

TITLE IN ENGLISH

Firstname Lastname – Firstname Lastname

ABSTRACT

The A single paragraph of about to 250 words. For scientific papers, abstract should give a pertinent overview of the work. We strongly encourage authors to use the following content of abstracts: Place the question addressed in a broad context and highlight the purpose of the study; Briefly describe the main methods or treatments applied; Summarize the paper's main findings; and Indicate the main conclusions or interpretations.

Keywords: keyword 1; keyword 2; keyword 3 (List 3-5 pertinent keywords specific to the paper)

INTRODUCTION

The paper should be written as in English as in mother tongue. Use this column to write your paper in English, please.

The introduction should briefly place the study in a broad context and highlight why it is important. It should define the purpose of the work and its significance. The current state of the research field should be reviewed carefully, and key publications cited. Then, briefly mention the main aim of the work and the topicality of problem solved. As far as possible, please keep the introduction comprehensible to scientists outside your field of research.

Citations in the text

Please ensure that every reference cited in the text is also present in the reference list (and vice versa).

All citations in the text should refer to:

1. Single Author: the Author's family name and the year of publication; (Srivas, 2004).
2. Two Authors: both Authors' names and the year of publication; (Srivas and Lisle, 2004).
3. Three or more Authors: first Author's name followed by "*et al.*" and the year of publication; (Abshire *et al.*, 2005).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Material and Methods should be described with sufficient details to allow others to replicate and build on published results. New methods and procedures should be described in detail, while well-established methods can be briefly described and appropriately cited.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results and Discussion should provide a concise and precise description of the experimental results, their interpretation as well as the experimental conclusions that can be drawn. Authors should further discuss the results and how they can be interpreted in perspective of previous studies and of the working hypotheses. The findings and their implications should be discussed in the broadest context possible. Future research directions may also be introduced.

All figures and tables should be cited in the main text as Fig. 1, Tab. 1, etc.




Fig. 1 Figures should be placed in the main text near to the first time they are cited.
A caption should be centered.

Tab. 1 This is a table. Tables should be placed in the main text near to the first time they are cited.

Title 1	Title 2	Title 3
Entry 1	Data	Data
Entry 2	Data	Data

This is an example of an equation:

$$Q = m \cdot \Delta H_{\text{ef}} \quad (\text{kJ} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}) \quad (1)$$

CONCLUSION

Conclusion should include brief summary of the main results and should refer to the determined objectives.

REFERENCES

References should be arranged first alphabetically and then further sorted chronologically if necessary. More than one reference from the same Author(s) in the same year must be identified by the letters “a”, “b”, “c”, etc., placed after the year of publication.

Reference examples:

- Abshire, J.B., Sun, X., Riris, H., Sirota, J.M., McGarry, J.F., Palm, S., Yi, D., Liiva, P., 2005. Geoscience Laser Altimeter System (GLAS) on the ICESat Mission: On-orbit measurement performance. *Geophysical Research Letters* 32 L21S02, 1–4.
<https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL024028>
- Johnson, A.M., Fletcher, R.F., 1994. *Folding of Viscous Layers*, 1st edn. ed. Columbia University Press, New York.
- National Science Foundation, 2003. *Revolutionizing science and engineering through cyberinfrastructure: Report of the National Science Foundation Blue Ribbon Advisory Panel on Cyberinfrastructure*. Arlington, Virginia.
- Paivio, A., Jansen, B., Becker, L.J., 1975. Comparisons through the mind’s eye. *Cognition* 37, 635–647.
- Pinnegar, C., Eaton, D.W., 2003. Application of the S-transform to prestack noise attenuation filtering. *Journal of Geophysics Research* 108, 2422–2431.
<https://doi.org/doi:10.1029/2002JB002258>
- Richardson, J.L., Vepraskas, M.J., 2001. *Wetland Soils. Genesis, Hydrology, Landscapes and Classification*. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida, USA.
- Sandberg, S.K., Corso, W., Levine, J.R., Newhart, G., Powell, G., 2001. Mapping a paleochannel system controlling contaminant migration at a wood-treating facility using electromagnetics, in: *Proceedings Symposium on the Application of Geophysics to Engineering and Environmental Problems*. Denver, pp. 1–12.
- Srivastava, D.C., Lisle, R.J., 2004. Rapid analysis of fold shape using Bezier curves. *Journal of Structural Geology* 26, 1553–1559.
- Wood, J., 1996. *The geomorphological characterization of digital elevation models [WWW Document]*. PhD Dissertation, University of Leicester, England. URL <http://www.soi.city.ac.uk/~jwo/phd/>

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

All sources of funding of the study should be disclosed.

AUTHORS’ ADDRESSES

Indicate the name, surname (with academic degree), addresses and e-mail of all authors.