Belonging, Academic Rigor, and Conceptual Change Pedagogies: High Student Engagement & Learning

Summary: Four strategies for belonging, rigor, and Conceptual Change

- 1. Conceptual focus, connecting concepts to prior knowledge or future career
- 1. Active learning
- 2. **Emotional engagement:** reduce negative emotions, stimulate positive emotions
- 3. **High expectations** coupled with scaffolding

Belonging

Belonging is an affective, holistic sense that is necessary prior to higher-level thinking; thus, if a student does not feel they belong or worse, experiencing bias or microagressions, they will not be able to effectively learn.

Overwhelming evidence shows that emotion, motivation, and attention directly influence the amount and quality of learning.

- Emotion facilitates deeper learning
 - Emotion facilitates cognitive processing (Plass and Kalyuga, 2019; Micciche, 2007)
 - Emotion helps facilitate self-efficacy (Plass and Kalyuga, 2019; Garcia & deCaso, 2006)

- Emotions are interpreted (Eynde, Corte, & Verschaffel, 2006; Hoemann & Feldman Barrett, 2019). This means in some contexts, we need to help students re-assess common learning experiences (e.g., what "failure" means)
- o Capturing attention keeps the mind engaged (Lang, 2020; Quinlan, 2019)
- Negative emotions close off cognitive processing
 - Stereotype threat or lack of belonging (García & de Caso, 2006; Dewsbury & Brame, 2019)
 - Anxiety (Cavanaugh, Moeller, 2021; Plass & Kalyuga, 2019)
- Classroom belonging improves performance: self-efficacy, engagement, and motivation (Kirby & Thomas, 2022; Dewsbury & Brame, 2019). The positive effects of belonging are even stronger in non-majority students or other at-risk student populations.
- Non-majority students' sense of belonging improves with structured collaborative learning, not from informal in-class peer connections (Meeuwisse, Severiens & Born, 2010).
- Classroom climate improves belonging and reduces loneliness (Gizier, 2019; Zumbrunn, McKim, Buhs, & Hawley, 2014; Gilken, & Johnson, 2019)

Rigor

- 1. Create academic challenge that is equity-based: Campbell, Dortch, & Burt (2018) express a broad definition of rigor: "academic challenge that supports learning and growth in students" and focuses on deep learning (p. 16). They then expand upon that understanding to define rigor as: "deep, inquiry-based and equity-based learning that sufficiently challenges and encourages all students to achieve their full potential, including both academic and broader development. In this way, we examine the process of learning that is rigorous—a learning process that questions modern problems and power structures (p. 16). Dwyer and Cardamone add flexibility as a core component of rigor, in support of equity-based approaches to learning.
- 2. Focus students on deep learning: Wyse and Soneral define rigor as: "learning meaningful content, with higher-order thinking, at the appropriate level of expectation in a given context" (Draeger et al., 2013, p. 268), leading to ownership of one's learning (Bain, 2004). This definition can be broken down into four components: 1) learners engage in higher-level cognitive processes (Payne et al., 2005); 2) learners transfer concepts and content from scale or subdiscipline or between problems (Prosser and Trigwell, 1999); 3) learners engage in meaningful content (Jensen, 2005; Draeger et al., 2013); and 4) learners have appropriate levels of challenge and support (i.e., attainable expectations; Sanford, 1962; Graham and Essex, 2001)."

<u>Rigor means</u> academic challenge with flexible support, creating the context for students' deep learning of meaningful content.

Four characteristics of an academically rigorous course

1) Meaningful content, focusing on concepts

- a) Lower-level non-major courses focus on the larger, general concepts and how those concepts connect to students' lives.
- b) Upper-level courses include links between concepts and threshold concepts and on disciplinary meaning-making, deeper questions of why, or how the course prepares students for graduate school or careers.
- c) Strong student-faculty connection

2) High expectations for all students

- a) Mastery learning
- b) Higher-level reading and writing expectations along with scaffolding, clarity, and transparency (no "assumptions" built into assessments)
- c) Feedback on formative assessments to equip all students to meet summative assessments
- d) Higher student workload—books and articles
- e) Professor support

3) Active learning

- a) Connecting prior knowledge to current concepts
- b) Equipping students to take ownership of their learning
- c) Collaboratively solving problems
- d) Full engagement of mind, emotions—appeal to interests
- e) Highlighting the threshold concept experience—dissonance, shift in identity
- f) Build habits of mind

4) Higher-order thinking

- a) Analysis or synthesis; compare/contrast
- b) Argue positions, taking opposing perspectives or multiple perspectives
- c) Meta-cognition

d) Creating structures, organizing frameworks

Effect of rigor: increase in self-efficacy and motivation (Culver, Braxton, Pascarella, 2019).

Conceptual Focus Pedagogies lead to students' deep approaches to learning

- Shift from transmission pedagogies to conceptual change pedagogies:
 - <u>Transmission-focused teaching</u> causes sudents' surface approach to studying: students tend to memorize information for a test and only engage in work that is on the test since students view learning as facts being transmitted from the teacher to the student.
 - o <u>Learning-focused teaching</u> causes a moderately engaged approach to studying.
 - o <u>Conceptual-change focused teaching</u> causes deep-learning approaches by students.

Conceptual-change pedagogy

"This approach is one in which the teacher adopts a student-focused strategy with the intention of *helping students not only develop but also change their conceptions* of the phenomena they are investigating. The focus of student activity is on *students' restructuring their current world view* by interacting with subject material in a way that challenges their currently held conceptions, so that they restructure and change these conceptions" (Prosser, p. 786).

Applying best practices in rigor, belonging, and conceptual-change learning

Four strategies for belonging, rigor, and conceptual-change learning

- 2. Conceptual focus, connecting concepts to prior knowledge or future career
- 4. Active learning
- 5. **Emotional engagement:** reduce negative emotions, stimulate positive emotions
- 6. High expectations coupled with scaffolding

References

- Adler-Kassner, L., J. Majewski, and D. Koshnick (2012). "The Value of troublesome knowledge: Transfer and threshold concepts in writing and history." *Composition Forum*, *26*, Fall, 1-17.
- Arvanitakis, J. (2014). Massification and the large lecture theatre: from panic to excitement. Higher Education, 67, 735-745.
- Bain, K. and R. J. Bass (2011). "Threshold concepts of teaching and learning that transform faculty practice (and the limits of individual change)." *Transforming undergraduate education: Theory that compels and practices that succeed,* Ed. Donald W. Harward, Rowman & Littlefield, 2011, pp. 159-174.
- Bowman, N. A., & Culver, K. C. (2018). Promoting equity and student learning: Rigor in undergraduate academic experiences. *New Directions for Higher Education*, *181*, 47-57.
- Braxton, J. M., & Francis, C. H. (2018). The influence of academic rigor on factors related to college student persistence. New Directions for Higher Education, 2018(181), 73-87.
- Campbell, C. M. (2018). Future directions for rigor in the changing higher education landscape. *New Directions for Higher Education*, 2018(181), 97-102.
- Campbell, C. M., & Dortch, D. (2018). Reconsidering academic rigor: Posing and supporting rigorous course practices at two research institutions. *Teachers College Record*, *120*(5), 1-42.
- Campbell, C. M., Dortch, D., & Burt, B. A. (2018). Reframing rigor: A modern look at challenge and support in higher education. *New Directions for Higher Education*, 2018(181), 11-23.
- Cavanagh, S. R. (2019). How to make your teaching more engaging. The Chronicle of Higher Education, 17.
- Chimbi, G. T., & Jita, L. C. (2021). Resurgence of Large Class Sizes and Pedagogical Reform in 21st Century Secondary School History Classrooms. *Research in Social Sciences and Technology*, *6*(3), 45-63.
- Copridge, K. W., Uttamchandani, S., & Birdwell, T. (2021). Faculty reflections of pedagogical transformation in active learning classrooms. *Innovative Higher Education*, *46*(2), 205-221.
- Culver, K. C., Braxton, J. M., & Pascarella, E. T. (2021). What we talk about when we talk about rigor: Examining conceptions of academic rigor. *The Journal of Higher Education*, *92*(7), 1140-1163.
- Danker, B. (2015). Using flipped classroom approach to explore deep learning in large classrooms. *IAFOR Journal of Education*. *3*(1), 171-186.
- Dewsbury, B., & Brame, C. J. (2019). Inclusive teaching. CBE—Life Sciences Education, 18(2), fe2.
- Draeger, J., del Prado Hill, P., Hunter, L. R., & Mahler, R. (2013). The anatomy of academic rigor: The story of one institutional journey. *Innovative Higher Education*, 38(4), 267-279.
- Draeger, J., del Prado Hill, P., & Mahler, R. (2015). Developing a student conception of academic rigor. *Innovative Higher Education*, 40(3), 215-228.

- Dwyer, H., & Cardamone, C. (2021). Balancing Flexibility and Rigor to Advance Equity in Course Design. Teaching@ Tufts. https://sites. tufts. edu/teaching/2021/09/03/balancing-flexibility-and-rigor-to-advance-equity-in-course-design.
- Erbil, D. G. (2020). A review of flipped classroom and cooperative learning method within the context of Vygotsky theory. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*, 1157.
- Eynde, P. O. T., Corte, E. D., & Verschaffel, L. (2006). "Accepting emotional complexity": A socio-constructivist perspective on the role of emotions in the mathematics classroom. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 63, 193-207.
- Ferran, A. S. & Anderson, C. B. (2016). Integrative learning: Making liberal education purposeful, personal, and practical. In *New Directions for teaching and learning. 45*, 33-40. Wiley Periodicals.
- García, J. N., & de Caso, A. M. (2006). Changes in Writing Self-Efficacy and Writing Products and Processes through Specific Training in the Self-Efficacy Beliefs of Students with Learning Disabilities. *Learning Disabilities: A Contemporary Journal*, 4(2), 1-27.
- Gizir, S. (2019). The sense of classroom belonging among pre-service teachers: Testing a theoretical model. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 8(1), 87-97.
- Haskell, R. (2001). "Coda: Deep-context teaching for transfer." *Transfer of learning: Cognition, instruction, and reasoning*. Ed. Robert E. Haskell. San Diego, Academic Press, 2001, 123-124.
- Hoemann, K., & Feldman Barrett, L. (2019). Concepts dissolve artificial boundaries in the study of emotion and cognition, uniting body, brain, and mind. *Cognition and emotion*, *33*(1), 67-76.
- Holec, V., & Marynowski, R. (2020). Does it matter where you teach? Insights from a quasi-experimental study of student engagement in an active learning classroom.
- Hourigan, K. L. (2013). Increasing Student Engagement in Large Classes: The ARC Model of Application, Response, and Collaboration. *Teaching Sociology*, *41*(4), 353–359. http://www.jstor.org/stable/43187370
- Huber, M. T. & Hutchings, P. (2004). Integrative learning: Mapping the terrain. The Academy in Transition. Association of American Colleges and Universities, Washington DC.
- Kirby, L. A., & Thomas, C. L. (2022). High-impact teaching practices foster a greater sense of belonging in the college classroom. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, *46*(3), 368-381.
- Knaub, A. V., Foote, K. T., Henderson, C., Dancy, M., & Beichner, R. J. (2016). Get a room: the role of classroom space in sustained implementation of studio style instruction. *International Journal of STEM Education*, *3*, 1-22.
- Kofinas, A. K., & Tsay, C. H. H. (2021). In Favor of large classes: a Social networks perspective on experiential learning. *Journal of Management Education*, *45*(5), 760-785.
- Land, R., Cousin, G., Meyer, J. H., & Davies, P. (2006). Conclusion: Implications of threshold concepts for course design and evaluation. In *Overcoming barriers to student understanding*. Routledge. Land, Raya, Glynis Cousin, Jan H. F. Meyer, and Peter Davies, 195-206.
- Lang, J. M. (2020). Distracted: Why students can't focus and what you can do about it. Hachette UK.

- Lave, J. and E. Wenger (1991). *Situated Learning: Legitimate peripheral participation*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- Lund Dean, K., & Wright, S. (2017). Embedding engaged learning in high enrollment lecture-based classes. *Higher Education*, 74, 651-668.
- Lynch, R. P., & Pappas, E. (2017). A Model for teaching large classes: Facilitating a 'small class feel". *International Journal of Higher Education*, *6*(2), 199-212.
- Mahn, H. (1999). Vygotsky's methodological contribution to sociocultural theory. *Remedial and Special Education, 20*(6), 341-350.
- Mantai, L., & Huber, E. (2021). Networked teaching: Overcoming the barriers to teaching experiential learning in large classes. *Journal of Management Education*, *45*(5), 715-738.
- Marshall, J. E., Fayombo, G., & Marshall, R. (2015). I Paid for It, So I Deserve It! Examining Psycho-Educational Variables and Student Consumerist Attitudes to Higher Education. *International Journal of Higher Education*, *4*(4), 73-80.
- Meeuwisse, M., Severiens, S. E., & Born, M. P. (2010). Learning environment, interaction, sense of belonging and study success in ethnically diverse student groups. *Research in Higher Education*, *51*, 528-545.
- Michel, J. O., Campbell, C. M., & Dilsizian, K. (2018). Is STEM too Hard? Using Biglan to Understand Academic Rigor and Teaching Practices across Disciplines. *Journal of the Professoriate*, 9(2).
- Micciche, L. R. (2007). Doing emotion. Portsmouth, UK: Boynton/Cook.
- Meyer, J H. and R. Land. "Threshold concepts and troublesome knowledge: An Introduction." *Overcoming Barriers to Student Understanding: Threshold Concepts and Troublesome Knowledge*. Ed. Jan H. F. Meyer and Ray Land. London, Routledge: 2006, pp. 3-18.
- Moeller, A. J. (2021). The interplay of emotion, cognition, and learning in the language classroom.
- Neill, S., & Etheridge, R. (2008). Flexible learning spaces: The integration of pedagogy, physical design, and instructional technology. *Marketing education review*, 18(1), 47-53.
- Perkins, D. (2006). "Constructivism and troublesome knowledge." *Overcoming Barriers to Student Understanding:*Threshold Concepts and Troublesome Knowledge. Ed. Jan H. F. Meyer and Ray Land. London, Routledge, pp. 31-47.
- Plass, J. L., & Kalyuga, S. (2019). Four ways of considering emotion in cognitive load theory. *Educational Psychology Review*, *31*, 339-359.
- Prior, P. (1998). Writing/Disciplinarity: A Sociohistoric Account of Literate Activity in the Academy. Mahway, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Prosser, M., & Trigwell, K. (2014). Qualitative variation in approaches to university teaching and learning in large first-year classes. *Higher Education*, *67*, 783-795.
- Quinlan, K. M. (2019). What triggers students' interest during higher education lectures? Personal and situational variables associated with situational interest. *Studies in Higher Education*, *44*(10), 1781-1792.
- Ramsay, C. M., Guo, X., & Pursel, B. K. (2017). Leveraging faculty reflective practice to understand active learning spaces: Flashbacks and re-captures. *Journal of Learning Spaces*, *6*(3).

- Richetts, A. (2010). Threshold concepts: 'Loaded' knowledge or critical education. In Threshold concepts and transformational learning." *Threshold Concepts and Transformational Learning*. Ed. Jan H. F. Meyer, Ray Land, and Caroline Baillie. Rotterdam, Netherlands, pp. 45-60.
- Rienties, B., Héliot, Y., & Jindal-Snape, D. (2013). Understanding social learning relations of international students in a large classroom using social network analysis. Higher Education, 66, 489-50
- Russell, D. R. (2009). "Texts in contexts: Theorizing learning by looking at genre and activity." In *Rethinking Contexts for Learning and Teaching, Routledge*, 29-42.
- Julien, J. (2021). "Explaining learning: The research trajectory of situated cognition and the implications of connectionism." In *Situated Cognition*, Routledge, 261-279.
- Scott-Webber, L., Konyndyk, R., & Denison, M. (2019). POE: Understanding Innovative Learning Places and Their Impact on Student Academic Engagement--Index 6-8'Alpha'Survey Developments. *Journal of Education and Learning*, *8*(5), 31-56.
- Stone, J. A., & Cruz, L. (2021). The wicked and the logical: Facilitating integrative learning among introductory computing students. *Teaching & Learning Inquiry.* 9(1), 180-199.
- Sullivan, W. M. (2012). "Knowledge and judgment in practice as the twin aims of learning." *Transforming Undergraduate Education: Theory that Compels and Practices that Succeed.* Maryland: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc.
- Swaner, L. E. (2012). The theories, contexts, and multiple pedagogies of engaged learning. *Transforming undergraduate education: Theory that Compels and Practices that Succeed*, Roman & Littlefield, 73-89.
- Wyse, S. A., & Soneral, P. A. (2018). "Is this class hard?" Defining and analyzing academic rigor from a learner's perspective. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, *17*(4), ar59.
- Zumbrunn, S., McKim, C., Buhs, E., & Hawley, L. R. (2014). Support, belonging, motivation, and engagement in the college classroom: A mixed method study. *Instructional Science*, *42*, 661-684.