# Ethical Review Form Template

## **Section 1: Rights Read to the Complainant**

Any member of the ethics committee can fill out this form and conduct the interview. The completing member shall create a copy of this template, and share it with all members of the ethics committee. From that point, they will have 1 week to read the document and make a decision from the time of completion of this form. At the start of the interview, the Ethics Officer must read the following rights to the complainant:

- 1. You have the right to confidentiality within the bounds of the investigation. Your information will be shared only with those who need to know as part of the mediation process.
- 2. You have the right to present evidence and request witnesses to support your case.
- 3. You have the right to fair and impartial treatment throughout the mediation process.
- 4. You have the right to withdraw your complaint at any time, though the VOEC may choose to proceed if the matter involves broader organizational concerns.
- 5. You have the right to be informed of the findings and outcome of the mediation process.

The Ethics Officer will proceed only after the complainant acknowledges these rights.

## **Section 2: Complainant Information**

- Full Name:
- Position/Role in Organization:
- Contact Information:
- Date of Report:

#### Section 3: Ethical Dilemma Information

- Nature of the concern:
- Location of the Incident(s) (state):
- Detailed Description of the concern: (Please describe the issue in as much detail as possible, including names of all involved parties, witnesses, and any relevant context.)
- Evidence Provided (here please attach screenshots, documents, website URLs etc..):
- Desired Outcome:

## **Section 4: Proposed Action**

Each member of the committee shall describe how they believe the ethical matter should be resolved. Then, the content shall be summarized in a formal document by the interviewer and submitted in writing to the complainant. The committee also has the optional authority to submit one of the following corrective actions to resolve this conflict.

#### **Remedial Actions**

Should the VOEC identify ethical violations or concerns, it has the authority to:

- 1. No Remedial Action
- 2. Recommend Further Training:
  - Mandate targeted training for individuals or groups involved in the issue.
- 3. Issue Warnings:
  - Provide verbal warning
  - Provide a written warning
  - Issue final written warning
- 4. Terminate Employment:
  - Terminate any individual's position within the organization, except for board members, who require a vote by the board they serve on for removal.
     You can only recommend termination to the board.
- 5. Expunge Unfair Warnings:
  - If the VOEC finds any warning—verbal, written, or final—to be issued unfairly, it has the power to:
    - Expunge the warning from the individual's record.
    - Take corrective action against the party responsible for issuing the unfair warning if malice or bias is detected.
- 6. Whistleblow to an outside organization such as the IRS, FDA, CDC, DOJ etc.

## Free Resources for Ethical and Legal Research

You must use at least two of these websites to research the matter. Please cite your source in the table below. You can use your own website as long as it is a reputable source.

- Cornell Law School's Legal Information Institute (LII)
   Access plain-language explanations of laws, regulations, and legal concepts.
   <a href="https://www.law.cornell.edu">https://www.law.cornell.edu</a>
- 2. ChatGPT by OpenAl

Al-powered assistance for understanding ethical dilemmas and general legal concerns (not legal advice). Ask for insights or explore solutions. https://openai.com/chatgpt

3. Ethics Unwrapped (University of Texas at Austin)
Watch free videos and case studies to understand ethical dilemmas and decision-making processes.
https://ethicsunwrapped.utexas.edu

Please specify which of the above whom and what corrective actions you believe should be taken.

Name	Advice (1 sentence, plain english explanation of what the complainant should do)	Reasoning (5-10 sentence explanation of how your research led you to this conclusion)	Two relevant citations to back up your stance on the argument.
Zackery Samples			
Jacob Derin			
Naoshin Rahman			
Shayla Beniot			
Camille Gerolymatos			

#### **Section 5: Final Action**

The Interviewer or Ethics Chairperson shall serve the final decision to the complainant over email.

Please summarize the final advisement in one page with all relevant citations: