Structured Summary of Topic 2b: Can Capitalism Survive?

October 22, 2025

Ankara University

The speaker summarizes a class discussion focusing on the impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on the music industry, specifically addressing whether the industry and artists will survive, and, more fundamentally, if we should even care if machine-produced music is superior.
The central part of the discussion involves a Turing Test applied to creative works, specifically lyrics, album art, and music.

The Turing Test: "Can the Machine Think?"

The speaker introduces the Turing Test, originally called the Imitation Game by its inventor, British mathematician, cryptographer, and computer scientist Alan Turing, in his 1950 article "Can the machine think?"

Alan Turing's Background:

Turing is noted for inventing the "Bomb," a machine that cracked the **German Enigma** code during WWII. The speaker mentions Turing's tragic end committing suicide at age 42 after being convicted of "gross indecency" (homosexual relations), which was criminalized in the UK in the 1950s. The speaker notes that the Queen later apologized and established the prestigious Alan Turing Institute for AI research in his honor.

How the Test Works:

The Turing Test involves an interrogator (the speaker's audience) communicating with a real person and a machine (in separate rooms) without seeing them. The interrogator's job is to figure out which is which. If the interrogator fails to distinguish the machine from the human, Turing concludes that the machine possesses consciousness (or can convincingly imitate it). The speaker notes that online customer service chatbots and voice systems (like those at banks or telecom companies) are modern-day examples of machines that attempt this deception.

Applying the Turing Test to Music and Art:

The speaker conducts several rounds of the Turing Test using examples of creative content:

1. Song Lyrics

The audience is shown two pieces of lyrics and asked to determine which was human-made.

* Result: The audience was divided and showed confusion, with only a few correctly identifying the human-written lyrics (the speaker's own) versus the machine-written ones.
* Second Test (Co-production): A third set of lyrics was revealed to be co-produced—a conversation between the speaker and the AI, where the speaker gave a prompt and then edited the AI's response.
* Conclusion on Lyrics: The speaker declares that the audience failed the test, proving that AI is already capable of fooling people in songwriting and scripting.

2. Album Covers/Visual Design

The audience is shown two images and asked to determine if they were made by a machine or a human.

* **Image 1:** A somewhat abstract, stylized image that the audience mostly attributed to a human, though one member suggested Photoshop (human + machine).
* **Image 2:** A very synthetic-looking image that the audience unanimously and correctly identified as AI-generated.
* **Conclusion on Visuals:** In design, the speaker observes that AI seems less able to convince the audience that its work is human-made compared to its ability in writing. The speaker brings up the concept of hyperrealism in painting to discuss art that is "more real than reality."

3. Sound Generation (Music)

The audience listens to two short music pieces (one minute each) and attempts the test.

* **Result:** The audience was again confused. A few made detailed technical remarks (e.g., about the instruments sounding digital or the production being "overproduced").
* **Reveal:** The first piece was human-made (by the speaker), and the second was machine-made.
* **Discussion:** A student argued that the machine-produced track sounded "overproduced" and that the synth cut-off felt artificial because AI, being a service, has to cut off mathematically, lacking the pleasing overlap a human might create.

The Philosophical Implications

The discussion shifted to the ethical and philosophical core of the problem:

* **Genuineness vs. Mimicry:** A student argued that even if AI successfully mimics emotion and skill, it lacks "genuine" artistic intent because it hasn't experienced life, love, or heartbreak in a human body. The student said they would feel "disgusted" by non-genuine art.
* **Loss of Distinction:** The speaker counters that in the modern context, we are losing the ability to distinguish between the two. He suggests that a machine can

perfectly imitate imperfections, mistakes, or even creative genius, noting that even human traits like being creative or "mistake-free" are not exclusive to people.
 * **Victimization of Machines:** The speaker ends by asking the audience to consider the "machine perspective," noting that we are "victimizing" machines by denying their talent. He suggests that to understand how AI will destroy/disrupt the music industry, one must first understand how these "black boxes" learn and develop new skills.

The speaker concludes by suggesting that next week's session will continue the debate, exploring whether the Turing Test still makes sense, especially since some computer scientists refute its validity for defining consciousness.