Petition

Grooming and the Risk Administration


Authored by unlas and bloodrumors

AFTER THE LEAKING OF THIS DOCUMENT I HAVE BEGUN COMPILING REACTIONS IN HERE. RESPONSE TO DRENGYR'S AND HELLABLU'S RESPONSE HERE

For anyone confused as to where the evidence is, you will find it by clicking the hyperlinks.



Double click the margin within the pages in order to be able to fully visualize the evidence.



Key terms

Groomer: Someone who seeks to build trusting relationships and interactions with minors with the goal of making them a sexual asset, in this case for example; for ERP.

Potential groomer: Someone who is suspected or under duress for Potentially seeking the ends specified above, potentially seeking in minors sexual assets.

Enabler: Someone who without ill intent fosters *grooming* and *enables groomers* or *potential groomers* and *potential grooming*. An example of a perceived *enabler* would be someone who under duress bans or reports someone for making a document reporting someone for potential grooming, the cited rule being also an enabling rule.

Accomplice: Someone who deliberately and knowingly encovers and continues to enable a potential groomer after being reported and/or suspected or aids them in any other manner. An example of this would be disregarding reports or lessening punishments from a position of power in favor of a potential groomer.

Preface

by unlas

On the 05/26 of this year, Surishen, Drengyr's boyfriend, had a very serious incident with a minor which was promptly

concealed by Drengyr, saving Surishen from a ban.

This was later found out and exposed (around the end of October) by a group of concerned prominent risk members including Fleug, Maze, Gamerguy, Smokedoublechee, Cita and a few others. They uploaded a document (<u>link</u>) and a video (<u>link</u>) that focused on Drengyr online dating Surishen, pointing out that, at the time, Surishen was 17. They sought to highlight the potential biases within the administration, derived from their online relationship. The document and video also highlighted Drengyr's intervention to prevent Surishen's ban.

After they posted the document and video, the "*Directorate*" decided to ban them all on the 29/10 of this year (except Fleug, who recanted) and forbade the document from being spread under penalty of a permanent unappealable bans.

This absurd and blatant abuse of power meant that Surishen would not be questioned (and certainly never punished) for any potential perversities. Hence I considered myself duty bound, in your absence, to mobilize a large part of the community against this and reconverted and advertised my server offering genuine cash rewards to anyone willing to provide information either about the grooming or the groomer. Multiple members made another brief document which was also banned by the directorate.

This, one may seek to use, in an attempt to damage the impartiality of **this report** or the reputation of its authors, but I guarantee that what such rewards seeked to accomplish was for Surishen to leave Risk if he was not investigated, given that there was a predisposition to cover his potential wrongdoings by the upper administration.

I have, though, reconsidered. Having been advised against being a source of sympathy for a groomer, I have instead decided to make a detailed report for when you return from your current endeavors, so that you may see the truth of this situation. The "report" is formatted in a manner in which, petitions from me and bloodrumors, are attached to simple context and then linked to proof within this document.

Petitions

- 1. The first petition posed, under the context that Surishen, first, has displayed attitudes and comments, sexual in nature, which are inconsistent with safety of the community (1), including revelatory conversations about the age of consent, second, a myriad of sexual comments within the Riskord and out (2) and third, has had an intentional and inexcusable, intentionally sexual interaction with a 14 year old (3), His age, due to his own conflicting statements and claims, is somewhat contentious, but by his own claims, at least 18 and 17 at the time of the interaction with the minor. Given these precedents, it is sufficiently clear that Surishen is very much potentially dangerous, it not being a stretch to consider him a groomer when judging from the only possible intent of the "{get} in my bed" interaction (or from his public comments), as such, this interaction with a minor by surishen should be deemed an attempt at grooming.
 - Given the context, we beseech you that Surishen be **removed from the community** banned from this community, as is necessary when dealing with an individual that has engaged in such sexually suggestive interactions with minors, and is such an inexcusably and publicly sexual person, within the context of him having a position of authority in a community comprised mostly of minors.
- 2. Surishen is unequivocally tied to **Drengyr** and vice versa. He began *ODing* (**online dating**) (1) Surishen and introduced him to the staff team, and intervened in an unacceptable manner to prevent Surishen's ban for grooming (2). It goes without saying that this is not the behavior expected from a director. His actions are self interested and malicious and he has abused his roles in favor of a *groomer*, whom he is ODing with and hence the only conclusion, is that he, as the first and foremost *accomplice* and confidant of Surishen, **be deprived of his roles** as Riskord Commissioner and Director and banned for ODing. We believe that **all the rest ignored**, just the fact that **he has**

online dated a Risk member, with all nepotistic implications, should be sufficient to warrant and make his removal from the community a necessity.

Yanranay as a direct accomplice to Drengyr and Surishen in the initial intervention and afterwards, has proven himself compromised and incapable to impartially hold the role of Director. Surrealcactus also participated in baling Surishen out.

3. We believe that the entirety of the "Directorate", that is, Hellablu, Classicalistic, Drengyr and Yanranay, are liable at a very important degree of conspiracy and more generally of complicitness with Drengyr and Surishen, if not Surishen directly. There has been arbitrary and malicious admin abuse by all four relating to the Surishen affair, if not direct involvement. I am convinced that this involvement, in most parts, is malicious in nature, seeking to contain the initial document at any cost. This guilt is collective, as the draconian management of the situation with Surishen was unanimous.

See the extent of the **collective involvement** of *the directorate* in the handling of the situation and the initial document. $(\underline{1})$

The management of the situation was *not just irresponsible and negligent*; it was malicious and completely irrespective of whether there was or wasn't any grooming or any other infraction (on either side) and concerned only with the reputation of Drengyr and Surishen, defending it by any measure through the concealment of the issues described in this document, whoever needed to be banned for this end being irrelevant; deliberately creating a chilling effect. These actions, we believe, speak for themselves, and carry the only conclusion that the "directorate" as a whole is responsible for these abhorrent acts previously shown, and as such anathema to any realistic standard for the role.

- 4. It is by now obvious that **Rhettal** and *other members of the chat staff* were also involved in this **whitewashing of a groomer** and **draconian punishments**, and after this document was made public, in attempting to punish people for discussing or sharing it and intentionally **seeking to diminish its visibility**. Other such people involved would be **SirAlbertBarnett** and several chat administrators. We consider it precise, hence, that they also be held *accountable* as enablers within this affair, more maliciously doing so after the release of this document. Evidence found in the previous petition. **PembrokeWelshCorgi** is also notoriously involved with Drengyr and Surishen and acted as a **frontman** multiple times for them. Even demoting the people who reported Surishen himself.
- 5. The fourth petition posed is that the prominent members banned or demoted as a result of the actions of the directorate and specially those involved in the first document be unbanned or repromoted, and recognized for making an effort to see user safety in risk restored when the maximum authority in Risk was working against them with ill-intentions.
 - 5.1. smokedoublechee
 - 5.2. dr.maze2
 - 5.3. thvqmastervltra (cita)
 - 5.4. gamerguy
 - 5.5. archerkb among others...

These members were demoted as a result of participating in making the first document by the Directors (and *PembrokeWelshCorgi*) mentioned above and we implore you that you restitute them and recognize their effort at seeking to make the Riskord safer even at the expense of being banned, simply for looking into the issue, and not recanting or being intimidated as Fleug was.

Propositions

Following the spirit of the document, we extend multiple proposals to ensure that a situation like this does not happen again.

- 1. Our first and most obvious proposal is that "Rule 3.2" which forbids any accusations against potential groomers, be completely removed. This rule has been used as a pretext to immediately ban people who came out with evidence of Grooming in cases such as Cheeseguy, Quccifer and Bulgarski. The presupposition that people should report groomers in silence is absurd and an affront against transparency which for these matters, is needed more than anywhere else. It is also worth noting that this rule is being applied generally, to accusations made in private too. There is no defense for this rule as something other than an instrument to enable grooming, granted that an accusation is not sufficient to constitute harassment.
- 2. Assuming that it is not banned already as is customary in Roblox communities, we beseech you that you reaffirm a ban on **ODing** which has led to this shameful and inappropriate situation. Online dating has never and should never be accepted the fact that it is being whitewashed in the case of Drengyr & Surishen is disgusting and sets a bad example for the future.


Final remarks

Throughout this document, it is well established that the directorate as a collective body, willingly associated with a groomer, has made itself responsible for all of that would be, otherwise, the fault of Surishen and Drengyr. It the duty of directors to prevent grooming and exempt potential groomers from positions of authority, and I highlight once again that not only have they failed to do this, but they have done the complete opposite of what was owed and fostered grooming and defended a groomer against even the most moderate accusations of nepotism and privilege. I insist that this issue has gone buried for 6 months and upon exposure was met with the **largest chilling effect in the history of Risk.** With this diagnosis, it can not be untrue to claim that no directorate at all would have been more beneficial to Risk than having this directorate act in the way it has. The directorate has decided to take this obscene position collectively and as thus they must answer collectively.


What should I do after reading this Document?

I invite everyone to make their thoughts known to Amnity and if it is of their volition to resign due to this affair, they have my full support.

Within Risk



45M views • 3 weeks ago




@surishen

Message from @surishen deleted in # = staff-club.

It was sent on June 21, 2024 8:02 AM.

✓ Replied to @dinosawregg with a mention: dark gays stole my white son from school and when he returned he was a 6'9" asian transwoman



User ID: 471205136673406976 - 06/21/2024 8:02 AM


Grooming

Somewhere around 05/26/2024 Asillyfella:3 (14yr old) began posting screenshots in general which showed that Surishen had sent him sexually suggestive messages.

The Screenshots show him speaking to Asillyfella:3 who at the time was 14 years old, this is a user he had met in-game and was easily identifiable as underage by his mannerisms. In these screenshots he tells him to "Come chill with me when you are done;3" and when asked where, he replies "my bed duh!". Roughly 2 minutes after saying that and receiving no reciprocation he says "nah jk" and tries to steer back the conversation. Surishen was a moderator at this time, and in a relationship with Drengyr.

When questioned, the context Surishen provided was the following (full):


- That he met asillyfella in game, an absolutely clueless and new player, and both taught him the game and introduced him to the discord.
- 2. That he "did not know" the minor was such, despite the mannerism that could be afforded to one in Roblox, and despite taking no interest in his age, before and after the sexual comment, only asking him when the minor explicitly says he is in middle school. (I would say it is likely he is younger than fourteen.) NOTE: I am unsure if this is consistent at all since in the screenshot where he reveals his age, asillyfella:3 asks how to verify (which in theory was done before the sexual comment), hence surishen might as well have known his age.
- 3. Since the minor repeatedly asks him whether "we are still pookie" which may point at Surishen previously using such "weird" terms (according to Surishen himself) in-game or prior in the conversation.
- 4. That the comment was (in his opinion) "Not even a weird joke" and that it was simply common sarcasm (despite the question of "in what" being perfectly reasonable). He then repeatedly says IT WAS NOT A JOKE again.
- 5. After the chat moderator which was dealing with this issue chastises him and tells him that this is not normal under any context, Surishen acquiesces, and says that his regret is that a screenshot was taken by the minor and that it was shared. He also claims that he was being professional despite the comment, despite claiming that the "joke" was due to him being socially comfortable .




For these findings, the chat moderator decides to initiate a vote to ban Surishen, as this was clearly an attempt at grooming, from a position of authority within risk, or at the minimum a weirdo which posed a risk sufficient to consider a ban. Drengyr does not allow this vote to take place.




Imgs



The Conversation is roughly reconstructed chronologically.





Grooming/Dren

On 05/26/2024 after the events detailed on the previous page a vote was made by the moderator who dealt with the issue, after posting all of the evidence, with initial unanimity in riskord-club.

This is to prevent confusion.

@everyone heads up, banning any game staff from the riskord needs to consulted with

Drengyr immediately appeared and announced, on the spot and in staunch coincidence with his E-boyfriend being reported,

that any sort of game staff were henceforth out of the bounds of chat staff and beyond their criteria, only being decided by the

administration, the vote being deleted shortly after. Just the fact that he did this is sufficiently obscene but his takes and excuses on the situation are worse.

Then a conversation ensued between him and the moderator in Riskord club. **Yanranay** and **Surrealcactus** joined shortly afterwards, both known friends of Drengyr and one of them, unfortunately, another Director.

See for yourself:


The main takeaways from the conversation, in summary, are the following:

- 1. Drengyr repeatedly refers to Surishen as "the mod" and never reveals any sort of attachment to him, concealing that at this point and before they were already ODing. See other comments like "Worth losing a mod for". Drengyr is blatantly biased and has no position to give an image of impartiality.
- 2. Drengyr is repeatedly aggressive towards the mod who was handling this affair and insults him. If one reads the conversation he will see that this reports only to the mod responding with good arguments.
- 3. Drengyr makes it a point to deliberately lessen the gravity of the interaction by claiming that **Surishen was friends with the minor** which was blatantly false and completely oblivious to Surishen's own claims (that he picked up this person from risk public). He even goes as far as to implicitly claim that if they are talking about things that are unrelated to Risk, it is beyond Alby's care, insulting him too.
- 4. Yanranay appears shortly after Drengyr despite not usually weighing in on these matters. His comments throughout the discussion are constantly directed at pointing out how "you are thinking too much about this" and "you don't have authority to decide on this" to the moderator. Surrealcactus' intervention is lesser but still along these lines and no doubt, with the same intent. Both of these should be considered accomplices to Drengyr and by extension, Surishen. NOTE: Surrealcactus later banned the minor who reported Surishen allegedly for sending gore to Surishen.
- 5. Drengyr says "but he is not a groomer" multiple times along with Surrealcactus. Surishen also states multiple times that the screenshots make him look like a groomer in other sections. This is despite Alby never claiming directly he was a groomer and starting the ban vote solely based on the bizarre, sexual interaction which he was calling "weird". There is a saying in latin: Excusatio non petita, accusatio manifesta. Meaning that when an excuse is given when none has been asked for, one has accused himself. "Groomer" is never mentioned by Alby or



If i knew before hand maybe i would've changed the way it worked.

, and personally decided by them



anyone within this issue except for Drengyr, Surreal and Surishen himself. That is because the affair spoke for itself.

- 6. The moderator points out how blatantly stupid the sporadic and on-spot rule change, which was done merely to favor his boyfriend, and is rebuked by both Drengyr and Yanranay for this. Drengyr admits that he changed the way it worked in the 3rd image in this section.
- 7. Drengyr ignores the would-be ban and compromises only on giving his E-boyfriend a negative observation and "drilling him in dms". I do not think I have to elaborate anymore on this because the implications are obvious and no argument is needed. Add onto this how he treats the moderator who was concerned with this. Drengyr's actions were malicious and anything but impartial.

The situation ended here and was very quietly buried until Fleug's group picked up upon it (they were all banned)..




surishen Today at 09:29 i didnt rly want dren to interfere

because then it kinda seems like he's protecting me andthats like obvious bias he is my bf incase you are unaware which makes it worse that this happened to me neither of us especially not him can afford for people to think i am a groomer somehow especially with the prejudices people have against 1. homosexuals and 2. furries

NOTE: The display looks wacky but Fleug has publicly stated this image is not doctored.


Imgs.




res ipsa loquitur

Dating

Since Surishen has said in public that "Drengyr is not his E-boyfriend"(i.e. lied):



He has not abstained. Since when is ODing allowed in Risk?



Drengyr deleted messages here.

Directors

On the 28/10, Cita joined on an alt to post his findings (the original document) on the Riskord and make it known that Drengyr was online-dating a Risk staff member and had inducted him into the administration, having intervened on his behalf, to stop him getting banned for grooming, as shown

Subsequently, the alt and Cita were banned and in the following conversations, the decision was taken to ban anyone involved with investigating Drengyr or Surishen. All four directors participated in suppressing this document and banning multiple people, shadow-banning them, for the only crime of investigating Surishen. They called this "harassment" or "spreading rumors"- When they carpet banned the

"investigations" @Peter Griffin When is the punishment for the rest of the group I'm waiting on that so i can log it yuck and yea, if their "investigation" was in that server, it's def that channel "DS" = Drengyr/Surishen (edited) is ulxx involved?

agkuamarin 10/28/2024

Rhettal 10/28/2024

Classicalistic 10/28/2024

what the hell is operation ds

drengyr and surishen, probably

aqkuamarin 10/28/2024

the doc is from chat-staff-announcements

original players who participated in investigating Surishen/Drengyr, they explicitly referred to these bans as "kill-lists", the use of such words, demonstrate bad faith, its almost as if they are trying to larp as Stalin or other such figures.

I later posted another document, but conversation about this is less featured in the screenshots.

Throughout the conversations (see. EDIT: I have added evidence regarding their statements after the leak of this document, after the light mode screenshots) that they maintained while managing the Surishen affair, specifically the original document, the following takeaways can be found from the interventions of all directors:



regardless i know hes involved in the creation of the doc bc of this second screenshot

Drengvr 10/29/2024

an alt which i banned

@everyone log citas ban

EpicPeebrain 10/29/2024

did you ban them in game or wat

rhettal was the one that shadow banned n demoted them

🌑 Yanranay do we know who originally spread the doc

- 1. Inherent and complete disinterest in any possible infractions by Surishen or Drengyr that the initial and the latter document both documented and seeked to report. This is superficially mentioned by Drengyr to give an air of impartiality, but no action is taken.
- An absurdly large interest in avoiding any sort of exposure of the topic from all of them to the point of unwillingness to do anything that would garner any public attention, including punishing Surishen. This is most prominently seen in Classicalistic, who was most active, and Yanranay. Hellablu acts with more disregard and vehemence but still claims that he would not take any action against Surishen if it involved public knowledge (referring to screenshots with pedophilic connotations). The truth of this is such, that in the original conversation one can very clearly see that most of it is about potential exposure and public knowledge or repercussions rather than anything else. Examples of these are constant mentions of shadow bans and demotions.
- 3. Constant mentions by all directors, majorly from Classicalistic, of plural terms such as "our position", this specific phrasing demonstrates that they are unequivocally united with Drengyr (and by extension, Surishen), regardless of any evidence that would have come to light. Their position is not to find the truth, it is to protect themselves, as a united group, by burying all information or claims. This also extends to considering potential repercussions, which has a blatantly conspiratorial air to it.



The general implications of these behaviors, when confronted with a report for grooming and ODing among other things, are obvious and these behaviors resemble more so friends trying to cover their own back than genuine managers each

working for the betterment and safety of the community. Surishen is reported for Grooming and they, *collectively*, only care about their own image and the possible repercussions of these claims, and in fact punish the reporters. They can have a clique, but when it refers to these matters which involve the safety of children, "political" and stupid, considerations should not happen, nor should a director be romantically engaged to any member of the community.

Imgs1

This one is very revealing.

NOTE: "peter griffin" = hellablu

Yanranay 10/29/2024

oh no im aware of the drengyr thing

you want to punish maze for it right?

problem is that the evidence is a tad wishy washy

and secondly banning him would definetly bring more attention to the doc given its maze

im stew ie If it's not punished people will think it's okay to spread the document

Yanranay 10/29/2024

I do agree on that but im just concerned about the ramifcations



agkuamarin 10/29/2024 valid



The way I see it, there's ramifications if we do

And ramifications if we dont We just have to decide which is worth it

Yanranay 10/29/2024

im stew ie 10/29/2024

@aqkuamarin Has that server hosted rumours before?



it is just a case of weighing the least worst option

im stew ie 10/29/2024 "investigations"

im stew ie Would doing nothing embolden those who created it?

Yanranay 10/29/2024

maybe? but given the names potentially involved in this banning them could cause it to spread even more



Looking lenient can help refute anything they pull later

Duncan 10/29/2024 what else can they pull

> im stew ie 10/29/2024 How about we leave the appeal bit to Drengyr

Let him decide

Duncan what else can they pull

Classicalistic 10/29/2024

I don't know, but I just have a suspicion that this is gonna escalate

Classicalistic I don't know, but I just have a suspicion that this is gonna escalate

Classicalistic 10/29/2024

🔞 Duncan unless they have some serious dirt on you it probs won't

They don't on me

Peter Griffin Fleug did jackshit Classicalistic 10/29/2024

I am annoyed by everyone who was complicit

But we should be lenient to make it impossible to flip this on us

Peter Griffin Fleug did jackshit

im stew ie 10/29/2024

Do you want fleug removed from the kill list then?

Duncan 10/29/2024 well u guys are directors

Classicalistic 10/29/2024

So that even if shit somehow gets worse, our position is still good

Duncan 10/29/2024 what can go wrong amnity is MIA

Peter Griffin 10/29/2024

This is the most internalized drama btw

Classicalistic So that even if shit somehow gets worse, our position is still good Classicalistic 10/29/2024

Hence we should only be nuking the actively involved

🔥 Peter Griffin This is the most internalizrd drama btw

Classicalistic 10/29/2024

Yea and we want to keep it that way

Classicalistic Maze has his own server which he has rallied multiple times for Classicalistic 10/29/2024

If we shadow punish even just the other guys, it's gonna go public So we should expect it to be a public drama

Duncan 10/29/2024 guys just remember

> Classicalistic 10/29/2024 Not something we can keep private

Duncan 10/29/2024 the drama is already public anyways

Classicalistic 10/29/2024

We make this look big and serious = we have to deal with more problems

Classicalistic If we want to do what is right then we can

Classicalistic 10/29/2024

But I do think it will escalate and that appearing lenient would benefit us should that be the case






























Classicalistic 10/29/2024

But they might pull shit on others







Classicalistic 10/29/2024

I'm worried about banning maze and chee



Duncan (the go

Peter Griffin 10/29/2024

We would have to leave you guys out



im stew ie 10/29/2024

Dont

They deserve it classic

Let them mald




Classicalistic I'm worried about banning maze and chee Classicalistic 10/29/2024



Idk I just feel like shit gonna hit the fan there



Classicalistic I'm worried about banning maze and chee



what can we do then



Classicalistic I'm worried about banning maze and chee



idc bout chee but ban maze



Duncan 10/29/2024

ranklocking chee is not a solution



Classicalistic 10/29/2024

Well I would demote chee for sure




📦 im stew ie maze, smoke and gamerguy will be banned in the next 120 seconds pending any major opposition





im stew ie 10/29/2024





Classicalistic 10/29/2024 I want to see to critique it



im stew ie 10/29/2024

ok one sec



PembrokeWelshCorgi 10/29/2024

these people are monkies and morons im boutta be like the mad king in GoT burn them all



Classicalistic I want to see to critique it

Classicalistic 10/29/2024

I want it to be boring and short

Note: Classicalistic is admitting that he thought any future probe on surishen would compromise them.



Classicalistic 10/29/2024

Ban that guy and a lot of participants may actually notice



im stew ie 10/29/2024

We shouldnt be intimidated by Maza

He deserves to be banned



Classicalistic 10/29/2024

Participants know maze; they don't know the others very much



im stew ie He deserves to be banned



He does, but I'm also being pragmatic



Classicalistic 10/29/2024

If we ban maze, we better be ready to deal with what that could entail

Yanranay and secondly banning him would definetly bring more attention to the doc



Classicalistic 10/29/2024 What's your reasoning gonna be?



Drengyr 10/29/2024

This is a genuine concern I have to just to be clear



🔞 Duncan isn;t it already discovered by everyone



Classicalistic 10/29/2024



It was rather small-scale despite cita's attempts to disseminate it



im stew ie 10/29/2024

Riskord drama never lasts

mhm

@Drengyr Guarantee you this'll be forgotten by the end of next week




im stew ie @Drengyr Guarantee you this'll be forgotten by the end of next week





Drengyr https://docs.google.com/document/d/100n85dvD4JyuCEpCY4unDiHUhMzkUNJyiyiQQpDCncQ/edit?tab=t.0



banned simple words



■ Drengyr https://docs.google.com/document/d/100n85dvD4JyuCEpCY4unDiHUhMzkUNJyiyiQQpDCncQ/edit?tab=t.0



babe wake up more senseless docs bullying people has come out



Peter Griffin @im stew ie i assume i put these in



im stew ie 10/31/2024



I cant edit the filter Only Riskord Commissioner+ can



Peter Griffin 10/31/2024

why did discord make this entire layout so confusing



i have more proof than necessary i will look into this much more in detail but im out for halloween actually kinda sad as fuck



@everyone Our main focus has to be simple Deprive unlas of oxygen

Not literally

But we have to limit the attention he gets

Any new "document"

Drengyr 10/31/2024 https://docs.google.com/document/d/100n85dvD4JyuCEpCY4unDiHUhMz



 Drengyr Well I dont want to be involved in the bans but I think at the core is how do we prevent other individuals from being targeted like this Classicalistic 11/1/2024



and yea you don't have to be the one to do the bans

it can be one of the other directors

me, hella, or yan

(depending on who is available when we go through w/ it)





Drengyr and should we discipline surishen?

Peter Griffin 11/1/2024


Well it happened in 2020

4 years ago

If we punished people over dumb shit they did years ago we would all probably be banned right now



But damn so much shit is coming out that i wonder what the full extent of surishens actions are



refer to the above





hopefully these are just some well-cropped screenshots and the only issue is in like, surishen's word choice or something



I don't want to be hush hush like, obv what surishen did is fucked up, we all believe here they arent a pedophile/groomer/most things taken out of context but we can't just justify not doing anything too

I wish I knew people in that community that could give insight into whos surishen was but that lgbtq group prob doesnt exist anymore




We should ban everyone spreading the docs in the discord using the Inbuilt ban feature

🌎 semi if it's linked to their discord in this server full of minors, I think that's an issue and people have been banned before over it, as Ferris said-- I don't want to antagonize anyone and I'm not referring to Drengyr at all, bi

It's careless, but I dont think it's a serious issue given the fact he never advertised his twitter here

What bothers me more is why these people are trying to "expose" suri


Honestly im not too willing to really punish them since like




this might be out of context there could be a "responding to x"



They're 100% not doing it because they're concered about minors seeing furry porn



Peter Griffin 11/6/2024 Thats just gonna revive a "drama" that for days has been dead



Now lets tackle this

2. We made surishen removed references to their twitter and private their account. If they actually groom a child or send them porn, WE WILL act and report them to appropriate law enforcement (edited)

1. AFAIK there was no porn on the account (If there is porn on the account I haven't seen any proof but there definitely is suggestive things, please tell me if there is straight up porn on there)

Ok but then also they brought up that you two e dated and they said they have evidence of that, so couldn't one say you're being biased?

🍱 staff-club



hellablu 3h

I'll give you that, maybe we should have acted sooner, whether if we believed he was a groomer or not

🗜 🍱 staff-club



hellablu 3h

Sorry but im not gonna validate claims that someone is a groomer without decisive proof that is the case. Punish them for being weird? Sure, but weird ≠ groomer

∓ 💵 staff-club



hellablu 3h

Which is where we failed, we didnt release a statement fast enough


staff-club



hellablu 1h

Indont think surishen is a groomer, those dms omit the second part that lead you away from "grooming" to just "dogshit humor 14 year olds

in # **general** ' have (edited)



this would NOT be happening if surishen wasnt a furry







staff-club

well

hellablu 2h

punished

Yanranay Kanaday at 07:12

surreal_cactus

the child is at fault

Classicalistic 🎩 Today at 10:36 PM

no, but I will fess up that we fumbled

Its a very dumb "joke" that wasnt properly

😰 @feya dont resign cus of that, resign cus of what ha...


Yeah and I'm saying that I don't think I'm a good fit

you gonna ask for your peers resignation?

Today at 6:05 AM

for the role because I don't handle crap like this

@Ferris Or is the child at fault



Note: This NSFW being linked wasn't an issue in risk when it was Surishen doing it

PUB