

**Toronto Science Fair Judging Form**

<b>Section Being Evaluated</b>	<b>Mark</b>		
<b>Section One: Communication - Display - <i>Do the backboard and other materials on display effectively communicate the story of the project?</i> Consider</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the effectiveness of the backboard design</li> <li>• the organizational, communication and technical skills shown</li> <li>• the quality of the images and text on the backboard and in the log book and summary</li> <li>• the nature of supporting materials, models, background research</li> </ul>	Out of 20		
<b>Section Two: Communication – Interview - <i>Does the student explain the project in a confident manner, demonstrating an understanding of the concepts involved?</i> Consider:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The student's fluency, enthusiasm and confidence</li> <li>• The ability to answer questions clearly and confidently</li> <li>• The ability of both partners to contribute to the interview</li> <li>• The evidence of accurate understanding of the concepts presented</li> </ul>	Out of 20		
<b>Section Three: The Design and Implementation of the Investigation - <i>Is this investigation well-designed, scientifically sound and carried out in a careful manner?</i> Consider</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the correctness of research methodology and the use of appropriate and varied references</li> <li>• the extent to which the investigation controlled significant variables</li> <li>• the collection and organization of data and the use of appropriate mathematics</li> <li>• the technical skills involved and the thoroughness and effort shown.</li> </ul>	Out of 20		
<b>Section Four: Analysis of the Results of the Investigation -</b> <b><i>Were the results of this investigation analyzed in a logical and scientific way and were the conclusions drawn reasonable given the data presented?</i> Consider:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the quality of the data presentation and analysis,</li> <li>• the extent to which the conclusions are supported by the data and stated clearly,</li> <li>• the attempt to outline the significance of the work and its context</li> <li>• the reasonableness of suggestions for future work.</li> </ul>	Out of 20		
<b>Section Five: Scientific Thought, Creativity and Originality - Choose either Discovery OR Innovation</b> <b>Scientific Thought - Discovery</b>	Out of 20		
<b>Level 4</b> Original experimental research with controlled variables or synthesis of data from a variety of sources; draws new conclusions. a novel and creative approach;  Marks: 20, 19, 18	<b>Level 3</b> Original experiment and good research; Most significant variables controlled; Good analysis of results; Attempts to synthesize data; Very Good understanding; Marks: 17, 16, 15	<b>Level 2</b> Makes modest improvements in known experiments; gathers data to confirm existing conclusions; Understands major concepts. Marks: 14, 13, 12	<b>Level 1</b> Replicates known experiment to confirm previous findings or collate data from a variety of existing sources without further analysis. Some understanding Marks: 11, 10, 9
<b>Scientific Thought – Innovation</b>			
<b>Level 4</b> Design and construct innovative application Highly original and creative; successful prototype or innovation  Marks: 20, 19, 18	<b>Level 3</b> Design and build innovative technology; or provide adaptations to existing Tech-imaginative; good prototype or innovation  Marks: 17, 16, 15	<b>Level 2</b> Improve or demonstrate new applications for existing technological systems. Simple design; fair attempt at prototype Marks: 14, 13, 12	<b>Level 1</b> Model or device that duplicates existing technology or demonstrates well known theory; little use of student imagination Marks: 11, 10, 9

Judges' Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Total: \_\_\_\_\_