Procedural knowledge and declarative knowledge are two fundamental types of knowledge, each serving different purposes in learning. Both types are crucial for effective learning and problem-solving.

Declarative Knowledge

• **Definition**: This is knowledge about facts and information. It involves knowing "what" something is.

• Characteristics:

- o **Explicit**: Can be easily articulated and communicated.
- o **Examples**: Historical dates, mathematical formulas, vocabulary definitions.
- o **Types**: Often divided into semantic knowledge (general facts) and episodic knowledge (personal experiences).

Procedural Knowledge

• **Definition**: This is knowledge about how to perform tasks and activities. It involves knowing "how" to do something.

• Characteristics:

- o **Implicit**: Often difficult to verbalize; learned through practice.
- o **Examples**: Riding a bike, playing a musical instrument, solving a puzzle.
- o **Acquisition**: Typically developed through repetition and experience rather than direct instruction.

declarative knowledge is about knowing information, while procedural knowledge is about knowing how to apply that information in practice.

Forward Reasoning

• **Definition**: Also known as "data-driven" or "forward chaining" reasoning, this approach starts with known facts and moves forward to derive conclusions or outcomes.

• Process:

- o Begins with available information or premises.
- o Applies rules or logical steps to infer new information.
- o Continues until a goal or conclusion is reached.
- **Usage**: Common in situations where you have all the necessary data and want to explore all possible conclusions. Used in AI systems like expert systems.
- **Example**: If you know that "All humans are mortal" and "Socrates is a human," you can conclude that "Socrates is mortal."

Backward Reasoning

• **Definition**: Also known as "goal-driven" or "backward chaining," this approach starts with a goal or conclusion and works backward to see if the available facts support it.

Process:

- o Begins with a desired conclusion or hypothesis.
- o Looks for evidence or premises that can support this conclusion.
- o If necessary facts are missing, it prompts further investigation or assumptions.
- **Usage**: Useful in situations where you have a specific goal or hypothesis and want to validate it. Common in mathematical proofs and diagnostic reasoning.
- **Example**: If you want to determine if "Socrates is mortal," you start with this conclusion and check if it can be supported by existing premises (e.g., "Socrates is a human" and "All humans are mortal").

forward reasoning builds conclusions from known facts, while backward reasoning tests hypotheses against existing evidence. Both methods are valuable depending on the context and the information available.