

Proposed amendment to the statutes

Amendment number	1	
Proposed by	The board of the No Hate Speech Network	
Document affected	Statutes	
Line number and article affected:	Article 15	
Proposed amendment	Existing text	New text
	Each member gets one vote, regardless if they are individuals or organisations.	Each full member has only one vote, whatever the number of its delegates. Whatever the number of present members, each of the two types of members (individual or organisational) shall have an equal number of votes. One half of the votes in the General Assembly is held by representatives of full member individuals and one half is held by representatives of full member organisations. To reach this parity, each vote expressed in one Group of members is multiplied by the number of votes present in the other Group.
Reasoning	As for now the amount of individual members is increasing exponentially compared to organisations. We would suggest we consider proposing an equation division between individual and organisational members where each group has 50% of the votes. The wording is adopted from the statutes of the European Youth Forum, which has a similar system. Now organisations make out about 1/4 of the votes, while individuals have 3/4, at the same time organisations have higher fees and represent more people. It will be a bit more complicated to calculate results of votings, but not impossible to realise in practice. The reason for this is more equality between different types of members and more legitimacy of the network (as our voice is not just individuals, but also groups).	

Amendment number	2	
Proposed by	The board of the No Hate Speech Network after Working Group on NCC recommendation	
Document affected	Statutes	
Line number and article affected:	Article 24	
Proposed amendment	Existing text	New text
Article 24	The NHSN shall have a permanent working group composed of representatives of the National Campaign Committees (NCCs) where such exist.	The NHSN shall have a permanent working group composed of representatives of the National Coordination Committees (NCCs) where such exist.
Reasoning	The National Campaign Committees (NCCs) were entities under the No Hate Speech Movement. Even though all NCCs are welcome into the working groups, we also need an umbrella that can be better adopted to new committees and other ways of organising. Some NCCs do not exist anymore, or are linked with government and only exist in paper. The wish to change the name came from the NCC working group that discussed the needs and future of the working group when it came to national / local actions, and the need of the stakeholders in the network to have inclusive working groups.	