

WP-WIP #2: Tues 12/11 @ 10 - 11:30am

For students who will miss this WP-WIP, please insert your pre-work answers into a row in this table:

Student name	Update in at least this format: • What did I do this week? • What will I do by next week? • What questions do I have for Amin, Whit and/or the group?	Answer(s) to these questions <i>(anyone can fill in these cells)</i> <i>(e.g. not just Amin please)</i>
Claire Johns	• This week I began to make edits to my page and added some sections: edited the type of leukemia section, edited lead section, edited symptoms section, edited diagnosis section, created and wrote epidemiology section, created and wrote prognosis section. I also added citations to some of the sections I edited that did not have citations. • Next week, I will edit the treatment section, expand on the after effects section and receive peer edit. Revisit work and see if I can write things in simpler language. • No questions at this point in time. I will look forward to hearing feedback during the peer edit.	
Dan Ward	• I have drafted a section on orbital compartment syndrome on my talk page. I have also made a few edits for grammar and spelling on the compartment syndrome page • More edits to follow as I update some of the links on the page in coming days, with the article ready for	

	peer-review by Thursday (hopefully). I am trying to simplify the language in the article as written as well. Finally, I am working on finding/creating an image for the new orbital compartment syndrome section of the page. • No questions at this time	
Niko Pascua	• Created a section on blunt thoracic trauma, added links to existing sections, fixed some spelling and grammatical errors. I recreated a table with example illustrations of the specific mechanism of blunt trauma and common injuries. • I will finalize the thoracic trauma section, adding common procedures and links and begin the section on extremities using my thoracic section as a guideline. • No Questions, but I recommend if people are struggling with uploading images, they check out Rogers post on slack for more detail.	
Koki	• Created sections Signs+symptoms, and Causes • Will add more to both, especially Causes especially under Sporadic. Also will add pictures • Question: Under S/S, should I add a section that briefly goes over S/S of each specific disease?	Suggestion to link out to other existing pages (e.g. specific disease entities)
Manny	• Reviewed entire archived	

	Talk history discussion regarding changing title of “Sexual Reassignment Surgery,” alphabetized subheadings in “International” section, and added new subheadings and content for “Argentina” and “Switzerland”. • Will continue to add content to the “International” section, including the addition of France, Morocco, Egypt, and Latin American countries. • Question: Talk page suggested joining the “History” and “International” section. Thoughts?	
Steffani Campbell	• Continued research for the training and diagnostics and intervention section. Wrote drafts of these sections and considered additional section for scope. • By next week, I will have finished drafts of all sections and will have them posted live. • Question: Unsure if I want a “scope” section or if I should just add it to the lead. Any thoughts on how to make that call?	
	•	

General Notes from this WP-WIP:

- Arrangement of sections for flow
- Avoiding “doctor speak”
 - have wiki link go to “alimentation” page but word on page is “eating”
 - “This also known as....”- ex: alimentation (also known as eating)
 - Abscess → collection of infection
 - Nasal cavity → nose
 - Definitions portion at beginning (if need to include some terminology)

- Adding images? source→ wikimedia commons (https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Main_Page); if draw own, should upload picture to wikimedia commons, then other people can also use
- Edit war? How to approach
 - Other user removing substantial information before chance to add citations
 - Possible solution- edit in sandbox and add references before
 - Dialogue with specific person? Difficult to tell if spiteful, possibly not, but person feels like they have ownership of page
 - Can add something to talk page and recruit other wikipedians to help
 - Changes in culture and “common naming” of topics vs most appropriate
- Terminology/Sources- What point can we use a standard definition?
 - Some sections on article page don't have citations, what is acceptable to include
 - How vague to be? Attempt to have mini lead for every section and then go into details (general → specific)
 - Some topics are more case reports than systematic reviews (n is too low) BUT if written into textbooks (reviewed, published) then more likely to be considered a “good” source
 - Use Cochrane → systematic review, aggregates case reviews, can use this as a citation source
- Textbook citations
 - Use UCSF Library to find textbooks (<https://www.library.ucsf.edu/>; <https://accessmedicine.mhmedical.com/>)
 - Is it appropriate to continue citing the same textbook throughout the article? Yes, why not if the source is so good
 - (Ex: Hepatitis, sources #14, 17 are included at least 30 times in the same article)
- Closed vs open access
 - Should just include even if people without access
 - If point contained in abstract, then should link at least for people to read/see them

Dr. Azzam's Points

- Given as much autonomy as possible, but also want to make sure students are meeting expectations (full time academic credit for the month)
- All juggling balls→ time management and prioritization
- Thousands of people are reading your work