

(Please download as a Word document to edit: 'File' > 'Download' > 'Microsoft Word')

Title:

The title should not be too cryptic or give away the diagnosis.

Authors: Maximum 2 authors for each Minerva article

Please include each author's full details– names together with their job titles and addresses (including departments' and hospitals' names) at the time the patient was seen, and the email address of the corresponding author.

At least one author must be a specialist (consultant, post CCT, or equivalent) in a field relevant to the topic and all authors must satisfy our strict competing interest criteria for authors of education articles.


Competing interests: All authors must complete the [BMJ Education competing interests form](#) before we will consider your article.

Please also include a competing interests statement here: **E.g., We have read and understood the BMJ policy on declaration of interests and declare no competing financial interests. We declare the following intellectual interests and tangential financial interests....**

Copyright statement: The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, a worldwide licence to the Publishers and its licensees in perpetuity, in all forms, formats and media (whether known now or created in the future), to i) publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Contribution, ii) translate the Contribution into other languages, create adaptations, reprints, include within collections and create summaries, extracts and/or, abstracts of the Contribution, iii) create any other derivative work(s) based on the Contribution, iv) to exploit all subsidiary rights in the Contribution, v) the inclusion of electronic links from the Contribution to third party material where-ever it may be located; and, vi) licence any third party to do any or all of the above

Patient consent:

A signed copy of [The BMJ consent form](#) must be uploaded and then include the following statement: **Patient consent obtained**



Case history/vignette:

This is a picture of xxx.

The patient was a x year old M/F with xxx.

The diagnosis was reached by xxx

Include associated features/conditions and/or differentials if relevant

Mention of treatment or patient outcome is not required.

Approximately 150 words.

Clinical image (clean) – ONE IMAGE ONLY

Submit as separate .jpeg files at least 300 dpi resolution and 12 cm wide as well as embedded in this template. Illustrations must be clear in print when reduced to 8 x 8 cm. We use only 1 image. Please select the most illustrative

References

Maximum of 2

Originality of Work Attestation

(Adapted, with permission, from American Family Physician).

Most authors are aware of the need to cite any sources they use for statements in their manuscript. However, not all authors are aware of the need to be original in the phrasing and presentation of their text. Even if you appropriately cite a certain source, it's important that you convey its information in your own words. The writing should not closely resemble the original source--it should be different enough that a casual reader would not think that two passages were essentially the same text. And in cases where the writing is an exact duplicate, it should be enclosed in quotation marks. With the accessibility of online sources, some authors commit what's been called copy-paste writing: copying a passage from another article and pasting it into their own. Or, they simply change a few words, thinking that that's sufficient to make the two texts different. This represents what's been called "the too-perfect paraphrase."

From: <http://ww2.nsc.edu/library/types.html>: The "Too-Perfect Paraphrase": The writer properly cites a source, but neglects to put in quotation marks text that has been copied word-for-word, or close to it. Although attributing the basic ideas to the source, the writer is falsely claiming original presentation and interpretation of the information." Both of these forms of writing essentially copy from another source, and represent a type of plagiarism, though certainly not as egregious as copying from another's work and failing to cite the original source. These forms of improper writing are especially prevalent in review articles, which by nature are based on other sources for the information conveyed. In any event, it's important that articles written for AFP be original in their writing, and that authors convey the information in their own words and presentation. Please indicate your acknowledgment of this policy by signing below: I agree that the writing and presentation of this manuscript will represent original work. I will inform all co-authors of the need to avoid closely paraphrasing other sources, even when appropriately cited.

Name:

Signature:

Date:

