

Questions For Our New Clinton County Sheriff

RE: Doug Eastes's death investigations of Andy Napier and Casey Pitzer

Clinton County Sheriff Doug Eastes,

I am glad we had a chance to talk the other day at the Clinton County Republican Central Committee meeting. However, I do wish I could have obtained some logical and legitimate answers from you. The reasonable questions that I have been asking you are on behalf of the Pitzer and Napier families who I have tagged in this email. Hear our recent conversation here:

 [Talk w/Doug Eastes about the Casey Pitzer and Andy Napier cases - 9_16_24](#)

In our conversation, you bring up my mental health multiple times and it appears to be a way of deflecting and changing the subject. The subject being, your suspicious death investigations and your questionable and unexplained conclusions. You bring up other things about me, when the fact is, these questions and concerns are not about me at all. This is about the deceased people and how they actually died. Death investigations in which you, our incoming sheriff, conducted and investigated the suspicious deaths of Andy Napier (2019) and Casey Pitzer (2013). I am approaching you as our public official in a civil, professional, and respectable manner to obtain answers for the families of the deceased. I would like to follow up our conversation with some documented questions, valid concerns, a bunch of witnesses, and facts. I do this as the families' legal power of attorney agent who has Next of Kin rights for both the Napier and Pitzer cases. I have approached you, as the legal POA agent for two disabled citizens, Faith Mclemore (Andy Napier's daughter) and Greg Pitzer (Casey Pitzer's father), asking you some very serious, specific, and reasonable questions regarding your cold cases-closed death investigations. You, as an involved experienced investigator, should have no qualms speaking about your determinations, your "public" work product, and explaining your conclusions to the public. Especially when it is for the grieving families of the deceased, who want and deserve answers, closure, truth, and justice. You could provide the families with some closure right now by simply answering the very reasonable questions being asked of you. There is nothing within the questions and concerns below that a good, honest, and confident investigator couldn't and wouldn't easily answer and address. A good sheriff would always address the family of the deceased's valid concerns. A good sheriff would want all of his deputies and staff (who are tagged in this email) to see that you act with integrity and not with deceit, deflection, and cowardice regarding questions about your very own death investigations.

If you would, as our incoming sheriff and confident investigator, for your staff and the public's sake, and on behalf of the deceased's families, please address the questions about your closed death investigations. Please answer these reasonable questions and address the valid concerns listed below that are being brought to your direct attention about your detective work.

1. In the Andy Napier Case, detective Doug Eastes, did you ever once consider analyzing the forensic evidence present, like the blood flow that can be seen dried all over Andy Napier's head? Weren't you taught and trained that forensic evidence is some of the most critical, powerful, and conclusive evidence that can be collected by an investigator? See *GRAPHIC* exhibits of Andy Napier's "forensic evidence/blood flow" here. Does this look like a drug induced heart attack?

[Andy Napier Evidence & Presentation 1](#)

Why was a forensic expert not called in by yourself and the sheriff's office, to analyze the forensic evidence, the blood flow, as seen in the graphic photos? The blood that is coming from the wounds on Andy Napier's head. Why was a forensic analysis not obtained?

2. In the Napier case, you talk about the police body cam video of the Andy Napier death-in-custody incident being released to the family almost a month into your in-custody-death investigation. Hear the audio of the phone call discussing "the body cam video" with Andy's sister Holly here:

[Phone Call Det. Doug Eastes Discussing Body Cam Video](#)

You even wrote about the police body cam video being released in your final work product, your police narrative report. See police report here on bottom of page 23:

[Marvin Napier Sheriff's Report](#)

Can you please explain why you wrote about and spoke about a police body cam video being released to the family, if no such video ever existed? Aren't police reports and conversations with families supposed to contain only facts and truth? Not make-believe videos that don't exist? Aren't detectives supposed to find, learn, and report only the facts?

Wouldn't a seasoned veteran detective like yourself know whether a police body cam video existed of an in-custody-death, within the first hour of your very own death investigation?

Colonel Brian Prickett stated in an email that the sheriff's office has never had police body cameras, so why would you even talk and write about a non-existent police body cam that has never existed within your 20+ year work history? Have you mentioned police body camera videos that don't exist and other non-existent things in your other reports? Please explain this situation and why things that don't exist are being mentioned in the report, so the family can gain some understanding.

3. In our recorded conversation about the Napier case, you stated that you had the witnesses' written statements. The family is curious why your investigation included audio and video recorded interview videos of your police officers, but there are no video or audio recordings of the eye-witnesses that Andy Napier had with him, who were Matt Fulton, Lacey Kirby, and Roger Tucker. Why do audio and video recorded interviews with the involved police officers exist, but not video or audio recorded interviews of the deceased's eye-witnesses that were with Andy? Is that protocol? Can you please elaborate and differentiate why one side gets to have detective-led video and audio recorded eye-witness interviews, but the other side only gets written statements, which are claimed to be forged?

Am I really the only person who has recorded the audio of the "other" eye-witnesses? Here is what two of the witnesses had to say.

Lacey Kirby: 📻 [Audio_03_31_2021_14_18_47 Napier Witness Lacey.mp3.mp4](#)

Roger Tucker: 📻 [Eye Witness Roger Tucker Audio Testimony](#)

Why don't these audio statements of the witnesses match with your hand written statements that all three of the eye witnesses claim are forged? **(See Link @ 1. Eye Witness Screenshots on page 20)** Why don't the audio statements of the eye-witnesses match the stories being told by your officers?

4. In the Napier case, Andy's brother Pete Napier filled out an affidavit claiming that his brother Andy Napier was an informant for CCSO and was working directly with you. See Pete Napier's sworn affidavit here:

📄 [Andy Napier's brother Pete Napier's Sworn Affidavit and Exhibits](#)

As sheriff, do you think it is ethical for you or the sheriff's office, to investigate the in-custody-death of your very own informants (CI)?

5. Pete Napier also claims that you strip searched him INSIDE of a vehicle while he was unsuspected of a crime and after you asked for his help. He even filled out the affidavit in the link above explaining his side and the details of his disturbing accusations. What is your side of the story Doug? Do you have any work product, reports, statements, or notes explaining your involvement with this alleged incident? Hear description of incident here: 📻 [Audio: Andy Napier's brother Pete Napier Explaining Incident w/Doug Eastes](#)

In our conversation you mentioned that, "I said that" about the strip search, but the fact is, Pete Napier, Andy Napier's brother said that in his sworn affidavit. He signed his name to it.

Pete Napier claims you made him pull his pants down and searched his genitals inside of a vehicle. Aren't strip searches typically done elsewhere Doug? Can you explain what

happened with Pete Napier and why you searched his genitals inside of a car? Was he suspected of a crime? Please explain.

6. **Mythbuster:** I know it has been said that the Ohio Attorney General Special Prosecutor's Office investigated the case and that no crimes were committed. But the fact is, in a meeting in Columbus (that I recorded) with the Ohio Attorney General Special Prosecutor's Office, AG prosecutor Drew Wood told us he would "send the evidence (of crimes) back to the county prosecutor's office." But that never happened. Prosecutor Drew Wood was referring to the evidence of crimes that were committed in regards to the Andy Napier incident. Hear Prosecutor Drew Wood Audio Clip Here: [!\[\]\(a22ba4e13c745edbf29e51af246c4c12_img.jpg\) Prosecutor Drew Wood says he will send evidence of crimes back to Clinton County.](#)

I do not want to provide "tid bits" so here is the complete audio of the 8/2/2022 meeting at AG prosecutor's office in Columbus (link takes a while to load):

[!\[\]\(dfbd6b3763a6d1d9afaa974f64e2e4b5_img.jpg\) Audio of AG Special Prosecutors meeting 8_8_22](#)

Oddly, within AG prosecutor Drew Wood's attorney work product, he stated as one of his only 4 listed tasks: **"To consider a 2nd opinion of cause of death, review of autopsy"**. But that never happened either, Drew Wood concluded his AG review based on the same doctor's opinion that determined that Andy Napier died of a drug-induced-heart attack. It is obvious that none of the wounds on Andy's face appear to be heart-related or drug-induced.

See AG Prosecutor Drew Wood's Attorney work product, his "To Do List" that he created here: [!\[\]\(23d9fc146e83b5c3013cfa32c784f8d5_img.jpg\) AG Prosecutor Drew Wood's "To Do List"](#)

On Drew Wood's "To Do List", at task #1, he wrote "to record witnesses", but that never happened either (good thing I recorded them). The AG's office concluded their review, as can be heard in the recorded meeting, based on the same doctor's opinion that the family had named in their lawsuit, which is Dr. Susan Brown. See family Lawsuit here:

[!\[\]\(ec9132f1d27c8919987d92907322654d_img.jpg\) Complaint.pdf](#)

Dr. Brown is the same doctor that you and Bob Gates drove to Dayton to speak with about "pepper spray and tasers" regarding Andy's death. Dr. Susan Brown, who the family is pointing the finger at, gave the first, second, and the only opinion on the cause of Andy Napier's death. Even though the Attorney General's Special Prosecutor Drew Wood's "To do list" stated, "To consider a 2nd opinion on cause of death/review of autopsy," a second opinion on the cause of death was never obtained or provided by Drew Wood.

Doug, do you have anything that you would like to add here?

7. **Mythbuster:** With the Napier case, you mentioned in our recorded conversation that Faith, "Got beat in court". Let's add some context to this and let everyone know that NO

merits and NO evidence was ever considered or ruled upon by a judge or jury in the dismissal ruling. It was a case that Faith McLemore tried to push through court by herself. Her case was dismissed only because she is not an attorney, did not serve the papers properly, made clerical errors, and because there were missing signatures on documents. That is the only reason the case was dismissed, the ruling is public and accessible online. No accusations of cover-up have ever been disproved by you or any evidence. Read Appellate Court's Conclusion on page 25: [McLemore v. Clinton Cty. Sheriff's Office](#)

8. In our conversation regarding Andy Napier, you stated, "We paid him (the forensic scientist) to say whatever we wanted." The fact is, we were told by Wilmington city law director Brett Rudduck that we needed to raise the necessary funds, hire a forensic expert, to gain an opinion in order to get a new investigation and the case "reopened." Brett stated that he would "proceed" if we could provide legitimate probable cause (an expert's opinion), which we did. **(See Law Director Brett Rudduck's comments in the link @ 1. Page 12-16)**  **Andy Napier Evidence & Presentation 1**

The most qualified and credible opinion in the entire case, regarding forensic evidence, forensic expert Erich Speckin says that your officers told "demonstrable" lies about how the bloody head wounds occurred on Andy Napier's head the night he died in custody of your officer(s).

Hear renowned forensic scientist Erich Speckin's phone call audio here:

 [Phone Call and Opinion of Scientist Erich Speckin](#)

See forensic scientist's resume here:  [4n6.com's Erich Speckin's Resume](#)

Website info:

<https://4n6.com/about/erich-j-speckin-forensic-document-analyst-ink-dating-specialist/>

In our conversation, you were claiming Mr. Speckin was a fraud, that he was being accused of being paid off by people. He did an analysis in 2020 regarding the election, but please note the facts, the report, and the government's response in this news article: [Erich Speckin's Forensic Analysis of Maricopa County Ballots – US 2020 Election Fraud at a Glance](#)

As I requested in our conversation, could you please forward the evidence that you have personally collected or viewed proving that forensic scientist Erich Speckin is a fraud? I challenge you for a second time to do that. Sheriff, do you believe that forensic scientist, Erich Speckin of 4n6.com, is wrong when he stated your officers told "demonstrably false" lies? Please explain how you believe he is wrong.

9. In our conversation, you accuse me of not providing everything to the scientist, Mr. Speckin. The fact is, we provided everything that CCSO and the coroner's office

provided to us. He said having more information would be helpful, we feel the same exact way too. Why did CCSO withhold information and evidence regarding Andy Napier and why is CCSO currently withholding the subpoenaed cell phone records that we know and can prove do exist, in the Casey Pitzer case? Records that you and Bob Gates were responsible for obtaining. See the document created by Major Prickett, that specifically lists your assigned duties as CCSO detectives in the Casey Pitzer investigation, in this link: [PDF Report of Investigation \(3\).PDF](#)

In our recorded conversation, you stated, I wasn't "accurate" when I said that you and Bob were responsible for obtaining the phone records in the Pitzer case. The document above proves what I said.

We know that the phone records of the suspects existed. The exhibits below prove the suspect's phone records were subpoenaed and did in fact exist. See link here:

[PDF Greg Pitzer Affidavit, Sheriff's Office Subpoena, & Exhibits](#)

Out of 100 murder cases, how many times do you subpoena the phone records of the murder suspects? 100 out of 100 times?

As sheriff, the family would like to ask you where the missing phone records are, that you and your counterpart Bob Gates were responsible for collecting and preserving. By law, those records that were subpoenaed should still be preserved.

10. Regarding the Casey Pitzer Case, here are some facts about your involvement in the case. At first when asked about your involvement in the case, you stated "I had nothing to do with that case", Hear audio here:

[Audio_02_04_2023 Doug Eastes Nothing to do with that case.mp3.mp4](#)

In our recent recorded conversation, you changed your statement, stating that you had a "minimal" involvement in the case. But the truth is that on March 20th, 2013, lead detective Josh Riley reached out to your agency, the Clinton County Sheriff's Office, to assist in the 2013 Casey Pitzer investigation. Josh Riley said he was busy at "the pond" and Major Prickett assigned "All of his detectives (Eastes/Gates/Leasher) to interview people and collect phone records," as seen in Major Prickett's document.

[PDF Report of Investigation \(3\).PDF](#)

In Bob Gates' report, he states that you, him, and another detective (who is deceased), "familiarize themselves with the information". See Bob Gates report here:

[PDF Report of Investigation.PDF](#)

11. Also on March 20th 2013, the suspects, Mike Hartley and Brandon Reed, both failed their lie detector tests (one was inconclusive, one deceptive). See polygraph results here: [Suspect Failed Polygraph/Lie Detector Results](#)

You and your counterpart, Bob Gates, who were requested to assist Wilmington, were assigned with “interviewing” the involved witnesses. But never asked a single question to the witnesses, who became suspects, after they both failed their polygraph tests. Is there a reason why you and Bob Gates, as experienced investigators, who were assigned with “questioning” witnesses, collectively asked NO questions to the suspects who failed their polygraph tests?

Bob Gates claims Casey only had a small bruise on her right thigh. But the fact is, other undocumented injuries can be seen on her neck, hand, and body. Injuries that appear consistent with “foul play” that Bob Gates claimed he was looking for, but in his report, he only reported “one” small bruise on her right thigh.

On March the 20th, a sonar image that showed Casey Pitzer’s body was in the pond was discovered and was labeled with a “rope and milkcrate”. See image here:

■ [Side Imaging Sonar Showing Foreign Objects Near Casey's Body in Pond \(Rope ...](#)

To summarize, on March 20th, 2013, you and Bob Gates were familiarized with the case. You knew the suspects failed their polygraph tests. You knew a “body, rope, and milk crate” was found and labeled on a sonar image. After being familiarized with the case details, you and Bob Gates, as the assigned veteran CCSO investigators tasked with questioning and interviewing the involved, asked a total number of zero questions to the suspects who were last seen with her and who failed their polygraph tests. Could you explain, why after you and the sheriff’s office became involved, that zero questions were asked to the most important witnesses and suspects in the entire case?

12. According to the documents I provided above in the links, and within the case information, there was much more than a “minimal involvement” by our sheriff’s office in the Casey Pitzer case. Even Sheriff Fizer Jr. downplays the CCSO’s involvement, also calling it “minimal”. But the fact is, the sheriff’s office was responsible for much more than a “minimal role” after being tasked with interviewing the subjects, collecting phone records, providing the only known on-scene death report, and traveling to Dayton to speak with the coroner. All of which are very critical and important roles with any case, not just minimal ones. If you disagree, could you please explain how such roles would only be classified as “minimal roles”?

13. In the recent Clinton County Big Buck investigation and prosecution by ODNR, phone records were used primarily to solve an investigation. See article here: [CJ Alexander Pleads Guilty to 14 Charges Related to Poaching Giant Ohio Buck | Outdoor Life](#)

As a detective and soon-to-be County Sheriff, do you think collecting, analyzing, and preserving the phone records in a case is a critical role? We know that to be true

according to the recent Clinton County “dead deer” case that the phone records played a critical role. Wouldn’t that be considered the same in a missing persons/murder investigation of a mother of two? How could it be considered and play a key and critical role in the “dead deer” case investigation, but only be considered “minimal” involvement in the death investigation of a human being?

14. In our recent recorded conversation, you stated that you interviewed “a guy and then some other guys.” In the Casey Pitzer report, there is only one statement obtained by you, from “one guy” in the police report. Could you please tell the family who the “other guys” are that you mentioned speaking with? What was said in the talk with these “other guys” you mention? Since no statements of these “other guys” have been provided to the family, can you please enlighten everyone?

15. Other alarming facts about the Casey Pitzer case include: Casey’s biological evidence, her clothes, underwear, shirt, socks, bra, and hoodie were all destroyed just a couple days after the suspect’s failed the polygraph tests because the evidence “smelled bad”, see 2022 report here: [Suspect Failed Polygraph/Lie Detector Results](#)

Just over 5 years after Casey Pitzer’s murder investigation, her rape kit along with 77 pages of other evidence, was ordered to be destroyed during the midst of the Attorney General’s Investigation into the Wilmington Police Department’s sex scandal, see page 15-16 highlighted in yellow: [2019 WPD Destruction List Property.pdf](#)

Three times now, when Greg and I go to inspect and copy of his daughter’s records that are on file in our county office, the police are called on us. See videos in this link: [#JusticeForCaseyPitzer \(@justiceforcaseypitzer\) | TikTok](#)

Additional evidence regarding Casey Pitzer’s death can be found here:

[Casey Pitzer Justice File](#)

Additional evidence regarding Andy Napier’s death can be found here:

[Marvin “Andy” Napier Justice File: A Murder Cover Up](#)

16. Many members of the family want to know was/is the suspect in the Casey Pitzer case, Mr. Reed an informant of yours?

CCSO’s former tow truck driver states that he knows you, Doug. He states that you and your buddies cover things up. He stated that you should have gotten busted for something you did, “7 mother FN years ago”. He told me that in 2020, Casey died in 2013, I think I know what incident he was referring to. Hear Audio of CCSO’s former tow truck driver here: [CCSO’s Former Tow Truck Driver RE: Doug Eastes \(2020\)](#)

17. In our conversation, I asked you if our sheriff's office was going to get body cameras for our officers, you became uncertain and confused-acting, stating, "I don't know." Then I asked you if you believe in accountability and you confidently in the next breath stated "absolutely."

Don't you think getting police body cameras for the sheriff's office should be a priority when considering transparency, accountability, integrity, and the safety and protection of our officers and the public? Don't you think body cameras would provide some accountability that you confidently said "absolutely" to? Do you believe in transparency, Doug Eastes? If you do, then you can prove it by providing legitimate answers to the grieving families that have been holding onto their unanswered reasonable questions for too long.

Doug, if you as an investigator cannot explain your own death investigation results and cover the specific details of the cases that you investigated, then who should provide the answers and truths to the grieving families? Do you think that no answers or truth should be provided to the grieving families asking reasonable questions? Please explain your logic here.

You made it clear to me in our recent conversation that 100 people's opinions, out of our entire community, mean little to nothing to you. You scoffed and laughed when I mentioned "100 people". Since 100 people don't mean anything to you, I went ahead and tagged 100+ Clinton County citizens and local officials in this email, which includes your entire CCSO staff, as I want them to see the facts and know the truth. Hopefully, they will compare that to what you have been telling them. I think it is important for your staff especially, to see your answers and the approach you will take regarding these **"elephants in your room."** Since 100 people's thoughts are nothing to you, I went ahead and tagged all 88 Ohio County Sheriffs, many other law enforcement officials, and union leaders in this email so they too can see what type of policing the citizens are dealing with here in Clinton County. We are hoping that those who swore an oath to our Constitution and to uphold the law will put a stop to the unconstitutional policing taking place in Clinton County. We hope any law enforcement officials tagged in this email will report the wrongdoing they recognize to the appropriate agencies (FBI/DOJ). Email Special Agent Nathan Holbrook at ncholbrook@fbi.gov. I tagged another 100+ news media agencies and podcasters in this email as well. Maybe one day, they too will have some "reasonable" questions for you. Will you continue to ignore, evade, deflect, lie, and run from the simple questions being asked about your death investigations? Questions that are being asked on behalf of grieving families? Or will you continue changing the subject, while telling me and everyone else that I have "mental issues"? Do the other 3900+ citizens who signed a petition mean anything to you Doug? Do you believe the thousands who signed the petition all have "mental health issues" too? See petition here: [Petition · Re-Open & Re-Investigate the 2013 Casey Pitzer Suspicious Drowning Case \(Wilmington, Ohio\) - United States · Change.org](#).

Doug, our elected officials are elected to "answer the hard questions" for the families of the deceased, not to run and hide from the questions. Good elected officials do not deflect like a crook and a coward would. Our County Sheriffs are supposed to stand tall against crime and

corruption, while being transparent with the people. So please, Sheriff Eastes, address the elephants in our county for the sake of the families of the deceased, the integrity within our sheriff's office. Do it for the respect of your own deputies, staff members, and your constituents that put their vote, trust, and faith into you. Please do it for the over 3900+ citizens who have signed the petition to reopen/reinvestigate the suspicious death of Casey Pitzer. Please do it for the sake of your very own work and reputation. Please show everyone that you are a confident sheriff who can back-up your own investigations with facts and answers. Please prove that you can explain your conclusions and have confidence in your investigations by simply answering and addressing the families' questions and concerns that are listed above.

Thanks in advance for finally providing the families and the public with some legitimate answers,
Darrell

P.S. I write this on behalf, with the permission of Faith McLemore and Greg Pitzer, who are tagged in this email. See Luke 8:17.