

WCAG 3 considerations from WCAG 2 TF

As part of the TF work on WCAG 2, we sometimes identify gaps or quirks in existing 2.x normative or non-normative language, which should be better addressed by WCAG 3. This document is a loose place to plunk such info for the time being.

Keyboard documentation

As per <https://github.com/w3c/wcag/pull/1642#pullrequestreview-1784175041> there is currently no requirement to document a non-conventional keyboard operation in WCAG. If it can be done by keyboard but a user cannot figure out, it is not a failure!

For WCAG 3, a requirement to document accessible methods/keyboard where they deviate from conventions (and maybe some establishments on conventions) should be included. It's another of those situations where I do not believe it was the intent by authors of WCAG that a mysterious key should pass; rather, the assumption was keyboard operation would be not just Operable, but predictable and Understandable. In a word, Robust 😊

2.1.2 does have that kind of caveat "...if it requires more than unmodified arrow or tab keys or other standard exit methods, the user is advised of the method for moving focus away."

[2.2.1](#) also introduces the idea of 'before encountered'. IMO WCAG 3 should introduce a notion of documentation within the current UI or some such wording to establish that the user does not need to spend effort figuring out how to operate.

Careful of terms

WCAG 2.x could use an editorial pass to remove "trigger" words. One example is "requires", as a reader might easily infer it to mean "required" (or requirement for conformance).

Example from 3.3.2:

Labels or instructions are provided when content **requires** user input.

This use of "requires" is a conditional for when 3.3.2 is applicable, but has been read and understood as a broad requirement that labels and instructions **must always** be provided for conformance.