

Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors
 From: rutkows@ccu.umanitoba.ca (Chris Rutkowski)
 Subject: UFOs in Canada
 Message-ID: <C6AxLp.4tw@ccu.umanitoba.ca>
 Summary: The annual report on UFOs in Canada for 1992
 Keywords: Canada,UFO,report,UFOROM,1992
 Organization: University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada
 Date: Fri, 30 Apr 1993 14:58:36 GMT
 Lines: 994

The 1992
CANADIAN UFO SURVEY

Compiled by
Chris A. Rutkowski

Contributors:

Paul Anderson
Roy Bauer
Grant Cameron
Daniel Clairmont
Graham Conway
Lorne Goldfader
Robert Hawkes
Gordon Kijek
Victor Lourenco
Christian Page
Michael Strainic
Tom Theophanous
Ruth Walde
Bonnie Wheeler

Published by
Ufology Research of Manitoba
Box 1918

Winnipeg, Manitoba
Canada R3C 3R2

Converted into ASCII for disseminating in the Internet
by

David Thacker

The 1992 Canadian UFO Survey

Since 1989, UFO case data has been solicited from all known and active investigators and researchers in Canada for analyses and comparison with other compilations. Before that time, individual researchers would normally maintain their own files, with little or no communication with others. Even representatives of major UFO organizations often do not regularly submit case data, and the parent organizations themselves tend not to do much analyses with the data they do receive.

After favourable responses from the publication of previous Canadian UFO Surveys, UFOROM decided to continue the systematic collection of raw UFO report data in Canada and prepare yearly reports for general circulation. It has been always felt that the dissemination of such data would be of great advantage to researchers, so it is presented here once again as data with some analysis.

The response from Canadian researchers to requests for 1992 data was better than in previous years. More cases were submitted from more investigators, including those in Ontario, resulting in a marked increase in the number of cases used in the analyses. While this prevents direct comparisons with previous years, this has the advantage of being more comprehensive. There are still those researchers, however, who, for

whatever reasons, do not submit cases for the annual survey. In addition, some researchers do not maintain useable case files and do not retain quantitative criteria in their investigations (for example, contactee groups). It is now suspected that only a small fraction of "active" ufologists and self-proclaimed "researchers" actually investigate cases and maintain useable records.

In 1989, 141 UFO reports were obtained for analysis. In 1990, 194 reports were recorded. In 1991, 165 reports were received. In 1992, 223 cases were examined. These reports came from contributing investigators' files, press clippings and the files of the National Research Council of Canada. The NRC routinely receives UFO reports from private citizens and from RCMP, civic police and military personnel.

The number of cases in 1992 represents a 35% increase over the previous year, which had been a 15% decrease from that of 1990. Assuming an average of 180 cases per year, the variation is uniform in either direction, and we can suggest that the number of UFO reports per year in Canada is relatively constant, even allowing for the influx of cases from new contributors.

In 1992, there were apparent significant increases in the number of reports in Manitoba, while there was an apparent decrease in reports in Alberta and Quebec. As usual, British Columbia represents the largest fraction of UFO reports of all the provinces. Since 1990, BC has garnered between 35% and 40% of the total number of cases per year. As mentioned in previous annual reports, this is partly due to the highly efficient UFO

reporting system in that province, and the comparatively large number of active investigators. The rest of the Provinces appear to have had average numbers of reports in 1991.

TABLE 1
Distribution of UFO Reports by Province

	BC	AB	SK	MB	ON	PQ	NB	PEI	NS	NF	YK
NWT											
1 1989	15	16	18	22	34	28	1	-	3	3	-
2 1990	76	9	10	20	21	36	7	3	5	4	1
- 1991	59	22	7	6	30	16	9	1	7	4	1
1 1992	90	8	9	23	56	10	9	-	3	4	3

The monthly breakdowns of reports during each year show slightly different patterns from those of previous years. In 1989, there was a significant increase in UFO reports in the late fall, with other months maintaining what appeared to be a fairly constant "normal" level of reports. But 1990 saw two major increases in report numbers in two months: April and August. The "normal" level of monthly report numbers appeared to be constant in other months, with minor fluctuations. In 1991, reports peaked in August, but there was no single obvious trough, and there were an abnormally large number of reports in the winter months. The 1992 breakdown again shows no clear trend in monthly distribution. In fact, reports are fairly evenly distributed throughout the year, with no marked increase in reports in either summer or winter. This is curious, since

previous analyses of UFO reports have almost always shown a peak in the summer months, as would be expected because most UFO sightings are simple NLs and there are generally more outdoor observers available during the summer months. This would be especially expected in Canada, where the seasonal temperatures vary considerably, and there is much less evening outdoor activity in the winter. Nevertheless, UFO report numbers were not significantly less in the winter than in the summer. An average of 18 UFO reports per month was calculated from the data.

TABLE 2
UFO Reports per Month

	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N
D											
1989	13	9	6	9	5	9	5	5	12	32	27
9											
1990	17	7	6	47	10	10	9	47	15	16	10
-											
1991	13	7	17	12	7	12	16	25	16	12	11
17											
1992	15	16	27	16	22	16	23	19	11	16	21
21											

Selecting only the cases labelled "unknown," two peaks are seen: one in March and the other in July. Other months are distributed more evenly. While it is tempting to regard these two peaks as real anomalies, given the relatively small number of cases in the sample, it is more likely that they are statistical artefacts.

TABLE 2A

Monthly Breakdown of Unknowns

		J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O
N	D										
1992	2	2	8	3	5	4	11	1	3	4	
5	1										

An analysis by report type shows a similar breakdown to that found in previous years. The numbers of cases of a particular type remained roughly constant except for the category of Nocturnal Lights, which exhibited nearly a twofold increase in 1990. However, numbers of NLs were closer to a 1989 level in 1991. Relative numbers of specific report types remained within a close range of previous years' values. Nocturnal lights, for example, were 60% of all reports in 1989, 73% on 1990, 67% in 1991, and 61% in 1992. In general, NLs can be said to comprise about two-thirds of all UFO reports.

TABLE 3
UFO Report Types

	NL	ND	DD	CE1	CE2	CE3	CE4	EV	RD
PH									
1989	84	20	16	10	7	-	2	2	-
-									
1990	141	24	15	2	1	-	4	3	-
-									
1991	110	26	13	7	4	1	2	-	1
1									
1992	135	44	20	13	5	2	3	-	-
1									

For those unfamiliar with the categories, a summary follows:

NL (Nocturnal Light) - light source in night sky

ND (Nocturnal Disc) - light source in night sky that appears to have a definite shape

DD (Daylight Disc) - unknown object observed during daytime hours

CE1 (Close Encounter of the First Kind) - ND or DD occurring within 200 metres of a witness

CE2 (Close Encounter of the Second Kind) - CE1 where physical effects left or noted

CE3 (Close Encounter of the Third Kind) - CE1 where figures/entities are encountered

CE4 (Close Encounter of the Fourth Kind) - an alleged "abduction" or "contact" experience

EV (Evidence) - a case where physical traces left by an event are the primary claim

RD (Radar) - UFOs observed on radar

PH (Photograph) - photograph(s) of a UFO, but no actual sighting

The category of Nocturnal Disc was created by UFOROM for differentiation within its own report files. Similarly, Evidence is also an ad hoc creation, and may not be applicable by other researchers. Normally, Evidence would include such physical traces as "crop circles", "landing rings" and "saucer nests". However, in 1990 there was a great increase in the numbers of such traces discovered in North America, and it was decided to treat these as separate from UFO reports in these Surveys. [For the record, there were 27 "crop circles" and related traces discovered

in Canada in 1990, 39 in 1991, and 46 in 1992. Many of these were investigated by UFO researchers, and a few were reported to the NRC.

UFOROM is associated with the North American Institute for Crop Circle Research, which investigates such cases and publishes separate reports on its findings.]

The breakdown by evaluative conclusions for 1992 cases can be shown to be similar to results from previous years. There were three operative categories: Insufficient Information, Possible or Probable Explanation, and Unknown. Readers are warned that a classification of Unknown does not imply that an alien spacecraft was observed; no such interpretation can be made with certainty, based on the given data (though the probability of this scenario is admittedly never zero). In most cases, the evaluations are made subjectively by both the contributing investigators and the compiler of this report. The category of Unknown is adopted only if the contributed data or case report contains enough information that a conventional explanation cannot be satisfactorily proposed. This does not mean that the case will never be explained, but only that a viable explanation is not immediately obvious.

In 1992, a change was adopted in order to include Explained cases in the statistics. While all categories of UFO reports were solicited from investigators, few included Explained cases in their submissions because they were not, by definition, unidentified flying objects. However, this raises several concerns. First, it is known that many UFOs become IFOs only after moderate investigative efforts. There is no question that many cases in the Possible or Probable category are in fact IFOs. Second,

previous studies of UFO reports, including Blue Book and the Colorado project, tabulated IFOs as Explained cases and indicated these conclusions in their reports. Third, whether consciously or subconsciously, researchers may discard IFO cases as unnecessary and not submit them for the total analyses. This will, naturally, skew the statistics in favour of UFOs. As an illustration, one contributor to the annual survey noted that "dozens" of other UFO reports were received but not tabulated because they were immediately discernable as IFOs.

In 1991, two UFO reports were Explained, but not included in the statistical calculations. In 1992, 17 were Explained, and were used in the annual breakdown of data.

The hourly distribution of cases tended to follow the same pattern for 1992 as in previous years. There appears to be a peak near 2200 hours local and a trough around 1000 hours local. In 1992, a secondary peak near 2300 hours local was also evident.

TABLE 4
UFO Reports by Conclusion

		1989		1990		1991	
1992		#	%	#	%	#	%
#	%						
Insufficient Info.		74	52.5	90	46.4	80	49.1
83	37						
Poss./Prob. Expl.		47	33.3	78	40.2	69	42.3
74	33						
Unknown		20	14.2	26	13.4	14	8.6
49	22						

The average number of witnesses per case went down from a value of 2.12/case in 1989 to 1.4/case in 1990, then up again to 1.91/case in 1991. In 1992, the average number of witnesses was up again, at 2.36/case. It is not known what this may indicate. It is possible that there might have been a tendency for only one of a pair or group of witnesses to report an incident in some situations, and, hence, this value would wax or wane depending on the social factor. This may have been true in the NRC files, which may not reflect the total number of witnesses sharing a UFO experience. However, these figures still show that a typical UFO experience has more than one witness, supporting the contention that UFO sightings represent observations of physical phenomena. In fact, other studies, including the 1992 analyses, suggest that the typical UFO experience is shared by at least two witnesses.

The category of Duration is interesting in that it represents the subjective length of time the UFO experience lasted. Naturally, these times are greatly suspect because it is known that people tend to misjudge the flow of time. However, some people can be good at estimating time, so this value has some meaning. Although an estimate of "one hour" may be in error by several minutes, it is unlikely that the correct value would be, for example, one minute (disregarding the claims of "missing time" during the abduction category of experiences). Furthermore, there have been cases when a UFO was observed and clocked accurately, so that we can be reasonably certain that UFO events can last considerable periods of time.

The average duration of a sighting can be calculated as a summation of all given durations then divided by the number of cases with a stated duration. The resulting value for 1991 was about 12 minutes, down from 19 minutes in 1990. In 1992, the value is again around 12 minutes. This surprisingly long duration is likely due to the large number of sightings lasting only a few seconds combined with a few that lasted several hours.

In cases where a colour of an object was reported in 1992, the most common colour was white (55 cases), followed distantly by red (24 cases). Other colours were also represented, although there is a noticeable change from previous years, when green was a dominant colour. Since most UFOs are nocturnal starlike objects, the abundance of white objects is not surprising. The green coloured objects were, in general, bolides, which were seen in significant numbers in 1991 and 1992.

Summary of Results

As with previous annual Surveys, the 1992 Survey does not offer any positive proof of the physical reality of UFOs. However, it does show that some phenomenon which is called a UFO is continually being observed by witnesses. The typical UFO sighting is that of two people observing a moving, distant white or red light for a period of over 15 minutes. In most cases, the UFO is likely to be eventually identified as a conventional object such as an astronomical object. However, in a small percentage of cases, some UFOs do not appear to have an easy explanation and they may be given the label of "unknown".

What are these "unknowns"? An additional classification is useful to try and better understand this kind of report. In the gathering of data for the study, a value was assigned for a personal evaluation of the Reliability of the report. This value gives the likelihood that a UFO experience "really" occurred as described by the witness. Granted, it is impossible for any investigator to judge this absolute value; often, a subjective value for two categories of "strangeness" and "reliability" is assigned. The Reliability value is a subjective value imposed by the investigator or compiler (or both) with a scale such that the low values represent cases with little information content and observers of limited observing abilities and the higher values represent those cases with excellent witnesses (pilots, police, etc.) and also are well-investigated. Naturally, cases with higher values are preferred. The Strangeness value is another subjective evaluation of the case, and assigned a value of 0 to 9, based on the peculiarity of the experience. NLs with little variance from the appearance of a star or aircraft are given low Strangeness values, while cases involving entities or structured craft observed at close range (and therefore unlikely to be conventional objects) are given high Strangeness values.

The average Reliability of reports was 6.21, and the average Strangeness was 5.64. In other words, most UFO reports are only slightly unusual (perhaps a light maneuvering in an odd manner unlike an aircraft's normal flight pattern), and are either minimally-investigated, reported by average observers, or both.

More revealing is the ratio of Strangeness/Reliability. This is a

measure of the unusual nature of a case versus its information content. A ratio of unity suggests that an "uninteresting" case, probably explained easily as a conventional object, has a low information content. Alternatively, unity can suggest a case with high Strangeness, perhaps a Close Encounter, has high information content and is well-investigated. A ratio near zero implies a conventional object that is well-observed and is well-investigated. A ratio greater than unity implies a report has high strangeness but low information content. A possible such case would be a close encounter case that is not well-investigated, and may be more anecdotal than "real".

Explained reports had an average S/R ratio of 0.586. Cases with Possible or Probable explanations had an average ratio of 0.690. Cases with Insufficient Information had ratios greater than unity, at 1.062, and Unknown cases had an average ratio of 1.092. From these values, we can suggest that as the Strangeness of reports increase, so does their likelihood of being poorly investigated and of having less credible witnesses.

Cases were coded and entered into an ACCESS database on a common PC clone environment. The coding key is as follows:

Example:

9920115 1636 BC Fort Nelson DD 6 7 1.30 2 Red Disc P
Sound heard

Field: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
13

Field 1 is the date, in YYYYMMDD format (UFOROM is now coding to allow for the next millennium).

Field 2 is the time, according to the 24-hour clock, local time.

Field 3 is the Province.

Field 4 is the Geographical Location, or common map name.

Field 5 is the Modified Hynek Classification.

Field 6 is the Strangeness, as described in the text.

Field 7 is the Reliability, as described in the text.

Field 8 is the duration of the sighting, in minutes and seconds

(i.e. 2 minutes, 15 seconds is 002.15)

Field 9 is the number of witnesses.

Field 10 is the colour of the primary object.

Field 11 is the shape of the primary object.

Field 12 is the Conclusion given to the case: I = Insufficient

information for an assessment; P = Possible or probable explanation,

given the facts; E = Explained; or U = Unknown or unexplained at the present time.

Field 13 contains any short comments that distinguish individual cases.

A further breakdown of Unknowns can be done to select only those cases

with high Reliability (i.e. Reliability 8). In the 1991 study, only 12

cases (7.3%) were high-reliability unknowns. In 1992, this number was 17

cases (7.62%). This agrees reasonably well with the 1989 results (4.9%)

and with the 1990 results (4.6%), but is slightly higher for reasons that

could include sampling techniques and inconsistent subjective evaluations.

The 1992 high-reliability cases were the following:

ND Case	9920122	1940	NB	Woodstock
NL Case	9920202	2000	NB	Hartland
ND Case	9920304	2130	ON	Toronto
ND Case	9920419	0130	NF	Gander
PH Case	9920502	1200	BC	Kelowna
C1 Case	9920503	2010	BC	Squamish
NL Case	9920513	2204	BC	Langley
ND Case	9920624	0015	BC	Vancouver
DD Case	9920703	0840	BC	Kyuquot
ND Case	9920717	2200	AB	Fairview
NL Case	9920720	1215	ON	Toronto *
ND Case	9920720	2358	MB	Winnipeg
ND Case	9920721	2330	MB	Winnipeg
C1 Case	9920915	2300	BC	Summerland
ND Case	9921007	1930	SK	Moosomin
C4 Case	9921101	0200	MB	Winnipeg
C1 Case	9921127	2000	SK	Saskatoon

The interpretation of this list is that these cases were among the most challenging of all the reports received in 1992. It should be noted that many UFO cases go unreported, and that there may be ten times as many UFO sightings that go unreported as those which get reported to public, private or military agencies. Furthermore, it should be noted that some cases with lower reliability ratings suffer only from incomplete investigations, and that they may well be more mysterious than those on the above list.

NL Case 9920720 has erroneous data, as 1215 is hardly a nocturnal time. The single C4 case needs some explanation as well. In general, Close Encounters of the Fourth Kind are "abductions," and are difficult to use as data in analyses. Often, C4s occur throughout an experiencer's life. Furthermore, an exact time of an abduction is rarely given or deduced by investigators. In fact, some C4s are only uncovered through the (controversial) use of hypnosis, and the time of occurrence is vague or in

dispute. Because abduction experiences are difficult to pigeonhole into various rigid categories and time constraints, it is usually inadvisable to include such cases in UFO report analyses. The C4 case included in the above list did seem to provide enough data for inclusion. The date and time were accurately known, and represented a single event, not an ongoing series of experiences. In addition, the witness voluntarily provided details of the experience and submitted to an investigation.

In future analyses of UFO data, it is unlikely that similar C4s will be included. The experiences do not lend themselves to statistical comparisons with other UFO reports, and can be interpreted in many ways. The C4s included in the 1992 Canadian UFO Survey provided insight into the problems involved in their objective evaluation.

We have learned that UFOs are constantly being reported at a rate of about ten per month across all of Canada, and one or two per month in most provinces. Witnesses range from farmhands to airline pilots and from teachers to police. Witnesses represent all age groups and racial origin. What is being observed? In most cases, only ordinary objects. However, this begs a question. If people are reporting things that can be explained, then the objects they observed were "really" there. Were the objects we can't identify "really" there as well? If so, what were they?

These are questions only continued, rational research can answer, and only if researchers have the support and encouragement of both scientists and the public.

Chris A. Rutkowski
Ufology Research of Manitoba
Winnipeg, Manitoba

29 March 1992

Further Comments

It is most instructive to compare the UFOROM analyses with those of the National Sighting Research Center of New Jersey, headed by Paul Ferrughelli. The NSRC results have been reported in a series of publications, a recent one being the National Sighting Yearbook 1991. The NSRC collected UFO reports from newspaper clippings and UFO publications, and analyzed the raw UFO data. Because of the difference in data sources, a comparison with the UFOROM results will not be true. However, it is still interesting to compare the two studies.

The NSRC tabulated a total of 195 American UFO reports in 1990, and 197 in 1992. These numbers are comparable with those of Canada for the same years. However, because of the larger population, it is likely that the USA had many, many more sightings that were never obtained through the NSRC's sampling technique.

The NSRC study revealed essentially a reversed monthly distribution for UFO reports compared with Canada. Whereas US sightings peaked in the winter and had a noticeable trough in the summer, the Canadian peaks are traditionally in the summer. However, the 1991 Canadian distribution was much more even, with peaks in both winter and summer. Grouping the two studies together yields a monthly distribution with troughs in mid-summer

and mid-winter, with slight variations month-to-month. It is possible to speculate that with adequate report sampling, there would be no monthly variation in the number of sightings, except for major flaps which would be more noticeable in an international survey. The 1992 monthly distribution is much more uniform, The 1992 American data had monthly distribution peaks in March, September and October. No such peaks were evident in the Canadian data.

Like the Canadian study, the American data was unevenly distributed throughout the country. Most American reports in the 1991 study generally came from just two states, Florida and Indiana. The Florida flap is likely due to the Gulf Breeze reports which receive a great deal of media attention. The distribution of sighting duration was nearly identical to the Canadian study. The average duration of a typical UFO sighting is about 15 minutes.

For the hourly distribution of UFO cases, the American study found a symmetrical distribution with a pronounced peak at 9 PM local time and a trough at around 9 AM local time. Canadian distributions are normally about one hour later in each peak, but are otherwise identical in distribution. Breakdown by Hynek classification yields identical distributions within both American and Canadian studies, with NLs being overwhelmingly predominant.

In summary, Ferrughelli's analyses of American UFO data yield results remarkably similar to the UFOROM Canadian studies, despite the differences in collection procedures. The most marked discrepancy between the two

studies was in the monthly distribution of UFO reports. This was probably an artefact of the NSRC sampling technique, which does not involve solicitation of UFO reports from investigators but significantly relies upon newspaper accounts for many of its cases. The two studies are complementary, and will aid further research into the UFO phenomenon.

[Reference: Ferrughelli, P. National Sighting Yearbook 1991. National Sighting Research Center, 60 Allen Drive, Wayne, NJ 07470.]

Here is the 1992 Canadian UFO Survey sighting database in text format.

=====
=====

1,9920105,2300,BC,SQUAMISH,NL,1,2,.02,1,WHITE,POINT
SOURCE,P,SUSPECTED
METEORITE
2,9920107,245,BC,SARDIS,NL,5,4,7.5,2,WHITE,POINT
SOURCE,I,JUMPING STAR
3,9920212,603,BC,VANCOUVER,NL,1,4,20,1,,POINT
SOURCE,P,CONVENTIONAL
AIRCRAFT?
4,9920215,1425,BC,SURREY,DD,5,4,1,1,,IRREGULAR,I,ODD-SHAPED
CLOUD AND LIGHT
5,9920216,2100,BC,LADYSMITH,NL,2,2,10,2,WHITE,POINT
SOURCE,P,STAR
6,9920220,2305,BC,QUESNEL,NL,7,6,55,3,,RECTANGLE,I,PLATE WITH
COLUMNS?
7,9920223,300,BC,RICHMOND,NL,5,4,5,1,,IRREGULAR,I,CHANGED SHAPE
SEVERAL
TIMES
8,9920307,1200,BC,SURREY,DD,7,5,20,1,SILVER,DISC,U,OBJECT
APPEARED METALLIC
AND STRUCTURED
9,9920309,2000,BC,SURREY,ND,3,5,10,1,VARIABLE,OVAL,I,CHANGED
COLOURS
10,9920309,200,BC,NORTH VANCOUVER,ND,2,5,60,1,RED,POINT
SOURCE,P,RED AND
BLUE FLASHING LIGHTS
11,9920311,2205,BC,PENTICTON,NL,1,4,.01,1,,POINT
SOURCE,P,METEORITE

12,9920317,2111,BC,PRINCE GEORGE,NL,7,7,.3,2, GREEN, IRREGULAR, U,
LONG,
GREEN, FLUORESCENT TUBE

13,9920317,1330,BC,KITTIMAT,NL,7,5,15,1,, CRESCENT, U, 1/4
MOON-SHAPED OBJECT,
MOVING

14,9920318,305,BC,HORSESHOE
BAY,NL,2,5,.03,1,WHITE, IRREGULAR, P, SLOW, BRIGHT
STREAK

15,9920320,1315,BC,VANCOUVER,DD,7,6,1,2,, SQUARE, I,
16,9920321,1000,BC,HEFFLEY CREEK,NL,3,4,30,1,, POINT
SOURCE, P, STROBE,
FLASHES

17,9920326,25,BC,VANCOUVER,NL,3,5,5,3,, POINT SOURCE, I, SATELLITE
18,9920327,2310,BC,RICHMOND,NL,1,5,60,1,, POINT SOURCE, P, PLANET
19,9920401,1600,BC,MISSION,C1,8,7,45,2,,, I, OBJECT SEEN IN THE
SKY

20,9920401,300,BC,WHITE ROCK,NL,5,4,.1,1,, POINT
SOURCE, I, ROTATING, THEN
SPLIT IN TWO

21,9920402,215,BC,LILLOOET,NL,4,3,1,1,, POINT SOURCE, I, LIGHTS
JUMPING UP AND
DOWN

22,9920404,115,BC,NEW WESTMINISTER,NL,6,6,.15,1,, TRIANGLE, P,
23,9920510,2100,BC,NORTH VANCOUVER,NL,1,2,.1,1,,, I,
24,9920520,2330,BC,VANCOUVER,NL,5,3,2,1,, POINT SOURCE, P, 7
LIGHTS,
ALTERNATING FLASHES

25,9920524,0,BC,NORTH VANCOUVER,NL,6,6,10,1,, TRIANGLE, U, V
SHAPED OBJECT, NO
SOUND

26,9920528,1500,BC,SURREY,DD,4,3,5,2,,, I, OBJECT WAS MOVING OVER
POWER LINES

27,9920603,1845,BC,WHISTLER,NL,7,7,.25,1,, IRREGULAR, U, TOP-SHAPE
D OBJECT,
HOVERED

28,9920604,2015,BC,VANCOUVER,NL,7,5,2,2,, IRREGULAR, U, SILENT
PLATFORM IN SKY

29,9920606,2000,BC,VANCOUVER,NL,1,2,.01,1,,, I,
30,9920612,1924,BC,PITT MEADOWS,NL,7,2,12,1,,, I, OPAQUE OBJECT;
ALTERNATIVELY MOVING AND STOPPING

31,9920703,840,BC,KYUQUOT,DD,8,8,90,1,, OVOID, U, SOLID-APPEARING
EGG; SEEN
FROM TUGBOAT

32,9920708,2340,BC,SURREY,NL,1,2,.02,1,WHITE, POINT
SOURCE, P, METEORITE

33,9920710,27,BC,VANCOUVER,NL,6,6,15,25,WHITE, POINT
SOURCE, E, LASER SHINING
ON CLOUDS

34,9920711,2135,BC,CHILLIWACK,NL,4,4,120,1,,, I, UNDEFINED
OBJECT, MOVING

35,9920716,1910,BC,SURREY,NL,1,2,.1,1,WHITE,, E, CAR LIGHTS

36,9920719,305,BC,WEST VANCOUVER,C3,9,6,1,2,,,U,ENCOUNTER WITH ENTITIES
 37,9920817,100,BC,SURREY,NL,4,4,15,4,,,U,
 38,9920818,1918,BC,COQUITLAM,NL,4,2,.1,1,WHITE,POINT SOURCE,E,DISTANT
 HELICOPTER
 39,9920831,320,BC,DUNCAN,NL,8,6,60,2,,,IRREGULAR,I,CYLINDER WITH ARMS
 40,9920901,1530,BC,VANCOUVER,DD,6,3,30,2,,,ROUND,I,MOVING FAST
 41,9920906,1650,BC,WEST VANCOUVER,NL,2,2,25,1,WHITE,POINT SOURCE,E,STAR
 42,9921011,0,BC,NELSON,NL,5,5,.03,3,,,SPHERE,I,BOUNCING BALL IN SKY
 43,9921013,2100,BC,SECHLT,ND,7,6,40,1,,,DISC,U,ROCKING BACK AND FORTH
 44,9921015,2245,BC,VANCOUVER,ND,5,3,.05,1,,,ROUND,I,ROUND OBJECT WITH LIGHTS
 45,9921021,23,BC,NORTH VANCOUVER,NL,6,3,10,2,,,I,FLUORESCENT LIGHT
 46,9921021,250,BC,BURNABY,NL,6,5,2,1,,,I,3 SMALL OBJECTS, ONE LARGE
 47,9921029,1930,BC,VERNON,NL,2,2,.1,1,WHITE,POINT SOURCE,P,STAR
 48,9921116,30,BC,DELTA,ND,7,3,4,1,,,TRIANGLE,U,DELTA WING; NOISE HEARD
 49,9921119,535,BC,VANCOUVER,NL,1,2,.15,1,,,POINT SOURCE,P,BUOY OR BOAT LIGHT
 50,9921205,2000,BC,WILLIAMS LAKE,ND,7,6,8,1,,,ROUND,U,BLINKING OBJECT
 51,9921213,2310,BC,WHITE ROCK,NL,2,3,60,1,WHITE,POINT SOURCE,P,OBJECT
 BOBBING IN THE AIR
 52,9921214,2300,BC,WHITE ROCK,NL,2,3,90,1,WHITE,POINT SOURCE,P,OBJECT
 BOBBING IN THE AIR
 53,9921225,430,BC,NORTH VANCOUVER,NL,8,3,30,1,,,IRREGULAR,I,PENCIL SHAPE, THEN FANNED OUT
 54,9920829,120,BC,NORTH VANCOUVER,C2,9,7,30,2,,,I,DOG'S FUR CAME OUT AFTER
 ENCOUNTER
 55,9921123,2320,BC,BURNABY,NL,5,4,5,1,,,CIGAR,I,TORPEDO-SHAPED OBJECT
 56,9921207,1915,BC,VANCOUVER,NL,6,2,60,1,WHITE,,E,SEARCHLIGHT
 57,9920112,1900,ON,LAMBTON,C4,9,6,30,1,WHITE,,I,'ABDUCTION'?
 58,9920120,2000,NB,WOODSTOCK,NL,8,8,10,10,,,POINT SOURCE,I,VIDEO TAKEN BY
 SOME WITNESSES
 59,9920120,200,ON,BRACEBRIDGE,C4,9,6,30,2,,,I,'ABDUCTION'; ENTITIES SEEN
 60,9920124,2215,BC,ALEXIS CREEK,ND,8,8,1,3,YELLOW,TRIANGLE,I,DELTA, SLOW MOVING

61,9920126,1945,ON,LAMBTON,C1,7,8,60,4,ORANGE,POINT
SOURCE,P,PHOTOS TAKEN;
STAR?
62,9920200,2000,ON,MISSISSAUGA,ND,8,5,1,3,,DISC,I,SUCER WITH
LIGHTS
63,9920202,2000,NB,HARTLAND,NL,9,9,2.5,2,,TRIANGLE,U,VIDEO OF
TRIANGLES;
'BOW TIE'
64,9920218,630,BC,KAMLOOPS,C1,6,8,.15,1,RED,,P,CAME WITHIN
100FT;
DISAPPEARED
65,9920218,630,BC,KAMLOOPS,ND,6,8,.2,1,BLUE,ROUND,P,SHINY BALL
WITH TAIL
66,9920218,630,BC,KAMLOOPS,ND,6,8,.1,2,BLUE,ROUND,P,SILVER/BLUE
OBJ. WITH
TAIL
67,9920218,1830,BC,COQUITLAM,NL,6,8,.2,2,WHITE,,E,'BLOWTORCH
LIGHT'
68,9920218,1825,BC,RICHMOND,NL,6,8,.1,1,BLUE,,P,BOLIDE?
69,9920218,1830,BC,VANCOUVER,NL,6,8,.15,2,GREEN,,E,BOLIDE
70,9920218,630,BC,KAMLOOPS,NL,4,9,.1,20,BLUE,,E,BOLIDE
71,9920311,230,YK,WHITEHORSE,NL,7,8,2,2,WHITE,POINT
SOURCE,P,GROUP OF
ROTATING LIGHTS
72,9920312,1830,BC,VANCOUVER,NL,5,9,.15,2,WHITE,,P,'LIGHT
STREAK'
73,9920326,1730,BC,SURREY,NL,9,7,5,1,YELLOW,POINT
SOURCE,U,BRIGHT LIGHT
ENTERED CLOUD; DISSIPATED
74,9920415,600,YK,WHITEHORSE,C1,9,7,2.3,10,SILVER,BALL,U,GLOBE;
BLINKING
LIGHT;FLEW THRU VALLEY
75,9920419,130,NF,GANDER,ND,8,9,8,3,RED,RECTANGLE,U,VIDEO OF
WHITE OBJ
W/RED UNDERCARRIAGE
76,9920501,1945,BC,NORTH VANCOUVER,ND,6,6,1,4,RED,,I,
77,9920502,1200,BC,KELOWNA,PH,8,8,5,3,,U,HORSES REACTING;PHOTO
OF SAUCER
IMAGE
78,9920503,2010,BC,SQUAMISH,C1,9,8,4,3,ORANGE,DISC,U,SLOW-MOVIN
G;DISCONTINUOUS
TRAJECTORY
79,9920503,2130,BC,VANCOUVER,ND,7,8,.15,2,GREY,CIGAR,P,OBJ
W/DULL GLOW;VERY
FAST;1000FT?
80,9920504,2350,BC,WHITE
ROCK,C1,8,7,2,2,SILVER,DISC,U,SILVER/ORANGE DISC
MOVING AT TREETOP LEVEL
81,9920506,2015,BC,WEST
VANCOUVER,NL,6,8,.3,1,RED,OVAL,I,HOVERED; MOVED AWAY
82,9920513,2204,BC,LANGLEY,NL,7,9,3,1,RED,POINT SOURCE,U,3
LIGHTS MOVING

TOGETHER
83,9920517,2130,BC,NEW
WESTMINSTER,C1,8,5,10,3,SILVER,DISC,I,DISC WITH BLUE
'LASER BEAMS'
84,9920600,2000,YK,WHITEHORSE,ND,7,7,5,10,BLACK,TRIANGLE,U,TRIANGLE WITH
LIGHTS: AURORA AIRCRAFT?
85,9920602,1240,ON,PORT PERRY,NL,7,9,.25,6,YELLOW,POINT
SOURCE,P,MAGNESIUM
FLARES
86,9920624,15,BC,VANCOUVER,ND,8,8,1.3,1,ORANGE,TRIANGLE,U,ORANGE GLOW
W/WHITE HAZE; LIGHTS ON EDGE
87,9920710,2300,BC,NORTH VANCOUVER,NL,8,9,.3,3,WHITE,POINT
SOURCE,P,'METEOR' DESCENDED THEN ROSE AGAIN
88,9920720,1215,ON,TORONTO,NL,8,8,4,3,RED,,U,'LASER' SHINING ON
GROUND;
ANIMALS SCARED
89,9920810,2000,AB,GUY,ND,8,4,1.3,3,GREY,DISC,I,PALE DISC,
FLASH OF LIGHT
90,9920813,1250,ON,HAMILTON,NL,7,8,2,5,WHITE,POINT
SOURCE,I,VIDEO TAKEN
NEAR BINBROOK
91,9920824,2000,SK,SASKATOON,NL,8,9,20,20,YELLOW,,E,MILITARY
EXERCISE
92,9920915,2300,BC,SUMMERLAND,C1,9,8,20,3,GREY,DISC,U,'BIGGER
THAN HOUSE';
BLUE BEAM
93,9921007,1930,SK,MOOSOMIN,ND,8,8,10,1,BLACK,DISC,U,DISC WITH
LIGHTS
MANEUVERED NR. WITNESS
94,9921025,1400,BC,NORTH
VANCOUVER,DD,7,8,15,2,WHITE,,P,'HI-TECH' PLANE
FLYING NR. OTHER PLANES; NO SOUND
95,9921103,1745,BC,CAMPBELL
RIVER,ND,7,7,.3,2,GREY,TRIANGLE,U,'WING' WITH
LIGHTS ON EDGES
96,9921126,1820,BC,OLIVER,NL,7,7,1.5,1,ORANGE,POINT
SOURCE,I,SIGHTINGS ALSO
REPORTED IN USA
97,9921126,1950,SK,SASKATOON,NL,5,9,480,4,RED,POINT
SOURCE,P,'YO-YO'
MOVEMENTS'; PROBABLE STAR
98,9921126,2000,SK,SASKATOON,NL,6,8,60,10,,,I,MANY REPORTS OF
OBJ. & LIGHTS
99,9921127,2000,SK,SASKATOON,C1,8,8,.45,1,GREY,TRIANGLE,U,'BOOM
ERANG'
OVERFLEW CAR; LIGHTS ON EDGES
100,9921200,2000,BC,108 MILE
HOUSE,ND,8,8,1,4,BLUE,CYLINDER,I,LARGE
CYLINDERS MOVING AT TREETOP LEVEL

101,9921200,200,BC,HORSESHOE BAY,C1,8,9,20,1,YELLOW,,I,INTENSE
LIGHT OVER
CAR, FOLLOWED WITNESS
102,9921218,400,BC,LAC LA HACHE,C3,9,7,30,1,,,I,3 ENTITIES SEEN
BY CHILD;
VERY UPSET
103,9920831,2215,NB,ALMA,NL,3,9,.06,1,BLUE,POINT
SOURCE,E,BOLIDE
104,9920901,2220,NB,SACKVILLE,NL,3,9,.02,1,WHITE,POINT
SOURCE,E,BOLIDE
105,9920913,2114,ON,LONDON,NL,3,9,.04,1,ORANGE,POINT
SOURCE,E,BOLIDE
106,9920915,0,NB,SACKVILLE,NL,3,9,.02,1,,POINT SOURCE,E,BOLIDE
107,9921013,18,ON,LONDON,NL,3,9,.01,2,ORANGE,POINT
SOURCE,E,BOLIDE,
FRAGMENTED
108,9921121,0,ON,OTTAWA,NL,3,5,.01,1,,POINT SOURCE,I,PROBABLE
BOLIDE
109,9921203,2000,AB,FORT MCMURRAY,NL,3,9,.1,1,BLUE,POINT
SOURCE,E,BOLIDE
110,9921209,2245,PQ,TADOUSSAC,NL,6,9,.02,1,,POINT
SOURCE,I,'LOUD BANG'
111,9921216,645,SK,SASKATOON,NL,3,9,1,5,,POINT
SOURCE,I,PROBABLE BOLIDE
112,9920325,2000,MB,CROSS LAKE,NL,7,7,30,2,WHITE,POINT
SOURCE,P,VIDEO OF
'STARS';APPARITION OF VIRGIN MARY
113,9920813,100,ON,WINONA,NL,6,7,20,1,ORANGE,POINT SOURCE,I,2
LIGHTS MOVING
NEAR CN TOWER
114,9920813,0,ON,HAMILTON,NL,5,5,15,1,WHITE,,I,
116,9920814,100,ON,HAMILTON,NL,6,7,2,1,ORANGE,,I,7 LIGHTS IN
TRIANGULAR
FORMATION
117,9920505,2100,ON,BELMONT,DD,8,7,1,4,WHITE,DISC,P,5 PHOTOS IN
SEQUENCE;
BLIMP?
118,9920112,1900,ON,SARNIA,ND,6,6,30,1,,,I,HOVERED,MOVED
TOWARDS WITNESS
119,9920125,200,ON,GRAVENHURST,NL,5,4,5,1,,POINT SOURCE,P,OBJ.
OVER TREES;
WITNESS 5 YRS. OLD
120,9920125,0,ON,PENETANGUSHING,NL,4,7,1,1,,POINT
SOURCE,P,DISTANT LIGHT,
HIGH SPEED
121,9920320,2200,ON,HAMILTON,ND,7,7,2,2,RED,DISC,I,DISC
FOLLOWED CAR
122,9920505,2230,ON,LONDON,NL,4,7,5,2,WHITE,POINT
SOURCE,P,BRIGHT LIGHT
MOVING NEAR HORIZON
123,9920531,2345,ON,TORONTO,ND,6,7,5,1,WHITE,TRIANGLE,I,WEDGE-S
HAPED OBJ.

WITH COLOURED LIGHTS
124,9920524,2000,ON,MISSISSAUGA,NL,3,6,3,6,WHITE,POINT
SOURCE,P,SLOW-MOVING; 1KM DISTANT?
125,9920530,2300,ON,SCARBOROUGH,NL,5,7,5,2,WHITE,POINT
SOURCE,P,3 PAIRS OF
LIGHTS MOVING OVER LAKE
126,9920520,1600,ON,UXBRIDGE,DD,8,7,30,2,,TRIANGLE,I,HOVERING
OBJECT;
4000FT ALT.?
127,9920602,1600,ON,SCARBOROUGH,DD,7,7,3,2,SILVER,IRREGULAR,I,'
BUMPY' OBJ.
VARYING SPEED
128,9920721,30,ON,TORONTO,NL,7,7,3,4,,,I,'LASER BEAM' ON
GROUND; NOISE LIKE
TRUCK BRAKES
129,9920813,50,ON,GLANBROOK,ND,6,7,4,2,,ROUND,I,VIDEO OF
HOVERING BRIGHT
OBJECT
130,9921024,2000,ON,POINT PELEE,NL,4,6,60,5,,POINT
SOURCE,I,UNUSUAL DISTANT
LIGHTS
131,9921103,2000,ON,TORONTO,ND,6,6,.3,1,,TRIANGLE,I,
132,9921203,200,ON,TORONTO,NL,3,7,1,1,WHITE,POINT
SOURCE,P,MULTIPLE
HOVERING LIGHTS
133,9920315,2215,ON,MISSISSAUGA,C1,8,6,4,2,GREEN,DISC,U,100 YDS
AWAY;
METALLIC WITH LIGHTS
134,9920304,2130,ON,TORONTO,ND,8,8,45,8,GREEN,IRREGULAR,U,'FLUO
RESCENT
LIGHT' STATIONARY ABOVE CLOUDS
135,9920102,1630,NF,WESLEYVILLE,DD,6,6,6,1,BLACK,ROUND,I,NRC
N92/1
136,9920109,1720,PQ,AYLMER,DD,8,5,1,1,WHITE,DISC,U,NRC N92/2;
DRAWING; 8
YR. OLD GIRL; NOISE HEARD
137,9920117,1800,BC,TOFINO,ND,4,7,.1,2,YELLOW,TRIANGLE,E,NRC
N92/3; BOLIDE
138,9920122,1940,NB,WOODSTOCK,ND,8,8,80,2,BLUE,TRIANGLE,U,NRC
N92/4; 10
MIN. VIDEO
139,9920117,2050,MB,BIRDS HILL PARK,NL,6,6,10,1,RED,POINT
SOURCE,P,NRC
N92/5; 3 TRIOS OF LIGHTS NR. HORIZON
140,9920214,655,PQ,VICTORIAVILLE,NL,4,5,10,2,RED,ROUND,I,NRC
N92/6; ROUGE
GLOBES, EN LIGNE
141,9920224,2005,NB,LAKEVILLE,NL,3,5,.1,1,RED,POINT
SOURCE,I,NRC N92/7;
LIGHTS FLASHING ACROSS A FIELD
142,9920303,20,BC,FORT NELSON,ND,7,6,2,3,GREEN,CIGAR,U,NRC
N92/8; HOVERING

OBJ. WITH LIGHTS; ZIG-ZAGGED
 143,9920304,1935,MB,THOMPSON,NL,3,7,.02,1,WHITE,POINT
 SOURCE,P,NRC N92/9;
 PILOT OBSERVED FIREBALL
 144,9920306,2145,NS,DALHOUSIE,NL,7,6,4,1,ORANGE,,I,NRC N92/11;
 GLOWING,STOPPED THEN 'BURST AWAY'
 145,9920310,217,,,NL,6,7,2,3,BLUE,POINT SOURCE,I,NRC N92/12;
 PILOT&CREW
 WITNESSES
 146,9920311,1549,BC,,DD,7,5,1,3,,,I,NRC N92/13; SPINNING OBJECT
 WITH LIGHTS
 147,9920314,0,,BUTTONVILLE,NL,3,6,.02,1,BLUE,POINT SOURCE,I,NRC
 N92/14;
 PROB. BOLIDE
 148,9920306,2240,MB,THOMPSON,C2,9,6,15,3,BLUE,,P,NRC N92/10/17;
 HAIRY
 CREATURE W/RED EYES
 150,9920402,118,MB,MCCREARY,C1,8,6,.05,2,RED,,U,NRC
 N92/20;ROUND RED
 'BULBS' DISAPPEARED
 151,9920402,2358,ON,THORNHILL,NL,4,6,2,2,WHITE,POINT
 SOURCE,P,NRC N92/21;
 LIGHTS ZIG-ZAGGED
 152,9920408,2200,NF,ST.JOHNS,NL,3,6,.15,1,,,P,NRC N92/22;
 FIREBALL W/SMOKE
 TRAIL
 153,9920411,346,MB,DAUPHIN,NL,6,6,5,1,RED,POINT SOURCE,P,NRC
 N92/23;
 'LATER' LIGHT ON ROAD AHEAD
 154,9920414,800,ON,OTTAWA,NL,3,6,.03,1,BLUE,POINT SOURCE,P,NRC
 N92/24;
 PROB. BOLIDE
 155,9920419,2000,NF,COBB,ND,6,6,2,2,ORANGE,ROUND,I,NRC N92/25;
 156,9920426,10,NT,FORT SMITH,NL,3,6,.05,1,BLUE,,P,NRC N92/26;
 PROBABLE
 BOLIDE; 'STREAK'
 157,9920427,1200,ON,MERRICKVILLE,DD,7,6,.02,1,RED,CIGAR,I,NRC
 N92/27;
 TORPEDO-SHAPED, FLASHING FIRE ON SIDES
 158,9920427,0,,,NL,3,6,.05,1,GREEN,,P,NRC N92/28; BLUE TRAIL
 159,9920429,2210,MB,WINNIPEG,NL,4,6,.15,2,WHITE,POINT
 SOURCE,P,NRC N92/29;
 GROUPS OF LIGHTS, NO SOUND
 160,9920507,2230,SK,RADISSON,NL,4,6,3,1,RED,POINT SOURCE,P,NRC
 N92/30;
 FLASHING LIGHT NR. HORIZON
 161,9920524,900,PQ,THURSO,NL,3,6,.02,1,WHITE,POINT SOURCE,P,NRC
 N92/31;
 ROND AVEC TRAINEE
 162,9920500,2245,ON,OTTAWA,ND,4,5,6,1,WHITE,,I,NRC N92/32;
 OBLONG LIGHTS

163,9920601,2150,ON,,C1,7,6,6,2,RED,POINT SOURCE,I,NRC N92/33;
'POWER
INTERRUPTION' AS LIGHT WAS SEEN
164,9920601,100,ON,,ND,5,5,5,1,WHITE,,I,NRC N92/34; 20X SIZE OF
SUN, NR.
HORIZON
165,9920611,2330,ON,CORNWALL,NL,3,6,.1,1,,POINT SOURCE,P,NRC
N92/35;
FALLING LIGHT
166,9920615,148,BC,SURREY,ND,4,7,.04,2,ORANGE,ROUND,P,NRC
N92/36; FALLING
BALL, BROKE IN PIECES IN AIR
167,9920615,2200,ON,BRACEBRIDGE,ND,4,7,.02,2,WHITE,ROUND,P,NRC
N92/37;
ORANGE&BLUE TAIL, SIZE OF MOON
168,9920622,0,PQ,STE-SABINE,DD,7,5,1,1,,DISC,I,NRC N92/38;
PHOTO&SKETCH OF
SAUCER
169,9920628,2155,PQ,TROIS-RIVIERES,NL,4,6,.02,1,BLUE,POINT
SOURCE,P,NRC
N92/40; PROBABLE BOLIDE
170,9920706,323,AB,TABER,C2,8,7,10,1,BLACK,DISC,U,NRC N92/41;
SAUCER 20M
AWAY, UGMS FOUND LATER
171,9920706,2215,ON,OTTAWA,ND,6,6,5,1,,OVAL,P,NRC N92/42;
MCDONALD'S BLIMP?
172,9920715,2150,ON,,NL,4,6,10,1,RED,,I,NRC N92/43 'LARGE
COMET'
173,9920715,2120,BC,NELSON,NL,3,6,.02,1,BLUE,POINT SOURCE,P,NRC
N92/44; 3X
SIZE OF EVENING STAR
174,9920717,2200,AB,FAIRVIEW,ND,8,8,30,50,SILVER,IRREGULAR,U,NR
C N92/45;
NOT A BALLOON, RCMP WITNESSES AS WELL
175,9920722,2200,NB,ALLARDVILLE,DD,8,7,5,4,WHITE,TRIANGLE,U,NRC
N92/46;
DRAWING OF UNUSUAL CRAFT
176,9920728,2140,NS,BIG
BADDECK,ND,7,7,.3,2,YELLOW,IRREGULAR,U,NRC N92/47;
LIGHT W/ZIG-ZAG APPENDAGE
177,9920729,2302,NB,FREDERICTON,NL,3,6,.01,1,WHITE,POINT
SOURCE,P,NRC
N92/48; PROBABLE BOLIDE
178,9920730,2324,BC,MISSION,ND,7,6,.15,1,YELLOW,DISC,I,NRC
N92/49; UPPER
PART W/8 LIGHTS, YELLOW ON LOWER
179,9920818,2001,BC,VANCOUVER,NL,3,6,.1,1,ORANGE,POINT
SOURCE,P,NRC N92/50;
PROBABLE BOLIDE
180,9920819,2300,AB,CALGARY,NL,6,6,3,1,ORANGE,POINT
SOURCE,I,NRC N92/51;
HUMMING SOUND

181,9920820,430,ON,,NL,6,6,30,1,,POINT SOURCE,I,NRC N92/52;
 PILOT WITNESS
 TO 15 LIGHTS IN ROWS
 182,9920825,2320,ON,,ND,8,5,20,1,,DISC,I,NRC N92/53; 'UPSIDE
 DOWN SOUP
 BOWL', HOVERING
 183,9920831,310,PQ,MONTREAL,NL,6,5,20,1,,,I,NRC N92/54
 184,9920907,2020,SK,LA RONGE,NL,3,7,.02,1,YELLOW,POINT
 SOURCE,P,NRC N92/55;
 PROBABLE BOLIDE
 185,9920907,2040,SK,LA RONGE,NL,3,7,.03,1,YELLOW,POINT
 SOURCE,E,NRC N92/55;
 'REAL PRETTY ONE', BOLIDE
 186,9920913,905,,,NL,3,5,.1,1,ORANGE,,P,NRC N92/57; SMOKE
 TRAIL; BOLIDE
 187,9920930,2300,PQ,HEMMINGFORD,DD,7,7,15,2,SILVER,TRIANGLE,U,N
 RC N92/58;
 'OVNI A TRES GRANDE CIRCONFERENCE'
 188,9921007,1905,ON,OTTAWA,NL,7,6,.4,1,,,I,NRC N92/59; 4 LIGHTS
 IN SQUARE,
 MOVING
 189,9921009,1855,ON,,NL,4,7,.3,2,WHITE,,P,NRC N92/60; SEEN FRM
 PLANE OVER
 LAKE ERIE
 190,9921009,1930,ON,TORONTO,NL,4,6,.2,2,,,P,NRC N92/61; LINEAR
 LIGHT SEEN
 FRM APT.
 191,9921013,2100,AB,HYTHE,NL,6,6,120,1,WHITE,POINT SOURCE,U,NRC
 N92/62;
 STROBE LIGHT IN DENSE FOREST
 192,9921028,2030,NS,,NL,6,6,1,1,,POINT SOURCE,I,NRC N92/63;
 MANY LIGHTS
 SEEN
 193,9921105,2224,,,NL,3,5,.4,1,,,P,NRC N92/64; LEFT SMOKE TRAIL
 194,9921108,1830,MB,LUNDAR,C2,8,7,.1,1,WHITE,,U,NRC N92/65; CAR
 STOPPED
 WHEN 'SPARKLERS' APPEARED
 195,9921111,1800,PQ,POINTE-AU-TREMBLES,ND,7,6,30,1,RED,,I,NRC
 N92/66; 3
 LIGHTS BRIGHTER THEN DIMMER
 196,9921119,130,,,NL,5,5,5,2,RED,POINT SOURCE,I,NRC N92/67
 197,9921120,632,ON,OTTAWA,NL,3,6,.03,1,GREEN,POINT SOURCE,P,NRC
 N92/68;
 PROBABLE BOLIDE
 198,9921120,640,ON,NORTH BAY,NL,3,6,.02,1,WHITE,POINT
 SOURCE,P,NRC N92/70
 199,9921121,2320,ON,OTTAWA,NL,6,6,15,2,YELLOW,POINT
 SOURCE,I,NRC N92/71;
 FORMATIONS OF LIGHTS, HIGH ALTITUDE
 200,9921127,2250,AB,FORT MCMURRAY,NL,5,6,1.5,2,RED,POINT
 SOURCE,P,NRC
 N92/72; FLARE?

201,9921128,1930,AB,IRMA,NL,4,7,1,2,,POINT SOURCE,P,NRC N92/73;
PROBABLE

BOLIDE

202,9921205,2150,ON,,NL,4,5,10,1,BLUE,,I,NRC N92/74

203,9921208,743,ON,VERNON,NL,4,6,.2,1,BLUE,POINT SOURCE,P,NRC
N92/75; PROB.

BOLIDE

204,9921208,745,ON,OTTAWA,NL,3,6,.03,1,,POINT SOURCE,P,NRC
N92/76

205,9921208,750,ON,,NL,4,5,.15,0,WHITE,POINT SOURCE,P,NRC
N92/77

206,9921209,1745,ON,,NL,5,5,25,2,ORANGE,ROUND,I,NRC N92/78;
STATIONARY

ROUND OBJ.

207,9921213,545,ON,,NL,3,7,.1,1,RED,,P,NRC N92/79

208,9921213,755,ON,,NL,5,5,20,1,BLACK,ROUND,I,NRC N92/80; ROUND
OBJ. WITH

SMOKE TRAIL

209,9921225,1920,ON,,ND,7,7,2,3,WHITE,CIGAR,U,NRC N92/81;
UNKNOWN OBJ. SEEN

FROM AIRCRAFT

210,9921225,1930,PQ,SALLUIT,NL,5,5,1,1,,,I,NRC N92/82

212,9920331,2230,MB,PINE RIVER,C2,7,7,.05,3,WHITE,,U,'DUST
KICKED UP';

FLASH SEEN

213,9920300,2300,MB,WINNIPEG,NL,6,7,.1,2,WHITE,,P,6 LIGHTS IN
ECHELON

FORMATION

214,9920509,1800,MB,WINNIPEG,DD,6,7,.05,1,SILVER,CIGAR,P,'LIKE
PLANE GOING

DOWN'

215,9920600,2000,MB,WINNIPEG,NL,6,6,.15,1,WHITE,,P,6 LIGHTS IN
DIAMOND

FORMATION

216,9920717,2300,MB,TYNDALL,NL,6,7,.05,1,WHITE,POINT
SOURCE,P,FOUR BLUE

LIGHTS FOLLOWING A WHITE ONE

217,9920720,2358,MB,WINNIPEG,ND,7,8,.11,2,RED,DISC,U,PILOT SAW
FORMATION OF

6 DISCS

218,9920721,2000,MB,WINNIPEG,ND,7,6,15,3,WHITE,OVAL,U,'ALUMINUM
' OBJ. MOVED

SLOWLY IN ARC

219,9920721,2330,MB,WINNIPEG,ND,7,8,.2,2,WHITE,TRIANGLE,U,PILOT
SAW 4

'DELTAS' IN V-FORMATION

220,9920722,2000,MB,WINNIPEG,DD,7,6,8,1,WHITE,DISC,U,'LIKE
PIECE OF THE

MOON'

221,9920800,2000,MB,WINNIPEG,NL,6,3,1,1,RED,,I,LUMINOUS OBJECT

222,9920819,2255,MB,WINNIPEG,ND,5,7,1,1,WHITE,,P,VIDEO OF
SLOW-MOVING LIGHT

NR. AIRPORT
223,9920912,2300,MB,WINNIPEG,NL,6,7,.1,1,WHITE,POINT
SOURCE,U,13 DISCS IN
FORMATION SEEN BY PILOT
224,9921017,1800,MB,ASHERN,DD,8,7,1,1,BLACK,TRIANGLE,U,'MANTA
RAY' FLYING
SLOWLY NEAR HOUSE
225,9921101,200,MB,WINNIPEG,C4,9,8,30,1,,,U,ABDUCTION REPORTED
BY NURSE
226,9921103,1700,MB,WINNIPEG,NL,3,5,.2,1,ORANGE,POINT
SOURCE,P,'FLARE
MOVING DOWN'

--

Chris Rutkowski - rutkows@ccu.umanitoba.ca
University of Manitoba - Winnipeg, Canada