

RISE OF MISANDRY IN THE INTERNET

[2]

Into the Femcelverse!

By: u/Mister_3177

As the storm thickens, we are being met with unending adversities by the Radfems (AKA femcels!).. Gunfire slowly emerges from the dust like little crickets woken up from their day-long naps in their dense bushes. Bodies of men and women alike remain piled up near the flagpoles of the ruthless misandrists as their gunfire still pursues. Amidst the smoke bombs and constant shellfire, the MRAs stand calm and cool-headed against the onslaught committed by the misandrists against men as a whole. New soldiers nicknamed the “White Knights” by the MRAs appear from the shadows as beings from another time, serving as both the hint of the constant influence of the misandrists and as the haunting spirits of the MRA’s defeated comrades. Fortified strongholds like r/MensRights and r/LeftWingMaleAdvocates have been the constant target for these misandrists for shell-bombing and frequent raids, resulting in unwanted attacks and gunfire inside seemingly normal

discussions. Despite this, my friends... we must not fret. We must not use the same guns as our enemy, for they do nothing but stoop us down to their levels. We must not use their barbaric means of warfare neither be like them, for it turns away the potentiality of our best allies.

We must not be like our enemy.

We are far better than being such hateful sacks of shit like them.


We must look FAR and BEYOND our blindspots, and RECLAIM what is ours...

...We are better than them...

[...]

Ok, enough rambling. Here's part 2 of the Rise of Misandry in the Internet series. I decided to start this off with describing the whole gender war as a LITERAL war cuz my English exams are coming tomorrow, and I'm doing THIS instead of studying (lol). It's no big deal though cuz I get the highest marks on that subject. By the time you're reading this, my exams had probably finished and I got time to complete and publish this here. Also, if you've not seen part 1 of this series, you can scroll through my profile until you see a document link in the same sub where this is posted, but there's gonna be

a LOT of chainsaw man stuff there. Part one explains all the severities you'll find here as well as the first batch of misandristic posts and their responses. Now without anymore rambling let's get our pet chainsaw Pochita and start our journey!



Wof wof! (Let's go!)

Before we do, I'd like to pay my respects to an innocent soul which had lost his life in the hands of the cruel judiciary system of my country. His death serves as the newcoming of an utterly rotten world, and a fallen sense of morality and justice. May his soul rest in peace

[---Atul Subhash---

[December 9 2024]

May his death forever remind people of the newcoming of a fallen government.

May he rest in peace.




IMAGE 006



Einstürzende Louboutin

@negaversace

a lot of men interpret politeness
from women as flirting because they
themselves would never show even
the barest courtesy to a woman they
found unf████able



(Level 4 severity)

This is a good one ain't it? Like c'mon, can't you see
how far I can put this post's response through!? Ah
come on!

Well, in all seriousness, I was told by a fellow member
here that because of my simple language and
unreadability, the previous part could be seen as

immature or childish. Well, even if the purpose of this series is to make fun of ragebait posts, I will try my best to make it readable and a bit more mature and informational, and I'll refrain from the excess use of cheap jokes and unfunny puns.

Anyways on to the main image. The mere thinking that this image portrays can be very misleading and stereotypical. The main reason that men interpret politeness as flirting is because of the male loneliness epidemic, and no, not all men think about sex whenever a woman does something for them. We should not categorize the cure for men's loneliness as just... "sex". People have different types of needs and cures to solve their problems, you cannot cure 3 different diseases using the same cure. Some men want either hugs or simple words of affirmation, while others want someone to be interested in what they like and etc. It does not necessarily have to be a woman catering to these needs, it could be anyone. And to think that men won't show courtesy to women based on their looks is very stereotypical and one sided, because I've never seen a woman show any courtesy or kindness to any average looking guy in my life, that's my experience though.

IMAGE 007



Bailey


@the_author_



Misandry is a joke used by women to vent frustration. Misogyny is an expression of hatred backed by power. They are not equal.

16:24 · 05 okt 14

332 RETWEETS 387 FAVORITER



(Level 7 severity)


Ah yes, the same old talking point used 1239897 times in this god forsaken document of “misandry not so bad because women get killed!!1!”


I’m literally talking to fucking NPCs here, holy shit. HOW can you respond to this properly without bursting in laughter and putting cheap ass memes in the responses against these. I’m both laughing and sitting in depression at the same time while reading these.

I feel like a robot saying this but, misandry is INDEED equal to misogyny. Both are the same forms of hatred

just against different groups, there is no such thing as an exception or justification of hatred. The only thing misandry does is breed more misogyny, that's why we have Andrew Tate's fanbase growing everyday just because of these delusional fucks who can't think outside their emotional masks. Similarly, the people who try to shut down arguments about why misandry is counterproductive are very much disconnected from reality, or they DON'T care about feminism and they only want clout, and I'm (unwillingly) giving it to them as I write this.

IMAGE 008





(Level 9 severity)

While I initially thought about responding to this in the same light hearted manner as the other ones, I realized that responding this this particular image in that manner would be extremely disrespectful and disregard the struggles of the names that I'm about to show here.

Well firstly, the way that this poster of this image says that men have never been victims solves literally nothing and calls for more misogyny (duh). The way he/she says it is like that men have NEVER been victims, and can NEVER be victims (almost like 0% probability).

Whenever someone does bring up that male victims exist, they immediately switch up and say that they do exist, BUT they add that they only are brought up whenever female victims are being discussed about.

While I think that bringing up male victims in discussions about female victims is very disrespectful to both the male and female victims, assuming that every discussion about male victims is a form of

“whataboutism” is very much lousy and strawman-y.

These people like to misuse the term “whataboutism” to quickly shutdown any open minded discussion about male victims, under posts or comments that say “its

always a man abusing someone!!11!” or “not all men, but always a man” bullshit, along with other like-minded terms such as “misogynist” or “rapist”.

While we’re at it, I’ll also list some names of notable people who were also victims of either abuse/SA/manipulation etc, who also happen to be men (I’ll refer to them as just “victims” because it is not a gendered word.)

- Tyler Perry
- Corey Feldman
- James Dean
- Antwone Fisher (curious about this one)
- David Archuleta
- Matthew McConaughey
- Brandon Lee
- Alex Winter
- Moses Farrow
- Jean-Paul Sartre
- Henry Rollins
- Marlo Brando

And, most notably: **Atul Subhash.**

While this list may not be up to date with the current status and reputation of any of these figures, I added

this to illustrate a grand example that yes, male victims exist too, and we shouldn't downplay their struggles just because we see them in small numbers. If any of these figure's cases and accounts are proven false (which I hope not), then let me know in the comments below.

And now the next point. Yes, misandry DOES add to the oppression, as with misandry comes MORE misogyny. Do you think that any MAGA-hat wearing misogynist is gonna see all these "kill all men" posts and bullshit saying that all men are abusers, and will be like "yep, I've done so much wrong. It's time for me to change"? YOU look stupid trying to fight fires with more fire.

I once saw a post similar to this (didn't save it), which said that "if misandry doesn't solve anything then how did I make two men turn feminist after I became misandrist to them??!?!", or something like that. I could literally use the same logic like this, but with "How did this tree keep growing sideways, if I kept slowly cutting it from one side with a chainsaw (man)!?!??"

Well uh, that's probably gonna be a topic for another image we're gonna review here (I'm not sure if I find it back), but it's these unrealistic strawman type arguments that make ME wanna turn into an actual misogynist (I'm not one, **yet**).

Anyways, I guess this is the end of the image section of this part. It's been a fun ride with responding to all of this ragebait that I could find on pinterest. Due to previous comments saying that the only source I had are these

images, I will also be putting in some popular misandrist talking points so that the responses I put here become more broader. The images for the severities will not be used in this section to save my horrendous document formatting skills, and to save some time. Here are a few of the misandrist talking points I could find on the internet as of now.

POINT 001

“If women ruled the world, there would be no wars, just countries not talking to each other.”

(Level 7 severity)

While I know it's one of the most stupidest and most blank statement ever in this entire series, this is one of my favorite talking points that I like to contradict in the most BRUTAL ways possible.

Well, if we take into account of a “women-only” world, the first thing we would have to take note is of reproduction first. Unless that world has a machine (which would be made by men) that pops out new babies on the clock, I don't think that a “women-only” world would even make sense in the first place, let alone being able to survive for atleast 20 years maximum. And, if he take a wolrd where women are a majority and ONLY women governors/presidents rule the world, and

men are the usually “oppressed” minorities (aka a matriarchy), then it still would not be feasible enough.

In order to *even* run a country, one has to employ hard labor workers, and employees outside desk jobs. These include oil rig workers, factory workers, construction workers, military personnel etc. All of these jobs require physical strength and endurance. If we look into the current state of modern women as of now, this is nothing more than the plot for a fantasy novel. If these so called “women rulers” and all women adopted these skills necessary to run a functioning country, and respected every form of equality, than a world with only women rulers is SOMEWHAT possible to execute.

Onto the war part. In a research paper I saw a few months back, it said that in older times, **Queens were 27% more like to wage war on other nations than kings.** This was analyzed in 28 European queenly states from 1480 to 1913 (*Mentioned from “European Queens Waged More Wars Than Kings, by Tanya Basu – The Cut*).

While the above statements are true, it is also worth mentioning that among this, married queens were more like to participate in these wars as *attackers* than unmarried queens, which were generally attacked by stronger nations due to its vulnerability. If we were to put any unmarried queen in that world where women are the majority, then things will occur drastically differently than the one where men are the majority.

Firstly, the unmarried queen, and all these queens, in this case would have a lot more power in initiating war on other countries, because in the current history, queens actually put their husbands in charge of military operations and affairs. But in this world, women ARE the ones in charge of everything, and the unmarried queen in charge would have a LOT more power in starting wars against other countries, and with great power comes great responsibility---and great ego! So in here, wars would STILL be happening and nothing would change in terms of peace and passiveness, only if we add the 27% increase of the rate.


Here's the "countries not talking" part. Another good excuse that they think will be the reason for war "not occurring at all" is that all countries would not even communicate with each other, rather gossip and such. I believe that they are latching onto whatever good reason they can find to justify their unrealistic, rather "hyperbolic" claims and statements.

This is a very short part but, if every country did not even communicate with each other once, then no trades would even occur. That's because countries need to have trading routes in order to have adequate resources to build their nation (like Japan, Germany, South Korea, USA). And not every country has an abundance of resources and minerals lying below their feet, which also requires good human resources to plow out and utilize, that can't be achieved with a "women-only" workforce with women employed like these that we see online. And

with no trade routes comes a shortage of resources, that's because as before, not every country is resources abundant. And with that, comes poverty, with EXTREME poverty comes war and starvation, where wars would happen INSIDE the country.

-----END-----

Unfortunately I could only do one talking point in this entire document, as my mental state has not been in a very good position lately. My exams have ended long ago as I type this, and I'm currently waiting for a chainsaw man figure I bought online (I know not that interesting). I hope that you all are doing good, and not letting yourselves be persuaded by fear-mongering content from both the sides (Feminists and MRAs). Part three will be releasing soon once my finals end, or earlier if I feel good enough. Anyways, Merry Christmas and have a good day!



[#JusticeForAtulSubhash](#)