

Problem Statement:

1. Operator midcycle integration into larger event/feedback ecosystem
 - a. We have as a community made significant changes to ensure user feedback getting in front of those working on the code earlier than before and we have to be sure that we tie into that process as it is designed.
 - b. Mexico City was an edge case of the past few midcycles that have been community-led but gave great insight into worse case scenario; what have we learned and what can we do better?
 - c. How specifically can the Foundation Staff help - again the biggest need is to ensure tying into bigger ecosystem.
2. Reduce travel/sponsor fatigue
 - a. While we have made these efforts to resolve feedback we have increased the number of events happening.
 - b. It is difficult given the current state of the user feedback success - actually happening not feedback/issue resolution - to justify the increase in travel for non-developers.
- 3.

Proposal(s):

1. Co-locating with separate days for Ops with some overlap
 - a. (previously discussed - find content and add)
2. Co-locating with combined content
 - a. (based on mriedm discussion - flush out details)
3. Not co-locating
 - a. (previously discussed - find content and add)

Advantages:

-

Disadvantages:

-

Notes:**Concerns:**

- Spirit of the Ops midcycle being diluted/erased
- PTG overshadowing Ops midcycle
- Developer fatigue - not wanting to attend Ops midcycle
- User fatigue - not wanting to attend PTG
-