PARCC Writing Rubric

	Score Point 4	Score Point 3	Score Point 2	Score Point 1	Score Point 0
Reading Comprehension of Key Ideas and Details	-accurate analysis of the text explicitly & inferentially -cites convincing textual evidence to support the analysis, -full comprehension ideas	-accurate analysis of the text explicitly & inferentially -cites convincing textual evidence to support the analysis -extensive comprehension	-mostly accurate analysis of the text explicitly or inferentially cited -cites textual evidence - basic comprehension	-minimally accurate analysis of what the text says -cited textual evidence shows limited comprehension	-inaccurate analysis or no analysis of the text -shows little to no comprehension of ideas
Citations & Format -in-text citations -connection to thesis -MLA format	- Strong citations -All citation included, follows MLA format -Connections to thesis made -Adheres to MLA Formatting completely	 Good citations All citations are included Hanging quotes might be used Mostly adheres MLA format 	 Citations are appropriate Not incorporated correctly Some mistakes in MLA format 	 Citations are weak or irrelevant Citations missing Multiple mistakes in MLA format 	-No citations -Little to no adhesion to MLA format
Writing Written Expression : Development -Thesis -Purpose -Audience - addresses prompt	-effective & comprehensive development of thesis -clear & convincing reasoning, details, text-based evidence, -is consistently appropriate to the task	 -provides effective development of thesis - clear reasoning, details, text-based evidence - largely appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience 	 - underdeveloped and inappropriate to the task, purpose, and/or audience - is somewhat appropriate to the task 	-underdeveloped and inappropriate to the task, purpose, and/or audience -is limited appropriateness to the task	-underdeveloped and therefore inappropriate to the task
Writing Written Expression : Organization -Coherence, Cohesion & Clarity	-purposeful coherence, clarity, and cohesion -strong introduction, conclusion, & a logical, well-executed progression of ideas -easy to follow progression of ideas	-coherence, clarity, and cohesion -introduction, conclusion, & a logical progression of ideas -easy to follow progression of ideas	-some coherence, clarity, or cohesion -introduction, conclusion, & logically grouped ideas, -progression of ideas usually evident but not obvious.	-limited coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion -progression of ideas somewhat unclear	-demonstrates a lack of coherence, clarity and cohesion.
Writing Written Expression: Clarity of Language - descriptive & detailed, transitions, tone, vocabulary	-establishes & maintains an effective style -uses precise language consistently	-establishes & maintains an effective style -uses mostly precise language	-response has limited effectiveness -response includes limited descriptions, sensory details, -limited transitions, tone, or domain-specific vocabulary.	-response has limited effectiveness -response includes limited descriptions, sensory details, transitions, tone, or domain-specific vocabulary.	-response has an inappropriate style. -writing shows little to no awareness of the norms - little to no precise language
Writing Knowledge of Language and Conventions -Grammar, Mechanics & Usage	-demonstrates command of conventions -consistent with effectively edited writing. -may be a few minor errors in grammar and usage, -meaning is clear throughout.	-demonstrates command of conventions of -consistent with edited writing. -may be a few distracting errors in grammar and usage, -meaning is clear	-demonstrates inconsistent command of conventions of written language -few patterns of errors in grammar and usage that may impede understanding.	-limited command of conventions -multiple errors in grammar and usage -demonstrates minimal control over language.	-little to no command of conventions -frequent errors in grammar and usage -little or no control over language.