RESEARCH REVIEW SUMMARY OF EVALUATORS ANALYSIS FORM

This form consolidates the scores from the paper review to determine the final evaluation and recommendation of the In-House Review.

(To be filled out by the OEDR)

Application Code						
Basic Screening Requirements (Pass/Fail)	**Requirement**	**Yes**	**No**	**Requirement**	**Yes**	**No**
	Proposal follows prescribed format	☐	☐	Gantt Chart attached	☐	☐
	Researcher's expertise aligns with project	☐	☐	References included	☐	☐
	Budget is complete and justified	☐	☐	Ethical considerations addressed (if applicable)	☐	☐

CRITERIA	**WEIGHT**	**RATING SCALE**					**RATING**		
		(5)	**(4)**	**(3)**	**(2)**	**(1)**	**Evaluator 1**	**Evaluator 2**	**Evaluator 3**
1. Alignment with SSU Research Thrusts, Institutional Mandates, and National Priorities	**20%**	Strongly aligned with SSU Strategic Goals, Research Thrusts, SDGs, *Ambisyon Natin* 2040, and relevant regional and national priorities.	Well aligned with most priorities.	Moderately aligned but some linkages require strengthening.	Weak alignment.	Not aligned.			
2. Problem Significance, Situational Analysis, and Research Gap	**25%**	Problem is exceptionally articulated using current statistics, environmental scanning, policy analysis, literature synthesis, and local context. The research gap is explicit, compelling, and demonstrates a strong need for investment.	Problem and gap are clearly presented with adequate evidence.	Problem and gap are understandable but evidence and justification are limited.	Problem is weakly justified and gap is unclear.	Problem and gap are poorly articulated or unsupported.			
3. Potential Contribution and Expected Impact (*please see guide at the back*)	**25%**	Demonstrates substantial and clearly articulated potential contributions with identifiable beneficiaries, expected outputs, and realistic pathways to utilization.	Likely to generate meaningful contributions with identifiable beneficiaries and outputs.	Potential contribution is present but limited or insufficiently elaborated.	Contribution is weak or vaguely discussed.	No meaningful contribution identified.			

WURI Fourth Industrial Revolution | Rank 1 in the Philippines and in the World (2022 & 2023)
Rated 3 Stars | QS Ratings System for Excellence (2022)
Energy Efficiency Excellence Awardee (2022)
ASEAN Icons Awardee (2022)
Gawad Yamang Isip Awardee (2022)
1st Philippine Higher Education Internationalization Awardee (2021)
PQA | Level 2 | Recognition for Proficiency in Quality Management (2020)
Top Patent Filer (2009-2019)
PRIME-HRM (2018)

4. Scientific Merit and Review of Literature	**10%**	Literature review is comprehensive, current, critically synthesized, theoretically grounded, and clearly demonstrates novelty and scientific merit.	Literature review is adequate and supports the study well.	Literature review is acceptable but lacks synthesis or depth.	Literature review is limited or weakly integrated.	Literature review fails to justify the study.			
5. Technical Soundness of Methodology	**20%**	Methodology is rigorous, feasible, scientifically sound, and capable of answering all objectives. Sampling, instruments, procedures, analyses, ethics, validity, reliability/trustworthiness are clearly justified.	Methodology is generally sound with minor weaknesses.	Methodology is acceptable but requires improvements.	Methodology contains significant weaknesses affecting validity or feasibility.	Methodology is inappropriate or incapable of answering objectives.			
TOTAL	**100%**								
GRAND TOTAL									

(A minimum of three evaluators is required. If there are more than three evaluators, please attach additional sheets.)

PANEL COMMENTS & REQUIRED REVISIONS *(Please specify if changes are needed in Section B.4 (Methods and Materials) or Section C (Expected Outputs).*

FINAL RECOMMENDATION *(The final recommendation will be based on the ratings provided in Part I: Criterion 1 [20%], Criterion 2 [25%], Criterion 3 [25%], Criterion 4 [10%], and Criterion 5 [20%]. Multiply each rating by its corresponding percentage weight to compute the weighted score, and indicate the grand total in the designated row.)*

Overall Weighted Score: _____

III. FINAL RECOMMENDATION
Overall Weighted Score: _____
Funding Recommendation

☐ **CATEGORY A: STRATEGIC / HIGHLY FUNDABLE RESEARCH (90–100)**
Highly recommended for institutional funding and external funding opportunities (DOST, CHED, NRCP, PCAARRD, PCHRD, DA-BAR, etc.)

☐ **CATEGORY B: FUNDABLE WITH MINOR REVISIONS (85–89)**
Recommended for university funding subject to minor revisions.

☐ **CATEGORY C: COLLEGE / CENTER-BASED RESEARCH (75–84)**
Recommended for implementation at the college, campus, or research center level.

☐ **CATEGORY D: ACTION RESEARCH / SMALL RESEARCH PROJECT (65–74)**
Recommended for redesign as Action Research, Operational Research, or Small Research Grant.

WURI Fourth Industrial Revolution | Rank 1 in the Philippines and in the World (2022 & 2023)
Rated 3 Stars | QS Ratings System for Excellence (2022)
Energy Efficiency Excellence Awardee (2022)
ASEAN Icons Awardee (2022)
Gawad Yamang Isip Awardee (2022)
1st Philippine Higher Education Internationalization Awardee (2021)
PQA | Level 2 | Recognition for Proficiency in Quality Management (2020)
Top Patent Filer (2009-2019)
PRIME-HRM (2018)

☐ **CATEGORY E: MAJOR REDESIGN FOR RESUBMISSION (Below 65)**
Proposal requires substantial redevelopment before reconsideration.

Prepared by:

_____
Position: _____
Date: _____

Verified and Checked by:

_____
Position: _____
Date: _____

Criterion 5: Potential Contribution, Innovation, Utilization, and Expected Outputs. The proposal demonstrates substantial potential to generate one or more of the following:

Knowledge
- New theory
- New framework
- New model
- New evidence
- New datasets

Technology and Innovation
- Prototype
- Application
- Digital platform
- System
- Patent/IP
- Commercialization opportunity

Economy
- Income generation
- Employment
- Productivity enhancement
- Enterprise development

Health
- Improved health outcomes
- Improved healthcare delivery
- Health policy recommendations

Environment
- Conservation
- Sustainability
- Climate resilience
- Resource management

Governance
- Policy reforms
- Governance innovations
- Decision-support systems

Education
- Learning innovations
- Curriculum enhancement
- Instructional models

Community and Society
- Poverty alleviation
- Social innovation
- Community empowerment
- Improved quality of life

Institutional Development
- Improved systems
- Organizational effectiveness
- Accreditation support
- Quality assurance enhancement

Partnerships
- Industry-academe-government collaboration
- Research networks
- Community engagement

WURI Fourth Industrial Revolution | Rank 1 in the Philippines and in the World (2022 & 2023)
Rated 3 Stars | QS Ratings System for Excellence (2022)
Energy Efficiency Excellence Awardee (2022)
ASEAN Icons Awardee (2022)
Gawad Yamang Isip Awardee (2022)
1st Philippine Higher Education Internationalization Awardee (2021)
PQA | Level 2 | Recognition for Proficiency in Quality Management (2020)
Top Patent Filer (2009-2019)
PRIME-HRM (2018)