

Questions & Discussion Document (public)

Embedding Collaboration; Embedding Shared Print

WEST Members Meeting Tuesday July 19, 1:00-2:30pm PT

We will be using this Google document as one way to capture questions and comments inspired by the keynote presentation and panel discussion. If there is a question you would like to share ahead of the meeting, please share them here. During the presentation and following discussion, you are welcome to add questions and comments to this document, unmute and share verbally, or chat your questions in Zoom!

Keynote Presentation - *Towards a Moral Theory of the Collective* **Collection: Lessons from [Derek Parfit](#)**

Greg Eow, President, Center for Research Libraries

Questions & Comments

Add any questions you have before or during the meeting here

...Some prioritize the artifactual value of the book (the physical item) as much as preserving the content. I think that is what I run into as I try to prioritize shared print (and, as a result, choose to commit to keeping some things, but also deaccession others). Is it wrong to deprioritize the artifacts that people love so in order to preserve the content? I clearly think it is, but some would see "wrongs" being committed in the name of shared print to what they have direct access to locally.

What is our sacrifice for our solidarity to be something other than symbolic?

...

...do/how do you see the self-awareness and identity of libraries and associated collaborative organizations shifting over time? What do you want to see more of?

...How might Judge Parfit rule in the case of Hachette v. Internet Archive?

From chat: how would one approach advocacy for a future user and for temporal inclusivity in a practical sense?

From chat: can you explain why DDA isn't 'moral'? (request for clarification/expansion)

Response in chat: I would think that any e-resources that are not "perpetual access" would fall prey to prioritizing immediate needs over the perpetual needs.

Response in chat: DDA is comes at a time when students/people want instant gratification.

Original commenter response in chat: We purchase perpetual access in our DDA program. So, theoretically future use is addressed.

Our DDA titles outperform anything that has ever come in on approval or that selectors have chosen

Comment from chat: With digital, we don't have the ability to share in the same way we can with physical collections, so that works against a shared approach for that content. Of course, you can build some of that into licenses, but ...

Comment from chat: sounds like systems thinking or Critical systems heuristics in a sense

Panel Discussion - *Embedding Shared Print into Local Collection Management: Data-informed Deselection*

Sion Romaine

Wil Weston

Samantha Silver

Anna Striker (moderator)

Questions & Comments

Add any questions you have before or during the meeting here

...

...

In response to Panelist Question 3:

Is anyone familiar with the process of deselecting gov docs using FDLP and if so, would that type of site be helpful for journal deselection? I know you mentioned the University of Florida Journal Retention and Needs Listing (<https://guides.uflib.ufl.edu/JRNL>) – is that similar to FDLP eXchange?

From the chat: The ASERL and FDLs in the SE use a disposition database similar to FDLP exchange. JRNL is similarly designed.

Fun fact – the FDLP eXchange tool was developed following the model of the ASERL Documents Disposition Database! See: <https://guides.uflib.ufl.edu/ASERL-DispositionDB>.

From the chat: We use the FDLP Needs & Exchanges list when we de-select gov docs (although de-selecting gov docs is not part of a routine workflow yet.)

From the chat: Revisiting the Tao of Resource Sharing by Wil Weston

<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/0361526X.2015.1021988>

From the chat: Wow, don't stop at a Shared Print Program for just the CSUs! State-wide, let's do it! State-wide courier while we are at it