



**CIMUN**  
CHICAGO INTERNATIONAL  
MODEL UNITED NATIONS

---

## CIMUN XXII Awards Policy

July 21, 2025

John Salchak

At CIMUN, we believe the core value of Model United Nations lies in the educational experience it offers—through diplomatic engagement, public speaking, and policy simulation. Awards, while secondary to these outcomes, play an important role for many schools and students who invest significant effort in preparing for our conference. Our awards policy reflects CIMUN’s values of accuracy in representation, engagement within and across committees, and a commitment to fairness for all schools, regardless of size.

We recognize that delegation awards can influence how schools structure their teams. Our goal is to increase transparency and incentivize strategies that enrich the conference experience for all. CIMUN is proud of the educational experience we provide, and we encourage schools to bring as many students as they are able to support. Our awards system is designed to reward excellence without discouraging inclusivity.

### **Individual Delegate Awards:**

Individual delegates and delegations, in the case of CIMUN’s double-delegate committees, are eligible for awards based on in-committee performance. Awards are distributed in each committee in proportion to its size. A full chart of CIMUN XXII’s awards can be found later in this document. The following distinctions may be awarded:

- Best Delegate or Delegation: 3 points
- Outstanding Delegate or Delegation: 2 points
- Honorable Mention: 1 point
- Delegate’s Choice: 2 points (selected by fellow delegates)

To encourage participation in CIMUN’s most advanced committees, awards multipliers will be in effect for individual delegate and delegation awards in select bodies:

- UN Security Council: 2x points
- Ad-Hoc: 2x Points

In addition to our in-committee awards, CIMUN rewards exceptional cross-conference performance through conference-wide awards for individual delegates:

- Simulations Award: 3 points – awarded to two delegates for cohesive and creative cross-committee engagement.
- Position Paper Award: 2 points – awarded to 3 delegates for exceptional research and rhetorical skill in their pre-submitted black papers, and 3 for their pre-submitted white papers. .
- GA Plenary Award: 3 points – awarded to one national General Assembly delegation (DISEC, SOCHUM, and SPECPOL delegates) for their joint performance in the General Assembly Plenary Session.
- ICJ Advocate Award: 2 points – awarded to one delegate for exceptional advocacy in the International Court of Justice. Only delegates who argue a case before the International Court of Justice are eligible.

### Delegation Awards Structure

We offer three categories of delegation awards, allowing both large and small schools to be recognized:

- 1. National Delegation Distinction:** Awarded to the top performing **country**, based on both total points earned divided by the number of positions held, in addition to demonstrated collaboration between members of a national delegation to advance their country's shared goals across CIMUN's different committees and cabinets. Empty positions for a country will still count for the denominator in this award.
- 2. School-Based Best Delegation Awards:** Schools are categorized into **Large** and **Small** Delegation Divisions, with the cutoff determined by median delegation size at the start of conference. Awards are based on the average number of points per delegate to ensure fairness across team sizes.
  - a. This approach is intended to reward both breadth and depth: large schools are marginally penalized for expanding delegation size for inclusivity, and small schools can remain competitive with strong performance.
- 3. CIMUN Grand Delegation Award**
  - a. This is awarded to the school with the highest total point accumulation regardless of delegation size or division. This is intended to recognize strength at scale.

### CIMUN XXII Committee Awards Matrix

| <i>Committee</i> | <i>Size</i> | <i>Awards Given</i>                                                     |
|------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| UNGA 1           | 76          | Best (1), Outstanding (2), Honorable Mention (3), Delegate's Choice (1) |
| UNGA 2           | 76          | Best (1), Outstanding (2), Honorable Mention (3), Delegate's Choice (1) |

|                                |                   |                                                                                             |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| UNGA 3                         | 76                | Best (1), Outstanding (2), Honorable Mention (3), Delegate's Choice (1)                     |
| UNSC                           | 15                | Best (1), Outstanding (1), Honorable Mention (1), Delegate's Choice (1)                     |
| INTERPOL                       | 51                | Best (1), Outstanding (2), Honorable Mention (2), Delegate's Choice (1)                     |
| ECOSOC                         | 36                | Best (1), Outstanding (1), Honorable Mention (2), Delegate's Choice (1)                     |
| Bandung 2                      | 32                | Best (1), Outstanding (1), Honorable Mention (2), Delegate's Choice (1)                     |
| US Senate                      | 92                | Best (1), Outstanding (2), Honorable Mention (3), Delegate's Choice (1)                     |
| British House of Commons       | Floating ~100-150 | Best (1), Outstanding (2), Honorable Mention (3-5 depending on size), Delegate's Choice (1) |
| International Court of Justice | 15                | Best (1), Outstanding (1), Honorable Mention (1), Delegate's Choice (1)                     |
| Ad-Hoc                         | 8                 | Best (1), Outstanding (1), Honorable Mention (1), Delegate's Choice (1)                     |
| Cabinets                       | 8                 | Best (1), Outstanding (1), Honorable Mention (1), Delegate's Choice (1)                     |
| International Press Delegation | 12                | Best (1), Outstanding (1), Honorable Mention (1), Delegate's Choice (1)                     |

## Disqualification Policy

CIMUN maintains a zero-tolerance policy for harassment. Delegates in violation of the delegate code of conduct or technology policy are subject to disqualification for awards by the CIMUN XXII Secretariat.

### **Final Notes from the Secretary General**

I acknowledge that **no awards policy is perfect** – it may benefit some styles of participation or delegation strategy more than others. However, I believe this model represents a **balanced, transparent, and fair** approach, one that:

- Supports inclusivity by not penalizing large delegations
- Enables small schools to shine through consistent excellence
- Recognize both individual and group achievement
- Prioritizes education reach over competitive delegation gamesmanship

As Secretary General, I'm committed to making CIMUN a space where students grow as diplomats, thinkers, collaborators (where accurate), and informed citizens, and where schools feel confident bringing their best – regardless of size or structure. If you have questions about awards and scoring, please contact us. We are happy to clarify and work with you.