# 1. Meeting Information

| | |
|---|---|
| Date/Time of the Meeting: | **March 21st, 2013, 14:00-15:30 CET** |
| Inviting person: | **Juanjo Hierro** |
| Minutes takers: | **Juanjo Hierro** |
| Name of the meeting: | **FI-WARE PCC confcall (Feb 27th, 2013)** |
| Place of the meeting: | |
| Phone details (if PhC): | **powwownow (PIN: 050662) webex details circulated** |
| Version | |

# 2. Attendees

Please unmark your name in the table below if you have attended the meeting.

| Name | Company / Organization |
|---|---|
| Pierangelo Garino | Telecom Italia |
| Stefano De Panfilis | Engineering |
| Alex Glikson, Yaron Wolfsthal | IBM |
| Pascal Bisson | Thales |
| Burkhard Neidecker-Lutz, | SAP |
| Thierry Nagellen | Orange |
| Nuria de Lama | Atos |
| Juanjo Hierro | Telefónica I+D |

# 4. Objective and topics addressed during the meeting

**Proposed agenda:**
- Approval of inclusion of version 7 of the governance model
- PMs reallocation
- Next steps in the process regarding selection of smart cities to connect to the FI-WARE Open Innovation Lab
- Briefing from meeting with Neelie Kroes
- Report about last SB meeting (Friday March 15) - as far as I understand, there was no topics for decision in the agenda but I guess it is worth giving a report.
- License models

No other items suggested.  We start with the proposed items.

## Approval of inclusion of version 7 of the governance model

Juanjo: Do we approve inclusion of version 7 of the governance model ?   Can we approve it at PCC level and then communicate to the rest of the consortia and the EC ?

Pier: it's ok

Alex: it's ok

Pascal: it's ok

Burkhard: it's ok

Thierry: it's ok

Juanjo: it's ok

Nuria:

Stefano: it's ok

What about asking the EC to push for an update of the Collaboration Agreement to align with the governance model ?

Burkhard: changing the Collaboration Agreement to incorporate the changes needed to align with the new governance model is the only formal mean to have a document that everyone can access.

Thierry, Stefano: Opening revision of the Collaboration Agreement by legal departments can be like opening a discussion that we don't want to open.   Juanjo: how can we make sure that legal departments will not want to re-open the discussion on other topics different than the governance model ?  Burkhard: in theory, you cannot prevent this to happen.

Round table on question: should we raise the issue about inconsistency with the Collaboration Agreement and the fact that the different DoWs are not accessible by all the projects ?

- Pier: raising the issue will led to lengthy discussion.  I would go for avoiding raising the issue.
- Stefano: No
- Alex: Yes
- Pascal: Yes
- Burkhard: Yes
- Thierry: No because the Collaboration Agreement is not a FI-WARE issue, it was a contract signed by partners not projects
- Juanjo: No raise the issue

Round table on question: ask for publication of the governance model document inserted in the DoW ?

- Pier: Yes
- Stefano: Yes
- Alex: No, because the EC should come with the solution
- Pascal: No, because the EC should come with the solution
- Burkhard: No, because the preferred solution would be to fix the Collaboration Agreement
- Thierry: Yes, but because the EC should not be requested to solve any issue about an agreement they haven't signed
- Juanjo: Yes

Based on the voting: we will send an email to the EC saying that we accept inclusion of version 7 of the governance document and that's all.

## PMs reallocation

A spreadsheet summarizing all the PMs reallocation was distributed.   Comments were sent by some of the partners and have been incorporated in a version distributed this morning.

Telefonica would like to send this to our PO this evening.

Juanjo: the only thing is that we haven't received input regarding date from which new PMs allocated to a partner have started to be consumed.

Proposal merging input from Pascal and Juanjo: drop the column in the spreadsheet to be sent to the EC today and send reminder to WPLs giving a deadline and stating that if no response is received, new PMs can only be consumed from the date at which the new DoW amendment gets approved.

Thierry: Please add a statement that, if we don't receive any response by the EC, we'll assume they agree.  Juanjo: agree.

Regarding dates: Juanjo: two options

1. to state that new PMs allocated to partners have started to be consumed since end of last reporting period or the date at which the partners transferring the PMs has withdraw from the project
2. not stating anything and ask WPLs to provide this info urgently before March 27th to send a second mail updating the EC on precise start dates, stating that if no response is received, new PMs can only be consumed from the date at which the new DoW amendment gets approved

Round table for voting:

- Pier: I sent the dates already.  Option 2.
- Stefano: option 1
- Alex: abstain
- Pascal: option 2
- Burkhard: option 1
- Thierry: option 1
- Juanjo: option 1

We will go for option 1.

# Next steps in the process regarding selection of smart cities to connect to the FI-WARE Open Innovation Lab

Stefano asked about status of the FI-WARE OIL terms and conditions.   Juanjo: the attorney is working on this.   I will pressure on him to finalize this first draft during 1st half of April.

Any comment on the charter document distributed by Stefano on March 8th ?

First according to the charter's proposed plan, the first milestone was March 22nd and had to do with selection of cities for the interviews.

Proposal regarding this milestone: close the call tomorrow EOB.  AP on Stefano then to send list of candidates to the PCC mailing list right afterwards and give a deadline for objections by March 27th and if not any then proceed with the interviews.

Agreed.

Reminder to Stefano: you have to finish the questionnaire for the interviews by March 29th.

### License models

Thierry: propose that coordinator sends an email to all WPLs/WPAs architects to monitor and check that all legal department from the partners are aware of the discussion in fiware-legal regarding legal notice accompanying FI-WARE GE Open Specifications.   This will avoid that someone raises issues in the last minute.   Juanjo: agreed, <mark>AP</mark> on Juanjo.

### Briefing from meeting with Neelie Kroes

Surprisingly and nicely smooth.   Probably because it was well prepared by the sherpas.  We made the job in delivering a number of important common messages on behalf of the Industry.

Thierry (feedback): it was a bit waste of time because no decision was made.

### Report about last SB meeting (Friday March 15)

Minutes here:
https://docs.google.com/document/d/1JxyQt2c2ZzKthZGv9p9aDs0vMAyRCnNJHMpbLpGIvDY/edit

AP on Juanjo to send a request to the SB on clarifying what means will be provided to publish and share results of phase 1 projects.

# 6.  Reference documentation

-