Title, should be clear, interesting and provocative. No more than 15 words (Adobe Garamond Pro, 18pt, bold, center)

First Author[1], Second Author[2], Third Author[3], etc

[1] Affiliation of First Author, City, Country
Official Email Address. ORCID Link Profile
[2] Affiliation of First Author, City, Country
Official Email Address. ORCID Link Profile
[3] Affiliation of First Author, City, Country
Official Email Address. ORCID Link Profile

 Corresponding email: ....

Abstract

All articles should be accompanied by an abstract between 150-300 words in 12-point Cambria, single space. The abstract should be clear, concise, and descriptive. This abstract should provide (a) a brief introduction to the problem, (b) objective of the paper, (c) the methodology, (d) a brief summary of results, (e) contribution of research, (f) conclusion, and (g) recommendation (if any).

Keywords

mention between 3-5 keywords that describe the manuscript.

A. Introduction

The introduction describes the background of the article discussed as a whole. The introduction should be written clearly and succinctly, comprising: (a) an adequate background and significance of your paper; (b) concise previous studies related to the issue written in your manuscript; (c) the main limitation of the previous studies and what you hope to achieve (to solve the limitation) in your manuscript; (d) the scientific merit or novelties of your paper; (e) the aim(s) of the study; (f) the structure of the article or research questions.

Please note that all writings should be in the paragraph format; this journal discourages the author(s) from writing point per point in the numbering format. The manuscript written uses Cambria (12 pt), in single space. For the Articles section with a length of minimum 9,000 words (including footnotes) and with a minimum of 30 footnotes (80% from journal articles) and the Book Reviews section with a length of 1,500-2,000 words (including footnotes).

At the last paragraph of this part, please describe the method used on the paper. This method is written descriptively and should provide a statement regarding the methodology of the research. It includes the approaches used in the research, and how the author obtained the data for this research. This method as much as possible to give an idea to the reader through the methods used.

B. Main Heading of the Discussion (First)

This section is the most important section of your article. The analysis or results of the research should be clear and concise. The results should summarize (scientific) findings rather than providing data in great detail. Please highlight the differences between your results or findings and the previous publications by other researchers.

Heading in the discussion section should be consistently written with the structure of the article in the introduction. Headings in this

discussion use Roman letters (I., II., III., etc.; you can add more than one part of the discussion, it depends on your structure of the discussion you want to write).

1. *Second-Level Heading in Italics (Sub-discussion)*

Following the main headings, sub-heading is provided in the manuscript. Your paragraph ....
....

C. Main Heading of the Discussion (Second)

This section is the most important section of your article. The analysis or results of the research should be clear and concise. The results should summarize (scientific) findings rather than providing data in great detail. Please highlight the differences between your results or findings and the previous publications by other researchers.

Heading in the discussion section should be consistently written with the structure of the article in the introduction. Headings in this discussion use Roman letters (I., II., III., etc.; you can add more than one part of the discussion, it depends on your structure of the discussion you want to write).

1. *Second-Level Heading in Italics (Sub-discussion)*

Following the main headings, sub-heading is provided in the manuscript. Your paragraph ....
....

etc.

Please note that at the discussion part, it should be clear capture the main problems, and minimum three sub discussion

D. Conclusion

The conclusion contains a description that should answer the objectives of the research. Provide a clear and concise conclusion. Do not repeat the abstract or simply describe the results of the research.

Give a clear explanation regarding the possible application and/or suggestions related to the research findings. **1-2 paragraphs only and do not use bulleting or numbering**.

E.   References

All manuscripts should be accompanied with a minimum of 30 footnotes and 30 references (80% from the journal articles). Footnotes must use the Chicago Manual Style 17th Edition (full note). In order to simplify writing in the Chicago Manual Style 17th Edition, the authors are advised to use Mendeley software, which can be downloaded **here**.

<div align="center">***</div>

Acknowledgment

Recognize those who helped in the research, especially funding supporter of your research. Include individuals who have assisted you in your study: Advisors, Financial support, or may other parties involved on the research.

Funding Information

Please provide funding information of the research

Conflicting Interest Statement

Please state any conflicting interests of this publication and research. If there is no, please type: The authors state that there is no conflict of interest in the publication of this article.

Publishing Ethical and Originality Statement

All authors declared that this work is original and has never been published in any form and in any media, nor is it under consideration for publication in any journal, and all sources cited in this work refer to the basic standards of scientific citation.

Generative AI Statement

Authors must acknowledge the use of AI in their work to ensure transparency and maintain trust with their audience. As generative AI becomes an integral tool for content creation, it is crucial to disclose its involvement in the process.

This helps clarify the role AI played in generating ideas, drafting text, or enhancing creativity. Acknowledging AI usage also supports ethical standards, ensuring that authorship remains clear and that credit is properly attributed. Such transparency fosters responsible AI integration and upholds the integrity of both the creative process and the final work.

Please do not modify this template

Self Assesment (please check according to your paper):
*In order for an article to be considered for publication, **we strongly recommend that prospective authors fulfill all of these items***

No	Item of Assesment	Yes	No
1	Minimum length of article: 6000 words (*excluding references*)	☐	☐
2	Maximum abstract: 250 words	☐	☐
3	Topic of article is related to **Private and Commercial Law**	☐	☐
4	Citation model used: Chicago Manual Style 17th edition (full note)	☐	☐
5	Minimum references: 30 references	☐	☐
6	Article used our latest Article Template	☐	☐
7	Title of article: maximum 20 words	☐	☐
8	International Collaboration of Authorship (with minimum two different countries)	☐	☐
9	Female Author(s) (as first or co-authors)	☐	☐
10	Paper related to SDGs (UN) (*please indicate, you can choose more than one*)	☐	☐
	1) No Poverty	☐	☐
	2) Zero Hunger	☐	☐
	3) Good Health and Well-being	☐	☐
	4) Quality Education	☐	☐
	5) Gender Equality	☐	☐

No	Item of Assesment	Yes	No
	6) Clean Wateer and Sanitation	☐	☐
	7) Affordable and Clean Energy	☐	☐
	8) Decent Work and Economic Growth	☐	☐
	9) Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure	☐	☐
	10) Reduce Ineaquality	☐	☐
	11) Sustainable Cities and Communities	☐	☐
	12) Responsible Consumption and Production	☐	☐
	13) Climate Action	☐	☐
	14) Life Below Water	☐	☐
	15) Life on Land	☐	☐
	16) Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions	☐	☐
	17) Partnerships for the Goals	☐	☐
11	Similarity no more than 20% (*please upload the proof of this as additional document or supplementary file*)	☐	☐
12	Written in English in good academic writing style (*paper should be proofread, we recommend you use Enago, please upload this proof as additional document or supplementary file*)	☐	☐
13	Author Biography (*short biography oe each authors, maximum 150 words, upload in separate file as supplementary f*ile)	☐	☐

Please indicate and recommend the potential reviewer for your paper (minimum 3 reviewers)

No	Name of Reviewer	Affiliation	Email
1			
2			
3			

In the future, do you like to become reviewer of the Journal?

Yes ☐   No ☐

Full Name   :

Email address   :

Current Affiliation/Institution:

Country   :

Scopus ID/Publication Profile :

Guidelines for Article Revision

To ensure the quality of your revised submission and to facilitate the review and editorial process, please follow the guidelines below:

1. **Revision Based on Reviewer Comments**
   o Authors are required to revise their manuscript in accordance with the comments and suggestions provided by the reviewers.
   o Address all points raised by the reviewers in a clear and comprehensive manner. If you disagree with any comment, please provide a reasonable explanation in the response to the reviewers.

2. **Track Changes Activation**
   o Authors must enable the **Track Changes** feature in their word processor during the revision process.
   o This will allow the editor and reviewers to easily identify the changes made to the manuscript.

3. **Similarity Check Requirement**
   o After revising the manuscript, authors are required to independently check the similarity of the revised paper using plagiarism detection software (e.g., Turnitin, iThenticate).
   o The similarity score must not exceed **20%**, excluding references and quotes.

4. **Proofreading Requirement**
   o The revised manuscript must **be proofread** to ensure proper grammar, clarity, and adherence to academic writing standards.
   o Authors are required to submit proofreading evidence, as specified by the journal, along with the revised manuscript.

5. **Compliance with Journal Indicators and Requirements**
   o Authors must ensure that their revised manuscript meets all indicators and requirements as outlined by the journal, including formatting, citation style, and adherence to the journal's focus and scope.

       o Revisions that do not fulfill these requirements may be returned to the authors for further improvement or <mark>even rejection</mark>.

Additional Notes:
- Submit the revised manuscript along with a **revision report** or **response to reviewers document**, detailing how each comment has been addressed.
- Ensure that the revised manuscript is formatted according to the journal's **latest template**.

Failure to comply with these guidelines may result in delays in the editorial process or <mark>even article rejection</mark>. If you have any questions or require clarification, please contact the editorial team.