IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

[CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION]

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION (PIL) NO. _____ OF 2025

IN THE MATTER OF:

[Your Name], Resident of [Your Address],
…**Petitioner**

VERSUS

1. **Union of India**, Through the Ministry of Home Affairs, North Block, New Delhi.
2. **Ministry of Corporate Affairs**, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. **Ministry of Finance (Income Tax Department)**, North Block, New Delhi.
4. **Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI)**, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
5. **Enforcement Directorate (ED)**, Lok Nayak Bhawan, Khan Market, New Delhi.
   …**Respondents**

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 32 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA SEEKING DIRECTIONS TO CURB THE MISUSE OF NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS (NGOs) FOR FINANCIAL FRAUD, MONEY LAUNDERING, AND OTHER ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES THROUGH STRINGENT REGULATORY MEASURES AND ENHANCED TRANSPARENCY

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:

---

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 **Significance and Rise of NGOs**
Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) in India have long played a **critical role** in delivering social welfare services, promoting grassroots development, and amplifying the voices of marginalized communities. Multiple government reports and independent studies show that India hosts **over 3 million NGOs**—one for roughly every 400 citizens—demonstrating the immense scale and **potential** of civil society to drive transformative change.

1.2 **Rampant Misuse & National Concern**
Despite these commendable contributions, there is an **alarming trend** of NGOs being exploited as vehicles for **financial fraud, money laundering, tax evasion, and other illegal activities**. Various government bodies—including the **Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI)** and the **Enforcement Directorate (ED)**—have flagged the **sharp rise** in unscrupulous entities masquerading as charities or social service organizations to siphon public money, launder black money, or funnel foreign contributions for **nefarious purposes**.

- A CBI study (2015) estimated that **only 10%** of registered NGOs ever file annual financial returns, illustrating **severe compliance gaps** and a lack of regulatory enforcement.
- The **Delhi High Court** (2013) explicitly observed that “99% of NGOs are fraud and merely money-making devices,” alarming the judiciary, policymakers, and the general public alike.

1.3 **Purpose of the Present Petition**
This Petition implores the urgent intervention of this Hon’ble Court to **reinforce the legal framework** governing NGOs by instituting **stringent regulatory checks**, **financial transparency protocols**, and **accountability measures**. It is only through such concerted oversight that genuine NGOs can flourish while those exploiting the system are swiftly **identified, penalized, and disbanded**.

---

2. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

2.1 **Prevalence of Fraudulent NGOs**

2.1.1 **Delhi High Court’s Grim Observation (2013)**
The Delhi High Court’s statement that “99% of NGOs are fraud” may be hyperbolic, yet it forcefully highlights how the **overwhelming majority** of entities registered as NGOs have **either no tangible community impact** or are used as instruments of self-enrichment and misconduct.

2.1.2 **Financial Action Task Force (FATF) Warnings**
The **FATF**, in its **2024 annual report**, urged India to **“expedite prosecutions in financial fraud**

cases involving nonprofits", emphasizing that NGOs can be exploited for **money laundering**, **terror financing**, and **tax evasion** if oversight remains lax. This global body's alert to India underscores the **international ramifications** of unregulated NGOs.

2.1.3 **Staggering Non-Compliance**

- As per various official and unofficial estimates, **less than 15%** of Indian NGOs regularly comply with mandatory reporting requirements under statutes like the **Foreign Contribution (Regulation) Act (FCRA)**, the Income Tax Act, and relevant state laws.
- **Government audits** have repeatedly exposed large-scale **misreporting** or **non-reporting** by NGOs receiving substantial local and foreign donations, resulting in **crores of rupees** going unaccounted for annually.

2.2 **Case Studies Illustrating Misuse**

The alarming misuse of NGOs can be further elucidated through high-profile examples, reflecting both domestic and international scrutiny:

1. **Amnesty International India (2020)**
    - Operations halted when **bank accounts were frozen** by the ED over alleged money laundering and FCRA violations.
    - The case underscored how even established international NGOs can find themselves under suspicion if **financial irregularities** remain unchecked.
2. **Greenpeace India (2015)**
    - Government suspended its license and froze bank accounts, citing **underreporting of foreign contributions** and alleged misutilization of funds.
    - Sparked public debate on whether **lax internal auditing** contributed to these violations.
3. **Lawyers Collective (2016)**
    - FCRA license suspended by the Ministry of Home Affairs (MHA) for alleged **misuse of foreign funds** and non-compliance with statutory norms.
    - Illustrative of how even **high-profile NGOs** led by legal luminaries are not immune to financial scrutiny and controversies.
4. **Teesta Setalvad's Sabrang Trust (2015)**
    - Faced accusations of **misappropriating funds** meant for riot victims; bank accounts were frozen.
    - Brought to light the **humanitarian** angle, where trust donations were suspected of being **channelled** for personal use.
5. **QNet Ponzi Scheme**
    - An organization **falsely claimed NGO status** to lure investors into a fraudulent direct-selling model.
    - Multiple arrests across India highlighted how criminals exploit the "NGO" label for **pyramid schemes** and **multi-level marketing fraud**.

6. **Pleasant Valley Foundation (2024)**
    - Filed a complaint against Delhi officials over alleged scam and evidence theft; ironically, subsequent investigations questioned **its own** financial dealings, underscoring how unscrupulous entities often create a complex legal maze to mask misconduct.
7. **Lobbyist's NGO (2017)**
    - Lost its FCRA license over allegations of **₹41 crore** laundered through **fake bills** and **fraudulent transactions**.
    - Exposed the sophisticated laundering tactics used by powerful lobbyists under the veneer of philanthropy.
8. **Voter Data Theft in Bengaluru**
    - An NGO impersonated government officials to compile **valuable voter information**, raising **data privacy** concerns and revealing that NGO status can be abused to commit large-scale identity fraud.
9. **NSEL Investors' Action Group**
    - Formed after the **NSEL scam** to represent investor interests; faced questions about its **authenticity** and **financial practices** as allegations of misappropriation surfaced.
10. **International Trade Fraud (2024)**
    - An India-based jeweler admitted to a **multimillion-dollar** cross-border trade fraud, utilizing **an NGO front** to launder money.
    - Demonstrated how unscrupulous operators can exploit NGO status for **foreign exchange violations**.

(Additional or parallel cases have repeatedly come to light, indicating the **depth** and **diversity** of NGO misuse patterns across regions and sectors.)

---

3. LEGAL GROUNDS

3.1 **Violation of Article 19(1)(a) – Right to Freedom of Speech and Expression**

- Fraudulent NGOs **tarnish** the credibility of legitimate civil society organizations, making donors and beneficiaries wary.
- **Genuine social activists** and nonprofits suffer a "chilling effect," **stifling** free discourse and reducing potential collaborations essential for public welfare, thus adversely affecting **freedom of speech** within civil society.

3.2 **Violation of Article 14 – Right to Equality**

- NGOs often receive more lenient treatment than comparable corporate entities, leading to **unequal enforcement** of financial laws.

- When the law is applied **disparately**, it **discriminates** against compliant entities and citizens who must abide by stricter standards.

3.3 **Violation of Article 21 – Right to Life and Personal Liberty**

- Misappropriation of funds aimed at **public welfare** subverts critical social development programs, thereby **infringing** upon the right to a dignified life, especially for communities dependent on these initiatives.
- Financial fraud in NGOs can undercut essential programs in **health, education, and disaster relief**, directly threatening citizens' right to life and liberty.

---

4. PRAYERS

In light of the aforementioned **facts, data trends, and gravity** of the situation, the Petitioner humbly prays that this Hon'ble Court be pleased to:

(a) Centralized Digital Database

- Direct the Respondents to establish a **comprehensive, real-time digital platform** for the registration, monitoring, and financial disclosure of **all NGOs** operating in India.
- Mandate **annual returns** and **quarterly updates** on financial transactions to enhance public transparency.

(b) Compulsory Annual Forensic Audits

- Require all NGOs receiving foreign contributions or having an annual turnover above **₹50 lakhs** to undergo **independent forensic audits**, with results **publicly accessible**.
- Ensure audits examine **beneficiary tracking**, **fund allocation**, **foreign inflows**, and **expenditure**.

(c) Stringent FCRA Guidelines & Periodic Compliance Checks

- Instruct Respondents to **update and enforce** robust FCRA regulations, including **minimum financial disclosure standards** for each tranche of foreign funding.
- Conduct **randomized inspections** of NGO financial statements, imposing **swift, punitive measures** on violations.

(d) Independent Regulatory Body

- Create an **autonomous NGO Regulatory Commission**, equipped with investigative powers, to oversee compliance with **financial transparency** norms and **ethical standards**.

(e) AI-Powered Cross-Verification System

- Implement a **tech-driven validation system** linking NGO data with Aadhaar, PAN, GST, and **corporate donor** records, thereby flagging suspicious monetary patterns early and reducing shell NGO operations.

(f) Impact Assessment Report (IAR) System

- Enforce a standard **IAR format** wherein NGOs must provide **geotagged documentation**, **third-party verification**, and **real-time beneficiary inputs** to prove genuine social impact.
- Deny or freeze funding if IAR submissions fail to meet authenticity checks.

(g) Limitation of Cash Transactions

- Stipulate **no cash** transactions above **₹10,000**, ensuring all significant inflows/outflows are traceable via bank transfers or digital means.
- Require **pre-approval** from relevant authorities before transferring NGO funds into personal accounts.

(h) Strict Penalties Under Income Tax & Other Laws

- Empower the IT Department to **levy substantial fines**, seize assets, and impose **lifetime bans** on individuals found guilty of orchestrating NGO-based fraud or laundering.
- Encourage **inter-agency coordination** between ED, CBI, and tax authorities to expedite joint crackdowns.

(i) National NGO Watchlist

- Maintain a **publicly available classification** of “Compliant,” “Under Scrutiny,” and “Blacklisted” NGOs, allowing potential donors and government bodies to ascertain an NGO’s credibility with a quick reference check.

(j) Whistleblower Protection & Incentives

- Establish a robust mechanism for **anonymous reporting** of NGO-related malpractice, fraud, or forged impact claims, offering **legal safeguards** and **reward systems** for genuine whistleblowers.

(k) Fast-Track Courts for NGO-Related Offenses

- Set up **designated judicial forums** or fast-track courts ensuring **time-bound trials** in financial fraud and money laundering cases involving NGOs, safeguarding the public’s interest.

(l) CSR Funding Restrictions

- Restrict corporate CSR contributions to **NGOs** that pass **independent annual audits** and meet **IAR** benchmarks, ensuring corporates do not inadvertently abet fraud.

(m) Comprehensive Audit of High-Value NGOs

- Commence an **immediate review** of all NGOs receiving more than **₹1 crore** in funding over the past **five years**, verifying compliance with **FCRA** and **income tax** obligations.

(n) Tightened Criteria for Government & Foreign Contributions

- Ensure that NGOs applying for **government grants** or receiving **foreign inflows** must pass a **stringent vetting** process, preventing the infiltration of **fly-by-night** or shell NGOs.

(o) Any Other Order

- Pass any **further orders** as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper to **protect public welfare** and **preserve the integrity** of India's civil society sector.

---

5. CONCLUSION

5.1 **Need for Urgent Judicial Intervention**
The **magnitude** of NGO misuse—ranging from **false philanthropic fronts** to elaborate **laundering operations**—demands **immediate, robust solutions**. Genuine NGOs remain essential to India's developmental tapestry, yet **unscrupulous elements** exploit the lax legal regime to usurp funds, facilitate tax evasion, or engage in organized crime.

5.2 **Reinforcing Faith in Civil Society**
By adopting the **Impact Assessment Report (IAR) system**, **forensic audits**, **AI-based financial tracking**, and an **autonomous regulatory framework**, this Hon'ble Court can restore **public trust** in legitimate NGOs and dissuade criminals from **abusing** the NGO status.

5.3 **Separation of Powers**
The Petitioner fully recognizes that **enacting or amending** legislation, including enhanced penal provisions, generally falls under **Parliament's** domain. However, this Court has historically exercised its **jurisdiction** under Article 32 to **guide** the executive branch and direct **effective implementation** of existing laws in public interest, especially when fundamental rights (Articles 14, 19, and 21) are at risk.

5.4 **Prayer for the Court's Intervention**
Hence, it is earnestly prayed that this **Hon'ble Court** consider the prayers enumerated above and issue **appropriate directions** and guidelines—within the scope of its judicial powers—to **safeguard India's civil society**, preserve **public exchequer**, and **strengthen** national security.

Filed By:
Bharat Luthra
Petitioner

Date: InsertDateInsert DateInsertDate
Place: InsertPlaceInsert PlaceInsertPlace

Verification

I, YourNameYour NameYourName, do hereby verify that the contents of this Petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, and that nothing material has been concealed therefrom.

Verified at CityCityCity, on this DateDateDate.
SignatureofPetitionerSignature of PetitionerSignatureofPetitioner