



THESIS FINAL DEFENSE EVALUATION TOOL

Master of Business Administration
 Faculty of Business Administration
 St. Teresa International University

Name: _____ Student ID: _____
 Date of Defense: _____ Venue: _____
 Title of Thesis: _____

PART I. WRITTEN OUTPUT (50%)	Very Evident	Evident	Fairly Evident	Not Evident
A. The Problem / Background / Rationale				
1. The problem is timely and relevant.	4	3	2	1
2. The problem deals with relation between two or more variables.	4	3	2	1
3. The background /rationale of the study spell out the peculiarity of the situation.	4	3	2	1
4. The significance of the study is discussed and research is justified.	4	3	2	1
5. The problem, scope and limitation have clarity, originality and specificity.	4	3	2	1
6. Important variables and terms are operationally defined.	4	3	2	1
7. Assumptions and hypothesis are clearly stated.	4	3	2	1
B. Review of Related Literature				
1. Cited literatures are clearly organized.	4	3	2	1
2. Documentation is adequate and appropriate.	4	3	2	1
3. Review provides a rationale for the research problem.	4	3	2	1
4. Review includes recent literature in both content and method.	4	3	2	1
5. Problem is developed within a strong theoretical framework.	4	3	2	1
C. Methodology				
1. Design is clear and appropriate.	4	3	2	1
2. Sampling techniques used is clear and appropriate.	4	3	2	1
3. Instruments used in gathering data are sufficient.	4	3	2	1
4. Data analysis is appropriate and reliable.	4	3	2	1
D. Presentation and Discussion of Data				

1. Organization and presentation of data is clear and logical.	4	3	2	1
2. Analysis of data is scientific.	4	3	2	1
3. Presentation and analysis of data respond to research objectives.	4	3	2	1
4. Data are analyzed and interpreted in depth.	4	3	2	1
E. Summary, Conclusion and Recommendations				
1. Summary of findings is clear and logical.	4	3	2	1
2. Conclusions are valid and logical.	4	3	2	1
3. Recommendations and coherent are feasible.	4	3	2	1
F. Others:				
1. Thesis/Dissertation was carefully prepared				
2. Thesis/Dissertation is well-organized.				
3. Thesis/Dissertation reflects the innovativeness and				
4. Thesis/Dissertation brings about excellent impact to the reader				
5. Thesis/Dissertation carefully used APA Format.				
Total Score __/28 items = MEAN RATING: _____				
Rating	Description			
2.5 – 4.0	Ready for Defense			
1.0-2.4	Not ready for Defense (Needs Improvement)			

PART II. ORAL DEFENSE (50%)	Very Evident	Evident	Fairly Evident	Not Evident
1. Overall knowledge of the Thesis / Dissertation				
2. Mastery and competence in the field of study				
3. Understanding of the relationship of the study to current fields of endeavor				
4. Defense of various issues connected with the study				
5. Confidence and ability to keep discussion on the issues				
6. Alertness, comprehension and correct responses to questions				
7. Effectiveness and articulateness in the use of English				
8. Receptiveness towards recommendations and suggestions				
9. Effective use of visual aids/DLP/Powerpoint				
10. Appropriately attired				
Total Score __/10 items = MEAN RATING:				

PART I - Written Output Mean Rating	
PART II – Oral Presentation/Defense Mean Rating	
Total Rating	
FINAL RATING	

Panelist: _____ **Date:** _____
 (Signature Over Printed Name)

Rating	Descriptions	
4.0	Passed / Excellent	*Approval Sheet may now be signed by the members.
3.5 - 3.9	Passed /Good	*Approval Sheet may now be signed by the members.
3.0 – 3.4	Passed (with Minor Revisions)	
2.5 – 2.9	Passed (with Major Revisions)	
2.0 – 2.4	Conditional for Masteral (Re-evaluation or Redefense)	
1.9 below	Failed	