientific literature and communicate science. Adv Phys Educ. 2013; 37(1):70-79.

Cooper, M.M. 1993. Writing: an approach for large-enrollment Chemistry courses. Journal of Chemical Education, 70(6): 476-477. Crowther G. Using science songs to enhance learning: an interdisciplinary approach. CBE Life Sci Educ. 2012; 11(1):26-30.

Crowther G. Using science songs to enhance learning: an interdisciplinary approach. CBE Life Sci Educ. 2012; 11(1):26-30.

Franz, A.K. 2012. Organic Chemistry YouTube writing assignments for large lecture classes. Journal of Chemical Education, 89: 497-501.

Ng, J., Lloyd, P., Kober, R., and Robinson, P. 1999. Developing writing skills; a large class experience: a teaching note. Accounting Education, 8(1): 47-55, DOI: 10.1080/096392899331035.

Schmidt, S.J., Parmer, M.S., and Javenkoski, J.S. Sharing our experiences with writing-for-learning techniques in a large introductory course: the daily microtheme. Journal of Food Science Education, 1: 28-33.