

Governance Call Agenda 2022/05/18

Wednesday's @ 15:00 UTC

Opening and changes to agenda (15:00 - 15:05)

- Newcomers?
- Previous notes
 - <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1K1blcS8y5S0FZ6XFU0fH8l0oB2bH0Y4jFlvzSjlK5gs/edit?usp=sharing>
- Action points
 - Respond to the Governance Community Survey:
<https://forms.gle/XKcWp4Toszxg2jyh8>
 - Cast your votes on the open proposals:
<https://secretnodes.com/secret/chains/secret-4/governance>

State of the Chain (15:05 - 15:20)

- New / Upcoming proposals
 - Testnet Committee -
<https://forum.scr.t.network/t/testnet-committee-charter-and-q2-funding-proposal/5971>
 - Education Committee -
<https://forum.scr.t.network/t/education-committee-proposal-for-q3/5972/>
 - Support Team -
<https://forum.scr.t.network/t/support-team-funding-proposal-q2-2022/6005>
 - Secret Calendar -
<https://forum.scr.t.network/t/secret-calendar-funding-proposal/6002>
- Metrics
 - <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1zt2E9lrrfR5T35xrlBhYowFCLlffevmtNrDzo8c4H28/edit?usp=sharing>

Main topics: (15:20 - 15:55)

- Committees & SF
- Effects resulting from to UST crash

Closing: (15:55 - 16:00)

- Recap of meeting
- Action points
- Next week's agenda
- Open Floor

Abstract

- The testnet proposal is currently being reworked after input from the community on the forums. Further input is much appreciated. Reach out to Sandy or Taariq if you would like to contribute. Currently two approaches are being considered, a centralized and a decentralized one.
- Most people in the governance call seemed to agree that committees should continue as usual until a potential structure change in collaboration with the Secret Foundation has been discussed further. More steps in this direction will be taken in the next few weeks.
- In principle, discretionary budgets and funding are the responsibility of the lead/proposer. That being said, we do feel strongly for everyone that has lost something in the recent UST crash and we are seeing what we as a community can do to help everyone affected, both within our own community as well as the Terra community.

Action points

- Respond to the Governance Community Survey:
<https://forms.gle/XKcWp4Toszxg2iyh8>
- Discuss upcoming proposals on the forums:
<https://forum.scrn.network/c/governance/47>
- Cast your votes on the open proposals:
<https://secretnodes.com/secret/chains/secret-4/governance>

Notes

60 attendees

Opening and changes to agenda (15:00 - 15:05)

- Newcomers?
- Previous notes
 - <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1K1blcS8y5S0FZ6XFU0fH8l0oB2bH0Y4jFlvzSjlK5gs/edit?usp=sharing>

Meeting notes from 2 weeks ago due to the informal gov call last week after the upgrade party. No comments, changes or additions

- Action points
 - Respond to the Governance Community Survey:
<https://forms.gle/XKcWp4Toszxg2jyh8>

Please send in the survey in the next few days if you haven't already, also for validators: please send in the validator survey asap

- Cast your votes on the open proposals:
<https://secretnodes.com/secret/chains/secret-4/governance>

State of the Chain (15:05 - 15:20)

- New / Upcoming proposals
 - Testnet Committee -
<https://forum.scr.t.network/t/testnet-committee-charter-and-q2-funding-proposal/5971>

After a lot of feedback on the forums the proposal is undergoing a rework. Taariq has expressed interest in working on the testnet, possibly in a lead role. It was brought up that we have two different options, either a centralized approach where one or two people run all the validator nodes and get compensated to stand up the whole thing. This would basically be a local testnet that's available to everyone else. Alternatively, we go with a decentralized approach where we ask qualified people to contribute to the testnet with tools and nodes and compensate for their hardware costs. We don't want 50 validators on testnet, but it's good to have at least a few different ones to make sure that upgrades can be tested beforehand, and bugs be found more easily. Currently structure etc is still unclear, a new telegram room will be created to iterate on these ideas. If you are interested in helping out please reach out to Taariq or Sandy.

- Education Committee -
<https://forum.scr.t.network/t/education-committee-proposal-for-q3/5972/>

Lots of new agent contributors and new content. Will be heading to the chain next week Wednesday so still plenty of time for feedback on the forums.

- Support Team -
<https://forum.scr.t.network/t/support-team-funding-proposal-q2-2022/6005>

Scaled down the team a little. Will be heading to the chain next week Tuesday, so still plenty of time for feedback on the forums.

- Secret Calendar -
<https://forum.scr.t.network/t/secret-calendar-funding-proposal/6002>

- Metrics

- <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1zt2E9lrffR5T35xrlBhYowFCLlffevmtNrDzo8c4H28/edit?usp=sharing>

Main topics: (15:20 - 15:55)

- Committees & SF

No news on the topic of scope at this moment. People seemed to agree with continuing the current structure for the time being until a potential new structure has been decided upon. Currently there is some 'overlap' but this is in the form of collaboration and focusing on different aspects of the topic.

Work in progress overview of parties involved:

BD - Enterprise [owned by SLABS / BD Committee]

BD - Dev [owned by SLABS / BD Committee]

BD - Funds [owned by SLABS / Foundation]

Content [owned by Foundation, supported by Education and others]

Design [owned by Foundation, supported by others]

Dev Rel [owned by Dev Committee, supported by SLABS]

Community [owned by Agents, supported by Foundation / IGC / others]

Social / Influencer Media [owned by Foundation, supported by Awareness / Agents / others]

User Support [owned by Support Committee]

International / Localization [owned by IGC, supported by Foundation / Agents]

Events [owned by Foundation, supported by Agents / others]

Marketing [owned by Foundation, supported by Awareness / x8c / Brian / others]

Once a final version of this information is available it would be good to make a visual representation of this so it is clear to everyone in the community and beyond.

- Effects resulting from to UST crash

Sandman and at least 1 other committee lost funds in the UST crash, people were wondering if there should be some kind of guideline that some of the committees should keep some of the discretionary budgets in SCRT? Or in stable coins?

This partially goes back to the discussions we had last year when we switched spend proposals to USD to be converted to SCRT upon submission. The volatility buffer exists to make sure proposals get what they ask for (in USD), but this does not extend beyond the voting period. Once you get the money the responsibility is yours, this includes discretionary budgets for committees. There is no point in making people pay back the difference if it goes down, since there is no way to enforce that. However, it can be taken into account in future proposals as it is the proposer's responsibility to defend their actions

Now in regards to the UST situation, it might be the case that it would be for the good of the network if the affected parties are made whole. This should be decided by the chain. We are not saying sorry the money is gone, but it is up to every single voter whether the hold the

team accountable in a specific situation. Someone could say they got hacked, did they have good security? Every voter should decide for themselves if it should have been put into fiat, a basket of stable currencies, or something else. In this unique situation we might bail some projects or committees out, but it is still the responsibility of the proposer.

All that being said, we do feel strongly for everyone that has lost something in the recent crash and we are seeing what we as a community can do to help. It currently seems this will mostly be done in a personalized approach by the bizdev team. You can contact Jay and Dan in the #bizdev channel on Discord.

Closing: (15:55 - 16:00)

- Recap of meeting
- Action points
- Next week's agenda
- Open Floor