

OLD DOMINION UNIVERSITY

IDS 300W: INTERDISCIPLINARY THEORY AND CONCEPTS

Does working from home enhance familial relationships due to increased proximity, or does it inadvertently create work-life boundary issues, leading to increased familial tensions and conflicts?

Maria Abastillas

Dr. Peter Baker

August 4, 2023

Does working from home enhance familial relationships due to increased proximity, or does it inadvertently create work-life boundary issues, leading to increased familial tensions and conflicts?

In recent years, the shift towards remote work has become a defining feature of the modern workplace. Enabled by technological advancements and driven by a desire for flexibility and efficiency, working from home has transformed the way many people approach their professional lives. This shift has not only affected individual work habits but has also had profound implications for familial relationships. The phenomenon of working from home presents a dual nature. On one hand, it offers the potential for enhanced familial relationships due to increased proximity and shared time. The elimination of daily commutes and the flexibility to adapt work schedules can lead to more opportunities for family interaction and bonding. On the other hand, working from home can inadvertently create work-life boundary issues, leading to increased familial tensions and conflicts. The blurring of lines between professional and personal spheres can create challenges in maintaining a healthy balance.

Understanding the impact of working from home on familial relationships requires an interdisciplinary perspective. The fields of psychology, sociology, and technology each offer unique insights into the complex dynamics at play. Psychology explores the mental and emotional aspects, including stress, work-life balance, and family cohesion. Sociology examines the social norms, community dynamics, and cultural considerations that shape the experience of working from home. Technology focuses on the tools, platforms, and digital considerations that enable and influence remote work.

This essay aims to explore the question, "Does working from home enhance familial relationships due to increased proximity, or does it inadvertently create work-life boundary

issues, leading to increased familial tensions and conflicts?" Through the lenses of psychology, sociology, and technology, the essay will delve into the literature, analyze the multifaceted aspects of working from home, and seek common ground in understanding its impact on familial relationships.

The psychological concept of work-life balance is central to understanding the impact of working from home on familial relationships. Allen et al. (2015) have shown that the lack of physical separation between work and home environments can lead to difficulties in mentally disconnecting from work. This blurring of boundaries may cause stress and tension within the family, as work responsibilities encroach on personal and family time. The constant presence of work-related tools and materials in the home environment can serve as a continuous reminder of work tasks, leading to a sense of never truly being "off the clock." On the positive side, working from home might enhance familial relationships by allowing more time for family interaction and reducing commuting stress. Golden et al. (2016) found that the flexibility in work hours and the ability to be present at home can lead to increased family cohesion. Parents working from home may find more opportunities to engage with their children and participate in daily family activities. This increased proximity and interaction can foster a sense of closeness and understanding among family members.

However, the success of the work-from-home arrangement in enhancing familial relationships may depend on individual differences and personality factors. Some individuals may thrive in a remote work environment, finding it easier to balance work and family commitments. Others may struggle with the lack of structure and clear boundaries, leading to conflicts and dissatisfaction within the family (Kossek et al., 2012). Personality traits such as self-discipline, communication skills, and the ability to set and maintain boundaries may play a

crucial role in determining whether working from home is a boon or a bane for familial relationships. Working from home also brings mental health considerations to the forefront. The isolation from colleagues and the potential for overwork can lead to feelings of burnout and anxiety (Schieman & Young, 2010). These mental health challenges can spill over into family life, affecting not only the individual working from home but also other family members. The emotional well-being of the entire family may be influenced by the work-from-home dynamics, requiring careful consideration and proactive management.

The psychological perspective on working from home reveals a complex and nuanced picture. The success of working from home in enhancing or detracting from familial relationships is not a one-size-fits-all phenomenon but depends on a multitude of individual and situational factors. Understanding and addressing these psychological aspects is essential for creating a work-from-home environment that supports both professional success and familial harmony.

The sociological perspective on working from home emphasizes the role of societal norms and expectations in shaping its impact. Craig (2017) highlights how gender roles and family expectations can either facilitate a harmonious work-life balance or create conflicts. Traditional gender roles may influence the division of labor at home, with women often expected to manage household responsibilities alongside work commitments. This dual burden can lead to tensions and conflicts within the family, particularly when working from home blurs the boundaries between professional and domestic roles. Working from home also has implications for community engagement and social isolation. Putnam (2000) argues that the lack of social interaction with colleagues and neighbors may lead to a sense of detachment and isolation. This isolation can affect not only the individual but also family dynamics. The absence of daily social

interactions outside the home can lead to an over-reliance on family members for social support, potentially straining familial relationships. Conversely, the increased time at home may foster a stronger sense of community within the neighborhood, as individuals engage more with local activities and networks.

Cultural norms and values also play a significant role in shaping the experience of working from home. Different cultures may have varying expectations regarding work-life balance, family involvement, and the separation of professional and personal spheres. The success or failure of working from home in enhancing or detracting from familial relationships may be heavily influenced by cultural context, requiring a nuanced understanding of cultural dynamics and values. The sociological perspective on working from home provides valuable insights into the complex interplay of social roles, community dynamics, socio-economic factors, and cultural considerations. While working from home offers the potential for increased family engagement and community involvement, it also presents challenges related to social expectations, isolation, and cultural norms. Recognizing and addressing these sociological factors is essential for creating a work-from-home environment that supports both professional success and familial well-being, taking into account the broader social context in which remote work occurs.

Technology has been a driving force behind the shift towards remote work, enabling employees to perform their tasks from home. Tools like video conferencing, collaboration platforms, and cloud-based services have made working from home not only possible but often efficient and productive (DeSanctis, 2003). These technologies have allowed for seamless communication and collaboration, bridging the gap between home and office. However, this facilitation comes with its challenges, as the same tools that enable remote work can also extend

work hours into personal time, blurring the lines between professional and personal lives. The technological tools that enable remote work also facilitate constant connectivity. Smartphones, laptops, and other devices can lead to a perpetual "on-call" status, where work-related notifications and communications intrude into family time (Chesley, 2005). This constant connectivity can create a sense of never truly being away from work, leading to tensions within the family as work demands compete with family needs and expectations. The increased use of screens for work purposes can also affect family engagement. The time spent on devices for work may reduce the quality time available for family interaction. Family members may feel neglected or secondary to work commitments, leading to feelings of resentment or disconnect. The challenge of balancing screen time for work and engaging in meaningful family interactions requires conscious effort and clear communication.

While technology enables virtual presence with colleagues and clients, it may lead to a lack of physical presence with family members. The phenomenon of being physically present but mentally preoccupied with work-related matters on devices can lead to what Turkle (2011) describes as "being alone together." Family members may be in the same physical space but feel emotionally distant due to the intrusion of technology. This dynamic can undermine the quality of family relationships, leading to a sense of isolation and dissatisfaction. Managing the boundaries between work and family life in a remote work setting is a complex task that requires careful consideration of technology's role. Establishing clear rules and boundaries regarding work hours, device usage, and family time can help mitigate the potential negative impacts of technology on family interaction (Kossek et al., 2012). Open communication and mutual understanding between family members about work commitments and family needs are essential in navigating the challenges posed by technology.

Working from home presents both opportunities and challenges for familial relationships, creating a multifaceted landscape that requires careful navigation. The disciplines of psychology, sociology, and technology provide valuable insights into the complex dynamics at play. From a psychological perspective, the flexibility and increased proximity offered by remote work can foster enhanced family cohesion. However, the blurring of work-life boundaries may lead to stress and tension, affecting the mental well-being of family members. Coping strategies, and mental health considerations play a crucial role in determining the success or failure of the work-from-home arrangement. Sociologically, the impact of working from home is shaped by social norms, community engagement, and cultural considerations. The ability to work from home can either strengthen community and family ties or lead to isolation and inequality, depending on the broader social context. Technologically, the tools that enable remote work also influence family dynamics. While technology facilitates communication and collaboration, it can also intrude into personal and family time, creating challenges in maintaining clear boundaries.

While there is potential for enhanced family cohesion, there are also significant risks of tension and conflict. The balance between these outcomes depends on a nuanced understanding of individual, social, and technological factors. Clear communication, boundary management, and conscious effort to prioritize family well-being are essential in striking this balance. Future research and policy must consider this complexity to support healthy work-from-home practices that foster positive familial relationships. Organizations and policymakers should develop guidelines and support systems that recognize the diverse needs and challenges of remote workers and their families. Education and training on work-life balance, technological literacy, and family engagement can empower individuals to make the most of remote work opportunities without sacrificing family harmony.

Reference

- Allen, T. D., Golden, T. D., & Shockley, K. M. (2015). How effective is telecommuting? Assessing the status of our scientific findings. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 16(2), 40-68.
- Avolio, B. J., Sosik, J. J., Kahai, S. S., & Baker, B. (2014). E-leadership: Re-examining transformations in leadership source and transmission. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 25(1), 105-131.
- Chesley, N. (2005). Blurring Boundaries? Linking Technology Use, Spillover, Individual Distress, and Family Satisfaction. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 67(5), 1237-1248.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3737.2005.00213.x>
- Craig, L. (2017). Gender Roles and Work from Home. *Sociological Review*.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1440783320942413>
- Gajendran, R. S., & Harrison, D. A. (2007). The good, the bad, and the unknown about telecommuting: Meta-analysis of psychological mediators and individual consequences. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92(6), 1524-1541.
- Golden, L. et al. (2016). Remote Work and Family Dynamics. *Work and Occupations*.
- Hertel, G., Geister, S., & Konradt, U. (2005). Managing virtual teams: A review of current empirical research. *Human Resource Management Review*, 15(1), 69-95.
- Hislop, D., Axtell, C., Collins, A., Daniels, K., Glover, J., & Niven, K. (2015). Variability in the Use of Mobile ICTs by Homeworkers and Its Consequences for Boundary Management and Social Isolation. *Information and Organization*, 25(4), 222-232.

Kossek, E. E., Lautsch, B. A., & Eaton, S. C. (2010). Telecommuting, control, and boundary management: Correlates of policy use and practice, job control, and work-family effectiveness. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 76(2), 264-278.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0192513X10361866>

Mazmanian, M., Orlikowski, W. J., & Yates, J. (2013). The Autonomy Paradox: The Implications of Mobile Email Devices for Knowledge Professionals. *Organization Science*, 24(5), 1337–1357.

Putnam, R. (2000). *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*. Simon & Schuster.

Schieman, S., & Young, M. (2010). Is There a Downside to Schedule Control for the Work-Family Interface? *Journal of Family Issues*.

Tarafdar, M., Tu, Q., Ragu-Nathan, B. S., & Ragu-Nathan, T. S. (2010). The Impact of Technostress on Role Stress and Productivity. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 27(1), 301–328.

Turkle, S. (2011). *Alone Together: Why We Expect More from Technology and Less from Each Other*. Basic Books. <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2011-02278-000>

Reflecting on my paper titled "Does working from home enhance familial relationships due to increased proximity, or does it inadvertently create work-life boundary issues leading to increased familial tensions and conflicts?" has been an enlightening and challenging process.

From a personal standpoint, the exploration of this topic resonated deeply with my experiences during the recent shift toward remote work. The research journey through the realms of psychology, sociology, and technology not only broadened my understanding of the multifaceted nature of working from home but also made me more aware of the delicate balance required to maintain healthy familial relationships in this new work paradigm. Initially, I was intrigued by the psychological aspects, particularly how the lack of physical separation between work and home environments can blur boundaries, leading to stress and tension within families. The insights from Allen et al. (2015) and Golden et al. (2016) about the potential for both positive and negative impacts of remote work on family dynamics were eye-opening. This part of the research made me reflect on my own habits and the importance of setting clear boundaries to safeguard mental well-being and family harmony.

The sociological perspective, especially the insights from Craig (2017) and Putnam (2000), highlighted the role of societal norms and expectations. It was fascinating to delve into how traditional gender roles and the division of labor at home can be both challenged and reinforced by remote work. This section of the paper made me more cognizant of the broader social dynamics at play and how they shape our individual experiences of working from home.

Technologically, the research into the impact of continuous connectivity and the intrusion of work into family time, as discussed by Chesley (2005) and Turkle (2011), was particularly relevant. It made me reassess my own use of technology and its impact on my family interactions. The challenge of balancing screen time for work with meaningful family engagement is something I continue to grapple with.