

Paper title:

==+== A. Paper summary

Three to four sentences on (1) the problem the paper is solving, (2) the key ideas of the paper and its key mechanisms (note that it cannot be just the name of the mechanism without explanation), (3) the key results in the summary.

==+== B. Strengths (2~3 bullets)

Bullet points, need to be very clear. Start with the most important strength. Be ultra clear and detailed enough in each bullet point.

Some example bullet points for “strengths”:

- + The idea of X is elegant and intuitive.*
- + The paper exposes a new problem in the area of Y.*
- + The analysis of Z is very insightful in the paper*
- + The paper solves an important research problem. The identified problem is likely to enable substantial follow-up works*

==+== C. Weaknesses (2~3 bullets)

Bullet points, need to be very clear. Some example bullet points for “weaknesses”:

- The proposed mechanisms are incremental of previous works (Make sure you provide a list of papers of such previous works).*
- Outdated baseline that is not state-of-the-art*
- The paper ignores critical works that solved the same problem (Make sure you provide a list of papers about these critical works)*
- The paper relies on wrong assumptions of XXX*
- Key overhead of YYY mechanism is not discussed or evaluated*

==+== D. Novelty:

How novel are the ideas in the paper? Please write a score only. 1=unacceptable, 2=poor, 3=good, 4=excellent

==+== E. Writing quality:

How good is the writing quality of the paper? Please write a score only. 1-4, the same as above grading scale

==+== F. Experimental methodology:

How sound is the evaluation? Please write a score only. 1-4, the same as above grading scale

==+== G. Overall merit:

Overall recommendation: Reject/ Weak Reject/ Weak Accept/ Accept

==+== H. Detailed comments for author:

This section should be the longest section in your review. Please elaborate on each bullet point in your strengths and weaknesses sections with short paragraphs.