

GENDER MAINSTREAMING EVALUATION FRAMEWORK (GMEF) DESCRIPTOR MATRIX

LEVEL/ENTRY POINT	LEVEL 1 Foundation Formation	LEVEL 2 Installation of Strategic Mechanisms	LEVEL 3 GAD Application	LEVEL 4 Commitment Enhancement and Institutionalization	LEVEL 5 Replication and Innovation
ENABLING MECHANISMS (23 descriptors)	<i>1.1 GFPS or similar GAD mechanism created/ reconstituted in accordance with MCW and pertinent policies issued by concerned oversight agencies</i>	<i>2.1 Functional GFPS established based on guidelines issued by relevant oversight agencies</i>			<i>5.1 GFPS recognized or awarded as a model GAD mechanism by reputable local, national and international organizations on gender mainstreaming</i>
		<i>2.1 Other GAD mechanisms established</i>	<i>3.1 Other GAD Mechanisms established coordinates, monitors and reports progress of implementation</i>	<i>4.1 Other GAD Mechanisms established contributes to the attainment of desired impact</i>	<i>5.2 Other GAD Mechanisms established recognized as models by other organizations</i>
		<i>2.2 At least 5% of the total organization budget utilized to implement GAD PAPs</i>	<i>3.2 At least 30% of the total organization budget utilized to implement GAD PAPs</i> <i>3.3 GAD budget utilized judiciously*</i>	<i>4.2 At least 70% of the total organization budget utilized to implement GAD PAPs</i>	<i>5.3 100% of the total organization budget utilized to implement GAD PAPs</i>

LEVEL/ENTRY POINT	LEVEL 1 Foundation Formation	LEVEL 2 Installation of Strategic Mechanisms	LEVEL 3 GAD Application	LEVEL 4 Commitment Enhancement and Institutionalization	LEVEL 5 Replication and Innovation
	2.2 Exploratory activities initiated with PCW or other agencies/LGUs,	2.4 Engagement with agencies/LGUs, institutions and individuals	3.4 Partnership with agencies/LGUs, institutions and individuals towards the		
	institutions and/or individuals to facilitate gender mainstreaming	to facilitate conduct of the GAD PAPs of the organization	strategic implementation of the GAD PAPs of the organization		
	2.3 Information collected towards sex-disaggregation of database and enhancement of M&E System	2.5 Organization able to collect or generate SDD and/or gender statistics	3.5 SDD/gender statistics utilized by the organization in 3 or more levels of the development planning cycle	4.3 Database with SDD and/or gender statistics collects or generates sector-specific KPs on GAD 4.4 M & E system tracked the desired gender- related impacts of the organization's GAD PAPs	5.4 Centralized database with SDD and/or gender statistics established and accessible to regional offices and attached agencies, as well as clients and partner organizations
				4.5 KM System of the organization has GAD related knowledge products	5.5 KM System integrated with GAD and replicated by other organizations

GMEF ORGANIZATIONAL ASSESSMENT QUESTIONNAIRE-ENABLING MECHANISMS					
DESCRIPTORS	SCORE			Score per item	Means of Verification/Remarks
	NO	PARTLY	YES		
1. Setting up of Essential GAD Mechanisms (max score: 5; for each item or question: 1.67)					
1.1. Has the organization created/ reconstituted its GAD Focal Point System (GFPS) in accordance with Magna Carta of Women Implementing Rules and Regulations (MCW IRR) Sec. 37-C and other pertinent policies issued by oversight agencies? <i>(possible scores are 0, 0.83, and 1.67)</i>	GFPS not created/reconstituted in accordance with MCW IRR Sec 37 C and pertinent policies issued by concerned oversight agencies	Created/reconstituted GFPS or similar GAD in central office and/or selected regional and/or attached agencies/offices only	Created/reconstituted GFPS or similar GAD mechanism in the central and all regional offices and attached agencies		Please attach directory of the newly-created/ reconstituted GFPS of the organization, including its regional and attached offices as applicable* <i>*Agencies with created/reconstituted GFPS or similar GAD mechanisms in accordance with mentioned pertinent guidelines with no regional and attached offices will be awarded 1.67 points as equivalent to a “full yes” answer</i>

1.2. Has exploratory activities been initiated with the Philippine Commission on Women (PCW) or other agencies/LGUs, institutions and/or individuals to facilitate gender mainstreaming in the organization? <i>(possible scores are 0, 0.83 and 1.67)</i>	No exploratory activities initiated at all	Conducted initial meetings/consultations with PCW, organizations and/or individuals to facilitate gender mainstreaming in the organization	Initiated exploratory activity/ies (e.g. attendance to GAD-related events) with PCW, organizations and/or individuals to facilitate gender mainstreaming in the organization		If yes, please list exploratory activities conducted with organizations/individuals to facilitate gender mainstreaming in the organization (e.g., <i>Meeting with PCW for the identification of necessary capacity development activities for GFPS and staff members</i>). <table border="1" data-bbox="1477 391 2377 630"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1477 391 1846 532">List of Organizations/ Individuals</th> <th data-bbox="1846 391 2128 532">Exploratory Activities conducted</th> <th data-bbox="2128 391 2377 532">Remarks</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1477 532 1846 581"></td> <td data-bbox="1846 532 2128 581"></td> <td data-bbox="2128 532 2377 581"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1477 581 1846 630"></td> <td data-bbox="1846 581 2128 630"></td> <td data-bbox="2128 581 2377 630"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	List of Organizations/ Individuals	Exploratory Activities conducted	Remarks						
List of Organizations/ Individuals	Exploratory Activities conducted	Remarks												
1.3. Has the organization collected information towards the establishment of sex-disaggregated database and enhancement of its M&E system? <i>(possible scores are 0, 0.83, and 1.67)</i>	No information collected	Data and information collected but not gender statistics or sex-disaggregated	With intake forms developed and potential data collected for sexdisaggregation/gender statistics collected		Please list data collected for sex-disaggregation (e.g. <i>list of client beneficiaries to be disaggregated by sex</i>) Please also attach intake forms such as attendance sheets, profile forms, etc. with separate columns for sex used by the organization to gather sex-disaggregated data.									
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 1 Enabling Mechanisms)														
2. Functional GAD Mechanisms (max score:5; for each item or question: 1)														

2.1. Does the organization have a functional GAD Focal Point System (GFPS) based on the provisions of guidelines issued by relevant oversight agencies? (e.g. PCW 2011-01 for NGAs, JMC 2013-01 for LGUs and CHED MO 2015-01 for SUCs) <i>(possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)</i>	No functional GFPS based on the provisions of guidelines issued by relevant oversight agencies	GFPS performing limited functions based on the provisions of guidelines issued by relevant oversight agencies	GFPS members actively performing all the functions based on the provisions of guidelines issued by relevant oversight agencies		If GFPS is performing limited functions, please explain the challenges faced by the GFPS of the organization in mainstreaming GAD.												
2.2. Has the organization established other GAD mechanisms? <i>(possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)</i> <i>*Other GAD Mechanisms refer to those in addition to the GFPS and the GAD Database</i>	No other GAD mechanism established	1 other GAD mechanism established	Established 2 or more other GAD mechanisms (e.g. CODI, VAWC referral system, barangay VAW desk, Women's Economic Equality desk)		Please enumerate other GAD mechanisms established [e.g., Committee on Decorum and Investigation (CODI), Violence against Women and their Children (VAWC) referral system, barangay Violence against Women (VAW) desk, Women's Economic Empowerment WEE) desk]. <table border="1" data-bbox="1472 914 2237 1195"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1472 914 1674 1060">Other GAD Mechanism/s Established</th> <th data-bbox="1674 914 1854 1060">Function/s</th> <th data-bbox="1854 914 2035 1060">Members</th> <th data-bbox="2035 914 2237 1060">Date Established</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1472 1060 1674 1125"></td> <td data-bbox="1674 1060 1854 1125"></td> <td data-bbox="1854 1060 2035 1125"></td> <td data-bbox="2035 1060 2237 1125"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1472 1125 1674 1195"></td> <td data-bbox="1674 1125 1854 1195"></td> <td data-bbox="1854 1125 2035 1195"></td> <td data-bbox="2035 1125 2237 1195"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Other GAD Mechanism/s Established	Function/s	Members	Date Established								
Other GAD Mechanism/s Established	Function/s	Members	Date Established														

2.3. Has the organization utilized at least 5% of its budget* to implement GAD PAPs? <i>(possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)</i> <i>*refers to the total GAA of NGAs and LGUs or COB for GOCCs</i>	No GAD budget utilized	Organization utilized less than 5% of total budget to implement its GAD PAPs	Organization utilized 5% or more of total budget to implement its GAD PAPs		Please attach the GAD Plan and Budget (GAD PB) and GAD Accomplishment Report (GAD AR) of the organization of the same fiscal year (e.g. 2013 GAD PB and GAD AR) If no, explain the reasons why budget allocated for the implementation of GAD PAPs is less than 5%?									
2.4. Has engagement with organizations such as PCW, LGUs and/or other agencies, and individuals been established towards the conduct of GAD-related activities for the organization? <i>(possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)</i>	Organization has not engaged yet with agencies/LGUs, institutions and/or individuals towards the conduct of GAD-related activities	Organization has made sporadic engagement with agencies/LGUs, institutions and/or individuals towards the conduct of GAD-related activities	Organization has established working engagement with agencies/LGUs, institutions and/or individuals towards the conduct of GAD-related activities (e.g. conduct of a series of capacity development activities and technical assistance for the preparation of GAD PB, etc.)		Please list down consultations with organizations/individuals regarding the conduct of GAD-related activities of the organization: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1472 683 1754 867">List of Organizations/Individuals Engaged</th> <th data-bbox="1754 683 2035 867">Purpose of Engagement (e.g., request for review of GAD PB etc.)</th> <th data-bbox="2035 683 2377 867">Results of Engagement</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1472 867 1754 938"></td> <td data-bbox="1754 867 2035 938"></td> <td data-bbox="2035 867 2377 938"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1472 938 1754 1010"></td> <td data-bbox="1754 938 2035 1010"></td> <td data-bbox="2035 938 2377 1010"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	List of Organizations/Individuals Engaged	Purpose of Engagement (e.g., request for review of GAD PB etc.)	Results of Engagement						
List of Organizations/Individuals Engaged	Purpose of Engagement (e.g., request for review of GAD PB etc.)	Results of Engagement												

2.5. Is the organization able to collect or generate sex-disaggregated data (SDD) and/or gender statistics*? <i>(possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)</i>	No effort yet organization to collect or generate SDD and/or gender statistics	Organization is in the process of collecting or generating SDD and/or gender statistics	Organization has collected or generated SDD and/or gender statistics		If yes, please enumerate types of sex disaggregated data (SDD and/or gender statistics collected or generated (<i>e.g., sex-disaggregated profile of employees, client beneficiaries, cases of VAW, etc.</i>). If applicable, please list down installed Gender-responsive data collection system/s (e.g. National Violence Against Women (NVAW)
					referral system, gender-responsive Community-Based Monitoring System (CBMS) etc.).
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 2 Enabling Mechanisms)					
3. Integration of GAD in the Organization's Mechanisms (max score: 5; for each item or question: 1)					
3.1. Does the organization's other GAD mechanisms coordinate, monitor and report progress of implementation of its functions? <i>(possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)</i>	Other GAD mechanisms established but not functional	Other GAD mechanisms coordinate and monitor progress of implementation but does not generate status/progress report (limited function)	Other GAD mechanisms coordinate, monitor and generate status/progress report of implementation (fully functional)		Please attach status/progress report of the other GAD mechanisms established by the organization, including analysis of its functions and recommendations.

3.2. Has the organization utilized 30% or more of its total budget* to implement GAD PAPs? <i>(possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)</i> <i>*refers to the total GAA of NGAs and LGUs or COB for GOCCs</i>	Organization utilized 5% or less of its total budget to implement its GAD PAPs	Organization utilized 6-29% its total budget to implement its GAD PAPs	Organization utilized 30% or more its total budget to implement its GAD PAPs		Please attach the GAD Plan and Budget (GAD PB) and GAD Accomplishment Report (GAD AR) of the same fiscal year <i>(e.g. 2013 GAD PB and 2013 GAD AR)</i>
3.3. Has the organization judiciously utilized its GAD budget to implement GAD activities based on its GAD Plan (GAD PB)? <i>(possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)</i>	With AOM indicating non-utilization of at least 5% of its budget for GAD or Notice of Disallowance (ND) from COA with no justification from the organization	With AOM indicating non-utilization of at least 5% of its budget for GAD with acceptable justification from the organization	With AOM commending the judicious use of GAD funds or no AOM from COA indicating non-utilization of at least 5% of its budget for GAD		Please attach copy Audit Observation Memo (AOM) or Notice of Suspension and Disallowances (NDs) received from the Commission on Audit (COA) and the organization's justification, if applicable.

3.4. Has the organization partnered with agencies/LGUs, institutions and/or individuals towards the strategic implementation of its GAD PAPs? <i>(possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)</i>	Has not partnered with other organizations towards the strategic implementation of GAD PAPs	Partnered with 1 organization towards the strategic implementation of GAD PAPs	Partnered with 2 or more organizations towards the strategic implementation of GAD PAPs		Please list respective partnership/s or joint program/s towards the strategic implementation of the organization's GAD PAPs <i>(e.g. partnership for the conduct of a GAD-related program)</i> <table border="1" data-bbox="1472 354 2332 708"> <thead> <tr> <th>Name of Partner Agency/Institution/Individual</th> <th>GAD Effort implemented</th> <th>Type of engagement</th> <th>Inclusive Dates</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> </tbody> </table> If applicable, please also attach copies of Memoranda of Agreement, Memoranda of Understanding, Terms of Reference (TORs), Partnership Agreements, etc.	Name of Partner Agency/Institution/Individual	GAD Effort implemented	Type of engagement	Inclusive Dates												
Name of Partner Agency/Institution/Individual	GAD Effort implemented	Type of engagement	Inclusive Dates																		
3.5. Is the organization utilizing sex-disaggregated data (SDD) and/or gender statistics in the development planning cycle (planning, implementation and management and monitoring and evaluation)? <i>(possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)</i>	No SDD or gender statistics utilized in the development planning cycle	SDD and/or gender statistics utilized in 12 stages of the development planning cycle	SDD and/or gender statistics utilized in all stages of the development planning cycle		Please list GAD related data and indicators used in the development planning cycle <table border="1" data-bbox="1472 1000 2407 1328"> <thead> <tr> <th>Development Planning Cycle Stage</th> <th>Data Utilized</th> <th>Output</th> <th>Indicators Used to Measure Gender-Related Impacts of PAPs</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Development Planning Cycle Stage	Data Utilized	Output	Indicators Used to Measure Gender-Related Impacts of PAPs												
Development Planning Cycle Stage	Data Utilized	Output	Indicators Used to Measure Gender-Related Impacts of PAPs																		
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 3 Enabling Mechanisms)																					

4. Advanced GAD Mechanisms (max score: 5; for each item or question:1)					
4.1.Has the organization's other GAD mechanisms able to contribute towards the attainment of its desired impact/s? <i>(possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)</i>	Other GAD mechanisms are fully functional but has yet to contribute to the attainment of desired impact/s	Other GAD mechanisms are fully functional and contributing to the attainment of desired impact/s	Other GAD mechanisms are fully functional and resulted in desired impact/s		Please attach status/progress report, client satisfaction survey, results of gender impact assessment, documented testimonies, etc.
4.2. Has the organization utilized 70% or more of its total budget* to implement GAD PAPs? <i>(possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)</i> <i>*refers to the total GAA of NGAs and LGUs or COB for GOCCs</i>	Organization utilized 30% or less of its total budget to implement GAD PAPs	Organization utilized 31-69% of its total budget to implement GAD PAPs	Organization utilized 70% or more of its total budget to implement GAD PAPs		Please attach the GAD Plan and Budget (GAD PB) and GAD Accomplishment Report (GAD AR) of the organization of the same fiscal year (e.g. 2013 GAD PB and 2013 GAD AR)

4.3 Is the organization's database with sexdisaggregated data (SDD) and/or gender statistics able to generate sectorspecific knowledge products (KPs) on GAD? <i>(possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)</i>	Database has no SDD and/or gender statistics and not able to generate sectorspecific KPs on GAD	Database with SDD and/or gender statistics generated 1-2 sector specific KPs on GAD	Database with SDD and/or gender statistics generated 3 or more sector specific KPs on GAD		Please enumerate sector-specific KPs developed from database with SDD and/or gender statistics (<i>e.g. caselets, case studies, briefers fact sheets, etc.</i>) <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1472 354 1771 496">Title of Sector Specific knowledge products</th> <th data-bbox="1771 354 2038 496">Content of the knowledge products</th> <th data-bbox="2038 354 2320 496">Utilization of the knowledge products</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1472 496 1771 589"></td> <td data-bbox="1771 496 2038 589"></td> <td data-bbox="2038 496 2320 589"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1472 589 1771 659"></td> <td data-bbox="1771 589 2038 659"></td> <td data-bbox="2038 589 2320 659"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Title of Sector Specific knowledge products	Content of the knowledge products	Utilization of the knowledge products						
Title of Sector Specific knowledge products	Content of the knowledge products	Utilization of the knowledge products												

4.4 Is the organization's M & E system able to track the desired genderrelated impacts of its GAD PAPs on clients (internal and external)? <i>(possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)</i>	M&E system not able to track gender-related impacts of GAD PAPs on its clients(internal and external)	M&E system able to track gender-related impacts on either internal or external clients	M&E system able to track gender-related impacts on both internal and external clients	Please attach copies of M&E reports reflecting gender-related impacts of the organization's GM PAPs on its internal and external clients, such as: NGAs: Gender Impact Assessment (GIA); Annual Audit Reports (AARs); Audit Financial Reports (AFRs); Special Audit Reports (SARs); Socio-Economic Reports (SERs), Philippine Development Plan (PDP) reports, program/project reports, accomplishment reports and other related knowledge products (KPs); LGUs: end of term reports; Annual Audit Reports (AARs); administrative/accomplishment reports; State of the Municipal Address (SOMA); State of the Province Address (SOPA), Socio-Economic Reports (SERs), Regional Project Monitoring & Evaluation System (RPMES) reports, program/project reports, and other related knowledge products Please also identify indicators that measure gender-related impacts of the organization's GAD PAPs.
4.5. Does the organization have a Knowledge Management (KM) System with GADrelated knowledge products (KPs)? <i>(possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)</i>	KM system does not have GAD-related KPs	KM system has GAD-related KPs accessed and utilized by either internal or external clients	KM system has GADrelated KPs accessed and utilized by both internal and external clients	Please explain how the organization's KM system facilitates sharing of GAD-related KPs to its clients (internal and/or external). Please attach list of KPS produced and shared through the KM system. Please also attach KM Plan/framework or provide actual computer link, if applicable.
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 4 Enabling Mechanisms)				

5. Model GAD Structures and Systems (max score: 5; for each item or question:1)																					
5.1. Has the organization's GAD Focal Point System (GFPS) been recognized or awarded as a model GAD mechanism by reputable local, national, and international organizations on gender mainstreaming? <i>(possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)</i>	GFPS not yet recognized as model GAD mechanism by reputable organizations on gender mainstreaming	GFPS nominated, shortlisted or cited as model GAD mechanism by reputable organizations on gender mainstreaming	GFPS awarded as model GAD mechanism by reputable organizations on gender mainstreaming		Please list awards/citations/documented testimonies received. <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Awarding Institutions</th> <th>Local/National/ International</th> <th>Award/Citations received</th> <th>Year</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Awarding Institutions	Local/National/ International	Award/Citations received	Year												
Awarding Institutions	Local/National/ International	Award/Citations received	Year																		
5.2. Has the organization's other GAD mechanisms been recognized as models by other organizations? <i>(possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)</i>	Other GAD mechanisms established not yet recognized by other organizations as models	Other GAD mechanisms recognized as models by 1-2 organizations	Other GAD mechanisms recognized as models by 3 or more organizations		Please list awards/citations/documented testimonies received. <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Awarding Institutions</th> <th>Local/National/ International</th> <th>Award/Citations received</th> <th>Year</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Awarding Institutions	Local/National/ International	Award/Citations received	Year												
Awarding Institutions	Local/National/ International	Award/Citations received	Year																		

5.3. Has the organization utilized 100% of its total budget* to implement GAD PAPs? <i>(possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)</i> <i>*refers to the total GAA of NGAs and LGUs or COB for GOCCs</i>	Organization utilized 70% or less of its total budget to implement GAD PAPs	Organization utilized 71-99% or less of its total budget to implement GAD PAPs	Organization utilized 100% of its total budget to implement GAD PAPs		Please attach the GAD Plan and Budget (GAD PB) and GAD Accomplishment Report (GAD AR) of the organization of the same fiscal year (e.g. 2013 GAD PB and 2013 GAD AR)
5.4. Has the organization established a centralized database with sex-disaggregated data (SDD) and/or gender statistics accessible to its regional offices and attached agencies, as well as external clients and partner organizations? <i>(possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)</i>	Database with SDD and/or gender statistics established but accessible only to central office	Database with SDD and/or gender statistics established and accessible to central, regional offices and attached agencies, as well as to external clients and partner organizations	Database with SDD and/or gender statistics established and accessible to		Please provide link of database with sex-disaggregated data (SDD) If Manual, list information/data that are accessible to regional offices, attached agencies, external clients and partner organizations.
5.5 Is the Knowledge Management (KM) system of the organization integrated with GAD and replicated by other organizations? <i>(possible scores are 0,</i>	KM system not integrated with GAD and not yet replicated by other organizations	KM system of the organization integrated with GAD and replicated by 1-2 organizations	KM system of the organization integrated with GAD and replicated by 3 or more organizations		Please explain how GAD is integrated in the KM system of the organization and list down other organizations that replicated the KM system.

0.5 and 1					
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 5 Enabling Mechanisms)					
TOTAL GMEF SCORE (Enabling Mechanisms)					

GMEF ORGANIZATIONAL ASSESSMENT QUESTIONNAIRE-ENABLING MECHANISMS					
DESCRIPTORS	SCORE			Score per item	Means of Verification/Remarks
	NO	PARTLY	YES		
1. Setting up of Essential GAD Mechanisms (max score: 5; for each item or question: 1.67)					
1.1					
1.2					
1.3					
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 1 Enabling Mechanisms)					
2. Functional GAD Mechanisms (max score:5; for each item or question: 1)					
2.1					
2.2					
2.3					
2.4					

2.5					
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 2 Enabling Mechanisms)					
3. Integration of GAD in the Organization's Mechanisms (max score: 5; for each item or question: 1)					
3.1					
3.2					
3.3					
3.4					
3.5					
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 3 Enabling Mechanisms)					
4. Advanced GAD Mechanisms (max score: 5; for each item or question:1)					
4.1					
4.					

4.3					
4.4					
4.5.					
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 4 Enabling Mechanisms)					
5. Model GAD Structures and Systems (max score: 5; for each item or question:1)					
5.1					
5.2					
5.3					
5.4					
5.5					
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 5 Enabling Mechanisms)					

TOTAL GMEF SCORE (Enabling Mechanisms)	
---	--