

The Title Should be Clear, Brief and Informative

(TIMES NEW ROMAN 14, Capitalize Each Word, BOLD, CENTER)

Authors	Author 1	Author 2	Author 3	Author 4	Author 5
*Title (Prof, Dr, or?)					
*Name (Firs, Middle, Last)					
*Faculty - University					
*Email					
Google Scholar URL					
Facebook URL					
Twitter URL					
Instagram URL					

***Required**

Corresponding author phone (whatsapp):



Paper's title should be the fewest possible words that accurately describe the content of the paper (Justify, Bold, 18pt)

Author 1*, Author 2 and Author 3

Received: xx xxxxxxx xxxx

Revised: xx xxxxxxx xxxx

Accepted: xx xxxxxxx xxxx

Online: xx xxxxxxx xxxx

Abstract

An abstract is often presented separate from the article, so it must be able to stand alone. A well-prepared abstract enables the reader to identify the basic content of a document quickly and accurately, to determine its relevance to their interests, and thus to decide whether to read the document in its entirety. The abstract should be informative and completely self-explanatory, provide a clear statement of the problem, the proposed approach or solution, and point out major findings and conclusions. **The Abstract should be 150 to 250 words in length.** References should be avoided, but if essential, then cite the author(s) and year(s). Standard nomenclature should be used, and non-standard or uncommon abbreviations should be avoided, but if essential they must be defined at their first mention in the abstract itself. No literature should be cited. The keyword list provides the opportunity to add 5 to 7 keywords, used by the indexing and abstracting services, in addition to those already present in the title (12 pt).

Keywords: First keyword; Second keyword; Third keyword; Fourth keyword; Fifth keyword

Publisher's Note:

WISE Pendidikan Indonesia stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright:

©

2025 by the author(s).

License WISE Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike (CC BY 4.0) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).



INTRODUCTION

The introduction should begin with a general and relevant overview of the research topic, followed by an explanation of its significance within the context of the interaction between language, technology, and social media. Authors should include a summary of contemporary and relevant literature, highlighting recent studies from the past five years and emphasizing the existing research gaps in the field [1], [2]. The research problem or questions should be stated clearly and specifically, aligning with the gaps identified in the literature review [3].

The research objectives should be articulated clearly and concisely, followed by an explanation of the main contributions and novelty of the study compared to previous research. The introduction should conclude with a brief outline of the paper's structure, aiding readers in understanding the flow of the research.

It is essential to maintain a coherent and academic writing style. Avoid using subheadings within the introduction to ensure it remains a cohesive section. Use the IEEE citation style, such as [1], [2], [3]. Ensure that the manuscript format employs *Times New Roman* font, 12pt size, with justified text alignment.

METHODS

The methodology section should provide a clear and detailed description of the research design and approach used in the study. Authors should specify whether the study is qualitative, quantitative, or mixed-methods and outline the type of research, such as a case study, experimental design, or survey. The tools and techniques used for data collection should be described, including interviews, surveys, content analysis, or observational studies, with an explanation of how these align with the study's objectives and research questions.

The characteristics of the participants and the sampling method used should be detailed, including the sample size and relevant demographic information. The methods employed for data analysis should be specified, mentioning any statistical tools or coding strategies used, as well as software like SPSS or NVivo, with a justification for their suitability for the research questions. Ethical considerations must be detailed, including informed consent, data privacy, and participant confidentiality. Authors should also note any ethical approval obtained from relevant institutions if applicable. Finally, limitations in the methodology that could impact the findings or the generalizability of the results should be acknowledged.

The methodology should be presented clearly and systematically to ensure reproducibility by other researchers. This section should be written using *Times New Roman* font, 12pt size, with justified text alignment and adhere to the IEEE citation style where applicable.

Research Design

This study employs a quantitative approach to

Population and Sample

The study population consists of

Research Instrument

Data were gathered through secondary data analysis of

Data Collection Procedure

Data were collected over a three-month period, from

Data Analytics on X

Data analytics are quantitative data

Table 1. Students Data Analytics on X

	Comments index	Repost index	Likes index	Views index	Year	Relevance
R1	77	910	2K	49K	2023	Relevant to Niger educational discourse
R2	6	485	212		2017	Relevant Malaysian Educational discourse
R3	1			49		Not relevant
R4		8	12		2024	Irrelevant
R5					2024	Relevant
R6	3	2	7		2024	Relevant

The researchers gathered quantitative data analytics on X using randomisation technique to avoid bias in selecting the most appropriate data for analysis. The R3 and R4 data responses showed reduced students engagement based on their irrelevance to indigenous language identity matters. However, R1, R2, R5 and R6 had higher engagement levels due to their relevance to language identity discourse.




Figure 1. Average Student Engagement in Educational Discourse on X (2017–2024)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study findings showed variability in the use of X for educational discourse in Nigeria and Malaysia settings. For instance, the high X engagement in R1 and R2 is synonymous to students' cognitive interaction. Sandmann & Jones [88] and Cruz et al. [89] studies revealed similar high engagement and interactional display on the path of students within the social media space. However, R3 and R4 results showed low engagement, which suggests the probability of the respondents falling within the minority class of indigenous speakers. The low engagement premise upon students' perception of X to meet their cognitive repertoire as a minority speaker within the Malaysian and Nigerian setting. This finding is consistent with Efron & Mori [90] research on deconstruction of L2 using online spaces.

The results and discussion section should present the findings in a clear and logical manner, using tables and figures where appropriate to support the narrative. The results must be reported objectively, highlighting key data points and trends that address the research questions. Each result should be clearly linked to the corresponding research objectives and supported by relevant data [4], [5].

Finding 1

Finding1.....
.....
.....

Finding 2

Finding2.....
.....
.....




Figure 3. Theoretical Implications of Language Deconstruction on X Based on Constructivist and Cognitivist Models

Discussion

In the discussion, interpret the findings by relating them to the existing body of literature. Emphasize how the results contribute to the understanding of the interaction between language, technology, and social media, and discuss any similarities or differences compared to previous studies. Address the implications of these findings for theory and practice, as well as any limitations that may affect the interpretation or generalizability of the results.

It is important to integrate citations in the discussion to substantiate claims and provide context [6], [7]. Authors should also propose potential future research directions based on the current study's findings. Ensure that the section is cohesive and flows logically from one point to the next, maintaining an academic tone throughout.

Results and discussions should be presented in *Times New Roman* font, 12pt size, with justified text alignment, and formatted according to IEEE citation style.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that ChatGPT holds a dominant position in public interest across Indonesia and the Philippines, driven by its global recognition, multilingual capabilities, and widespread integration into educational and professional environments. In contrast, DeepSeek shows sporadic growth with occasional surges in specific regions, reflecting its emerging appeal among niche communities and academic circles. The comparative analysis highlights that while ChatGPT benefits from established brand familiarity and broad user engagement, DeepSeek has the potential to expand through targeted outreach, localized strategies, and focused technological adoption efforts. These findings underscore the importance of both global visibility and regional adaptability in shaping AI adoption trends in Southeast Asia.

LIMITATIONS

This study investigated issues related to deconstructing students' language identities on X social media technology. This study discovered that students engaged more with their peers when using their indigenous languages online. For instance, this study findings discovered that students were able to interact more effectively on X. The students likewise were able to disseminate their discussions more effectively. The students also displayed affinity to share comment freely without

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Muhammad Aridan – Department of Scientific Journal Development and Publishing, WISE Pendidikan Indonesia (Indonesia)

 orcid.org/0000-0001-8426-3366

Email: m_aridan@wiseedu.co.id

Authors

Muhammad Aridan – Department of Scientific Journal Development and Publishing, WISE Pendidikan Indonesia (Indonesia)

• orcid.org/0000-0001-8426-3366

Irwandani – Department of Physics Education, Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Intan Lampung (Indonesia)

 orcid.org/0000-0002-9578-0518

Joseph A. Villarama – College of Education, Central Luzon State University (Philippines)

 orcid.org/0000-0001-5308-7398

Abegail V. Dela Fuente – College of Education, Central Luzon State University (Philippines)

 orcid.org/0000-0003-1283-4304

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

MA, IR, JV, AD contributed to this study. MA conceptualized the research, designed the methodology, and supervised the overall project. IR managed data collection, conducted statistical analyses, and prepared the visualizations. JV contributed to the literature review, data interpretation, and drafting of the discussion section. AD critically revised the manuscript to ensure academic rigor and compliance with international journal standards. All authors reviewed, edited, and approved the final version of the manuscript, agreeing to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

DECLARATION OF USE OF AI IN SCIENTIFIC WRITING

The author(s) used [NAME TOOL/SERVICE] during the preparation of this work to [REASON]. After utilizing the tool/service, the author(s) thoroughly reviewed and edited the content as necessary and assumed full responsibility for the publication's content.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. R. Torres, J. M. C. Alberto, A. P. J. Guieb, and J. A. Villarama, "Language, Identity, and Ethics in AI-Driven Art: Perspectives from Human Artists in Digital Environments," *Lang. Technol. Soc. Media*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 17-29, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.70211/ltsm.v3i1.137>
- [2] L. Zhao, X. Wu, and H. Luo, "Developing AI Literacy for Primary and Middle School Teachers in China: Based on a Structural Equation Modeling Analysis," *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 21, p. 14549, Nov. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142114549>
- [3] J. A. Villarama, "Demystifying Generative Artificial Intelligence in Academic Classrooms: Students' Attitude and Critical Thinking," *Pakistan J. Life Soc. Sci.*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 3970-3979, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.57239/PJLSS-2025-23.1.00314>
- [4] D. Lund, T. Wang, N. R. Mannuru, B. Nie, S. Shimray, and Z. Wang, "ChatGPT and a New Academic Reality: Artificial Intelligence-written Research Papers and the Ethics of the Large Language Models in Scholarly Publishing," *J. Assoc. Inf. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 74, no. 5, pp. 570-581, May 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.24750>
- [5] M. Salvagno, F. S. Taccone, and A. G. Gerli, "Can Artificial Intelligence Help for Scientific Writing?," *Crit. Care*, vol. 27, no. 1, p. 75, Feb. 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-023-04380-2>
- [6] Othman, *Deepseek AI Impact*, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.15632.11520>
- [7] Granić, "Technology Adoption at Individual Level: Toward an Integrated Overview," *Univers. Access Inf. Soc.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 843-858, Jun. 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10209-023-00974-3>
- [8] Hradecky, J. Kennell, W. Cai, and R. Davidson, "Organizational Readiness to Adopt Artificial Intelligence in the Exhibition Sector in Western Europe," *Int. J. Inf. Manage.*, vol. 65, p. 102497, Aug. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2022.102497>
- [9] L. Ladu, C. Koch, P. Asna Ashari, K. Blind, and P. Castka, "Technology Adoption and Digital Maturity in the Conformity Assessment Industry: Empirical Evidence from an International Study," *Technol. Soc.*, vol. 77, p. 102564, Jun. 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2024.102564>
- [10] S. V. Nuti et al., "The Use of Google Trends in Health Care Research: A Systematic Review," *PLoS One*, vol. 9, no. 10, p. e109583, Oct. 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0109583>
- [11] S.-P. Jun, H. S. Yoo, and S. Choi, "Ten Years of Research Change Using Google Trends: From the Perspective of Big Data Utilizations and Applications," *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change*, vol. 130, pp. 69-87, May 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2017.11.009>
- [12] N. Mishra, T. Bharti, A. K. Tiwari, and G. Pfajfar, "Public and Scholarly Interest in Social Robots: An Investigation through Google Trends, Bibliometric Analysis, and

- Systematic Literature Review," *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change*, vol. 206, p. 123578, Sep. 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2024.123578>
- [13] Tubadji, T. Denney, and D. J. Webber, "Cultural Relativity in Consumers' Rates of Adoption of Artificial Intelligence," *Econ. Inq.*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 1234-1251, Jul. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecin.12978>
- [14] Cui and F. Wu, "The Influence of Media Use on Public Perceptions of Artificial Intelligence in China: Evidence from an Online Survey," *Inf. Dev.*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 45-57, Mar. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0266666919893411>
- [15] Kim, J. Woo, J. Shin, J. Lee, and Y. Kim, "Can Search Engine Data Improve Accuracy of Demand Forecasting for New Products? Evidence from Automotive Market," *Ind. Manag. Data Syst.*, vol. 119, no. 5, pp. 1089-1103, Jun. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-08-2018-0347>
- [16] R. Rusli and T. N. Safaah, "Research Trend on Ethnomathematics from 2012 To 2022: A Bibliometric Analysis," *Indones. J. Sci. Math. Educ.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 37-47, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.24042/ijsme.v6i1.14794>
- [17] J. Yang, Y. Blount, and A. Amrollahi, "Artificial Intelligence Adoption in a Professional Service Industry: A Multiple Case Study," *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change*, vol. 201, p. 123251, Apr. 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2024.123251>
- [18] Z. Li, J. Shi, Y. Zhao, B. Zhang, and B. Zhong, "Indirect Media Effects on the Adoption of Artificial Intelligence: The Roles of Perceived and Actual Knowledge in the Influence of Presumed Media Influence Model," *J. Broadcast. Electron. Media*, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 581-600, Aug. 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08838151.2024.2377244>
- [19] Y. K. Dwivedi et al., "Setting the Future of Digital and Social Media Marketing Research: Perspectives and Research Propositions," *Int. J. Inf. Manage.*, vol. 59, p. 102168, Aug. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102168>
- [20] Li, Y. Chen, and Y. Shang, "A Review of Industrial Big Data for Decision Making in Intelligent Manufacturing," *Eng. Sci. Technol. an Int. J.*, vol. 29, p. 101021, May 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jestch.2021.06.001>
- [21] P. T. Meade and L. Rabelo, "The Technology Adoption Life Cycle Attractor: Understanding the Dynamics of High-tech Markets," *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change*, vol. 71, no. 7, pp. 667-684, Sep. 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2004.01.008>
- [22] H. G. Musa, I. Fatmawati, N. Nuryakin, and M. Suyanto, "Marketing Research Trends Using Technology Acceptance Model (TAM): A Comprehensive Review of Researches (2002-2022)," *Cogent Bus. Manag.*, vol. 11, no. 1, Dec. 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2024.2329375>
- [23] H. Jo, "Understanding AI Tool Engagement: A Study of ChatGPT Usage and Word-of-mouth Among University Students and Office Workers," *Telemat. Informatics*, vol. 85, p. 102067, Nov. 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2023.102067>
- [24] Mohammed, A. A. Elegu, M. B. Ahmad, and F. Oloyede, "Friends or Foes? Exploring the Framing of Artificial Intelligence Innovations in Africa-Focused Journalism," *Journal. Media*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 1749-1770, Nov. 2024. <https://doi.org/10.3390/journalmedia5040106>
- [25] L. Chen, M. U. Khurram, Y. Gao, M. Z. Abedin, and B. Lucey, "ESG Disclosure and Technological Innovation Capabilities of the Chinese Listed Companies," *Res. Int. Bus. Financ.*, vol. 65, p. 101974, Apr. 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2023.101974>
- [26] T. Rosário and J. C. Dias, "How Has Data-driven Marketing Evolved: Challenges and Opportunities with Emerging Technologies," *Int. J. Inf. Manag. Data Insights*, vol. 3, no. 2, p. 100203, Nov. 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjime.2023.100203>