

Why Michael Afton is the Bite Victim from FNAF4

By: LilyFroggo, Spooderman and Mythical Explains

Part 1: The original story

The original story of FNAF that I am referring to is the first 4 games. We know Scott, at least at one point in time, considered these four games to be one complete story. Thus, a theory should be able to stand using only these 4 games. If it is forced to rely on other sources than these games exclusively, then the theory is pretty much dead. And within fnaf 4, MikeVictim definitely has the most evidence out of any bv theory. Scott himself said that fnaf 4 had no random easter eggs, and with that knowledge, MikeDreamer becomes an indisputable fact.

1. The garbled phone call in the ambience proves we are playing as someone who heard it, the only character we know heard it, was Mike
2. The nightmare animatronics follow the same AI as the core 4 from fnaf 1
3. The curtain at pirate cove, and the wall where the closet is are both covered in stars
4. The fan and the phone are both present

Using only the first 4 games, it is completely possible to conclude we play as Mike Schmidt. Now, the same can be said for BVDreamer, since bv's experiences are reflected by the nightmares

1. Foxy is missing his head in the first minigame, and Foxy is absent on night 1
2. Foxybro makes his debut in minigame 1, and Foxy makes his debut on night 1
3. Nights 3 and 4 both feature the core 4 attacking together, and both minigames 3 and 4 have references to what bv saw(the mci, which involved the core 4 getting possessed)
4. The 5th minigame features BV trapped with Fredbear the entire time, and Fredbear is the only antagonist on night 5
5. The 6th minigame features the core 4 carrying bv to Fredbear, and night 6 starts with the core 4, and ends with Fredbear
6. Fun With Plushtrap is definitely inspired by the plushtrap kid, there's no doubt about that.

So Mikedreamer and BVDreamer are both perfectly reasonable conclusions that can be made with 1-4 alone, let's look at brodreamer

There's nothing here

Mikedreamer and Bvdreamer both have evidence with just 1-4, brodreamer does not.

Now the main argument used to support Brodreamer is that fnaf 4 is a guilt nightmare, where Mike is putting himself into bv's shoes, thus explaining away all the bvdreamer evidence. But there's one simple fact that debunks this theory, if this were a guilt nightmare, Foxy would be the main antagonist, not Fredbear. Mike was Foxy, he would be most scared of Foxy because he's the one who caused the bite. Fredbear is just a big robot, an accessory to the extreme torture bv was put through, the majority of which was done by Foxy. Fredbear being the main antagonist makes way more sense under Bvdreamer because Fredbear is the one who killed him.

The only real issue with Mikevictim in the context of 1-4 is that revival as a concept wasn't really fleshed out yet. But even passed this, an argument can be made that Mike woke from his coma after the bite because of a very specific detail: the pills



A comatose patient lacks the ability to actually swallow pills, these being here only makes sense if bv woke from his coma. And to answer the question of why bv fades away after the flatline, 1, flatlining doesn't mean you're gone for good, it is possible to wake up after you flatline, and 2, if bv never faded away, and woke up onscreen, there'd be no mystery, everyone would immediately discredit any theory that isn't MikeVictim because we see bv survive. It just makes sense from a mystery perspective to have him fade away, even though he wakes up, because the mystery was the main reason the series got so popular(plus youtubers overreacting to jumpscars). So Mikevictim suffers from revival not being fully fleshed out just yet, and Mikebully suffers from having no real evidence, the former is simply way more likely

- In my opinion fnaf 4 foreshadows what BV will see/experience in the other games
- Pigtail girl talks about some rumors, saying “i hear they come to life at night, they hide your body and never tell anyone” this is exactly what the animatronics in fnaf 1 do, they come to life at night and they hide your body in animatronic suits
 - The way the older brother jumpscares BV is similar to the way Foxy jumpscares Mike in fnaf 1
 - In the fnaf 4 minigames we see the fredbear and springbonnie casting shadows which could foreshadow the shadow animatronics from fnaf 2
 - In the park we see a girl who has toy versions of the toy animatronics but if you look closely you will notice the beak on toy chica is missing, and in fnaf 2 toy chica removes her beak when she goes on the attack
 - We see a kid who holds a balloon and looks very similar to balloon boy from fnaf 2
 - The plushtrap kid has a springbonnie plush and says “my daddy says i have to be careful with him or i will pinch my finger.”, and you have to be careful with springlock suits or they will pinch(x1000) you, and plushtrap’s appearance is similar to springtrap foreshadowing BV seeing springtrap

All of this foreshadows the BV being the one who we play as in fnaf 1-3

Fnaf World

After the community was stumped by fnaf 4, Scott attempted to push us in the right direction with Fnaf World, a game that originally wasn’t meant to be canon, but Scott attempted to force into the canon. Whether or not you believe Fnaf World to still be canon, the point remains that this was going to be Scott’s way of clarifying fnaf 4, so everything in it is what his vision of fnaf 4’s story was supposed to be.

The opening cutscene sets the stage for what this game is going to be

“Everything that happens out there has an effect here, do you understand?”

“This is a safe place, this is a sanctuary. But something has gone wrong, and now it can be seen here”

“Something went very wrong, that’s why I am here”

“But I won’t let the same happen to you”

“I will put you back together”

That last line is the most important one since it confirms this game’s connection to fnaf 4, since at this point in the series that line had only been used twice, here, and in fnaf 4. The line right before it is also very interesting, “I won’t let the same happen to you”. This, and the “I will put you back together” seem to be connected, as they’re said right next to each other. It is pretty obvious we are playing from bv’s perspective right now, given the line, so fredbear plush not

letting something happen to us that has happened to others is very interesting. Every dead kid in this series has ended up possessing an animatronic, so FP specifically saying they won't let the same happen to us, at least in my opinion, means bv doesn't possess any animatronic. But the cutscene that really hits home on Mikevictim is the clock ending.

“We are still your friends, do you still believe that?”

“The pieces are in place for you.”

“All you have to do is find them.”

“Rest.”

If it wasn't clear by now that we are watching from bv's perspective, it should be. But the dialogue here is extremely interesting. Fredbear plush is telling us to find the pieces. We know the pieces are the happiest day minigames, based on the clock minigames paralleling them. Well it just so happens that we find those pieces in fnaf 3, when we unlock the good ending. We, the player, need to activate the minigames in order to unlock the ending. The player(Mike) finds the pieces that bv was instructed to find. Therefore, bv is likely Mike. People often say that “Rest” debunks MikeVictim, since BV is supposedly resting his spirit. The issue is that if he did rest his spirit, he wouldn't be able to put the pieces together. If anything, rest probably means to heal from his wound.

Sister Location

Before providing the evidence for mikevictim from sister location i will debunk the mikebro evidence first

- 1) People claim “self reflection on past mistakes” is referring to the bite but there's really nothing that supports it, “self reflection on past mistakes could easily be referring to getting the job at the location
- 2) On night 2 Hand unit chooses the “angsty teen” voice and people claim it confirms mikebro as it's a reference to the foxybro, in my opinion this implies PhoneBro more as hand unit is a phone guy stand in for sister location

Now time for the evidence

- 1) Sister location confirms the fnaf 4 room is owned by the bite victim as we see the fredbear plush near cameras of the fnaf 4 room, and in fnaf 4 we play as michael
- 2) In the breaker room challenge we see the plushtrap hallway, fnaf 4 minigames map and the fnaf 4 bedroom we see a white dot in each of them and from fnaf 1-3 we can assume the white dot represents the player, Michael Afton. The white dot in the fnaf 4 minigame bedroom confirms michael afton is in fact, the bite victim.
- 3) Funtime Freddy calls Michael a “birthday boy” which could be hinting at Michael being the bite victim as it was the bite victim's birthday on the day of the bite

Midnight Motorist

If you believe MM is about an MCI victim, this section can be skipped but if you believe WilliamMM or MrsMM, you should read this. A common argument against Mikevictim is that Foxybro is watching tv in Midnight Motorist like how Michael does in Sister Location. However those people fail to realize that a good chunk of the population has also watched television, including bv. In fnaf 4, there's an easter egg which shows bv watches the Fredbear and Friends tv show. So Foxybro watching tv is no better evidence than bv watching tv. And FoxyBro is watching TV at Midnight, when Mike's favorite show Immortal and the Restless airs at 6:00 AM.

FFPS

In the back alley we see a poster which people claim confirms Mikebro
We see a puppeteer wearing purple, his puppet, a clown and a bear
People say the puppeteer is William, the puppet is Michael, the clown is Elizabeth and the bear
is the bite victim
This would disprove Mikevictim right? No.
The bear could easily be Cassidy, and the birthday hat could easily be referring to Happiest day
If you're confused why I included Cassidy as an afton

[Please read this doc.](#)

By: spooderman

Survival Logbook

Scott continued and concluded the story with Pizzeria Simulator and Ultimate Custom Night. There isn't much evidence of either theory here, but the main point of contention in this era was the Logbook. The majority of the fanbase believes there are two spirits within the logbook, Cassidy, and bv. However, this makes zero sense.

First, Cassidy is already shown to be capable of communicating through faded and edited text. It's how the whole Cassidy code functions. Second, the point about bv editing the text to answer Cassidy's questions objectively makes no sense because 1. only two of his responses actually answer questions, "I can hear sounds" and "I'm scared" don't answer any of the questions Cassidy asks. And one of the responses blatantly debunks the whole notion. "I can't see". If bv can't see, then he can't answer any of the questions Cassidy asks. This also debunks the Evan code, since none of the edited text actually responds to anything.

An excuse that is used is that the Logbook only shows us their conversation, and it doesn't happen there (like the code thing with Ennard) but there's one major issue: All of Cassidy's questions line up with the Logbook prompt.

- Page 23: The Logbook asks Mike to reflect on the things in life he's most thankful for. The Faded Text Spirit writes **WAS YOUR FAVORITE CHILDHOOD TOY A PLASTIC PURPLE TELEPHONE?**
- Page 31: Mike is asked to "reflect on the happiest day in your life" and "write about one specific memory from that day that will make you feel better if you find yourself facing new difficulties." Mike crossed out "new difficulties" and wrote "CERTAIN DEATH!!" Cassidy asks **DO YOU REMEMBER YOUR NAME?**
- Page 40: The Logbook asks Mike about his "recent dreams." Michael draws Nightmare Fredbear. Cassidy writes **DO YOU HAVE DREAMS?**
- Page 42-43: On a page full of toys, including a toy telephone, Cassidy asks **DO ANY OF THESE TOYS LOOK FAMILIAR TO YOU?** and **OR ONE OF THESE BELONG TO YOU?**
- Page 56: The book asks Mike to "list some things that would be great to have in a pizzeria that would distract people from the depressing realities of life." Cassidy writes **WAS YOUR FAVORITE RIDE THE CAROUSEL?**
- Page 59: This page only contains a picture of a mirror with no text written by the Logbook author. Cassidy writes **WHAT DO YOU SEE?** underneath it.
- Page 70: Mike is asked who he would miss the most if he died in a tragic workplace accident. Cassidy asks **DO YOU MISS THEM?**
- Page 75: "In the face of extreme fear, it can be calming to think back to your childhood," the Logbook says. "Do you have a stuffed animal or blanket that you took with you everywhere? What about an imaginary friend? Write about them here." This page features a large Fredbear plush staring right at the reader. Cassidy asks **DOES HE STILL TALK TO YOU?**
- Page 83: Mike is asked to write a song. Cassidy asks **IS THIS SONG FAMILIAR TO YOU?**
- Page 102-103: Mike is asked to plan a party. Under "People to invite," Cassidy says **THE PARTY WAS FOR YOU.**

-DrSpookulicious, Source: [All the Evidence for the Faded Text Spirit Talking to Michael Afton in the Logbook](#)

There's also how she draws toys and asks Michael "Do these toys look familiar to you?" How the fuck would she be showing BV those toys if they weren't talking through the logbook?

The reason Cassidy is putting these into the rate your night sections is to give Mike a way to actually answer her questions, he just has to circle the number to say how true each statement is. In fact, one of Cassidy's lines almost confirms Mikevictim. She asks "Was your favorite childhood toy a plastic purple telephone". This alone should confirm bvdreamer, since the phone is only present in the gameplay bedroom, and notice how cassidy calls the toy a "childhood" toy? This implies the question is directed towards an adult and not a child, this little detail always gets ignored by 2spiritlogbook believers

Interesting thing to note, you know how altered text basically alters words from the logbook? his goes in line with the power Golden Freddy has since FNAF 1, which is altering printed paper in other words, changing the poster image or in the logbook changing text and numbers. Implying altered text is golden freddy

The second main point against Mikevictim with the logbook is that Mike is being represented by Foxy throughout the book. This argument makes absolutely no sense in-universe because it's not like the people in FE created the logbook specifically to mirror Mike's past, and knew that he used to love wearing a Foxy mask. It's much more likely that phone guy was the one who made the logbooks, since he guides the nightguards, and made Foxy the main character because Foxy is his favorite. And to address the argument that Foxy is reading the Screws Bolts and Hairpins magazine from SL, we know Mike didn't actually read it, he was down there because his dad sent him there. Logbook foxy also parallels phone guy as he quotes some stuff he says like "WHAT ARE YOU STILL DOING HERE?" and in the page talking about missing people being stuffed into animatronic suits we see foxy crying, probably a reference to fnaf 1 night 4 when phone guy died and was probably crying before he died and was probably stuffed into an animatronic suit

There are also comics in the logbook which in my opinion imply Mikevictim + PhoneBro
First of all we know foxy parallels phone guy and we see Freddy and foxy in a classroom, foxy is sitting and talking while freddy is the one having to listen, paralleling how phone guy gives michael instructions

So it seems Freddy seems to parallel Mike

And foxy (phone guy) and Freddy (Michael) don't really have a good relationship in the comics like foxybro and BV

Freddy = BV
Freddy = Michael
Michael = BV

Foxy = Phone guy
Foxy = OB
OB = Phone guy

More evidence for the bite victim working at Freddy's is in one page where we see Freddy with a guard badge, this should make Mikevictim damn obvious

Further evidence for mv is found in one of Mike's messages, since Mike seems offended by a line in the logbook "Laugh and the world laughs with you, cry and you cry alone." Mike responds with "NOT FUNNY!!" This implies he was the crying child, and would always cry alone.

There's also this page where Michael is asked to list his 5 closest friends who he'd like to meet by the end of the day

There are 5 MCI victims

BV is friends with the MCI kids

Michael is asked to list 5 friends

It's also mentioned his friends work daylight hours

The animatronics possessed by the kids work daylight hours

Coincidence? I THINK NOT.

In Mike's drawings in the logbook he always draws Freddy rather than foxy which would be weird if Michael is the foxybro as the foxybro is always associated with foxy and likes foxy

Revival

The single most common argument used against Mikevictim is that revival can't happen. The concept of revival people think they're saying can't happen is that people can't be revived and have all injuries healed with the snap of a spirit's fingers. That can't happen, what can happen is a spirit keeping someone alive long enough to get medical treatment, which will heal them. This is what we see in TFC, Carlton had molten remnant injected into his heart and was dying, but Michael Brooks jumped in to save him with a drawing he made as a kid, Carlton later woke up in the hospital. A spirit can keep someone alive long enough for medical professionals to do their thing, whether they suffered a serious head injury, or got molten metal injected into their heart.

Fazbear Frights

The Fazbear Frights book series was honestly a dark era for theorizing, people tried to make every story parallel something, and because of that all sorts of stretches were made to support theories. Because of this, I will only be focusing on Step Closer, Hide And Seek, and What We Found because I believe these have the strongest Mikevictim parallels and are the easiest to understand.

Step Closer

This is the story that everyone acts like confirms Mikebully, when in reality it actually does the opposite. Now, Pete's connections to Mike are obvious, his arm turns purple after being caught

in a finger trap, he chews tons of gum, etc. But literally the only things connecting him to Foxybro are that he's an older brother, and he attempts to scare his younger brother. That's it. And no, he's not really associated with Foxy at all. He uses Foxy to scare Chuck once, and even then, the tables end up turning on him as he is constantly living in fear of Foxy, getting into increasingly dangerous situations. This would also allude to BoV Freddy, as he attempted to get back at Foxy, but every attempt always backfired. This relationship with Foxy parallels bv's relationship with Foxybro in fnaf 4, constantly being tormented by the character. Along with being tortured by Foxy, Pete is bullied by everyone in the town. After the incident with the scalpel, the whole class starts making fun of him, at the Carnival, he makes himself look like an idiot after overreacting to the fingertrap prank out of paranoia. After the incident in the maze, where Pete is being chased by an imaginary Foxy, everyone around is laughing at him. The list goes on, but this is what happens to bv. He sees something traumatic with Fredbear, likely attempts to tell people, but no one believes him, causing everyone to tease and bully him for being a crybaby. And then at the end of the story, Pete gets hit by a car and dies in a horrible accident, much like bv after getting bit by Fredbear. Hell, there's even a scene where Chuck starts mocking Pete because of his fears:

Chuck gave him a curious look. "Wait, You're scared, aren't you?" "No, shut up!" "I looked it up. You want to know how they cut into you and take your organs? It's so cool! They split you open, like a 'Y' incision, then your guts are all hanging out. Then they remove everything piece by piece."

Hide and Seek

The story begins with Toby playing a game, he thinks he has the top score, but realizes his older brother is still better than him, that he's always better than him. This mirrors bv and Foxybro's relationship in fnaf 4, with Foxybro always being the superior older brother who always wins. This is further emphasized by Bear of Vengeance, where Foxy always beats Freddy, and Foxy in these cutscenes is always wearing grey pants, much like Foxybro always wears a grey shirt. And the confrontation at the house shows Connor is a huge bully to Toby, further solidifying the connection. The story continues the theme of the bully older brother not being the true protagonist that was established in fnaf 4. The connections to Mike begin when he goes back to school a few days later. He had attempted to remove Shadow Bonnie from his back, which pissed him off and now he was incredibly sick, described as a zombie as he walked down the halls. The other kids stared at him, and then looked away. This is a parallel to the cutscenes of Sister Location Custom Night, where zombie Michael is walking down the street, with the other people being obviously concerned about his appearance. We also learn Toby didn't make many friends, much like bv in fnaf 4.

What We Found

In What We Found the main character is Hudson, he's working at Fazbear Frights, the horror attraction. This already parallels Mike because we know he is the one we play as in fnaf 3. Even better, he's the guard, which basically confirms he's meant to parallel Mike. We immediately see that he's a bit of a scaredy cat, being scared by one of his coworkers walking around like a zombie, paralleling bv being overly scared, to the point that the other kids would make fun of him. The first major bv parallel comes when he's getting tormented in the bathroom by phantom bullies. They drag him to the toilet and put his head in, then flush it. The whole time Hudson is shouting and thrashing. This parallels bv in the bite of 83, Hudson also experiences head trauma when he was 13 like BV. This also implies BV turned 13 on the bite, and the bullies would parallel Foxybro and his friends. He then thinks to himself that he isn't going to be a sniveling little kid anymore, that he's gonna stand up to the bullies and his step dad, and go rabbit hunting. This is basically the story of Mike, he goes from a crying child to hunting down his father after SL(who would be represented as a rabbit because SpringBonnie)

Mikebro believers say Hudson parallels the older brother too as Hudson accidentally kills a family member and feels guilty about it, but hudson killed them in a FIRE, an obvious parallel to how michael burns down fazbear frights

Into the pit

In Into the pit there's a kid named Mike who says that when he was a kid he used to love the Freddy Fazbear's band and even had a stuffed Freddy he used to sleep with
The bite victim in the games loves Freddy's animatronics and even has plushies of them
There's also Oswald (the protagonist) and he parallels both BV and Mike

- Oswald gets bullied like BV does
- he gets his arm bitten by springbonnie which could be a reference to the bite of 83
 - springbonnie takes his dad's place which could be a parallel to William
- Oswald becomes friends with a girl named Gabrielle (name similar to Gabriel) which could be a parallel to BV being friends with the MCI kids
- Oswald witnesses murders committed by springbonnie in Freddy's which could hint at BV witnessing the MCI
- Oswald is said to be a good drawer who draws animals (bears, bunnies, chickens) with a jerkiness of robots and skin showing

Which could be a parallel to Michael drawing nightmare fredbear in the logbook

The Ultimate Guide

When TUG first released people were under the impression that everything it said had to be true no matter what, and that since it said Michael was the older brother, that Mikebully was confirmed. However, TUG is shown to be incredibly flawed in a lot of different areas

- It says remnant and agony are the same thing, when Epilogue 10 differentiated the two.
 - It addresses purple phone as a plausible theory
 - It says Purple guy might just be a random employee

- It uses BB's picture for JJ
 - It says Mikebully and BVDreamer are both canon, two theories that really can't mix
 - It claims Jack O Bonnie is in ucn
 - It doesn't say the Jack Os are in ar, despite using their ar models
- And much much more. TUG has so many errors, using it to discredit Mikevictim is just a terrible argument. And even if you were to treat it as a reliable source, it actually ends up implying mikevictim more than mikebully.

1. The section of the book which "confirms" Mikebully is literally in the "fan theories" section
2. And even within that section, Foxybro is never mentioned once, it only talks about Mike being the protagonist, never gives a single piece of evidence for Mike being Foxybro
3. The book treats BVdreamer as if it were a fact when trying to debunk bv87. While yes, this is in the fan theories section too, it just says bv is the dreamer as if that was common knowledge

Security Breach

With the release of SB, an easter egg has been found, the STAFF table. Many took this as a confirmation that MikeVictim and cassidysis were debunked. The most popular theory is that the magician is William, the nanny is Mrs Afton, the server is Michael, the headless one is bv, and Baby is Elizabeth.

Now the headless one is definitely bv and the server is definitely ob, but bv is still Mike. If the server was meant to be Mike, why not use a night guard bot? There are actual nightguard bots with a nightguard badge. The fact that the Server Bot/OB is considered "STAFF" and isn't the typical nightguard bot model would only lean towards PhoneBro, since Phone Guy worked staff positions that wasn't a nightguard.

Back to MV, we see the headless bot in a bunch of different places around the building, and every time he is paralleling bv in some way. There's one headless bot next to a cake, paralleling bv's birthday. There's another, broken, surrounded by 4 others, paralleling the bullies. And then there's one near Mike's room. So two of them parallel bv, one parallels Mike for no reason, wouldn't it only make sense if they're the same?

But then how would the server parallel ob if he isn't Mike? Well if you look at him he resembles bb, striped shirt, and a propellor hat. Now look at bb's behavior, he deactivates your flashlight and laughs at you, he blocks your cameras in fnaf 3, he does both at the same time in ucn, he is by all means an annoyance, pranking you every chance he gets. This parallels ob, being an asshole to bv, and laughing at him numerous times. This all leads me to believe that the table is weak evidence for Michael being the Older Brother.

Conclusion

Mikevictim simply has way more evidence than Mikebully, and all attempts to debunk Mikevictim just don't work at all. Which is why, in my opinion, Mikevictim is better than Mikebully.

Thank you for coming to my TEDtalk