Title of Manuscript (maximum 20 words, interesting and provocative)

First Author [a] ✉ iD , Second Author [b] iD , Third Author [c] iD , Fourth Author [d] iD , Fifth Author [3]

[a] Author Affiliation, ORCID Link, Official Email
[b] Author Affiliation, ORCID Link, Official Email
[c] Author Affiliation, ORCID Link, Official Email
[d] Author Affiliation, ORCID Link, Official Email [e] Author Affiliation, ORCID Link, Official Email
✉ Corresponding email: official email only

Abstract

Abstract should be stand alone, between 150-300 words. Abstract should consist of brief introduction of research background, purposes, method, findings and novelty, conclusion

KEYWORDS *3-5 keyword, separated with comma*

Introduction

The Introduction part should contain at least five previous studies concerning to the topic. At this part, author should emphasize the urgency of the research, as well as the significant of the research. Authors also have

to explore and combine some previous studies. It is important for reader to know the uniqueness, novelty, urgency, and significance of research. Most of reader is non-native English speaking, therefore, Author should use a formal simple language, as well as, for international reader, author also have to add and improve some global perspectives.

The introduction should be clear and provide the issue to be discussed in the manuscript. Before the objective, authors should provide an adequate background, and very short literature survey in order to record the existing solutions, to show which is the best of previous studies, to show the main limitation of the previous studies, to show what do you hope to achieve (to solve the limitation), and to show the scientific merit or novelties of the paper.

Citation should be in footnote model. The Authors have to use Mendeley citation software, and the citation model should be **Chicago Manual Style (Fullnote)** automatically by Mendeley.[1] Author should use at least 20 references (the latest in the past five years). The footnotes used in references in this journal are not only used as source credit for the reference, but it is highly recommended for the author to write some notes, arguments, or brief reviews in the footnotes. Thus, footnotes are not only limited to a reference source, but also notes from the author.[2] At the end of the paragraph, the author(s) should end with a comment on the

---

[1] Sahira Jati Pratiwi, Steven Steven, and Adinda Destaloka Putri Permatasari, "The Application of E-Court as an Effort to Modernize the Justice Administration in Indonesia: Challenges & Problems," *Indonesian Journal of Advocacy and Legal Services* 2, no. 1 (2020): 39–56, https://doi.org/10.15294/ijals.v2i1.37718. The footnotes used in references in this journal are not only used as source credit for the reference, but it is highly recommended for the author to write some notes, arguments, or brief reviews in the footnotes. Thus, footnotes are not only limited to a reference source, but also notes from the author. See also Aprila Niravita, "Social Injustice in the Industrial Revolution 4.0," *Indonesian Journal of Advocacy and Legal Services* 1, no. 2 (2020): 164, https://doi.org/10.15294/ijals.v1i2.36509; Aulia Vaya Rahmatika, "Violence on Women and Children: Background, Effects, and Solutions," *Semarang State University Undergraduate Law and Society Review* 1, no. 1 (2021): 69–86, https://doi.org/10.15294/lsr.v1i1.49840.

[2] Sanapiah Faisal, *Format Penelitian Sosial* (Jakarta: RajaGrafindo Persada, 2005).

significance concerning identification of the issue and objective of the research.[3]

Minimum length of manuscript is 6000 words including footnote (exclude abstract and references).[4] All submitted manuscripts are read by the editorial staff. Those manuscripts evaluated by editors to be inappropriate to journal criteria are rejected promptly without external review. Manuscripts evaluated to be of potential interest to our readership are sent to double blind reviewers. The editors then make a decision based on the reviewer's recommendation from among several possibilities: rejected, require major revision, need minor revision, or accepted. The Editor-in-Chief of the *Indonesian Journal of Legal Community Engagement* has the right to decide which manuscripts submitted to the journal should be published.[5]

Submitted manuscripts will generally be reviewed by two or more experts who will be asked to evaluate whether the manuscript is scientifically sound and coherent, whether it duplicates already published work, and whether or not the manuscript is sufficiently clear for publication. The Editors will reach a decision based on these reports and, where necessary, they will consult with members of the Editorial Board. The author is responsible for the readability of the manuscript and all writing errors. In certain cases, the editor will ask for valid proof from the author that the manuscript has been checked through a professional proof-reader.

Method

---

[3] Jody Raphael, *Rape Is Rape: How Denial, Distortion, and Victim Blaming Are Fueling a Hidden Acquintance Rape Crisis* (Chicago: Lawrence Hill Books, 2013).

[4] Anggoro Yulianto, "Cybersecurity Policy and Its Implementation in Indonesia," *Law Research Review Quarterly* 7, no. 1 (2021): 69–82, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.15294/lrrq.v7i1.43191 70.

[5] Prasasti Dyah Nugraheni, "The New Face of Cyberbullying in Indonesia: Howe Can We Provide Justice to the Victims?," *The Indonesian Journal of International Clinical Legal Education* 3, no. 1 (2021): 57–76, https://doi.org/10.15294/ijicle.v3i1.43153.

The method is written in descriptive and should provide a statement regarding the methodology of the research. This method as much as possible to give an idea to the reader through the methods used. Both Research and Review Article should explain the method. For the research article it is clear the method should describe the location of the study, the data collection method, and how the data were analyzed. Meanwhile, in the Review Article, the method is written descriptively regarding the topic being analyzed, what theories and laws are used to analyze the topic and the limitations of the study.

Result & Discussion

This section is the most important section of your article. The analysis or results of the research should be clear and concise. The results should summarize (scientific) findings rather than providing data in great detail. Please highlight differences between your results or findings and the previous publications by other researchers.

A. First Sub-Title

Following main headings should be provided in the manuscript while preparing. Tables and Figures are presented center and cited in the manuscript. The figures should be clearly readable and at least have a resolution of 300 DPI (Dots Per Inch) for good printing quality. Table made with the open model (without the vertical lines) as shown below:

TABLE 1. Cross Tabulation

		Category Correctional Officer Performance Level		Total
		Low	High	
Level category leadership style	Low	1	1	2
		50%	50%	100%
	High	0	18	18
		0	100%	100%
Total		1	19	20
		5%	95%	100%

Sources: Authors, 2021 (edited)

Each table should be explained properly with standing argument and legal analysis.

B. Second Sub-Title, etc.

....
....
....
....
....
....
....
....

Conclusion

Conclusion contains a description that should answer the objectives of research. Provide a clear and concise conclusion. Do not repeat the Abstract or simply describe the results of the research. Give a clear explanation regarding the possible application and/or suggestions related to the research findings.

References

Please automatically insert bibliography. The direct and valid link for all web pages or online news should be provided. For Laws and Regulation can be written separately and manually (if the author has difficulty writing down the source of the laws and regulations using Mendeley). The source of the laws and regulations must be accompanied by the source link of the laws and the number of the state gazette. For journal articles, it must be accompanied by a valid DOI link, or if there is no DOI, it must include a link to the intended article.

Faisal, Sanapiah. *Format Penelitian Sosial*. Jakarta: RajaGrafindo Persada, 2005.

Niravita, Aprila. "Social Injustice in the Industrial Revolution 4.0." *Indonesian Journal of Advocacy and Legal Services* 1, no. 2 (2020): 164. https://doi.org/10.15294/ijals.v1i2.36509.

Nugraheni, Prasasti Dyah. "The New Face of Cyberbullying in Indonesia: Howe Can We Provide Justice to the Victims?" *The Indonesian Journal of International Clinical Legal Education* 3, no. 1 (2021): 57–76. https://doi.org/10.15294/ijicle.v3i1.43153.

Pratiwi, Sahira Jati, Steven Steven, and Adinda Destaloka Putri Permatasari. "The Application of E-Court as an Effort to Modernize the Justice Administration in Indonesia: Challenges & Problems." *Indonesian Journal of Advocacy and Legal Services* 2, no. 1 (2020): 39–56. https://doi.org/10.15294/ijals.v2i1.37718.

Rahmatika, Aulia Vaya. "Violence on Women and Children: Background, Effects, and Solutions." *Semarang State University Undergraduate Law and Society Review* 1, no. 1 (2021): 69–86. https://doi.org/10.15294/lsr.v1i1.49840.

Raphael, Jody. *Rape Is Rape: How Denial, Distortion, and Victim Blaming Are Fueling a Hidden Acquintance Rape Crisis*. Chicago: Lawrence Hill Books, 2013.

Yulianto, Anggoro. "Cybersecurity Policy and Its Implementation in Indonesia." *Law Research Review Quarterly* 7, no. 1 (2021): 69–82. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.15294/lrrq.v7i1.43191 70.

<p style="text-align:center">***</p>

Acknowledgment

Funding Information

Please provide information for funding assistance. If there is no funding assistance, please type: None

Conflicting Interest Statement

Please state any conflicting interests of this publication and research. If there is no, please type: The authors state that there is no conflict of interest in the publication of this article.

Generative AI Statement

Authors are requested to disclose any generative AI process during writing the paper or doing research.

During the preparation of this manuscript, the author(s) used [Tool Name, e.g., Gemini 3 Flash] to [Purpose, e.g., refine language and improve readability]. Following this process, the author(s) critically reviewed, edited, and validated the output to ensure accuracy and scientific integrity. The author(s) maintain full accountability for the final content. AI was used solely as a supportive tool and is not credited with authorship. No original data analysis or interpretations were performed by the AI without human oversight.

Self Assesment (please check according to your paper):
*In order for an article to be considered for publication, **we strongly recommend that prospective authors fulfill all of these items***

No	Item of Assesment	Yes	No
1	Minimum length of article: 6000 words (*excluding references*)	☐	☐
2	Maximum abstract: 250 words	☐	☐
3	Topic of article is related to **legal intervention in the society, including legal aid, legal services, and legal aspect in community engagement**	☐	☐
4	Citation model used: Chicago Manual Style 17th edition (full note)	☐	☐
5	Minimum references: 20 references	☐	☐
6	Article used our latest Article Template	☐	☐
7	Title of article: maximum 20 words	☐	☐
8	International Collaboration of Authorship (with minimum two different countries)	☐	☐
9	Female Author(s) (as first or co-authors)	☐	☐
10	Paper related to SDGs (UN) (*please indicate, you can choose more than one*)	☐	☐
	1) No Poverty	☐	☐
	2) Zero Hunger	☐	☐
	3) Good Health and Well-being	☐	☐
	4) Quality Education	☐	☐
	5) Gender Equality	☐	☐
	6) Clean Wateer and Sanitation	☐	☐
	7) Affordable and Clean Energy	☐	☐
	8) Decent Work and Economic Growth	☐	☐
	9) Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure	☐	☐
	10) Reduce Ineaquality	☐	☐
	11) Sustainable Cities and Communities	☐	☐
	12) Responsible Consumption and Production	☐	☐

No	Item of Assesment	Yes	No
	13) Climate Action	☐	☐
	14) Life Below Water	☐	☐
	15) Life on Land	☐	☐
	16) Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions	☐	☐
	17) Partnerships for the Goals	☐	☐
11	Similarity no more than 20% (*please upload the proof of this as additional document or supplementary file*)	☐	☐
12	Written in English in good academic writing style (*paper should be proofread, we recommend you use **Enago**, please upload this proof as additional document or supplementary file*)	☐	☐
13	Author Biography (*short biography oe each authors, maximum 150 words, upload in separate file as supplementary f*ile)	☐	☐

Please indicate and recommend the potential reviewer for your paper (minimum 3 reviewers)

No	Name of Reviewer	Affiliation	Email
1			
2			
3			

In the future, do you like to become reviewer of the Journal?

 Yes   ☐   No   ☐

Full Name   :
Email address   :
Current Affiliation/Institution   :
Country   :
Scopus ID/Publication Profile   :

Guidelines for Article Revision

To ensure the quality of your revised submission and to facilitate the review and editorial process, please follow the guidelines below:

1. **Revision Based on Reviewer Comments**
   o Authors are required to revise their manuscript in accordance with the comments and suggestions provided by the reviewers.
   o Address all points raised by the reviewers in a clear and comprehensive manner. If you disagree with any comment, please provide a reasonable explanation in the response to the reviewers.

2. **Track Changes Activation**
   o Authors must enable the **Track Changes** feature in their word processor during the revision process.
   o This will allow the editor and reviewers to easily identify the changes made to the manuscript.

3. **Similarity Check Requirement**
   o After revising the manuscript, authors are required to independently check the similarity of the revised paper using plagiarism detection software (e.g., Turnitin, iThenticate).
   o The similarity score must not exceed **20%**, excluding references and quotes.

4. **Proofreading Requirement**
   o The revised manuscript must **be proofread** to ensure proper grammar, clarity, and adherence to academic writing standards.
   o Authors are required to submit proofreading evidence, as specified by the journal, along with the revised manuscript.

5. **Compliance with Journal Indicators and Requirements**
   o Authors must ensure that their revised manuscript meets all indicators and requirements as outlined by the journal, including formatting, citation style, and adherence to the journal's focus and scope.
   o Revisions that do not fulfill these requirements may be returned to the authors for further improvement or **even rejection**.

Additional Notes:
- Submit the revised manuscript along with a **revision report** or **response to reviewers document**, detailing how each comment has been addressed.
- Ensure that the revised manuscript is formatted according to the journal's **latest template**.

Failure to comply with these guidelines may result in delays in the editorial process or **even article rejection**. If you have any questions or require clarification, please contact the editorial team.