Naming Goals

- Captures focus/topics of existing Credentials/Digital Verification CGs
- Trying to establish that this community group has a larger scope than Verifiable Claims
- Scope includes reputation systems that describe claims and proofs
- Attracts cryptographers
- Does not trigger any conflicts with existing groups

Suggested names

The most positively-received name with the fewest cons was "Self-Sovereign Technology Community Group".

Other names discussed include:

- Digital Attestations
- Digital Assertions
- Control Assertions
- Secure Attestations
- Digital Verification Community Group
- Digital Cards
- Attribute-based Credentials
- Attribute Certifications
- Digital signatures

Pros/cons of names and components

Self-Sovereign Technology Community Group

- Pros:
 - Inspiring to us
 - Sums up the collective effort of the group
 - Likely sounds irrelevant to those who might block other names
 - Not much longer than "Verifiable Claims CG"
- Cons:
 - Too long?

Digital Attestations

- Pros
- Cons
 - Sounds just like "Verifiable Claims"
 - Term "attestation" may be a dealbreaker

Credential

- Pros:
 - More accurate for our use case
- Cons:
 - Overloaded
 - Trigger word
 - Security community got upset about the use of the term "credential" because in their view a credential is strictly a username/password
 - Suitability of the term really don't matter to some of the major players at W3C. They can then block the work behind the scenes.

Identity:

- Pros
- Cons
 - Overloaded
 - W3C says they do not want to tackle
 - Trigger word

Self-Sovereign

- Pros:
 - Descriptive
 - Experts and non-experts immediately understand it and like it
 - Getting more traction
 - Appealing to GDPR
- Cons:
 - Is it loaded?
 - Are there concerns it will alienate large corporates/governments?

Digital Attestations

- Pros:
- · Cons:
 - Sounds just like "Verifiable Claims"

Verification

- Pros
- Cons
 - o Too vague
 - "Verification" is only about the signature of the issuer, not whether the claim is true