

Visual Discourse, 2024

Title of the Paper in Calibri 12 pt. Bold in Sentence Case

FirstName LastName¹ and FirstName LastName²

¹ Full Affiliation

² Full Affiliation

Abstract

Your extended abstract should be a maximum of 800 words or 4 pages including references. It should be typed on an A4 Size paper with 1 inch margins on all sides. Use flush-left, ragged-right alignment with a 1 inch indent for every consequent paragraph after the introduction. The font used should be Calibri regular 11 pt. size with double line spacing.

The citations in the abstract should be in the 7th Edition of the APA Publication Manual which uses the Author- Date style of in-text citations, for example (Dey, 2014). To include page numbers, add them after author-date, for example (Dey, 2014, pp. 199-201). No images or figures are to be included in the extended format. Do not include footnotes or appendices with the extended format.

The reference list should follow the guidelines for APA Publication Manual 7th Edition. Use the conference header and footer provided with this stylesheet.

For detailed guidelines please refer to the following online resource:

https://owl.purdue.edu/owl/research_and_citation/apa_style/apa_formatting_and_style_guide/index.html

References

- Avery, R. J., Bryant, W. K., Mathios, A., Kang, H., & Bell, D. (2006). Electronic course evaluations: Does an online delivery system influence student evaluations? *The Journal of Economic Education*, 37(1), 21–37. <https://doi.org/10.3200/JECE.37.1.21-37>
- Berk, R. A. (2012). Top 20 strategies to increase the online response rates of student rating scales. *International Journal of Technology in Teaching and Learning*, 8(2), 98–107.
- Berk, R. A. (2013). *Top 10 flashpoints in student ratings and the evaluation of teaching*. Stylus.
- Boysen, G. A. (2015a). Preventing the overinterpretation of small mean differences in student evaluations of teaching: An evaluation of warning effectiveness. *Scholarship of Teaching and Learning in Psychology*, 1(4), 269–282. <https://doi.org/10.1037/stl0000042>
- Boysen, G. A. (2015b). Significant interpretation of small mean differences in student evaluations of teaching despite explicit warning to avoid overinterpretation. *Scholarship of Teaching and Learning in Psychology*, 1(2), 150–162. <https://doi.org/10.1037/stl0000017>
- Boysen, G. A., Kelly, T. J., Raesly, H. N., & Casner, R. W. (2014). The (mis)interpretation of teaching evaluations by college faculty and administrators. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 39(6), 641–656. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2013.860950>
- Buller, J. L. (2012). *Best practices in faculty evaluation: A practical guide for academic leaders*. Jossey-Bass.
- Dewar, J. M. (2011). Helping stakeholders understand the limitations of SRT data: Are we doing enough? *Journal of Faculty Development*, 25(3), 40–44.