

CSE 344 Section 6 Worksheet

1. Given $R(A, B, C, D, E, F)$ and FDs: $B \rightarrow A$, $E \rightarrow B$, $D \rightarrow C$, $A \rightarrow C$

Decompose R into BCNF. In each step, explain which functional dependency you used to decompose and explain why further decomposition is needed. Your answer should consist of a list of table names and attributes. Make sure you indicate the keys for each relation.

1. Use $B \rightarrow A$, $A \rightarrow C$

Decompose R into $R_1(B, A, C)$ and $R_2(B, D, E, F)$

R_1 violates $A \rightarrow C$, so we need to further decompose R_1

R_2 violates $E \rightarrow B$, so we need to further decompose R_2

2. Use $A \rightarrow C$

Decompose R_1 into $R_{11}(A, C)$ and $R_{12}(A, B)$

3. Use $E \rightarrow B$

Decompose R_2 into $R_{21}(E, B)$ and $R_{22}(E, D, F)$

Final Decompositions: $R_{11}(A, \underline{B})$, $R_{12}(\underline{A}, C)$, $R_{21}(\underline{E}, B)$, $R_{22}(E, D, F)$

2. (17WI Final Q4) Given $R(A, B, C, D, E)$, and FDs: $A \rightarrow C$, $BD \rightarrow A$, $D \rightarrow E$

Decompose R into BCNF. In each step, explain which functional dependency you used to decompose and explain why further decomposition is needed. Your answer should consist of a list of table names and attributes. Make sure you indicate the keys for each relation.

One possible decomposition:

1. Use $A \rightarrow C$:

Decompose R into $R_1(A, C)$ and $T(A, B, D, E)$

T violates $BD \rightarrow A$ and $D \rightarrow E$, so we need to further decompose T

2. Use $D \rightarrow E$:

Decompose T into $R_2(B, D, A)$ and $R_3(D, E)$

Final relations: $R_1(\underline{A}, C)$, $R_2(\underline{B}, \underline{D}, A)$, and $R_3(\underline{D}, E)$

3. Consider these three transactions:

- $T1 : R1(A), R1(B), W1(A), W1(B), Co1$
- $T2 : R2(B), W2(B), R2(C), W2(C), Co2$
- $T3 : R3(C), W3(C), R3(A), W3(A), Co3$

a. Schedule 1:

$R2(B), W2(B), R3(C), W3(C), R3(A), W3(A), Co3, R2(C), W2(C), Co2, R1(A), R1(B), W1(A), W1(B), Co1$

Is this schedule conflict-serializable? If yes, indicate a serialization order.

Solution: yes: 3,2,1

```

1  <--B--  2  <--C--  3
^                               |
+-----A-----+

```

b. Schedule 2:

$R2(B), W2(B), R3(C), W3(C), R1(A), R1(B), W1(A), W1(B), Co1, R2(C), W2(C), Co2, R3(A), W3(A), Co3$

Is this schedule conflict-serializable? If yes, indicate a serialization order.

Solution: no

```

1  <--B--  2  <--C--  3
|                               ^
+-----A-----+

```

4. Consider the following three transactions:

- $T1 : R1(A), W1(B), Co1$
- $T2 : R2(B), W2(C), Co2$
- $T3 : R3(C), W3(D), Co3$

Give an example of a conflict-serializable schedule that has the following properties:
transaction T1 commits before transaction T3 starts, and the equivalent serial order is
T3, T2, T1.

Solution: $R1(A), R2(B), W1(B), Co1, R3(C), W2(C), Co2, W3(D), Co3$

Variations include: swap the first two reads (of A and B), and the last two writes (of C and D, together with the commit order)