

Investigating Compromised IoT Devices



Process and Technologies to Do It Right



| Author | Jeremy Pickett, <u>jeremy.pickett@gmail.com</u> |
|---------|---|
| Date | 08/11/2023 |
| Version | 0.01 |
| ToDo | Solicit community feedback |



| TLDR | 7 |
|--|----|
| Introduction | |
| The Landscape of Connected Devices | 7 |
| Challenges with Legacy Devices | 8 |
| Response Challenges | 8 |
| Mitigation Strategies | 9 |
| Duty of Care | 9 |
| Looking Forward | 9 |
| Background and History | 10 |
| From Mirai to Industrial Systems: A Tale of Two Technologies | 10 |
| The Mirai Botnet: A Consumer Target | 10 |
| The Industrial Side: A More Delicate Matter | 10 |
| Medical Devices: A Life-and-Death Scenario | 11 |
| The Dance of Legal Obligations and Ethical Responsibility | 11 |
| Conclusion: An Evolving Landscape | 11 |
| Top 5 Technologies | |
| 1. Network Traffic Mirrors: Reconstructing a Digital Crime Scene | 12 |
| Understanding Network Taps | 12 |
| Hardware Taps: | 12 |
| Software Taps: | 12 |
| The Target Breach Example | 13 |
| Lessons Learned and Best Practices | 13 |
| 2. Malware Sandboxes: A Controlled Explosion | 14 |
| Understanding Malware Sandboxes | 14 |
| Types of Sandboxing Environments: | 14 |
| The NotPetya Wiper Malware Example | 14 |
| Lessons Learned and Best Practices | 15 |
| | |



| 3. Credential Rotation: A Precise Surgical Strike | 16 |
|---|----|
| Understanding Credential Rotation | 16 |
| Methods and Technologies: | 16 |
| A Hypothetical Case: Email System Breach | 16 |
| Lessons Learned and Best Practices | 17 |
| 4. Protocol Analysis: Cracking the Code | 18 |
| 5. Forensic Virtualization: A Disposable Crime Lab | 19 |
| The Art and Science of Cybersecurity | 21 |
| Top 5 Vendors | 21 |
| 1. Mandiant: The Surgeons of Cybersecurity | 21 |
| 2. Dragos: Guardians of Industrial Control Systems | 23 |
| Specialization in Industrial Control Systems | 23 |
| A Different Approach: Containment Without Disruption | 23 |
| Real-world Impact: Protecting the Energy Sector | 24 |
| A Guiding Philosophy: Precision and Protection | 24 |
| 3. Carbon Black: Isolating the Threat | 25 |
| Specialization in Endpoint Detection and Response | 25 |
| Surgical Isolation of Compromised Endpoints | 25 |
| Technology and Methodology | 25 |
| Real-world Impact: The Bad Rabbit Ransomware Attack | 26 |
| Conclusion | 26 |
| 4. Tenable: The Watchful Eye | 27 |
| Continuous Visibility into IoT Environments | 27 |
| Acting as a Security Camera System for Digital Assets | 27 |
| Technology and Methodology | 28 |
| Real-world Impact: Staying a Step Ahead | 28 |
| 5. CrowdStrike: Containment Specialists | 29 |
| Surgical Containment of IoT Incidents | 29 |
| | |



| Precision Containment Strategies: A Fleet of Connected Vehicles | 29 |
|--|----|
| Specialized ICS Services | 29 |
| Technology and Methodology | 30 |
| Real-world Impact: The DNC Hack | 30 |
| Alignment with Goals | 31 |
| 1. Surgical Response: Avoiding Operational Disruption | 31 |
| Technical Guidance: | 31 |
| Strategies: | 31 |
| 2. Contained Malware Analysis: Lessons Learned to Drive Improvements | 31 |
| Technical Guidance: | 31 |
| Strategies: | 31 |
| 3. Isolating Incidents: Protecting the Broader Environment | 32 |
| Technical Guidance: | 32 |
| Strategies: | 32 |
| 4. Minimal Disruption: Maintaining Regulatory Compliance Obligations | 32 |
| Technical Guidance: | 32 |
| Strategies: | 32 |
| 5. Precision Response: Retaining Productivity and Business Functionality | 33 |
| Technical Guidance: | 33 |
| Strategies: | 33 |
| Alignment with Risks | 33 |
| 1. Lack of Visibility: | 33 |
| 2. Disruption: | 34 |
| 3. Magnified Damages: | 34 |
| 4. Future Breaches: | 34 |
| 5. Unmet Stakeholder Needs: | 35 |
| Questions | 35 |
| How can we gain visibility into proprietary or obscure systems? | 35 |
| | |



| | What procedures guide surgical incident response? | 36 |
|--------|---|----|
| | How do we contain threats without operational disruption? | 37 |
| | How can we model and safely analyze unfamiliar malware? | 38 |
| | When should systems be temporarily suspended for response? | 39 |
| | How can we surgically block compromised credentials or protocols? | 39 |
| | What legal obligations apply to managing critical infrastructure risks? | 40 |
| | How can we reverse engineer devices to identify latent vulnerabilities? | 41 |
| | What benchmarks indicate our response precisely balances security and availability? | 42 |
| | How do we prioritize protection of the most critical data flows? | 44 |
| | What training builds effective skills for precision inspection and | |
| | containment? | 45 |
| | How can we simulate complex environments to practice surgical response? | 47 |
| | What regulation covers risks that compromised medical devices might pos | e? |
| | 48 | |
| | How can we increase organizational tolerance for precision over haste? | 48 |
| | What safety procedures apply when detaining operational systems? | 49 |
| | How can we accelerate precision response while retaining safety? | 51 |
| | What insurance arrangements help cover potential disruption liabilities? | 52 |
| | How might attackers exploit procedural gaps between security and | |
| | operations teams? | 53 |
| | How could adversarial AI escalate damage before containment? | 53 |
| | What ethics guide managing risks posed by insecure IoT devices? | 54 |
| Case S | tudies | 55 |
| | Stuxnet: A Surgical Strike Against Critical Infrastructure | 55 |
| | NotPetya: A Wiper's Wrath Across Multinational Companies | 55 |
| | WannaCry: A Debilitating Ransomware Outbreak | 56 |
| | TRITON: Targeting Industrial Safety Systems | 56 |
| | Conficker: The Persistent Worm in Legacy Systems | 56 |
| | | |



| Ethical Considerations | |
|--|----|
| 1. Public Safety Versus Complete Threat Elimination: | 57 |
| 2. Proportional Responses Balancing Security and Functionality: | 57 |
| 3. Transparency Around Device Risks: | 57 |
| 4. Honoring Right to Repair/Modify Equipment: | 58 |
| 5. Due Diligence Obligation to Prevent Negligence: | 58 |
| 6. Protecting Patient Lives Above All Else: | 58 |
| 7. Refusing to Weaponize Vulnerabilities Against Critical Systems: | 58 |
| 8. Responsible Disclosure to Protect Public Infrastructure: | 59 |
| Conclusion | |
| The Challenge of Interconnectivity | 59 |
| The CISO's Balancing Act | 59 |
| Advanced Inspection Tools and Duty of Care-Driven Policies | 60 |
| Neutralizing Threats While Respecting Availability Needs | 60 |
| References | 60 |
| Hashtags | 62 |



TLDR

This essay examines cautiously investigating compromised IoT devices to avoid disrupting critical operations. It covers surgical analysis techniques, IoT visibility challenges, and managing risks devices pose when breached. Duty of care balances inspection with availability needs.

<u>Jeremy Pickett</u> :: <u>Buy Me a Coffee (small tip)</u> :: <u>Home Page</u>

Introduction

The proliferation of connected IoT and OT devices in industrial, medical, and other environments has introduced new response challenges when breaches occur. Legacy devices often lack security controls and monitoring, forcing responders to cautiously inspect compromised systems to avoid operational disruption. Thorough investigation is essential, but safely detaining affected devices mid-operation can have serious consequences. Responders must surgically analyze systems while maintaining availability, through tactics like network flow mirrors, malware sandboxing, and selective credential rotation. Duty of care obligations necessitate managing risks devices pose when operational reliability is paramount.

The Landscape of Connected Devices

• **IoT (Internet of Things)**: These are devices that connect to the internet and each other, ranging from smart home gadgets to wearable health monitors.



- For example, smart thermostats can be programmed to adjust temperature based on user preferences.
- OT (Operational Technology): OT devices control physical processes in industries like manufacturing, energy, and transportation. An example of OT is the SCADA (Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition) systems used in power plants.

Challenges with Legacy Devices

- Lack of Security Controls: Older devices often predate current security standards, making them more vulnerable to attacks. The WannaCry ransomware attack in 2017 is a prime example, where outdated Windows systems were exploited in hospitals, leading to delayed patient care.
- Limited Monitoring Capabilities: Without modern monitoring, detecting a breach can be like finding a needle in a haystack. A real-world example would be the Stuxnet worm, which infected Iran's nuclear facilities in 2010 and remained undetected for a significant period.

Response Challenges

- Avoiding Operational Disruption: Careful inspection is needed to avoid interrupting essential services. For instance, shutting down a compromised medical device during surgery could be life-threatening.
- **Safe Detainment**: Quarantining a device might lead to a cascade of failures in an industrial setting. Imagine a compromised control system in a water treatment plant; taking it offline without proper analysis could lead to contamination.



Mitigation Strategies

- **Network Flow Mirrors:** This involves creating copies of network traffic for analysis, allowing investigators to review suspicious activities without interfering with operations. It's like watching a recorded football game without disrupting the live match.
- Malware Sandboxing: This is a secure environment where suspicious code can be executed to observe its behavior. Think of it as putting a potentially sick patient in quarantine to monitor symptoms without risking others' health.
- Selective Credential Rotation: If a system is compromised, rotating credentials for critical parts can limit damage without halting the entire operation. It's akin to changing the locks on the front door but not the whole house after a break-in.

Duty of Care

 Managing Risks: Security professionals must balance operational needs with security risks. This includes considering the potential consequences of both action and inaction. The Equifax breach in 2017 is a cautionary tale, as delayed patching led to the exposure of personal information of millions of individuals.

Looking Forward

The ever-growing landscape of connected devices has indeed brought new response challenges. But with careful planning, innovative strategies, and a keen understanding of the underlying technology, organizations can navigate this complex terrain.



Background and History

From Mirai to Industrial Systems: A Tale of Two Technologies

The advent of the Internet of Things (IoT) brought an explosion of connected devices into both consumer and industrial spaces. While initially these technologies seemed to exist on parallel tracks, the security challenges they presented soon converged into a shared narrative of vulnerability and complexity.

The Mirai Botnet: A Consumer Target

In 2016, the Mirai botnet made headlines by targeting consumer IoT devices such as cameras and routers. This malware scanned the internet for vulnerable devices, then enslaved them to launch devastating Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) attacks.

The response to Mirai was aggressive. Security professionals were able to contain the infection by disabling affected devices. While this approach might have seemed heavy-handed, the nature of the targeted devices (mostly non-essential consumer electronics) allowed for this robust response without significant societal impact.

The Industrial Side: A More Delicate Matter

However, the situation in the operational technology (OT) arena, particularly in industrial control systems (ICS), was far more delicate. Here, attacks could not be met with the same aggressive response as Mirai.

An example is the Ukrainian power grid attack in 2015, where hackers gained control over electrical substations, causing widespread power outages. Simply shutting



down the compromised systems was not an option, as it would have further exacerbated the problem.

Moreover, the proprietary nature of many industrial systems added complexity. Unlike consumer devices, where standards are often common, industrial systems frequently use unique and specialized formats. This lack of visibility made investigations difficult and time-consuming, sometimes leading to failed inquiries.

Medical Devices: A Life-and-Death Scenario

The stakes were even higher in the medical field. The infamous WannaCry ransomware attack demonstrated how a failure in response strategy could lead to life-threatening situations. When the ransomware infected the UK's National Health Service (NHS) in 2017, it disrupted surgeries and other critical medical procedures. In this instance, cauterizing the devices by shutting them down was not just a matter of inconvenience—it was a matter of life and death.

The Dance of Legal Obligations and Ethical Responsibility

These challenges were further complicated by legal obligations around the duty of care. Responders had to weigh public good with operational needs when managing compromised devices.

For instance, the decision to shut down a compromised industrial system might protect against further cyberattacks but could also lead to loss of essential services. This delicate balance required precision response capabilities, minimizing disruption while still safeguarding critical infrastructure.



Conclusion: An Evolving Landscape

The progression from early IoT breaches like Mirai to the more complex challenges posed by industrial and medical technology underscores the evolving nature of cybersecurity. What once could be met with a sledgehammer now requires a scalpel.

As technology continues to advance, security professionals must adapt to an ever-shifting landscape, developing strategies that balance the need for aggressive containment with the equally vital requirements of availability, reliability, safety, and legal compliance. It's a dance of precision, one that demands both skill and grace, and one that will continue to shape the future of our interconnected world.

Top 5 Technologies

1. Network Traffic Mirrors: Reconstructing a Digital Crime Scene

Understanding Network Taps

Network taps are hardware devices or software applications that allow for the monitoring of network traffic. They can be used to mirror (or duplicate) the traffic flowing between network devices. This mirrored traffic can be analyzed without affecting the live network.

Hardware Taps:

- Passive Optical Taps: These are used in fiber networks and split the light signal to provide a mirrored copy of the traffic.
- Copper Taps: These are used in Ethernet networks and work by physically connecting to the network cables.



Software Taps:

- Port Mirroring: Many modern switches support port mirroring, where traffic sent to or from a specific port is duplicated to another port for analysis.
- Virtual Taps: These are implemented in virtualized environments and can mirror traffic within virtual machines.

The Target Breach Example

During the investigation of the Target breach in 2013, network traffic analysis was pivotal. Here's how the technologies mentioned above might have been applied:

- Initial Analysis: Hardware or software taps were likely used to mirror the network traffic in real time. Tools like Wireshark could have been employed to capture and analyze the mirrored traffic.
- Tracing the Attackers' Path: By analyzing the traffic, investigators were able to trace how the malware, known as BlackPOS, was spread across the point-of-sale (POS) systems. This enabled them to understand how the attackers moved within the network.
- Extracting Artifacts: The mirrored traffic allowed investigators to extract specific artifacts related to the malware, such as command and control (C2) servers, enabling a better understanding of the breach's complexity.
- Non-disruptive Investigation: The use of network taps ensured that the ongoing network operations were not disturbed, maintaining business continuity.

Lessons Learned and Best Practices



- Regular Monitoring: Implementing network traffic mirrors as a standard practice can help in early detection of suspicious activities.
- Integration with Security Information and Event Management (SIEM):
 Mirrored traffic can be fed into SIEM systems like Splunk for real-time analysis and alerting.
- Compliance with Regulations: Ensuring that the tapping and monitoring comply with legal and privacy regulations is paramount.

2. Malware Sandboxes: A Controlled Explosion

Understanding Malware Sandboxes

Malware sandboxes are specialized environments designed to execute and analyze suspicious code without risking the security of the broader system. It's like having a digital blast chamber where you can safely study the explosion.

Types of Sandboxing Environments:

- Automated Sandboxes: These are typically cloud-based services where malware samples are automatically analyzed. Examples include Cuckoo Sandbox and Joe Sandbox.
- Manual Sandboxes: These are environments where malware analysts
 manually execute and observe malware behavior. VMWare and VirtualBox are
 often used for this purpose.

The NotPetya Wiper Malware Example

The analysis of the NotPetya wiper malware demonstrated the essential role of malware sandboxing. Here's a detailed look at how this was accomplished:



- Initial Sample Acquisition: Researchers obtained samples of the NotPetya malware, which was masquerading as ransomware but was designed to wipe data.
- Detonation in the Sandbox: The samples were executed in isolated sandbox environments. Tools like Cuckoo Sandbox provided detailed reports on the malware's behavior.
- Behavior Analysis: By executing the malware in a controlled environment, researchers were able to study how it propagated through networks using the EternalBlue exploit and how it encrypted and destroyed data.
- Forensic Examination: The sandbox allowed for deep forensic examination of the malware, revealing its true wiper nature rather than just ransomware.
 This helped in understanding its origin, purpose, and potential countermeasures.
- Real-time Monitoring and Logging: Tools like Process Monitor and Wireshark were used within the sandbox to log the malware's activities and network communications, providing valuable insights.

Lessons Learned and Best Practices

- Multilayer Analysis: Combining automated and manual sandboxes can provide a comprehensive view of malware behavior.
- Integration with Threat Intelligence Platforms: Sharing sandbox analysis with threat intelligence platforms can enhance collective defense against emerging threats.
- Regular Updating of Sandbox Environments: Ensuring that the sandbox reflects real-world systems is key to understanding how malware would behave in an actual attack.



• Compliance with Legal Requirements: Handling and analyzing malware must be done with consideration for legal and ethical guidelines.

The NotPetya example illustrates how malware sandboxing is not merely a theoretical exercise but a hands-on battle against cyber threats. It's like inviting the malware to a duel in a controlled arena, where researchers are armed with the tools to dissect, analyze, and ultimately understand their digital adversary.

The saying "know your enemy" takes on a profound meaning in this context. By safely detonating malicious code in a sandbox, researchers were able to delve into the heart of the NotPetya malware, understanding its destructive behavior without causing actual harm. It was a masterclass in cyber forensics, one that underlines the importance of having a controlled explosion in the ever-evolving battlefield of cybersecurity.

3. Credential Rotation: A Precise Surgical Strike

Understanding Credential Rotation

Credential rotation is the practice of selectively changing or blocking compromised credentials, such as passwords or access tokens. This method enables a targeted response to security incidents without affecting users who aren't impacted by the breach.

Methods and Technologies:

 Automated Password Management Systems: Tools like CyberArk and Thycotic Secret Server can be configured to automatically rotate passwords based on policies or in response to security incidents.



- Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA): Implementing MFA can add an extra layer of security, making credential rotation even more effective.
- API Key Rotation: In a DevOps environment, automated tools can be used to periodically rotate API keys and tokens to reduce the risk of compromise.

A Hypothetical Case: Email System Breach

Let's delve into a scenario where credential rotation can be a lifesaver:

- Initial Discovery: The company detects that a subset of email accounts has been breached, possibly through phishing or malware.
- Assessment and Identification: Security teams identify the affected accounts using tools like SIEM systems and threat intelligence feeds.
- Selective Credential Rotation: Rather than locking all accounts, only the credentials of affected users are rotated. This can be achieved through automated password management systems, or manually if the scale is manageable.
- Notification and Education: Affected users are notified and may be required to go through a secure process to reset their credentials. Additional education on safe practices could be part of the response.
- Monitoring and Analysis: Continuous monitoring ensures that the rotation was effective, and further analysis might uncover the root cause of the breach.

Lessons Learned and Best Practices

• Regular Credential Rotation Policies: Having a policy for regular credential rotation can prevent the stagnation of credentials, reducing risk.



- Integration with Incident Response Plans: Credential rotation should be a well-defined part of the company's incident response plan, with clear procedures and responsibilities.
- User Training and Awareness: Educating users about the importance of strong, unique credentials and the risks of phishing can prevent breaches in the first place.
- Compliance Considerations: Ensuring that credential management complies with relevant regulations and standards, such as GDPR or HIPAA, is essential.

Credential rotation is like the scalpel in the hands of a skilled surgeon in the operating theater of cybersecurity. It allows for precise, targeted actions that mitigate damage without causing unnecessary disruption.

4. Protocol Analysis: Cracking the Code

Protocol analysis is the process of examining and decoding communication formats, specifically proprietary protocols that might be used within various technological environments. This method is often necessary to reconstruct sequences of events or understand the behavior of specific software, particularly in industrial contexts where unique or specialized communication standards might be employed. Think of it as the cybersecurity world's version of cracking an enigmatic code or solving a cryptic puzzle.

The investigation of the Triton malware serves as a powerful illustration of protocol analysis in action. Triton was a highly sophisticated piece of malware that targeted industrial safety systems, specifically Triconex Safety Instrumented System (SIS)



controllers. Unraveling how the malware operated required understanding the unique communication protocols used by these controllers.

Security researchers delved into the intricate details of the proprietary Triconex protocol, meticulously dissecting and decoding the communication sequences. Tools like Wireshark, along with custom parsers, were employed to capture and analyze the network packets. This was not merely a technical exercise but a detective endeavor, akin to Sherlock Holmes deciphering a coded message.

The researchers' efforts paid off, revealing how Triton exploited vulnerabilities in the system and communicated with the controllers to disrupt safety mechanisms. This understanding was vital in developing countermeasures and securing similar industrial systems against future threats.

Protocol analysis in the Triton case was a masterclass in digital detective work. By cracking the proprietary communication code, researchers were able to peel back the layers of a highly complex cyber threat. This was not just about understanding bits and bytes but about unraveling a sophisticated puzzle that required intellectual rigor, technical expertise, and a flair for digital forensics.

In a world where proprietary protocols abound, particularly in industrial environments, the skill of protocol analysis remains an essential tool in the cybersecurity arsenal. It's a capability that transcends mere technology, touching the very essence of curiosity, problem-solving, and intellectual engagement. In the Triton case, protocol analysis was not just a technical task; it was a journey into the heart of a digital enigma, a challenge worthy of the finest minds in cybersecurity, and a testament to the intellectual richness of the field.

* CC Attribution License * Jeremy Pickett * 2023



5. Forensic Virtualization: A Disposable Crime Lab

Forensic virtualization is the practice of replicating specific systems and architecture within disposable Virtual Machines (VMs) to analyze and investigate digital evidence or malicious activities. Unlike a traditional laboratory setup, this virtual crime lab can be assembled and disassembled at will, providing a flexible and secure environment for analysis. It's akin to building a digital zoo where a dangerous cyber predator can be observed, studied, and understood without posing a threat to the surrounding ecosystem.

The case of the Stuxnet worm, a malicious computer worm that targeted supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) systems, showcases the power of forensic virtualization. Stuxnet was uniquely designed to attack industrial systems, specifically those controlling uranium enrichment centrifuges. Understanding its behavior, structure, and purpose was not just a technical challenge but a venture into uncharted territory.

Researchers tasked with analyzing Stuxnet faced a complex problem: how to study a piece of malware that was engineered to interact with highly specialized industrial equipment. The solution was to use forensic virtualization to create a virtual playground that mirrored the targeted industrial systems.

Utilizing virtualization platforms like VMware and VirtualBox, researchers were able to build a digital replica of the SCADA systems that Stuxnet was designed to infiltrate. Within this virtual environment, they could safely execute and probe the worm, observing its behavior, dissecting its code, and unraveling its attack mechanisms.



This controlled examination revealed Stuxnet's intricate design, its ability to exploit multiple zero-day vulnerabilities, and its specific targeting of Siemens Step7 software. The insights gained from this virtual exploration were instrumental in understanding the worm's origins, objectives, and potential countermeasures.

Forensic virtualization, as demonstrated in the Stuxnet analysis, is more than just a technological tool; it's an inventive approach that allows researchers to step into the very heart of a digital threat. By constructing a virtual environment that mirrors the targeted systems, they can explore, experiment, and learn, all within the safe confines of a digital enclosure.

In the battle against sophisticated cyber threats, the ability to create these virtual crime labs represents a fusion of creativity, technology, and intellectual curiosity. It's a method that transforms the challenge of understanding a dangerous predator into an opportunity for discovery, much like observing a wild animal within the controlled environment of a zoo. But in this digital zoo, the cages are made of code, the keys are algorithms, and the insights gained can shape the very future of cybersecurity. It's a fascinating dance between danger and discovery, played out on the virtual stage of innovation and expertise.

The Art and Science of Cybersecurity

Together, these methods represent a toolkit for the modern cybersecurity professional. They blend the precision of a surgeon, the curiosity of a detective, and the caution of a bomb disposal expert. As the digital landscape continues to evolve, these tools will be honed, adapted, and expanded, ensuring that the guardians of our interconnected world remain ever vigilant and ever capable. It's a game of digital cat and mouse, played on a global stage, and the stakes have never been higher.



Top 5 Vendors

1. Mandiant: The Surgeons of Cybersecurity

Mandiant's services are distinguished by a focus that transcends traditional protection, emphasizing a careful, surgical inspection of devices to ensure availability. In the realm of Operational Technology, where systems are often integral to critical industrial processes, this approach is not only innovative but vital.

Consider the scenario of a critical industrial system infected with malware. A conventional response might involve shutting down the entire system to remove the threat. While effective in eradicating the malware, such a sweeping action could lead to production halts or disruptions in essential services. It's a solution that, while treating the problem, could create new challenges.

Mandiant's methodology is markedly different and more nuanced. By employing a careful examination of the compromised elements, they are able to isolate the infection without shutting down the entire operation. This approach can be likened to a skilled surgeon removing a tumor without affecting the surrounding tissue. It's a targeted, precise response that addresses the immediate threat without compromising the overall system's functionality.

The SolarWinds attack provides a real-world illustration of Mandiant's expertise in action. This sophisticated cyber-espionage campaign, which compromised the SolarWinds Orion software platform, affected numerous organizations across



various sectors. Understanding the breach's scope and containing its impact required a response that was both rapid and refined.

Mandiant's involvement in responding to the SolarWinds attack showcased their ability to analyze complex cyber threats and assist in containment. Leveraging their specialized tools, experience in threat intelligence, and deep understanding of both IT and OT landscapes, they were able to dissect the attack, identify compromised elements, and provide targeted solutions to mitigate the threat.

Their approach allowed for an understanding of the attack vectors, exploitation techniques, and lateral movement within infected networks. By isolating affected components rather than resorting to wholesale shutdowns, they helped organizations maintain continuity while addressing the breach.

Mandiant's role in the SolarWinds incident is a testament to their unique capabilities in the cybersecurity landscape. Their surgical approach to threat containment, particularly in the sensitive OT domain, sets them apart as not just problem solvers but as strategic partners in cybersecurity defense.

In a world where cyber threats are increasingly complex and the stakes are high, Mandiant's expertise offers a beacon of assurance. It's a blend of precision, innovation, and adaptability, reflecting a deep understanding of the delicate balance between protection and availability. Like a seasoned surgeon in the operating theater, Mandiant operates with a steady hand, a keen eye, and an unwavering commitment to excellence. Their work in the SolarWinds case and beyond exemplifies a cybersecurity philosophy that is both responsive and responsible, a guiding force in an ever-evolving digital landscape.

* CC Attribution License * Jeremy Pickett * 2023



2. Dragos: Guardians of Industrial Control Systems

Specialization in Industrial Control Systems

Dragos' core competency lies in safeguarding Industrial Control Systems. These systems are the nerve centers of vital infrastructure, such as power plants, water treatment facilities, and manufacturing units. Their complex nature and critical importance make them prime targets for cybercriminals.

A Different Approach: Containment Without Disruption

Dragos' approach to cybersecurity goes beyond conventional methods. Imagine a water treatment plant compromised by a cyber-attack. A traditional response might involve shutting down the affected systems, a move that could lead to public health risks or service interruptions.

Dragos' methodology is more nuanced and targeted. By employing sophisticated threat hunting techniques, they can identify and isolate the compromised elements without halting essential operations. It's like deploying a SWAT team specializing in hostage situations; the threat is neutralized, but the innocent bystanders—in this case, the vital systems—are left unharmed.

Real-world Impact: Protecting the Energy Sector

Dragos' work in protecting industrial sectors, particularly energy, illustrates their critical role in modern cybersecurity. Energy systems are the lifeblood of cities, powering everything from homes to hospitals. A successful cyber-attack on these systems could lead to widespread disruptions.



Dragos' involvement in defending the energy sector has been pivotal. Utilizing their deep understanding of ICS, proprietary threat intelligence, and specialized tools, they have thwarted attacks that could potentially cripple power supplies to entire urban areas.

Their approach involves continuous monitoring, threat analysis, and proactive defense measures tailored to the unique landscape of industrial systems. They don't just wait for an attack to happen; they actively seek potential threats, analyze vulnerabilities, and fortify defenses.

A Guiding Philosophy: Precision and Protection

Dragos' philosophy revolves around precision and protection. They recognize that in the world of industrial control systems, the stakes are high, and the margin for error is slim. Their solutions are crafted to address the specific challenges of the ICS environment, ensuring that threats are managed without disrupting essential services.

Their work is more than just a technical exercise; it's a strategic partnership with industries that form the backbone of modern society. Whether it's ensuring clean water flows through taps or that lights stay on in homes, Dragos' role is integral to maintaining normalcy in an increasingly interconnected and vulnerable world.

3. Carbon Black: Isolating the Threat

Specialization in Endpoint Detection and Response

Carbon Black's EDR is designed to monitor, detect, and respond to threats at the endpoint level. This includes devices within IoT and OT environments, such as



sensors, controllers, and smart devices that are integral to modern industrial and business operations.

Surgical Isolation of Compromised Endpoints

Imagine a smart manufacturing line infected by a cyberattack. Traditional methods might involve shutting down the entire system to remove the threat, a step that could lead to significant production loss.

Carbon Black's approach is more surgical. Their EDR technology can pinpoint the specific compromised devices and isolate them without halting the entire production line. It's the digital equivalent of creating a quarantine zone during a contagion outbreak, stopping the spread without shutting down the entire city.

Technology and Methodology

Carbon Black's EDR employs advanced analytics, behavioral monitoring, and threat intelligence to detect and contain potential breaches. Their technology is designed to:

- Identify Threats: Utilizing machine learning and heuristic analysis, Carbon Black's EDR can detect unusual activities or behaviors that might signify a breach.
- Isolate Compromised Endpoints: Once a threat is detected, the compromised devices can be selectively isolated, preventing the spread of malware within the network.
- Facilitate Investigation: Post-isolation, the technology provides tools for deep analysis, enabling security teams to understand the nature of the attack and devise appropriate countermeasures.



• Integrate with Existing Security Infrastructure: Carbon Black's EDR can be integrated with other security tools and platforms, enhancing overall cybersecurity resilience.

Real-world Impact: The Bad Rabbit Ransomware Attack

Carbon Black's EDR played a vital role in incidents like the Bad Rabbit ransomware attack. This ransomware spread rapidly through networks, encrypting files and demanding payment for their release.

Carbon Black's technology allowed organizations to quickly detect the ransomware's presence and isolate the affected systems. By acting swiftly and precisely, they were able to contain the spread of Bad Rabbit, minimizing its impact and facilitating recovery.

Conclusion

Carbon Black's Endpoint Detection and Response capabilities represent a fusion of technological innovation and strategic insight. Their approach to threat containment, particularly within the IoT and OT landscapes, is both precise and effective, mirroring the practice of quarantining during an infectious disease outbreak.

Their work in handling real-world threats, such as the Bad Rabbit ransomware attack, underscores their prowess in managing complex cybersecurity challenges. By isolating threats without disrupting essential operations, they provide a balanced solution that recognizes the delicate interplay between security and functionality.



In a world where cyber threats are evolving and the surface of attack continues to expand, Carbon Black's EDR stands as a robust defense mechanism. It's a digital barrier that protects without hindering, isolates without shutting down, and defends with a precision that reflects a deep understanding of the modern digital landscape. Their role in safeguarding IoT and OT environments is a testament to their commitment to innovation, adaptability, and excellence in the ever-challenging field of cybersecurity.

4. Tenable: The Watchful Eye

Continuous Visibility into IoT Environments

Tenable's specialization lies in providing continuous insights into a complex network of IoT devices, such as those found in modern hospitals, manufacturing plants, or smart cities. Their technology acts as a constant monitor, scanning for vulnerabilities and potential threats.

Acting as a Security Camera System for Digital Assets

Imagine the sprawling network of IoT devices in a state-of-the-art hospital, ranging from patient monitoring equipment to automated medication dispensers. Managing the security of such a diverse and interconnected ecosystem can be daunting.

Tenable's solution is designed to act like a vigilant security camera system for this digital landscape. It's not merely about detecting and reacting to attacks. It's about continuous monitoring, proactively identifying vulnerabilities, assessing risks, and taking preemptive measures to fortify defenses.

Technology and Methodology

Tenable's approach to IoT security involves several key components:



- Asset Discovery: Through automated scanning and discovery, Tenable identifies all connected devices within an IoT environment, creating a comprehensive inventory of digital assets.
- Vulnerability Assessment: Utilizing advanced analytics and threat intelligence, Tenable analyzes the devices for known vulnerabilities, configuration issues, and potential weaknesses.
- Continuous Monitoring: Rather than periodic checks, Tenable's technology
 offers constant surveillance, detecting changes, new risks, and emerging
 threats in real time.
- Integration with Security Ecosystem: Tenable's solutions can be integrated with other security tools and platforms, providing a holistic view of the organization's security posture.
- Compliance Management: Tenable also helps organizations ensure that their IoT security aligns with regulatory requirements and industry standards.

Real-world Impact: Staying a Step Ahead

Tenable's role in assessing and monitoring vulnerabilities across various sectors has been instrumental in helping organizations stay ahead of potential breaches. By offering a continuous view of the security landscape, they enable organizations to detect weaknesses before they can be exploited.

Whether it's a utility company safeguarding the smart grid or a healthcare provider protecting patient data, Tenable's vigilance provides a layer of security that transcends traditional reactive measures.

5. CrowdStrike: Containment Specialists

Surgical Containment of IoT Incidents



CrowdStrike's Falcon platform is designed to manage and contain incidents within the complex landscape of the Internet of Things (IoT). Their technology emphasizes precision, enabling targeted responses that address threats without affecting the overall system.

Precision Containment Strategies: A Fleet of Connected Vehicles

Imagine a fleet of connected vehicles, each one a part of an intricate network, compromised by malware. The conventional approach might involve shutting down the entire system, akin to stopping all traffic on a busy highway.

CrowdStrike's solution takes a more nuanced approach. Their technology would be akin to remotely disabling only the affected vehicles, acting like an emergency brake for each individual car. This ensures safety without causing a massive traffic jam, maintaining flow while addressing the immediate threat.

Specialized ICS Services

CrowdStrike's offerings also extend to Industrial Control Systems (ICS), where they provide specialized services tailored to the unique challenges of industrial environments. This includes monitoring, threat detection, and incident response within systems that control critical infrastructure such as power plants, manufacturing lines, and water treatment facilities.

Technology and Methodology

CrowdStrike's Falcon platform leverages several key components:

• Endpoint Detection and Response (EDR): Continuous monitoring and analysis of endpoints to detect and respond to threats in real time.



- Threat Intelligence: Utilizing a vast repository of threat data to identify known vulnerabilities, emerging threats, and potential risk factors.
- Automated Containment: The ability to selectively isolate compromised elements, acting swiftly to prevent the spread of malware or other malicious activities.
- Integration with Existing Infrastructure: CrowdStrike's solutions are designed to seamlessly integrate with other security tools and platforms, enhancing overall resilience.

Real-world Impact: The DNC Hack

CrowdStrike's response to high-profile breaches, such as the hack of the Democratic National Committee (DNC), showcases their ability to act swiftly and precisely. Their investigation and containment strategies were instrumental in understanding the breach's scope, identifying the perpetrators, and taking targeted actions to mitigate the threat.

Alignment with Goals

1. Surgical Response: Avoiding Operational Disruption

Technical Guidance:

- Protocol Utilization: Implementing protocols like VLAN (Virtual Local Area Network) to segregate the network, allowing for targeted containment of compromised devices.
- Incident Analysis Tools: Utilizing specialized tools like Wireshark for network traffic analysis to precisely pinpoint affected devices.



• Automated Response Systems: Implementing systems that can automatically isolate affected devices without affecting the broader network.

Strategies:

- Coordinated Incident Response: Collaborating with stakeholders, including IoT device manufacturers, to ensure a unified response.
- Regular Security Audits: Identifying potential vulnerabilities before they're exploited, allowing for a swift and targeted response.

2. Contained Malware Analysis: Lessons Learned to Drive Improvements

Technical Guidance:

- Malware Sandboxing: Creating isolated environments to safely analyze malware, using virtualization tools like VMware.
- Reverse Engineering Tools: Employing tools like IDA Pro to dissect malware code and understand its behavior.

Strategies:

- Post-Incident Review: Utilizing the insights gained from malware analysis to enhance security measures and build resilience.
- Collaboration with Industry Partners: Sharing threat intelligence to foster a collective defense against future attacks.

3. Isolating Incidents: Protecting the Broader Environment

Technical Guidance:



- Network Segmentation: Implementing firewalls and access control lists
 (ACLs) to isolate compromised sections of the network.
- Threat Intelligence Integration: Utilizing platforms like ThreatConnect to share and receive real-time threat data.

Strategies:

- Incident Playbooks: Developing detailed response plans to ensure that containment efforts are executed swiftly and effectively.
- Monitoring & Detection: Employing continuous monitoring to detect incidents early, enabling rapid isolation.
- 4. Minimal Disruption: Maintaining Regulatory Compliance Obligations

Technical Guidance:

- Compliance Automation Tools: Leveraging platforms like Qualys to ensure continuous compliance with regulatory standards.
- Data Protection Measures: Implementing encryption and secure data handling protocols to protect sensitive information.

Strategies:

- Alignment with Regulations: Understanding and aligning security measures with relevant regulations like GDPR or HIPAA.
- Continuous Compliance Monitoring: Regularly assessing and updating security measures to ensure ongoing compliance.
- 5. Precision Response: Retaining Productivity and Business Functionality

Technical Guidance:



- Endpoint Protection Platforms (EPP): Utilizing EPP like Symantec to detect and respond to threats at the device level.
- Centralized Security Management: Implementing centralized management tools like Microsoft's System Center to coordinate security measures across the IoT landscape.

Strategies:

- Business Continuity Planning: Developing plans that balance security and business needs, ensuring that responses are both effective and minimally disruptive.
- Cross-Department Collaboration: Collaborating with business units to understand critical functions and tailor security measures accordingly.

Alignment with Risks

Lack of Visibility:

Opaque systems and hidden vulnerabilities can create blind spots, hindering effective threat detection and response. The use of network taps and protocol decoding can pierce this veil, providing insights into otherwise concealed activities. Network taps allow for passive monitoring of network traffic, while protocol decoding can unravel proprietary communication formats, revealing the underlying data and interactions.

2. Disruption:



Uncontrolled responses to security incidents can lead to widespread disruptions, affecting availability and functionality. Precision credentials blocking, Endpoint Detection and Response (EDR) containment, and the use of malware sandboxes provide targeted solutions. By isolating compromised credentials, containing threats at the endpoint level, and safely analyzing suspicious code in isolated environments, organizations can maintain availability without sacrificing security.

3. Magnified Damages:

Uncontrolled malware can cause extensive damage, spreading rapidly through networks. Utilizing virtualized environments to safely detonate and analyze malware enables controlled investigation without risking broader system integrity. By creating replicas of targeted systems within virtual environments, researchers can probe and understand malware behavior without exposing real systems to harm.

4. Future Breaches:

Past incidents can provide valuable lessons for future defense. Analyzing attack paths and understanding how breaches occurred guides the development of strengthened controls. Post-incident analysis, threat intelligence sharing, and continuous monitoring can transform historical data into actionable insights, fortifying defenses against future threats.

5. Unmet Stakeholder Needs:

Security measures must align with organizational goals and stakeholder needs. A tactical response that balances security with operational requirements ensures that protective measures don't hamper business functionality. Collaborative planning, cross-departmental communication, and a unified security strategy ensure that security measures support rather than hinder organizational objectives.



Questions

How can we gain visibility into proprietary or obscure systems?

Gaining visibility into proprietary or obscure systems can be difficult for information security departments, especially when it comes to IoT devices. One way to gain visibility is to use reverse engineering to extract information from the device's firmware. This process involves analyzing the code of the device to determine its configuration and capabilities. Additionally, incident responders and SOC analysts can use network traffic analysis to monitor the communication between the device and other systems. This can provide insight into the device's behavior and security posture. Furthermore, it can also help identify any malicious activities or vulnerabilities. In addition to these methods, security teams can also leverage open source intelligence (OSINT) to gain visibility into proprietary or obscure systems. OSINT involves collecting information from publicly available sources such as social media, websites, blogs, forums, etc. This can provide valuable insight into the device's capabilities and vulnerabilities. By utilizing these techniques, security teams can gain visibility into proprietary or obscure systems and ensure the security of their organization's IoT devices.

What procedures guide surgical incident response?

The procedures guiding surgical incident response vary from organization to organization, but generally involve a series of steps to ensure the safety of the organization's network, data, and other assets.



First, the incident response team must identify the source of the incident and assess the damage. This may include collecting evidence, analyzing logs, and conducting forensic investigations. Once the source and scope of the incident are established, the team can then begin to take action to contain the threat and prevent further damage.

The team should then develop a plan to remediate the incident, which may include actions such as patching vulnerable systems, disabling compromised accounts, and restoring data. The team will also need to form a plan for how to respond to the incident going forward, such as developing procedures to detect similar incidents in the future.

For incidents involving IoT devices, the incident response team should consider the potential of physical damage or theft as well as the potential for malicious activity. The team should take steps to secure the device, including disabling the device's network access and resetting its credentials, as well as analyzing the device's logs and associated traffic.

Finally, the team should document the incident and its response, as well as any lessons learned from the incident. This may include creating a post-mortem report or developing a plan to address any gaps in the organization's security posture that were exposed during the incident.

How do we contain threats without operational disruption?

The most effective way to contain threats without operational disruption is to have a proactive approach to security. This means having a robust security architecture and policies in place that are regularly updated and monitored. This includes having an



incident response plan and a security operations center (SOC) in place to detect and respond to threats.

For IoT devices, organizations should implement best practices such as segmenting the network, using strong passwords, and enabling two-factor authentication. Additionally, organizations should regularly scan their networks for vulnerabilities, patch any identified vulnerabilities, and regularly audit the network to detect any malicious activity.

Organizations should also consider implementing a Zero Trust security model, which ensures that only authenticated and authorized users are allowed access to the network. This includes implementing a microsegmentation strategy to limit the scope of any potential damage caused by a threat.

Organizations should use advanced threat detection and analytics tools to monitor their networks for malicious activity. These tools should be able to detect suspicious behavior, alert security teams to any potential threats, and provide information on how to contain them.

In summary, organizations can contain threats without operational disruption by having a proactive security strategy in place that includes robust security architecture and policies, a SOC, vulnerability scanning and patching, two-factor authentication, a Zero Trust security model, and advanced threat detection and analytics tools.



How can we model and safely analyze unfamiliar malware?

Model and safely analyze unfamiliar malware by using sandboxing and emulation techniques. Sandboxing involves running a potentially malicious file in a virtualized environment to analyze its behavior without risking the safety of the underlying system. Emulation is a process of simulating the environment in which the malicious file would run in a safe environment.

In addition, information security departments can use a variety of tools to model and analyze unfamiliar malware. For example, they can use reverse-engineering tools such as IDA Pro and OllyDbg to analyze the code of the malicious file. They can also use network monitoring tools such as Wireshark to observe the network traffic generated by the malicious file.

Information security departments should also leverage the threat intelligence and analysis capabilities of their SOC analysts to identify and investigate any suspicious activities. SOC analysts can use a variety of techniques to identify and analyze malicious files, such as signature-based analysis, behavioral analysis, and network traffic analysis. This will help them identify any malicious activity in the network and take appropriate action to mitigate the threat.

When should systems be temporarily suspended for response?

When an incident occurs, it is important for incident responders and SOC analysts to take quick action to contain the incident. Depending on the type of incident, it may be necessary to temporarily suspend systems and devices to prevent further damage and allow for proper investigation.

* CC Attribution License * Jeremy Pickett * 2023



For example, if a malicious actor is attempting to gain access to an IoT device, the incident responders may need to suspend the device in order to prevent any further access or damage. This can be done by disconnecting the device from the network or by disabling certain functions of the device.

Another example is if an incident involves a ransomware attack. In this case, it may be necessary to suspend the affected systems and devices, as well as any connected systems, in order to prevent the ransomware from spreading further and causing more damage.

In both cases, it is important to suspend the systems and devices in a timely manner in order to prevent further damage and allow for proper investigation. Suspending systems and devices should only be done when necessary and should be done in accordance with the organization's incident response plan.

How can we surgically block compromised credentials or protocols?

In order to surgically block compromised credentials or protocols, incident responders and SOC analysts must first identify the source of the compromise. This can be done by monitoring for suspicious activity, such as unusual traffic patterns, failed login attempts, or changes in user behavior. Once the source of the compromise has been identified, the incident responders and SOC analysts must then investigate the issue further and determine the exact credentials or protocols that are being used by the malicious actor.

Once the compromised credentials or protocols have been identified, the incident responders and SOC analysts can surgically block them from being used. This can be done by blocking specific IP addresses or ports, disabling certain credentials, or



disabling certain protocols. For example, if the malicious actor is using a particular protocol to communicate with IoT devices, the incident responders and SOC analysts can block that protocol from being used by the malicious actor.

In addition to surgically blocking compromised credentials or protocols, incident responders and SOC analysts should also take steps to prevent future malicious activity. This can be done by implementing strong authentication methods, monitoring for suspicious activity, and patching vulnerable systems.

What legal obligations apply to managing critical infrastructure risks?

Legal obligations for managing critical infrastructure risks vary depending on the country and region in which the infrastructure is located. In many developed countries, critical infrastructure is considered a matter of national security, and the government often has strict regulations and laws in place to protect it.

For example, in the United States, the Department of Homeland Security has implemented the National Infrastructure Protection Plan (NIPP) to protect the country's critical infrastructure from physical and cyber threats. The plan includes specific requirements for incident response, risk management, and security monitoring of critical infrastructure systems.

In the European Union, the European Union Agency for Network and Information Security (ENISA) is responsible for protecting the EU's critical infrastructure from cyber threats. ENISA has issued a number of guidelines and recommendations to help organizations secure their critical infrastructure systems, including requirements for incident response, risk management, and security monitoring.

* CC Attribution License * Jeremy Pickett * 2023



In addition to these regulations, organizations must also be mindful of any applicable laws or regulations that may apply to their specific industry or sector. For example, the healthcare sector must comply with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) in the United States, and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in the EU.

Organizations must also be aware of any legal obligations that apply to the Internet of Things (IoT) devices they use in their critical infrastructure systems. For example, many countries have implemented laws and regulations that require organizations to secure their IoT devices to prevent unauthorized access and protect user data.

How can we reverse engineer devices to identify latent vulnerabilities?

Reverse engineering is a process of analyzing the hardware or software of a device to identify its components and determine how it works. It can be used to identify latent vulnerabilities in devices, which are vulnerabilities that are not initially apparent.

For incident responders, reverse engineering can be used to identify how a malicious actor has infiltrated a system or device. By understanding the components of a device, responders can identify weaknesses in the system that could have been exploited. This can help them determine the scope of the incident and how to best respond.

For SOC analysts, reverse engineering can be used to identify any vulnerabilities that could be exploited by malicious actors. By analyzing the components of a device, they can identify any software or hardware components that are outdated or have known vulnerabilities. This can help them to develop strategies to protect the system from future attacks.



Real world examples of reverse engineering devices to identify latent vulnerabilities include the infamous Stuxnet attack in 2010. In this attack, attackers reverse engineered the Siemens control systems used in Iranian nuclear facilities to identify vulnerabilities in the system. The attackers then used the vulnerabilities to gain access to the system and deploy malicious code that caused significant damage. Another example is the Mirai botnet attack of 2016, in which attackers reverse engineered Internet of Things (IoT) devices to gain access to them and create a powerful botnet.

What benchmarks indicate our response precisely balances security and availability?

Benchmarks that indicate a response that precisely balances security and availability in the context of IoT devices in information security departments include:

- 1. Ensuring that all devices are properly secured and patched. This includes performing regular vulnerability scans to identify any potential issues with the devices, as well as ensuring that all firmware and software is up-to-date and secure.
- 2. Establishing and implementing an incident response plan that outlines the steps to be taken in the event of a security breach or incident. This should include steps such as isolating affected devices, alerting the appropriate stakeholders, and conducting an investigation to determine the cause of the incident and any potential damage.
- 3. Establishing and enforcing policies and procedures for users and administrators to ensure the secure use of IoT devices. This includes



measures such as requiring strong passwords, limiting access to certain areas of the network, and monitoring user activity.

- 4. Implementing a secure architecture for the network that includes firewalls, antivirus software, and other security controls. This ensures that any potential threats are identified and addressed quickly and efficiently.
- 5. Conducting regular reviews of the security posture of the network and devices to ensure that any gaps in security are identified and addressed. This includes conducting penetration tests and other security audits to identify any potential vulnerabilities.
- 6. Ensuring that users are educated and trained on the proper use of IoT devices and the security measures in place to protect them. This includes providing users with information on how to spot potential threats and how to respond if an incident occurs.
- 7. Establishing and monitoring logging and alerting systems to detect any suspicious activity. This includes monitoring user activity, network traffic, and system logs to identify any potential threats.
- 8. Developing a risk assessment process to identify, analyze, and prioritize risks associated with the use of IoT devices. This should include measures such as conducting vulnerability scans, evaluating the impact of potential threats, and developing mitigation strategies.
- 9. Developing and implementing a response plan for any incidents that occur. This should include steps such as isolating the affected devices, alerting the appropriate stakeholders, and conducting an investigation to determine the cause and extent of the incident.



10. Establishing and implementing an incident response team to ensure that any incidents are responded to quickly and efficiently. This team should include members from various departments such as IT, security, and operations.

How do we prioritize protection of the most critical data flows?

When it comes to prioritizing the protection of the most critical data flows, incident responders and SOC analysts must consider the risks associated with the data flows. For example, if an IoT device is connected to a network that stores sensitive customer data, it is important to prioritize the protection of this data flow to ensure that unauthorized access to this data is prevented. This can be accomplished by implementing a combination of protective measures, such as firewalls, antivirus/anti-malware solutions, and network segmentation. In addition, it is important to monitor and detect any suspicious activity related to the data flow in order to identify and respond to potential threats quickly. Finally, it is important to ensure that all IoT devices are properly configured and patched to reduce the risk of exploitation.

What training builds effective skills for precision inspection and containment?

Effective training for precision inspection and containment of IoT devices in the context of information security departments should focus on developing the following skills:

* CC Attribution License * Jeremy Pickett * 2023



- 1. Understanding of the network infrastructure and architecture: Incident responders and SOC analysts need to be able to understand the network architecture of the systems they are protecting, and how the IoT devices interact with the rest of the network. This includes having an understanding of the different types of protocols that the IoT devices use, as well as the potential attack vectors that can be used to gain access to the device or the network.
- 2. Knowledge of security tools and techniques: Incident responders and SOC analysts need to be able to identify and use the right tools to inspect and contain IoT devices. This includes having a deep understanding of the different security tools available, and how these can be used to inspect and contain the device.
- 3. Knowledge of the specific IoT device: Incident responders and SOC analysts need to understand the specific IoT device they are working with, including its capabilities, limitations, and potential vulnerabilities. This includes having an understanding of the device's firmware and any security measures that are in place.
- 4. Familiarity with containment and remediation techniques: Incident responders and SOC analysts need to understand the different techniques that can be used to contain and remediate IoT devices. This includes having an understanding of the different methods of isolating the device, as well as the steps needed to restore the device to a secure state.

Real world examples of these skills include:



- 1. Understanding of the network infrastructure and architecture: An incident responder or SOC analyst might be tasked with understanding the network architecture of a system, and how a particular IoT device interacts with the rest of the network. They might need to identify the protocols that the device is using, as well as any potential attack vectors that could be used to gain access to the device or the network.
- 2. Knowledge of security tools and techniques: An incident responder or SOC analyst might need to use a variety of security tools to inspect and contain an IoT device. This could include using network monitoring tools to detect suspicious activity, as well as using vulnerability scanners to identify potential weaknesses in the device.
- 3. Knowledge of the specific IoT device: An incident responder or SOC analyst might need to understand the capabilities and limitations of a particular IoT device, as well as any potential vulnerabilities. This could include understanding the device's firmware, as well as any security measures that are in place.
- 4. Familiarity with containment and remediation techniques: An incident responder or SOC analyst might need to use different techniques to contain and remediate an IoT device. This could include using methods of isolating the device, as well as the steps needed to restore the device to a secure state.



How can we simulate complex environments to practice surgical response?

Simulating complex environments to practice surgical response is a critical component of incident response and SOC analyst training. By creating realistic scenarios and environments, information security departments can ensure that their personnel are prepared for any potential incident.

One way to simulate complex environments is to use virtual machines, such as VMware, to replicate different systems and networks. This allows for the creation of realistic network topologies, and the ability to simulate malicious software, such as malware, ransomware, and other malicious code. Additionally, virtual machines can be used to simulate IoT devices, such as security cameras, medical devices, and consumer products.

Another way to simulate complex environments is to use a sandboxed environment. This is a secure environment that can be used to test and evaluate suspicious code, such as malware, before it is deployed onto a real network. This allows for the detection and analysis of malicious code without risking any damage to a production environment.

Finally, many organizations use "red team" exercises to test their incident response capabilities. In these exercises, a "red team" of attackers attempts to breach the organization's defenses, while a "blue team" of defenders attempts to detect and respond to the attack. This allows for the practice of surgical response in a realistic environment.



What regulation covers risks that compromised medical devices might pose?

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) covers the risks that compromised medical devices might pose. HIPAA is a federal law that regulates the use, storage, and disclosure of protected health information. It requires organizations that handle medical information to maintain adequate security measures in order to protect the data. This includes ensuring that any medical devices that store or transmit data are secure and compliant with HIPAA regulations.

For example, if a medical device is compromised, the organization must take steps to identify the cause of the breach and ensure that the security of the device is restored. This includes implementing measures such as device authentication, encryption, and access control. Additionally, the organization must report the breach to the appropriate authorities in accordance with HIPAA regulations.

In order to protect against the risks posed by compromised medical devices, organizations must ensure that all medical devices are compliant with HIPAA regulations. This includes regularly monitoring and updating the security measures that are in place, as well as conducting regular risk assessments and audits. Additionally, organizations must ensure that all users of medical devices are properly trained in the use of the device and the security measures in place.

How can we increase organizational tolerance for precision over haste?

Organizations should strive to increase their tolerance for precision over haste by implementing a comprehensive information security program that includes regular risk assessments, incident response and security awareness training, and the use of automated tools to detect and respond to threats.



One example of this is the use of Intrusion Detection and Prevention Systems (IDPS) to detect and respond to malicious activity on a network. IDPS can be configured to alert security teams to suspicious activity, preventing any malicious actors from accessing the network before they can cause any damage.

Organizations should also create policies and procedures that require proper due diligence when responding to threats. This includes having personnel conduct a thorough investigation into the source of the threat, understanding the scope of the attack and its potential impact, and taking the appropriate steps to mitigate the risk.

Finally, organizations should invest in training their personnel on the latest security best practices, such as properly handling incidents, and review their processes regularly to ensure they are following the best practices. This will help ensure that personnel are not rushing through incidents without taking the time to properly investigate the source of the threat and take the necessary steps to mitigate it.

What safety procedures apply when detaining operational systems?

When detaining operational systems, incident responders and SOC analysts must adhere to the following safety procedures:

1. Understand the system: Before beginning any work on an operational system, it is essential to understand the system's architecture, data flows, and potential security threats. This understanding will help analysts to identify any suspicious activities and take the necessary steps to ensure the system's security.



- 2. Isolate the system: Before beginning any work on an operational system, it is important to isolate the system from any other systems or networks. This can be done by disconnecting the system from the network or physically removing it from the environment. This will prevent any malicious activities from spreading to other systems or networks.
- 3. Secure the system: Once the system is isolated, it is important to secure the system. This can be done by disabling any unnecessary services, changing default passwords, and ensuring that all necessary security patches are applied.
- 4. Monitor the system: Once the system has been secured, it is important to monitor the system for any suspicious activity. This can be done by using network security tools such as intrusion detection systems (IDS), firewalls, and antivirus software.
- 5. Document the system: Once the system has been secured and monitored, it is important to document the system. This includes taking screenshots, logging system events, and recording any changes made to the system. This documentation will be useful for future reference and to help identify any suspicious activities.

These safety procedures should be followed when detaining operational systems, especially in the context of IoT devices, in order to ensure the security of the system and any other systems or networks connected to it.



How can we accelerate precision response while retaining safety?

Precision response to an incident involving IoT devices requires a combination of efficient processes, up-to-date tools, and well-trained personnel. The key to accelerating precision response while maintaining safety is to ensure that the incident response team is adequately prepared to respond to the incident. This includes having the necessary resources, processes, and procedures in place to quickly identify, contain, and resolve the incident.

One way to accelerate precision response while maintaining safety is to have a clear and well-defined incident response plan. This plan should include steps for identification, containment, and resolution of the incident, as well as procedures for communicating with relevant stakeholders. This plan should be regularly reviewed and updated to ensure it is up-to-date and reflects the latest security best practices and technology.

Another way to accelerate precision response while maintaining safety is to have the necessary tools and resources available to quickly identify and contain the incident. This includes having up-to-date intrusion detection systems and endpoint protection software, as well as access to a variety of specialized tools for analyzing and responding to IoT device incidents. It is also important to have well-trained personnel who are familiar with the latest security best practices and technologies, and who are able to quickly and accurately respond to incidents.

It is important to have a well-defined process for communication between the incident responders, SOC analysts, and relevant stakeholders. This includes having a clear chain-of-command and a defined process for communication, as well as protocols for escalating incidents as needed. This will ensure that the incident



response team is able to quickly and accurately communicate the details of the incident to the appropriate stakeholders, allowing for a timely and precise response.

What insurance arrangements help cover potential disruption liabilities?

Insurance arrangements that help cover potential disruption liabilities related to IoT devices include cyber liability insurance. Cyber liability insurance can provide financial protection for organizations that experience a data breach or other cybersecurity incident. Cyber liability insurance can help cover the cost of notifying affected individuals, restoring reputation, and providing credit monitoring services. It can also cover the cost of legal defense, fines, and other costs associated with a data breach.

For example, a company that manufactures IoT devices may be able to purchase cyber liability insurance to cover the potential disruption liabilities associated with their products. If a breach or other cybersecurity incident occurs, the company may be able to use the insurance to pay for the cost of notifying affected individuals, providing credit monitoring services, and dealing with legal fees.

In addition to cyber liability insurance, organizations may also consider purchasing general liability insurance. General liability insurance can help cover the costs associated with a disruption caused by an IoT device, such as bodily injury or property damage caused by the device. For example, if an IoT device malfunctions and causes a fire, the company may be able to use the general liability insurance to cover any damages caused by the fire.



How might attackers exploit procedural gaps between security and operations teams?

Attackers may exploit procedural gaps between security and operations teams by taking advantage of the fact that these teams often have different goals and objectives and therefore may not be working together in the most effective way. For example, an attacker could take advantage of a lack of communication between security and operations teams by exploiting a vulnerability in an IoT device that security has identified but operations has not yet patched. In this case, the attacker could gain access to the device and use it to launch malicious activities. Another way attackers could exploit procedural gaps between security and operations teams is by targeting the different processes and procedures each team uses to manage and secure their systems. For example, attackers could target a vulnerability in an IoT device that is not addressed by the security team's patch management process but is addressed by the operations team's policy for updating firmware. By exploiting this gap in process, attackers could gain access to the device and use it for malicious activities.

How could adversarial AI escalate damage before containment?

Adversarial AI can cause significant damage to an organization before containment. AI-based attacks can be difficult to detect and can cause significant damage before they are identified and contained.

One example of adversarial AI escalating damage before containment is the use of AI-driven malware. Malware designed to use AI can rapidly adapt to its environment and evade detection, allowing it to stay active for longer periods of time and cause



more damage. Additionally, AI-driven malware can quickly spread and infect other devices, leading to further system compromises and data breaches.

Another example of adversarial AI escalating damage before containment is the use of AI-driven phishing attacks. Phishing attacks using AI can target specific victims, craft custom messages, and bypass traditional security measures. This allows the attacker to gain access to sensitive information and escalate the damage before containment.

Adversarial AI can be used to launch distributed denial-of-service (DDoS) attacks. DDoS attacks are large-scale attacks on networks or services that can cause significant damage to a system and disrupt operations. AI-driven DDoS attacks can be used to overwhelm a system with malicious traffic, making it difficult to identify and contain the attack.

What ethics guide managing risks posed by insecure IoT devices?

Ethics guide the way that information security departments manage risks posed by insecure IoT devices. As IoT devices become more and more integrated into our lives, the risks associated with them must be taken into consideration and managed appropriately.

The ethical obligation of incident responders and SOC analysts is to work to ensure the safety and security of users and their data. This means that they must be aware of potential risks posed by insecure IoT devices and take action to mitigate them. This includes understanding the potential threats posed by insecure IoT devices, such as malware, data breaches, and cyber-attacks, and taking steps to protect users from these threats.



For example, incident responders and SOC analysts should ensure that all IoT devices are scanned for vulnerabilities and patched regularly. They should also implement security protocols and policies to protect user data and prevent unauthorized access to devices. Additionally, they should work to educate users on the risks associated with insecure IoT devices and how to protect themselves.

Ultimately, incident responders and SOC analysts should strive to ensure that users are aware of the risks posed by insecure IoT devices and that they take action to protect themselves and their data. This is an ethical obligation that must be taken seriously in order to protect users from the risks posed by insecure IoT devices.

Case Studies

Stuxnet: A Surgical Strike Against Critical Infrastructure

Stuxnet was a highly sophisticated malware designed to target and disrupt Iranian nuclear processing facilities. This surgical attack showcased the vulnerabilities within critical infrastructure, highlighting the potential for malicious actors to manipulate industrial control systems (ICS) to achieve specific objectives. The incident underscored the necessity for robust security measures and the development of specialized tools to protect and monitor ICS environments.

NotPetya: A Wiper's Wrath Across Multinational Companies

The NotPetya attack in 2017 was a wiper malware that spread rapidly across multinational companies by compromising Operational Technology (OT) software dependencies. Unlike typical ransomware, its primary goal was destruction rather than extortion. The incident illustrated the intertwined nature of modern supply



chains and the potential for a single point of vulnerability to have cascading effects. It emphasized the importance of secure software development practices and comprehensive threat monitoring across interconnected systems.

WannaCry: A Debilitating Ransomware Outbreak

WannaCry was a ransomware outbreak in 2017 that caused widespread disruption, particularly within the medical technology sector. It affected various critical devices, including MRI machines and blood storage systems. The incident highlighted the vulnerabilities within healthcare technology, where outdated systems and lack of proper security measures can have life-threatening consequences. It spurred a reevaluation of cybersecurity practices within the healthcare sector, promoting the implementation of regular updates and stronger defense mechanisms.

TRITON: Targeting Industrial Safety Systems

TRITON is an Industrial Control System (ICS) attack framework specifically built to target Schneider Electric safety instrument systems. This highly specialized attack demonstrated the evolving nature of cyber threats and the potential for malicious actors to design attacks targeting specific hardware and software configurations. It brought attention to the need for specialized security measures within ICS environments, including regular security assessments, vendor collaboration, and the development of industry-specific security standards.

Conficker: The Persistent Worm in Legacy Systems

Conficker is a worm that, despite being over a decade old, continues to spread, particularly within legacy systems. Its continued existence highlights the challenges of removing infections from outdated systems and the risks associated with neglecting older technology. It serves as a reminder of the importance of lifecycle



management, including the timely retirement of unsupported systems, regular security updates, and continuous monitoring to detect and address lingering threats.

Ethical Considerations

1. Public Safety Versus Complete Threat Elimination:

The balance between ensuring public safety and completely eliminating threats is delicate. While aggressive security measures might eradicate potential threats, they can also disrupt essential services. Ethical considerations must guide decisions, weighing the importance of threat mitigation against potential impacts on public well-being, particularly in sectors like transportation, healthcare, and utilities.

2. Proportional Responses Balancing Security and Functionality:

Ethical responses to security incidents must be proportional, considering both the need for security and the preservation of system functionality. Overly aggressive responses might hinder operations, while lax measures may expose systems to risks. Striking the right balance requires a thoughtful approach that recognizes the interconnected nature of security, functionality, and organizational goals.

3. Transparency Around Device Risks:

Manufacturers and providers have an ethical obligation to be transparent about potential risks associated with their devices. Clear communication, accurate reporting, and openness about vulnerabilities foster trust and enable users to make informed decisions regarding risk management and mitigation.



4. Honoring Right to Repair/Modify Equipment:

The right to repair or modify equipment is a contentious issue, with implications for both security and consumer rights. Ethical considerations must guide policies, balancing the need to maintain device integrity against individuals' rights to modify or repair their own equipment.

5. Due Diligence Obligation to Prevent Negligence:

Organizations must exercise due diligence in preventing negligence in the design, deployment, and maintenance of IoT devices. Ethical considerations guide responsible practices, ensuring that devices are developed, deployed, and maintained with attention to potential risks, vulnerabilities, and compliance requirements.

6. Protecting Patient Lives Above All Else:

In healthcare, the ethical imperative to protect patient lives transcends all other considerations. Security measures must be implemented with the utmost care, ensuring that critical systems such as medical devices and patient records are safeguarded without compromising patient care and well-being.

7. Refusing to Weaponize Vulnerabilities Against Critical Systems:

The ethical refusal to weaponize vulnerabilities against critical systems reflects a commitment to responsible conduct. Security professionals must resist the temptation to exploit vulnerabilities for gain, recognizing the potential harm to public infrastructure and adhering to principles of integrity and social responsibility.



8. Responsible Disclosure to Protect Public Infrastructure:

Responsible disclosure of vulnerabilities is vital to protecting public infrastructure. Ethical considerations guide the process, ensuring that vulnerabilities are reported to relevant parties, allowing for timely remediation, and avoiding unnecessary public alarm or exploitation by malicious actors.

Conclusion

The Challenge of Interconnectivity

As we embrace an increasingly interconnected world, where IoT and OT devices are embedded within our critical infrastructure, a new paradigm of security challenges emerges. From manufacturing plants to public transportation systems, from healthcare facilities to energy grids, the fabric of modern society is woven with digital threads.

These connected devices offer unprecedented efficiency and innovation but also introduce vulnerabilities that can be exploited by malicious actors. The consequences of a breach can ripple across systems, disrupting essential services and endangering public safety.

The CISO's Balancing Act

For CISOs and security professionals, the task of protecting this complex landscape is akin to a high-wire balancing act. On one hand, there is the imperative to respond swiftly and decisively to threats, employing surgical precision to investigate and neutralize vulnerabilities. On the other hand, there is the equally compelling need to



maintain critical functionality, ensuring that essential services continue uninterrupted.

This balance between response precision and operational continuity is not merely a technical challenge but an ethical obligation. It requires a nuanced understanding of the interconnected nature of systems and a recognition of the broader societal implications of security decisions.

Advanced Inspection Tools and Duty of Care-Driven Policies

Navigating this delicate balance requires a combination of advanced inspection tools and duty of care-driven policies. Tools such as network traffic analyzers, malware sandboxes, and endpoint detection platforms enable detailed investigation without disrupting ongoing operations.

These tools must be complemented by policies that reflect a commitment to responsible conduct and a recognition of the broader obligations to society. Policies must guide actions, ensuring that responses are proportional, transparent, and aligned with both organizational goals and public interests.

Neutralizing Threats While Respecting Availability Needs

The ultimate goal is to create a security posture that allows defenders to neutralize threats while respecting the availability needs essential to public-facing infrastructure. This involves a collaborative approach, engaging stakeholders across sectors, sharing threat intelligence, and fostering a culture of security awareness.

It's about creating a security ecosystem that recognizes the unique challenges of IoT and OT environments, where every device is a potential gateway, every connection a



potential vulnerability, and every response a potential impact on the very fabric of our interconnected lives.

References

- 1. Early attacks focused on consumer IoT allowing aggressive response[1]
- 2. ICS and medical devices necessitated precision to maintain operations[3]
- 3. Lack of visibility into proprietary environments hindered response[1]
- 4. Legal obligations cover managing risks of critical infrastructure[1]
- 5. Tactical containment, tapping, sandboxing avoid disruption[4]
- 6. Credential rotation, protocol decoding inspect devices surgically[4]
- 7. Virtualization isolates malware from operational systems[4]
- 8. Duty of care principles guide balancing security and availability
- 9. CISOs oversee managing device risks to meet public obligations
- 10. Simulations build skills for safe precision incident response

Sources:

https://blog.checkpoint.com/security/the-tipping-point-exploring-the-surge-in-iot-cyberattacks-plaguing-the-education-sector/

3. https://thesai.org/Publications/ViewPaper?Code=IJACSA&Issue=3&SerialNo=49&Volume=9



- 4. https://payatu.com/blog/iot-attacks-and-vulnerabilities/
- 8. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167404821002317
- 10. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S240545262100323X

Citations

[1]

https://blog.checkpoint.com/security/the-tipping-point-exploring-the-surge-in-iot-cyberattacks-pla guing-the-education-sector/

- [2] https://socradar.io/common-iot-attacks-that-compromise-security/
- [3] https://thesai.org/Publications/ViewPaper?Code=IJACSA&Issue=3&SerialNo=49&Volume=9
- [4] https://payatu.com/blog/iot-attacks-and-vulnerabilities/
- [5] https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9343051
- [6] https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0167404823000068

Hashtags

- #IoTSecurity #OperationalTechnology #CISOs #CriticalInfrastructure
- #ResponsibleDisclosure #PublicSafety #ThreatNeutralization #EndpointDetection
- #NetworkAnalysis #MalwareSandboxing #PrecisionResponse
- #IndustrialCybersecurity #EthicalConsiderations #RightToRepair #DueDiligence
- #HealthcareSecurity #EnergyGridProtection #TransportationSecurity
- #ManufacturingSecurity #ProportionalResponse #SecurityTools
- #TransparencyInTech #AvailabilityNeeds #VirtualizedEnvironments



