## Procedure

An evaluation committee comprising a minimum of 3 members (preferably 5) will be appointed to assess the merit of each submission. The committee selection process will involve a combination of volunteers expressing their interest publicly and the GMC administrator reaching out for volunteers. The committee creation process is expected to last one week but can conclude at any time after the selection of 5 members. Members of the evaluation committee are ineligible for rewards from this specific bounty.

### Scoring

Each submission will be assessed on a scale of 1 to 5 in three categories: utility, innovativeness, and simplicity. The individual ratings in these categories will be summed to determine the overall score.

#### Rubric

Utility
Innovativeness
Simplicity

**Utility**
The practical value, applicability, and potential for future success of a submission in addressing the objectives of remaining competitive with Lido's staking options are crucial. Useful and promising submissions provide specific, actionable recommendations, demonstrate a high level of practicality, and exhibit characteristics suggesting they could lead to significant impact or positive changes in the future. Factors contributing to their combined value include the potential for high impact, and alignment with technical and political considerations (pDAO).

**Innovativeness**
The degree of originality and creativity exhibited in a submission. A submission is deemed innovative if it introduces new and unique ideas or approaches, showcasing a departure from conventional methods. The evaluation committee will assess the extent to which the submission brings fresh perspectives, methodologies, or solutions to the table.

**Simplicity**
The elegance of concept, ease of communication, estimated ease of implementation, and consideration of the number of existing systems affected. These aspects are crucial as, before research ideas can deliver utility, they must undergo the following processes:

- Conversion to actionable items.
- Effective communication to the DAO for voting.
- Clear communication to the development team for implementation.
- Successful implementation by the development team.

### Schedule

After the announcement of the committee, a three-day period will occur where the review committee can review the process and request adjustments and revisions. Following that process review period, the review process of submissions is scheduled to span two weeks following the formation of the committee. An optional discussion call will take place within that two week period.

January 22, 1:00AM UTC - Review process and request adjustments / revisions deadline
TBD - Optional 1 hour discussion call
February 5, 1:00AM UTC - Review submissions and submit scores deadline

### Awards

Submissions with the highest cumulative scores will be awarded as follows: $2,500 (1st place), $1,500 (2nd place), $1,000 (3rd place 3rd - 5th place). All other applicants will receive $250. In the event of a tie, ranked choice voting will be used from data collected in the 'Favorite Applications' section.

### Further Notes

Highly prized qualities will be: specific actionable recommendations, high impact, innovation/uniqueness of ideas, persuasive discussion, evaluation of drawbacks/steel-man arguments, and feasibility from both technical and political (pDAO) standpoints.

This is for the next step of research. The job does not include checking the math/modeling. High level thinking if things are directionally sensible is good.

epineph has chosen to exclude all of his submissions from being eligible for compensation; nonetheless, we would appreciate the committee's analysis and review of his work.

## Breakdown

Total Applications: 21

1. NeverAnIsland - Built-In RPL pool
2. Valdorff - MYSO Loans
3. Valdorff - Rent or Stake
4. Samus - Diverted Rewards
5. epineph - Unsmoothing Fee/lottery fee
6. epineph - Universal Variable Commission
7. epineph - Jaws of life- dynamically valuing RPL
8. epineph - Penalties revamp
9. epineph - RPL bond sliding scale
10. epineph - Preferential queue for higher ETH bonded minipools

11. epineph - Medipools
12. epineph - Pre-signed exit messages/reusable minipool contract
13. epineph - No-commission minipools
14. zesty - Collateral Requirements Removal
15. Valdorff - Direct Capture
16. Valdorff - Bond Curves
17. sckuzzle - Value Accruing Options
18. ArtDemocrat - rETH Protection
19. Luominx - No RPL minipools
20. Occam - No RPL megapools
21. DagoDuck - Priority deposit pool *(late entry)*

## Review Committee

1. knoshua
2. Ken
3. Looking For Wisdom
4. Kane
5. Langers

## Review Sheet

(Make a copy)