

BRAWIJAYA UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ENGINEERING MASTER'S DEGREE URBAN AND REGIONAL PLANNING

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN									
SUBJECT	CODE	SUBJECT SCOPE	WEIGHT (credits)	SEMESTER	Date of Preparation				
CITY AND REGIONAL RESILIENCE	TKW 8210 1	Regional Planning	2	2	JANUARY 2024				
		MESTER LEARNING PLAN) EVELOPER LECTURER	SUBJECT COO	ORDINATOR	HEAD OF MURP				
AUTHORIZATION Regional Planning Compartment	1. 2. 3.	Adipandang Yudono Surjono Turningtyas Ayu Rachmawati	Adipandang Yudono Gunawan Pray						
LEARNING OUTCOMES	SLO/ILO	(Intended Learning Outcon	ne)						
	1.	Able to evaluate regional concept of sustainability							
	2.		es in the process of regional and urban planning and e specialization of regional and urban planning;						
	3.	1	evaluate and apply strategic planning processes and control nisms innovatively at the regency and city levels						
	5.	Able to formulate solut development by utiliz multidisciplinary, while environmental, and instit	zing other disc considering spatia	iplines either	interdisciplinary or				
	7.	Able to assess planning-r methods through plannin development							
	CLO/CLO	O (Class Learning Outcome)							
	1	Able to interpret and evalu urban planning and develo	pment.						
	2	Able to evaluate theories i and cities in the fields of s urban planning. institutional	·	•					
	3	Able to evaluate and a methods in district and cit		_	ntrol processes and				
	5	planning and developm interdisciplinary or multid spatial, economic, socio-cu	to formulate solutions to problems in the field of regional and urban ing and development by utilizing other fields of science in an lisciplinary or multidisciplinary manner, and by taking into account physical II, economic, socio-cultural, environmental, and institutional factors;						
	7	Able to assess and combin in the concept of sustainal		issues					
	SUB CLC								
	1	Describe the general scope	e or context and	Supports CLO/	/CLO 1				

		elements of City Resilience	
	2	Understand the dimensions and framework	Supports CLO/CLO 1
		of urban resilience	
	3	Understand city resilience management	Supports CLO/CLO 1
	4	Able to provide city criticism About resilience	Supports CLO/CLO 2 and 3
	5	Able to present a critique of urban resilience	Supports CLO/CLO 2 and 3
	6	Able to compile literature review and arguments on city resilience	Supports CLO/CLO 2 and 3
	7	Able to explain performance monitoring system and city resilience study	Supports CLO/CLO 3 and 5
	8	Able to explain and evaluate the Collaborative Approach in urban resilience	Supports CLO/CLO 3 and 5
	9	Able to explain the relevance of current urban phenomena in the implementation of urban resilience	Supports CLO/CLO 1
	10	Explain and be able to evaluate The relationship between technopolis and urban resilience	Supports CLO/CLO 1
	11	Able to develop Innovati in regional resilience on	Supports CLO/CLO 1
	12	Able to compile Resources for Financing	Supports CLO/CLO 3, 5 and 7
	13	Able to compile Urban resilience in Indonesia	Supports CLO/CLO 3, 5 and 7
	14	Present literature review and arguments on regional resilience	Supports CLO/CLO 3, 5 and 7
DESCRIPTION		the definition of resilience, its stages and proc	esses, as well as policies and policies.
MK SHORT	efforts to	o improve city resilience.	
OVERVIEW	MAIN		
	1.	Vale, Lawrence J. and Thomas J. Campanella (ed	
	,	How Modern Cities Recover from Disaster. Oxfo Grazia Brunetta, Ombretta Caldarice, Nicola Tol	
	2.	Morató. 2019. Urban Resilience for Risk and Ada	
		Springer International Publishing.	·,
	3.	Lance Jay Brown, David Dixon. 2014. Urban Des	ign for an Urban Century Shaping
	_	More Livable, Equitable, and Resilient Cities, 2d	
	4.	Neeraj Prasad, Federica Ranghieri, Fatim	
		Kessler, Ravi Sinha. 2009. <i>Climate Resilie</i> Washington DC.	in Cities. The World Bank.
	5.	City of Vancouver.2017. Preliminery Resili	ence Assessment City of
] ,	Veneralizar	ence Hosessmem. City 01

Vancouver.

SUPPORT

	1. Urban Land Institute. 2015. Building the Resilient City. A ULI Conference					
	Report. ULI. Washington DC.					
	2. Patricia Romero-Lankao, Daniel M. Gnatz, Olga Wilhelmi, and Mary					
	Hayden. 2016. Urban Sustainability and Resilience: From Theory to					
	Practice. Sustainability 2016 vol 8, 1224.					
Material	ements of resilience, dimensions of resilience, resilience management, monitoring stem					
Learning	resilience performance, collaborative approaches, technopolis and resilience, resilience					
/ Principal	innovation, resilience finance, urban resilience					
Discussion						
	SOFTWARE					
	Ms. Office (Word & PowerPoint), Web Browser					

LEARNING	HARDWARE.								
MEDIA	Laptop, Projector/LCD								
TEAM TEACHING	Adipandang Yudono (AY) Surjono (SUR)								
	3. Turningtyas Ayu (TUR)								
COURSE REQUIREMEN	None								
TS Description ILO MURP	Able to evaluate the planning and development of regions and cities (regional and urban planning and development) in the concept of sustainability								
	2 Able to evaluate theory in the process of planning and development of regions and cities in the field of regional and urban planning specialization;								
	3 Able to evaluate and apply the strategic planning process and its control mechanisms innovatively within the scope of districts and cities.								
	Able to use and select technology application methods in the field of specialization regional and city planning and development								
	Able to formulate solutions to problems in the field of regional and urban planning and development by utilizing other fields of science in an interdisciplinary or multidisciplinary manner, and by taking into account physical spatial, economic, socio-cultural factors, environment, and institutions;								
	6 Able to compile the results of the synthesis of research, thoughts and ideas carried out for the development of science and technology in Indonesia. field of regional and city planning and development and publish it either nationally and internationally.								
	7 Able to assess issues related to planning and combine the right principles and methods through planning for rural-urban development integration and sustainable development								
	Able to develop self-character with integrity and work network both in academic and professional fields within and outside the institution								

PORTFOLIO WEIGHT (MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM INPUT)

The following entries are for the evaluation of CMPK/CLO and SLO/ILO achievements

CLO-ILO Weight Mapping

CLO-ILO Weight Wapping	ILO 1	ILO 2	ILO3	ILO 4	ILO 5	ILO 6	ILO 7	ILO 8	Total
Able to interpret and evaluate the concept of urban resilience in regional and urban planning and development.	1,5								1,5
Able to evaluate theories in the process of planning and development of regions and cities in the spatial, environmental, social, economic, cultural and institutional fields.		1,5							1,5
3. Able to evaluate and apply the process and methods of strategic planning and control in planning district and city planning innovatively			2,0						2,0
5. Able to formulate problem solving planning field and development region and city by utilizing other fields of science other fields of science at Interdisciplinary or multidisciplinary, and by taking into account physical spatial, economic, socio-cultural, environmental factors; and institutional factors;					2,0				2,0
Able to assess and combine issues of urban resilience in the concept of sustainability							3,0		3,0

Assessment weight mapping - CLO/CLO

	1. Able to interpret the concept of resilience	Able to evaluate planning process with resilience concepts	3. Able apply resilience methods in the planning process	5. Able formulate resilience values in the regional planning process and city	7. Able interpreting resilience issues in planning	Total
Task1	0,15	0,15				0,3
Task2			0,2	0,2		0,4
Task3					0,3	0,3

FINAL SCORE WEIGHT (INPUT SIADO LECTURER)

The following fields are for the calculation of student final grades inputted by lecturers to SIADO (OBE menu)

Recapitulation of Percentage of Relationship between CLO / CLO and Assessment

CLO/CL O	DESCRIPTION	Assessment Code & No.	Task1	Task2	Task3	Weight (%)
1	Able to interpret the concept of resilience	Task1	15			15
2	Able to evaluate the planning process with the concept of resilience	Task1	15			15
3	Able to apply resilience methods in the planning process	Task2		20		20
5	Able to formulate the value of resilience in urban and regional planning process	Task2		20		20
7	Able to interpret resilience issues in planning	Task3			30	30
	Final Score =		30	40	30	100

^{*}Assessment Case Based Learning

(can be filled in from the right column - media assessment, then cascade to the left until the SLO/ILO is filled in the leftmost column).

SLOs/IL	Os and IK SLOs/ILOs charged orking and Social Capital cours	to	·	CLO/CLO			ntribution to	- Week	
CPL/ILO	SLO/ILO sentence	% CPL/ILO	Sentence CLO/CLO	Weight CLO/CLO	Assessment	Task1	Task2	Task3	week
1	Able evaluate planning and development regional and urban in concept of sustainability	15%	1. Able interpret resilience concept	15%	Task1	15%			2-5
			2. Able Evaluate process planning with the concept of resilience						2-5
			3. Able Apply method resilience in process planning						6-10
			5. Able formulate resilience value in the process planning regions and cities						6-10
			7. Able interpreting the issue resilience in planning						11-13
2	Able Evaluate theory in process planning and development	15%	1. Able interpret resilience concept						2-5
	regions and cities in field specialization in urban and regional planning;		2. Able Evaluate process planning with the concept of resilience	15%	Task1	15%			2-5
			3. Able Apply						6-10
			method resilience in process						

			planning				
			5. Able formulate				6-10
			resilience value				
			in the process planning				
			regions and cities				
			7. Able interpreting the issue resilience in				11-13
			planning				
3	Able evaluate and apply strategic planning	20%	1. Able interpret resilience concept				2-5
	processes and mechanisms control		2. Able to evaluate the process planning with concepts				2-5
	innovatively on		resilience				
	district and city scope		3. Able to apply the method	20%	Task2	20%	6-10
			resilience in process planning				
			5. Able formulate resilience value in the process planning regions and cities				6-10
			7. Able interpreting the issue resilience in planning				11-13
5	Able formulating problem solving in the field of	20%	1. Able interpret				2-5
	planning and regional development and cities by utilizing the field of science other fields of science in an		resilience concept				

interdisciplinary or multidisciplinary, a taking into accoun factors of spatial p economic, socio-c	nd by t the hysical, ultural,	2. Able to evaluate the planning process with the concept of resilience				2-5
environmental, and institutional factors		3. Able to apply resilience methods in the process planning				6-10
		5. Able to formulate resilience value in the planning process regions and cities	20%	Task2	20%	6-10
		7. Able to interpret resilience issues in planning				11-13
7 Able to assess is related to planning	ng and	1. Able interpret resilience concept				2-5
combine appropr principles and me through planning integration.	ethods for	2. Able to evaluate the planning process with the concept of resilience				2-5
rural-urban devel and developmen sustainable	·	3. Able to apply resilience methods in the process planning				6-10
		5. Able to formulate resilience value				6-10
		in the planning process regions and cities				

	7. Able	30%	Task3		30%	11-13
	interpreting					
	resilience issues					
	in					
	planning					

Recapitulation of Percentage of CLO/CLO and SLO/ILO Relationships

CLO/CLO	ILO 1	ILO 2	ILO 3	ILO 5	ILO 7	Weight (%)
1.Able to interpret the concept of resilience	15					15
2. Able to evaluate the planning process with the concept of resilience		15				15
3. Able to apply resilience methods in the planning process			20			20
5. Able to formulate resilience values in the regional and urban planning process				20		20
7. Able to interpret resilience issues in planning					30	30
Weight (%)	15	15	20	20	30	100

RPS (Semester Learning Plan) Details Per Week

Week	Sub-CP-MK (as the expected end capability)	Indicator	Criteria & Form of Assessment	Learning Methods (Lecture / Assignment / other forms of learning)	Time (Duration)	Learning Materials / Material Literatur e Review	Assessment Weight (%)
1 (AY)	SUB CLO-1: Describe the general scope or context and elements of City Resilience	1. Accuracy in explaining the scope or Context of City Resilience	Criteria: Clarity of discussion and description according to the explanation of lecture material and other related sources Assessment: Test: Mid Exam essay questions Non Test:	 Lecture Discussion Tasks: - 	Lecture: 2x 50 minutes Discussion: 50 minutes Assignment and self-study: 60 minutes + 50 Minutes		4
2 (SUR)	SUB CLO-1: Mhs understand the dimensions and framework of urban resilience	Accuracy in explaining the dimensions and framework of urban resilience	Criteria: Clarity of discussion and description according to the explanation of lecture material and other related sources Assessment: Test: Mid Exam essay questions Non Test:	 Lecture. Lecture Discussion Tasks: - 	Lecture: 2x 50 minutes Discussion: 50 minutes Assignment and self-study: 60 minutes + 50 Minutes		5

3	SUB CLO 2:	1. Accuracy in explaining	Criteria: Accuracy	Lecture.	Lecture: 2x 50	5
(SUR)	Students	city resilience	in the use of	Lecture	minutes	
(3011)	understand	management	analytical	Discussion	Discussion: 50	
	urban	management	methods and clear		minutes	
	resilience		sources, in	• Task 1:	Assignment and	
	management		accordance with	Case Studies and	self-study: 60	
	Inanagement			Literature	minutes + 50	
			the description of		1	
			the explanation.		Minutes	
			Assessme			
			nt			
			lecture:			
			Test: -			
			Non Test:			
			Task 1: study			
			case in micro			
			scope			
4	SUB CLO 3:	1. Building <i>Critical</i>	Criteria: Accuracy	 Lecture. 	Lecture: 2x 50	5
(SUR)	Students are able	Thinking towards city	in the use of	Lecture	minutes	
	to provide	resilience	analytical	 Discussion 	Discussion: 50	
	criticism about		methods and clear	• Task 1:	minutes	
	urban resilience		sources, in	Case Studies and	Assignment and	
			accordance with	Literature	self-study: 60	
			the description of		minutes + 50	
			the explanation.		Minutes	
			Assessme			
			nt			
			lecture:			
			Test: -			
			Non Test:			
			Task 1: case			
			study in micro			
			1			
			scope			
	1				l l	

5 (SUR)	SUB CLO 4: Students are able to present a critique of urban resilience	1. Building Critical Thinking on city resilience 2. Conduct a city resilience assessment of a case study	Criteria: Accuracy in the use of analytical methods and clear sources, in accordance with the description of the explanation. college Assessme nt: Test: - Non Test: Task 1: case study in micro scope	Lecture. Lecture Discussion Task 1: Case Studies and Literature	Lecture: 2x 50 minutes Discussion: 50 minutes Assignment and self-study: 60 minutes + 50 Minutes	5
6 (SUR)	SUB CLO 5: Students are able to compile literature review and arguments on urban resilience	1. Building Critical Thinking on city resilience 2. Conduct a city resilience assessment of a case study	Criteria: Accuracy in the use of analytical methods and clear sources, in accordance with the description of the explanation. Assessme nt lecture: Test: - Non Test: Task 1: case study in micro scope	Lecture. Lecture Discussion Task 1: Case Studies and Literature	Lecture: 2x 50 minutes Discussion: 50 minutes Assignment and self-study: 60 minutes + 50 Minutes	5
7 (AY)	SUB CLO 6: Explain performance monitoring system and city resilience	Accuracy of Assessment City resilience performance monitoring system	Criteria: Accuracy in the use of analytical methods and sources	Lecture. LectureDiscussionTask 1:	Lecture: 2x 50 minutes Discussion: 50 minutes Assignment and	6

	study			Case Studies and		
			clear, according to the description of the lecture explanation Assessme nt: Test: - Non Test: Task 1: case study in micro scope	Literature	self-study: 60 minutes + 50 Minutes	
8	Mid Exam					
9 (AY)	SUB CLO 7: Explain and evaluate the Collaborative Approach in urban resilience	Accuracy in explaining collaborative approaches in urban resilience	Criteria: Accuracy in the use of analytical methods and clear sources, in accordance with the description of the explanation. Assessme nt lecture: Test: - Non Test: Task 1: case study in micro scope	Lecture. Lecture Discussion Task 1: Case Studies and Literature	Lecture: 2x 50 minutes Discussion: 50 minutes Assignment and self-study: 60 minutes + 50 Minutes	10

10 (AY)	SUB CLO 8: Explain the relevance of current urban phenomena in the implementation of urban resilience	1. Accuracy in explaining Relevance of current urban phenomena in the implementation of urban resilience	Criteria: Completeness and clear sources according to the discussion and description of the explanation. Assessme nt lecture: Test: - Non Test: Assignme nt 2	 Lecture. Lecture Discussion Task 2: Group assignment Literature study related to an area design case. 	Lecture: 2x 50 minutes Discussion: 50 minutes Assignment and self-study: 60 minutes + 50 Minutes	10
11 (AY)	SUB CLO 9: Explain and be able to evaluate the relationship between technopolis and urban resilience	Accuracy in explaining the relationship between technopolis and urban resilience	Criteria: Completeness and clear sources according to the discussion and description of the explanation. Assessme nt lecture: Test: - Non Test: Task 2	 Lecture. Lecture Discussion Task 2: Group assignment Literature study related to an area design case. 	Lecture: 2x 50 minutes Discussion: 50 minutes Assignment and self-study: 60 minutes + 50 Minutes	10
12 (TUR)	SUB CLO 10: Students are able to structuring Innovation in regional resilience	 Accuracy in preparing Alternative innovation in; Infrastructur e resilience Institutiona I resilience Social resilience Economic resilience 	Criteria: Accuracy in	Lecture. Lecture	Lecture: 2x 50 minutes	7,5

		5. Environmenta I resilience	use of analytical methods and clear sources, in accordance with the description of the explanation Assessme nt lecture: Test: - Non Test: Task 3: study case in micro scope	Discussion Task 3: Group assignment Literature study related to a case of preservation and conservation of the area.	Discussion: 50 minutes Assignment and self-study: 60 minutes + 50 Minutes	
13 (TUR)	SUB CLO 11: Students are able to compile Resources for Financing	Accuracy in preparation 1. Financing instruments 2. Tools and analysis 3. Global knowledge and Good practices 4. Policy dialog and reform 5. Partnerships	Criteria: Accuracy in the use of analytical methods and clear sources, in accordance with the description of the explanation. Assessme nt lecture: Test: - Non Test: Task 5: study case in micro scope	 Lecture. Lecture Discussion Task 5: Group assignment Literature study related to an urban design case 	Lecture: 2x 50 minutes Discussion: 50 minutes Assignment and self-study: 60 minutes + 50 Minutes	7.5

14	SUB CLO 11:	Accuracy in	Criteria: Accuracy	Lecture.	Lecture: 2x 50		7.5
(TUR)	Students are	development	in the use of	Lecture	minutes		
	able to compile	Evidence and progress	analytical	 Discussion 	Discussion: 50		
	Urban resilience	in regional resilience	methods and clear	• Task 4:	minutes		
	in Indonesia		sources, in	Group	Assignment and		
			accordance with	assignment	self-study: 60		
			the description of	Literature study	minutes + 50		
			the explanation.	related to an	Minutes		
			Assessme	urban design			
			nt	case			
			lecture:				
			Test: -				
			Non Test:				
			Task 4: study				
			case in micro				
			scope				
15	SUB CLO 12:	1. Building <i>Critical</i>	Criteria: Accuracy	 Lecture. 	Lecture: 2x 50	Minister of	7.5
(TUR)	Students present	Thinking	in the use of	Lecture	minutes	Public Works	
	literature review	towards	analytical	 Discussion 	Discussion: 50	Regulation	
	and arguments on	regional	methods and clear	• Tasks: -	minutes	No. 06/2007	
	regional resilience	resilience	sources, in		Assignment and	on General	
		2. Conduct a	accordance with		self-study: 60	Guidelines	
		regional	the description of		minutes + 50	for Building	
		resilience	the explanation.		Minutes	and	
		assessment of	college			Environment	
		a case study	Assessment:			al Planning	
			Test: Final Exam				
			Essay Question				
			Non Test:				
16	Final Exam						



BRAWIJAYA UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ENGINEERING URP DEPARTMENT / MURP STUDY PROGRAM

TASK PLAN 1						
SUBJECT	City and Regional Resilience					
CODE	TKW 82101	TKW 82101 credits 2 SEMESTER 2				
INSTRUCTOR	INSTRUCTOR Prof. Dr. Ir. Surjono, MTP					
SHAPE TASK TIME						
Individuals	Individuals 50 minutes/week/semester					

ASSESSMENT TITLE: Case Study Review

Task title/topic (CLO 1 and 2)

CLO COURSE LEARNING

- CLO 1 Able to interpret the concept of resilience
- CLO 2 Able to evaluate the planning process with the concept of resilience

TASK DESCRIPTION

- a. Review of case studies: review existing case studies both in Indonesia and outside Indonesia and try to summarize the problems and solutions that can be provided.
- b. Essay is made individually and assessment is done based on assessment criteria

FORM AND FORMAT OF OUTPUT

Essay

INDICATORS, CRITERIA AND ASSESSMENT WEIGHT

- 1. Literature used
- 2. Presentation slideshow
- 3. Continuity between subject matter
- 4. Use of methodology
- 5. Presentation technique
- 6. Material mastery

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Task delivery	Week 2					
Task collection	Week 5					

Assessment Rubric (TASK1)

Type of assignment1 Case Study ReviewAssessment

indicator: CLO 1 & 2; CLO 1 and 2

1. Literature used

2. Presentation slideshow

3. Continuity between subject matter

4. Use of methodology

5. Presentation

technique Mastery of material

Assessment criteria

Dimensions	Criteria	Maximum Value	Poor 0-55	Fair 56-69	Good 70-80	Very good 81-100
Literature used	Availability and novelty	20	Literature not shown	Less literature	Adequate and <i>up to</i> date literature	Literature is very adequate and up to date to date
Presentation slideshow	View	5	Slideshow is less attractive	Slideshow is less attractive	Interesting slideshow	The slideshow is very attractive
Continuity between sub subjects	Relevance of discussion content	30	No continuity between sub subjects discussion	Lack of continuity between subject matters Related	Continuity between related sub subjects	The continuity between sub subjects is very Related
Use of methodology	Newness	20	Methodology is too simple and old-fashioned	Methodology is too simple and old-fashioned	New methodologies or adopt from existing ones There is	New or original methodology
Presentation technique	Presentation skills	5	Presentation technique is lacking	Presentation techniques are less interesting	Interesting presentation techniques	The presentation technique is very interesting
Material mastery	Material mastery	20	Not mastering the material	Lack of mastery of the material	Mastering the material	Very good at the material



BRAWIJAYA UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ENGINEERING URP DEPARTMENT / MURP STUDY PROGRAM

TASK PLAN 2							
SUBJECT	City and Regiona	al Resilie	ence				
CODE	TKW 82101	TKW 82101 credits 2 SEMESTER 2					
INSTRUCTOR	FRUCTOR Adipandang Yudono, S.Si., MURP, PhD						
SHAPE TASK TIME							
Individuals	ndividuals 50 minutes/week/semester						

ASSESSMENT TITLE: Case Study Review

Task title/topic (CLO 3 and 5)

CLO COURSE LEARNING

CLO 3 - Able to apply resilience methods in the planning process

CLO 5 - Able to formulate resilience values in the regional and urban planning process

TASK DESCRIPTION

- a. Review of case studies: review existing case studies both in Indonesia and outside Indonesia and try to summarize the problems and solutions that can be provided.
- b. Essay is made individually and assessment is done based on assessment criteria

FORM AND FORMAT OF OUTPUT

Essay

INDICATORS, CRITERIA AND ASSESSMENT WEIGHT

- 1. Literature used
- 2. Presentation slideshow
- 3. Continuity between subject matter
- 4. Use of methodology
- 5. Presentation technique
- 6. Material mastery

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Task delivery	Week 6					
Task collection	Week 10					

Assessment Rubric (TASK2)

Type of assignment1 Case Study ReviewAssessment

indicator: CLO 3 & 5; CLO 3 and 5

1. Literature used

2. Presentation slideshow

3. Continuity between subject matter

4. Use of methodology

5. Presentation

technique Mastery of material

Assessment criteria

Dimensions	Criteria	Maximum Value	Poor 0-55	Fair 56-69	Good 70-80	Very good 81-100
Literature used	Availability and novelty	20	Literature not shown	Less literature	Adequate and <i>up to</i> date literature	Literature is very adequate and up to date to date
Presentation slideshow	View	5	Slideshow is less attractive	Slideshow is less attractive	Interesting slideshow	The slideshow is very attractive
Continuity between subject matter	Relevance of discussion content	30	No continuity between sub subjects discussion	Lack of continuity between subject matters Related	Continuity between related sub subjects	The continuity between sub subjects is very Related
Use of methodology	Newness	20	Methodology is too simple and old-fashioned	Methodology is too simple and old-fashioned	New methodologies or adopt from existing ones There is	New or original methodology
Presentation technique	Presentation skills	5	Presentation technique is lacking	Presentation techniques are less interesting	Interesting presentation techniques	The presentation technique is very interesting
Material mastery	Material mastery	20	Not mastering the material	Lack of mastery of the material	Mastering the material	Very good at the material



BRAWIJAYA UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ENGINEERING URP DEPARTMENT / MURP STUDY PROGRAM

TASK PLAN 3					
SUBJECT	City and Regional Resilience				
CODE	TKW 82101	credits	2	SEMESTER	2
INSTRUCTOR	Dr. Eng. Turningtyas Ayu Rachmawati, ST, MT				
SHAPE	TASK	TIME			
Individuals	50 mir	nutes/week/semes	ter		
ACCECCMENT TITLE: Coop Chiedy Daviesy					

ASSESSMENT TITLE: Case Study Review

Task title/topic (CLO 7)

CLO COURSE LEARNING

CLO 7 - Able to interpret resilience issues in planning

TASK DESCRIPTION

- a. Review of case studies: review existing case studies both in Indonesia and outside Indonesia and try to summarize the problems and solutions that can be provided.
- b. Essay is made individually and assessment is done based on assessment criteria

FORM AND FORMAT OF OUTPUT

Essay

INDICATORS, CRITERIA AND ASSESSMENT WEIGHT

- 1. Literature used
- 2. Presentation slideshow
- 3. Continuity between subject matter
- 4. Use of methodology
- 5. Presentation technique
- 6. Material mastery

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

IIII EEIIENTATION GOTEBOEE				
Task delivery	Week 11			
Task collection	Week 13			

Assessment Rubric (TASK3)

Type of assignment1 : Case study review

Assessment indicator: CLO 7; CLO 7

1. Literature used

2. Presentation slideshow

3. Continuity between sub subjects

4. Use of methodology

5. Presentation

technique Mastery of material

Assessment criteria

Dimensions	Criteria	Maximum Value	Poor 0-55	Fair 56-69	Good 70-80	Very good 81-100
Literature used	Availability and novelty	20	Literature not shown	Less literature	Adequate and <i>up to</i> date literature	Literature is very adequate and up to date to date
Presentation slideshow	View	5	Slideshow is less attractive	Slideshow is less attractive	Interesting slideshow	The slideshow is very attractive
Continuity between subject matter	Relevance of discussion content	30	No continuity between sub subjects discussion	Lack of continuity between subject matters Related	Continuity between related sub subjects	The continuity between sub subjects is very Related
Use of methodology	Newness	20	Methodology is too simple and old-fashioned	Methodology is too simple and old-fashioned	New methodologies or adopt from existing ones There is	New or original methodology
Presentation technique	Presentation skills	5	Presentation technique is lacking	Presentation techniques are less interesting	Interesting presentation techniques	The presentation technique is very interesting
Material mastery	Material mastery	20	Not mastering the material	Lack of mastery of the material	Mastering the material	Very good at the material