Check the bottom of this doc for a place to put Wednesday night's homework.
Leta's
Prop 8
Health Care Reform
Alabama
One side: Southern Poverty Law Center, find the law "extreme provisions, not likely to stand up."
Federal system crafts immigration law.
Kansas Secretary of State helped craft this Alabama law?!
If the people who are renting to illegal immigrants don't know that the people are illegal are the landlords blamed?
So most people find this bill to be one that violates the constitution?
Alabama's law went into effect at the end of September.
Alabama has the most strict immigration laws
Look up infant mortality rate. 46th
"balancing the scales"

Lee's Case:

Is placing a GPS monitor on a car without a warrant and leaving it there for 28 days a violation of the Fourth Amendment? By now you will have listened to the two pieces and put at least five comments/question each here: Use the comment feature under "Insert", please.

Riley: Copy the Fourth Amendment below and make it stand out a bit--just a bit.

"The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized."

All three of you: Be prepared to write a paragraph about how you think the Court should rule, below.

Leta's Questions:

- -Do we automatically have less privacy as technology develops? Is it just a given down side to the expansion of the technological field?
- -If the FBI wants to track a person's whereabouts- set up investigators around their house or something- do they have any kind of requirements to uphold or hoops to jump through in order to do that?
- -Does owning a car subject you to being followed without your knowledge? Does it really mean you have no interest in privacy at all? Apparently, leaving your house means you don't have any interest in privacy.
- -Shouldn't there be some kinds of limitations on how long, who, for what reasons the police would follow or track someone?
- -The man in the video mentioned that, without the technology, no reasonable police department would be able to follow one person for an entire month. Should they be able to do that on a simple suspicion?

Riley's ?'s:

- 1. How does the government determine what events should be hidden from the public?
- 2. Medical conditions are private such as AA meetings. The government does not need to know

that much detail. An invasion of privacy.

- 3. How many other cars are being tracked where the drivers don't know?
- 4. Where does personally responsibility take place? Why are they being tracked.
- 5. What are they doing that makes the government what to track them?

craig's questions

- 1: SHOULD WE REINFORCE NEEDING WARRANTS TO SEARCH FOR OR OBSERVE SOMEONE.
- 2: SHOULD THE GOVERNMENT BE ABLE TO HAVE ACCESS TO "PERSONAL" DATA, THOUGH THE ACCESS IS LIMITED BY A JUDGE?
- 3: WHY IS THE GOVERNMENT SO OBSESSED WITH KNOWING WHERE EVERYONE IS AT ALL TIMES?
- 4: IF OUR WHEREABOUTS ARE OUR PERSONAL INFORMATION, WHY DOES THE GOVERNMENT FEEL THEY HAVE A RIGHT TO IT?
- 5: SHOULD THE GOVERNMENT BE ABLE TO KEEP DATA ON US, AND ONLY BE ABLE TO USE IT TO CONFIRM ALIBIS.

Resources: O & A w/ Supreme Court Justice Stephen Brever 1 hour

Future of PrivacywebsiteFuture of Privacy Conference 5.Dec.11scheduleWikiSecretsFrontline Doc

<u>Wikileaks--Bradley Manning's trial</u> website <u>SCOTUSblog</u> (Supreme Court watch) website