Tetragon Community Meeting Notes

Meeting link: https://meet.google.com/grj-abun-fkt
Meeting notes (this document): https://isogo.to/tetragon-meeting-notes
Community Meeting calendar: https://isogo.to/tetragon-meeting-calendar

Next meeting: Dec 8, 2025 6:00 PM GMT+1 **Click to add the recurring meeting to your calendar**

GitHub repository: https://github.com/cilium/tetragon
Documentation: https://tetragon.io/docs/
Meeting time in your local timezone https://mytime.io/5pm/UTC

Next open session items

Add items with your name if you want to discuss topics in the next session
  ●

 Nov 10, 2025 6:00 PM GMT+1

Participants

  ● Meeting host: Mahé
  ● Note taker:
      ○ Kornilios
  ● Attendance (please add yourself)
      ○ Ilay Kobrin
      ○ Kornilios
      ○ Anastasios
      ○ Tristan d'Audibert
      ○ Andy Strohman
      ○ Sam Wang

Discussion

  ● CI testing updates
  ● (mahe) Governance updates for Tetragon https://github.com/cilium/community/pull/320
      ○ https://github.com/cilium/community
      ○

- (Ilay Kobrin) proposed a PR to add matchParentBinaries https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/pull/4254, progressing, missing a few reviews for merging
- (Ilay Kobrin) Global selectors CFP https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/4323
- (Kornilios, Sam) Chatting about updating Status back to policies
  - Same answer as usual: it doesn't scale unfortunately
  - https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/4322
  - Updating the TracingPolicy CR -> scalability issues
  - Instead of each node, the operator can figure out the status of every node and batch-update the API server
  - How does the operator get the status?
    - Connects to the agents
    - Agents connect to an operator service to report status
  - Export the policy CR version from both gRPC and metrics
  - The way we do update is remove then add https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/4322
    - Another approach would be to first load the policy and then unload, but this would require some changes
    - Make the diffing smarter to avoid reloading programs and just update maps
    - Maybe we can have the sensor manager do the Update()
- (kornilios, Andrea) Scaling tetragon CFP https://github.com/cilium/design-cfps/pull/80 and issue https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/4191
-

Oct 13, 2025 6:00 PM GMT+2

Participants

- Meeting host: Mahé
- Note taker:
- Attendance (please add yourself)
  - Alessio
  - Kev
  - Jiri
  - Anastasios
  - Paul
  - David
  - Federico

Discussion

- [CI testing](#) updates
- Alessio introduced himself, working from Suse and looking into Tetragon to experiment with it.
  - (mahé) I've seen a few contributions from a colleague of Alessio
  - (david) asked if Suse is mostly interested into enforcement/LSM
  - (alessio) trying to figure out what they want to do
- David introduced Federico
- (Kev) Given that Tetragon on arm64 for <=5.4 has very limited support for probe_read, maybe we should update the [supported kernel version for Tetragon](#).
  - (mahe) we already had the issue a long time ago and never wrote it down
  - Let's fix it eventually https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/4185
- (kev) nice contribution for unix socket https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/pull/3980
-

Aug 11, 2025 6:00 PM GMT+2

Participants

- Meeting host: Mahé
- Note taker:
- Attendance (please add yourself)
  - Kev
  - Kornilios
  - David
  - Michi
  - Mahé
  - Jiri
  - William
  - Paul

Discussion

- [CI testing](#) updates
- Kornilios about CI: optimizing our tests:
  - Rethinking how we load the policies to keep tetragon running and just modify the state of the maps (instead of 1. Restarting tetragon 2. Loading the policy).
    - Now, every time we want to load a new policy, we need to reload the program (and thus rerun the verification) which is slowing us down in testing
    - What we could do is load the program just one time and only change the content of the maps for speedup.

- - - ■ Jiri agrees.
    - ○ Kev used and liked the testify suite pkg thing to speedup multiple related tests https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/pull/3830, https://pkg.go.dev/github.com/stretchr/testify/suite
    - ○ Rethinking the (legacy) observer
- ● Michi: how do I enable the debug logs in the runtime hooks
  - ○ Kornilios: yes
- ● Kev: what's the support for fentry?
  - ○ Kornilios: the enforcer supports it but no generic support in TracingPolicy.
  - ○ Jiri: no fentry multi yet (load time would be longer)
  - ○ Michi: demands it
  - ○ Kornilios: it would be a good thing if someone has cycles to implement it.
  - ○ Mahé: https://mastodon.ivan.computer/@mastodon/110737250286611183
  - ○ Kornilios: propose a first step, for example in the core of tetragon like the exec sensor before the generic sensor. Maybe write an issue for it?
  - ○ Kev: cool
  - ○

Jul 14, 2025 6:00 PM GMT+2

Next open session items

Add items with your name if you want to discuss topics in the next session
- ● 📄 CFP - Tetragon beginer TP

May 12, 2025 6:00 PM GMT+2

Participants
- ● Meeting host: Kornilios
- ● Note taker:
- ● Attendance (please add yourself)
  - ○ Isovalent team
  - ○ Tristan d'Audibert
  - ○ Prasanth Bodepu

Discussion
- ● (Ram) To have a dedicated tutorial section in the docs, that helps newcomers develop tracing policies by walking through 2-3 example threat scenarios. The guide could cover

how to identify relevant kernel functions, syscalls, lsm or tracepoints, and explain the use of tools like eBPF, perf, trace-cmd, kernel shark, strace etc for monitoring and analyzing function calls. Additionally, step-by-step instructions for drafting policies, along with templates and best practices, would make it easier for those with strong security knowledge but limited Linux kernel experience to contribute effectively. This could encourage broader community participation. This ask originates from the below slack message:
https://cilium.slack.com/archives/C03EV7KJPJ9/p1727184801895019?thread_ts=17265 56793.959119&cid=C03EV7KJPJ9
  - ○ Makes sense
  - ○ leftover topic?
- Feature : Use the same hook parameter multiple times https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/3711
  - ○ Jiri and Tristan and will push a PR
  - ○ Kornilios will comment on the issue for a proposed approach
  - ○ Tristan to create an issue about dereferencing NULL pointers https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/3728

Apr 14, 2025 6:00 PM GMT+2

Participants

- Meeting host: Mahé
- Note taker:
- Attendance (please add yourself)
  - ○ Isovalent team
  - ○ Igor Dias Mendes de Carvalho

Discussion

- CI testing updates
- (Anna) chatted with Alexandra from the last meeting about the OTEL <-> tetragon "compatibility". They are starting with process exec. Nothing concrete yet
  - ○ (John) people contacted us for an OCSF exporter
- (Paul) new use cases on tetragon.io (to be finished)
- New pod annotations contributed https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/pull/3527
- (Mahé) "Report fully resolved binary paths in events (as well as symlinked path)" https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/3582
  - ○ What about all these features flags?
  - ○ (Kornilios) Add a switch to restore the old behavior, and eventually deprecate it.
  - ○ (Kev) There might be use cases for symlink instead of real path: like busybox.
  - ○ (Michi) For process exec, it might be useful to keep symlinks
  - ○ Maybe add both fields would be the most peaceful approach

Feb 10, 2025 6:00 PM GMT+1

Participants

- Meeting host: Mahé
- Note taker:
- Attendance (please add yourself)
    - Alexandra Konrad
    - Tristan D'audibert
    - Kahina Lazri
    - Andrei Fedotov
    - Isovalent team
    -

Discussion

- [CI testing](#) updates
- (Alexandra) Feedback to Otel-Tetragon mapping   ⊞ Tetragon <-> Otel
- (Anna) Patch to renovate configuration https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/pull/3383 to not update cel independently of Cilium and k8s

Jan 13, 2025 6:00 PM GMT+1

Participants

- Meeting host: Mahé
- Note taker:
- Attendance (please add yourself)
    - Alexandra Konrad (Elastic)
    - Paul Arah
    - Tristan D'audibert
    - Quan Nguyen(Elastic)
    - John Fastabend
    - Mahe Tardy
    - Kornilios Kourtis
    - William Patrick Findlay
    - Paul Chaigon
    - Kevin Sheldrake
    - Kahina Lazri
    - Jiri Olsa
    - Anna Kapuścińska
    - Andrei Fedotov
    - Prasanth bodepu

Discussion

- [CI testing](#) updates
- For Andrei (and the others): We got a new release: [v1.3.0](#)
- (Tristan) Presentation of the [dynamic attribute extraction feature](#). Tests specifications.
  - Need reviews!
- (Tristan) Copyright will also be discussed.
  - See dev COO https://docs.cilium.io/en/latest/contributing/development/contributing_guide/#dev-coo.
  - Kornilios will try to understand Cilium history about copyrights and author files.
  - Assume it's okay if nobody's actively blocking the PR.
  - First cilium commit that introduced the author file: https://github.com/cilium/cilium/commit/ca8bcf3936443dbe4a44954f92d00e36a715d05d
- (Andrei Fedotov) Custom sensors with custom events that can't be collected with generic sensors + tracing policies. Did you think about this?
  - Andrei implemented IMA hashes recently (see v1.3.0)
  - Ideas about writing your own custom sensors and custom events.
  - (Kornilios) Would make sense as long as the use case makes sense (generic vs custom sensor). Has a preference to reuse the generic sensor for features combination instead of adding sensors.
- (Alexandra Konrad) Tetragon + semconv (semantic convention)
  - Joined with some colleagues from Elastic
  - (Alexandra) Could Tetragon export events in the semconv format?
  - opentelemetry semconvs: https://github.com/open-telemetry/semantic-conventions/
  - (Anna) Feel free to open a PR to contribute. Not sure how Tetragon events would map to semantic conventions since Tetragon events can be pretty generic.
  - (Alexandra) With the recent introduction of events it might be more adaptable.
- (Paul) Tetragon usen case pages: https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/pull/3277
  - Make sure we can link the "marketing" use case to the documentation use case to not confuse users more into "use cases", "use cases" and "policy library".

Dec 9, 2024 6:00 PM GMT+1

Participants

- Meeting host:
- Note taker:
- Attendance (please add yourself)
  - Paul Arah
  - Andrei Fedotov
  -

Discussion

- [CI testing](#) updates
- (Kornilios) bpf metrics https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/pull/3205
- (Kahina, Tristan) https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/pull/3143
- (Andrei) When new release
    - Soon!! In the coming days
-

Oct 14, 2024 6:00 PM GMT+2

Participants

- Meeting host: John Fastabend
- Note taker: John Fastabend
- Attendance (please add yourself)
    - John Fastabend
    - Andrei Fedotov

Discussion

- Uprobes: would be nice to have selector parity with kprobes. TBD
    - Good ask maybe create an issue
    - JF wonders if it might be a good first issue or CNCF intern project? Unsure of complexity.
    - https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/blob/main/pkg/sensors/tracing/genericuprobe.go#L226
- Memory metrics PR ready for reviews
- Ancestry tree ready for reviews.

Sep 9, 2024 6:00 PM GMT+2

Participants

- Meeting host: Mahé
- Note taker:
- Attendance (please add yourself)
    - Andrei Fedotov
    - michi mutsuzaki

Discussion

- [CI testing](#) updates:
  - Bug: size mismatch in gRPC marshaling:
    https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/2875
  -
- Open session items:
  - (Andrei Fedotov) IMA hash collection support demo:
    https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/pull/2818
    - (Jiri) Can you use a trampoline?
    - (Kornilios) Why can you not tail call from a sleepable to a non-sleepable program?
    - (Andrei) Retry mechanism?
      - (Kornilios) We have a mechanism for out-of-orders events, that has been the source of issues in the past and could be reused for that. Fixing in BPF would make it easier.
  - How to get started with Tetragon?
    - (mahe) take a look at the contribution guide https://tetragon.io/docs/contribution-guide/ and check the good-first-issues on the repo (purple label). You can also start with docs contribution which is a good way to get to know Tetragon!

Aug 12, 2024 6:00 PM GMT+2

Participants

- Meeting host: Mahé
- Note taker:
- Attendance (please add yourself)
  - David Windsor <dawindso@cisco.com>
  - Tom Meadows (TestifySec) - thomas.meadows@testifysec.com

Discussion

- [CI testing](#) updates
- Open session items:
  - (anna) monitoring BPF maps: https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/2778
    - Kornilios: monitor BPF errors in general rather than focusing on maps https://github.com/cilium/cilium/pull/30972. Extending metrics based on error events. It would provide a broader solution than just map access.
    - Anna: do you know places in the code where we collect errors?
    - Kornilios: don't think so but having a single place for BPF errors (like Cilium) would be nice.
    - Anna: agree

- - Anna: is it really more efficient to access the first element of a map?
    - Kev: accessing element 0 bypasses bpf map lookup helpers (?? please correct me Kev I didn't fully get it)
  - (anna) 📄 Tetragon metrics framework
  - (david) Needed for RegreSSHion: https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/2742
    - Kornilios: proposed a similar implementation to followchildren https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/pull/2720
    - John: similar to the way we map path/FD in tetragon (see followFD, etc)
  - (kornilios) pod cgroup association https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/pull/2776
  - (tom) introducing themselves. Have been working with Tetragon for a year, presented the work at latest KubeCon in Paris https://github.com/ChaosInTheCRD/attestagon. Writing attestation using Tetragon as the backend.
  - (tom) is Tetragon mostly used as a toolchain for people not knowing eBPF?
    - (anna) we have issues showing people are embedding Tetragon into stuff.
  - (kev) Participating at next eCHO: three things you don't know about Tetragon. Some teasing 🎉
    - In kernel selectors: monitoring TCP connections
    - Canaries
    - matchBinaries (Postfix soon thanks to Andrei 🎉)
  - (paul) How we can get more engagement in the community call?
    - (anna) maybe open an issue to talk about that on GitHub
    - (tom) it's hard to get link to the community call
  - (tom) On TCP connection: let's say I want to create a TracingPolicy based on an URL, can I do that?
    - (kev) For OSS Tetragon it's still restricted to L4.
    - (tom) Perform enforcement/modification on network layers, how that would work?
    - (kev) While it could be contributed to OSS, for now it's mostly part of the solution we build for customers
  - (tom) Tom interested about sha256 digest for file
    - Blogpost on EE tetragon https://isovalent.com/blog/post/file-monitoring-with-ebpf-and-tetragon-part-1
    - Andrei's work on file https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/2409, LSM support was already merged to OSS thanks to Andrei 🎉

Jul 8, 2024 6:00 PM GMT+2

Participants
- Meeting host: Mahé

- Note taker:
- Attendance (please add yourself)
  - Andrei Fedotov
  - Paul
  - Anastasios
  - Anna
  - Kevin
  - Kornilios
  - Michi
  - Natalia
  - William
  - John
  - Lorenz

Discussion

- [CI testing](#) updates
- Open session items:
  - (Andrei Fedotov) LSM sensor support: [PR](#) 🎉
    - Next step: fix the tests, add documentation

Notes

- Cilium dependency issue and graphs
  https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/794#issuecomment-2160807698
  https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/2349
- See if Martynas' LVH images change break our CI
  https://github.com/cilium/little-vm-helper-images/pull/568
- Andrei asked about the difference between using the LSM hook and using a kprobe and override. Kornilios explained that some LSM hooks might be available while you cannot use a kprobe on them and that some LSM hooks are sleepable. John added "LSM vs kprobe: LSM is slower now work to make them faster is in progress. LSM can sleep though so you can call some additional helpers. LSM are more stable."
- Kornilios: a user in Slack in the tetragon channel reported a bug in the pod association. https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/2639. The problem seems to be that the new version of CRI-O changed the way they create the cgroups and the hierarchy naming. Kornilios suggested using the API directly instead of doing magic with the filesystem.
  - Michi added that Cilium talks to the container runtime and they add some issues with the CRI. Ping André.
  - Kornilios added that it could be only an option. Best-effort. Adding also that Cilium relation to the CRI is different since they have an opportunity to run before Pods are created.

- (michi) https://events.linuxfoundation.org/kubecon-cloudnativecon-north-america/co-located-events/cilium-ebpf-day/#about please submit
-

Jun 10, 2024 6:00 PM GMT+2

Participants
- Meeting host: Mahé
- Note taker:
- Attendance (please add yourself)
  - Andrei Fedotov
  - Kornilios
  - Paul

Discussion
- CI testing updates
- Open session items:
  - (Slack user Al OI) Asking for v1.1.1 patch release (See slack message)
  - (Mahé) A new homepage for tetragon.io and stats.tetragon.io is available.
  - (Mahé) Draft PR for the new friendly policies: RuntimeSecurityPolicy

Notes
- Daniel B added a BPF kernels (in addition to bpf-next) to our LVH CI
  - https://github.com/cilium/little-vm-helper-images/pull/525
- V1.1.1 patch release
  - Monthly release makes sense.
  - Document cadence
  - Kornilios will do a release
  - cilium/cilium release management docs: https://docs.cilium.io/en/latest/contributing/release/
- https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/2349
  - K8s version is coupled with the cilium version
    - Maybe we can decouple the two?
  - Maybe workspaces can help us https://www.kubernetes.dev/blog/2024/03/19/go-workspaces-in-kubernetes/?
- New tetragon.io page
  - stats.tetragon.io
- Pr for RuntimeSecurityPolicy
  - https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/pull/2523

- - Starting with exec, and then we can add net/path
- Andrei
  - LSM hooks cannot be loaded as we do with kprobes
  - Jiri asking if Andrei is trying to use the generic programs to hook into LSM. The answer is yes, and generic programs are not ready for that.
  - John mentionning that newer kernels (5.10/5.15+) would eliminate the need to use tail calls. Even though we care about 4.19+ generally. BPF LSM hooks might have been introduced in 5.11
  - Pr from jiri for static calls https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/pull/2453.

May 13, 2024 6:00 PM GMT+2

Participants

- Meeting host: Kornilios
- Note taker: William
- Attendance (please add yourself)
  - Andrei Fedotov
  - Anastasios Papagiannis
  - Paul Arah
  - Prateek Singh
  - William Findlay

Discussion

- CI testing updates
- Open session items

Notes

- (Andrei Fedotov) 📄 CFP-2409: Tetragon based file integrity monitoring (FIM)
  - Andrei will look into adding support for LSM BPF Programs
    - This can happen in a separate initial PR
    - Good starting point: check out the recent PR that added Uprobes support to get a feeling for what changes might be required to add LSM prog support
    - Developer docs could be improved around this, but Tetragon team is happy to help
  - Is an action the right way to express FILEHASH in the spec?
    - Kornilios' comment: It might be more appropriate to include this in the matchArgs section
      - In some cases we might actually want to filter on hash values
      - Having this be an action would preclude this

- - - Andrei's rationale for calling it an action: We want to call a BPF helper at this point in the code path and capture the return value, similar to other actions in our specs
    - FileHash action -> Add the hash of the first argument to the event
  - Could perhaps have an additional field that adds the file hash and only gets applied to certain file types
  - For just adding the hash, both approaches would work, but Kornilios' version would also enable filtering over the hash
  - We would need to sketch this out and figure out the right YAML here
  - Let's create an issue about this in the Tetragon repo, add CFP there, and we can continue the discussion
- 1.1.0 release 🎉
  - Lots of amazing new contributors
  - When is the next release? Are we planning some kind of cadence?
    - No well-defined process at this point
    - Would be nice to hear feedback from the community about this
    - Roughly speaking: we try to cut a release every 3 months, but we're not always on target
  - Do we have a high-level definition of what we're planning to ship in the next release?
    - Roadmap is kind of ad hoc (for now)
    - Namespace conflicts in namespaced tracing policies are hopefully going to be fixed next release
    - Andrei's FIM work if we can get it past the finish line on time

Apr 8, 2024 6:00 PM GMT+2

Participants
- Meeting host:
  - Mahé
- Note taker:
  - Kornilios
- Attendance (please add yourself)
  - @christian-2
  - Mahé
  - @dwindsor
  - Kornilios (@kkourt)
  - Andrei Fedotov
  - Paul Arah
  - Yuki Nakamura
  - John
  - Anna

- ○ Michi
- ○ William

Discussion

- Note: try to record the meeting
- [CI testing](#) updates
- Open session items

Notes

- Recording?
    - Let's use notes for now (John)

- CI testing
    - ○ e2e test demo app flakes https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/1954, migration issue https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/1976 that is being worked on.
        - ■ Idea is to use another app for testing
    - ○ Permission issue for vmtests on forks https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/2010
    - ○ Failing on forks https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/2255
    - ○ Flake related to caching in sensors/tracing https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/2110(Solved)
- tetragon friendly policy https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/2185
    📄 CFP-2185 User-friendly policies
    - ○ [Christian H.]
        - ■ Is the scope file/network
        - ■ Is YAML the best choice?
    - ○ [John]
        - ■ YAML is a good intermediate step to get to something better
        - ■ YAML solves an existing problem, because the current syntax is very syntax
    - ○ [Christian H.]
        - ■ No need to reinvent the wheel wrt networking policy (inspired by k8s and cilium network policies)
    - ○ [John]
        - ■ Scope things and get something out of the door, and build on later
    - ○ [David W.]
        - ■ LLM/ generative AI to build policies
    - ○ [Mahé]
        - ■ Exec / file / networking: starting point. We can definitely extend on later
    - ○ [John]
        - ■ For the first PR, it does not have to be the full CFP
    - ○ [Christian H.]

- - - What are people typically using?
    - [Kornilios]
      - Phone-home can be problematic
    - [John]
      - We can have a command dumping this info, and have folk upload data somewhere
- (Andrei Fedotov) tetragon FIM enhancement 📄 Tetragon FIM proposal
  - Questions:
    - Is it interesting?
      - [John]: yes
    - Where? BPF or user-space
      - [John]: let's do it in BPF if possible
    - How to fit FIM hashes into an event?
      - [John]: in the exec event
        - Turn it on and off, because performance is not free
  - [David W.]
    - Will this uncover issues with a very large binary
    - [John]: these helpers are sleepable
      - Filter for this so that we do not hash everything
  - [Kornilios]
    - Proposal: write a CFP to document the approach before the PR
- (@christian-2) draft PR #2152 re OpenAPI documentation
  - https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/pull/2152
  - Is it useful to have?
  - Is something like `tetra explain` desirable?
  - [Mahe]
- (@dwindsor) File access revocation
  - [Kornilios]
    - Security_file_open vs security_file_permission
- (michi) did we already talk about https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/2299
  - Let's document it for 1.1
  - And fix it in 1.2

Mar 11, 2024 6:00 PM GMT+1

Participants
- Meeting host:
  - Mahé
- Note taker:
  - Kornilios
- Attendance (please add yourself)

- ○ Kornilios
- ○ Kev
- ○ Christian (@christian-2)
- ○ Anastasios
- ○ Jeremy
- ○ Jirka
- ○ Andrei Fedotov
- ○ Constanze (@entlein)
- ○ William Findlay (@willfindlay)
- ○ Paul Arah
- ○ David Windsor
- ○ Boris ASSOGBA
- ○ John
- ○ Djalal
- ○ Anna
- ○ Mahé
- ○ Natalia
- ○ 2 anonymous

Discussion

- ● [CI testing](link) update:
  - ○ e2e test demo app flakes https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/1954, migration issue https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/1976 that is being worked on.
    - ■ Idea is to use another app for testing
  - ○ Permission issue for vmtests on forks https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/2010
  - ○ Flake related to caching in sensors/tracing https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/2110
  - ○ Go tests (pkg/sensors/tracing) tests timing out on arm64 https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/2210, looks like this is recent
- ● Open session items
  - ○ (anna) metrics review
    - ■ sheet: 🟩 Tetragon metrics
      - ● pls check proposed changes and:
        - ○ comment if you agree/disagree
        - ○ mark which metrics should be debug
    - ■ groups: health, debug (empty), events
      - ● the idea is to eventually split events into separate endpoint and disable debug by default
    - ■ standardize on labels: op, event, error
    - ■ changes in names & labels - breaking changes
    - ■ Kornilios: make configuration dynamic

- - - Different endpoints (different ports as with cilium / hubble)
    - Next: best practices
  - (mahé) adding user stack traces https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/pull/2175
    - Some links about symbolization
      - https://dxuuu.xyz/stack-symbolize.html
      - https://github.com/libbpf/blazesym
  - (christian) two promised contributions
    - Auto-generated reference for Tracing Policy https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/2074, also add `kubectl explain` in `tetra`: https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/2073
    - Enriching events with metadata https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/2030, for example for OS user names.
  - (mahé) tetragon friendly policy https://github.com/cilium/tetragon/issues/2185
    📄 CFP-2185 User-friendly policies
    - David asked if this was going to be converted to existing TracingPolicies: answer is yes
    - Not enough time to present, it will be discussed in the next meeting.
  - (david) Could external contributors run the CI (regarding the CNCF)? John answered that it was tried on Cilium and had some issues.