Mission Reflection Rubric

| Criteria | Excellent (5 points) | Good (4 points) | Satisfactory (3 points) | Needs Improvement (2 points) | Unsatisfactory (1 point) |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| Thesis and Purpose (5 points) | Clearly presents a focused thesis that directly addresses the influence of civic engagement or DEI on disciplinary knowledge or conclusions. Purpose is evident and well-articulated. | slightly vague or | Thesis and purpose are present but may be unclear or partially addressed. | | Lacks a clear thesis or purpose; does not address the prompt. |
| Use of Examples (5 points) | Provides detailed, relevant examples from the artifact or class experience that clearly illustrate the influence of civic engagement or DEI. Examples are well-integrated. | | Examples are present but may be general or lack detail. Connections to influence might be unclear. | Examples are vague or not well-connected; minimal support for | Few or no relevant examples; does not support the reflection on civic engagement or DEI issues. |
| Analysis of Influence (5 points) | Thorough and insightful analysis of how civic engagement or DEI issues impact the application of disciplinary knowledge or conclusions. Shows deep understanding of the influence. | Good analysis with some insight; clear understanding of the impact but may lack depth. | Basic analysis; understanding of the influence is evident | between civic engagement/DEI issues | Absent or fundamentally flawed analysis; little to no understanding of the influence. |
| and Coherence | Well-organized with a clear structure that enhances understanding; smooth, logical transitions. | minor issues in transitions; logical structure | Organization is apparent but somewhat disjointed; transitions may be abrupt. | INATTATIVE IS DITTICLLIT TO | Poorly organized; no clear structure or coherence. |
| Mechanics (5 | Writing is clear, concise, and free from grammatical, spelling, or punctuation errors. | | Noticeable errors that occasionally hinder readability. | mechanics affecting readability and | Persistent errors significantly hindering readability and understanding. |

| Criteria | Excellent (5 points) | Good (4 points) | Satistactory (3 points) | Needs Improvement (2 points) | Unsatisfactory (1 point) | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| Total Score (25 points) | | | | | | | | |