

MANUSCRIPT REVIEW FORM

Dear reviewer,

Scientia Journal (SCI-J) thanks you for your valuable collaboration and contribution in the manuscript review process. This will allow us to guarantee the quality of the articles published in the journal. All manuscripts undergo double-blind peer review, so your personal information will be withheld. We recommend reviewing :

- Author Guidelines Page:

<https://revistas.urp.edu.pe/index.php/Scientia/Normas-para-Autores>

- Peer Review Page:

<https://revistas.urp.edu.pe/index.php/Scientia/Revision-por-Pares>

We suggest taking special care with feedback to authors. It's important to ensure that you are objective and that your comments and recommendations are consistent with your final decision. Reviewers' input is important to authors, so we encourage you to be as specific as possible in your feedback, always providing positive feedback for improvement.

Below is an evaluation rubric detailing a set of criteria for reviewing manuscripts. In the comments or recommendations column, please indicate your opinion of this work, and remember to assign the score established by the journal. At the end of the Form, indicate your opinion on the refereed manuscript.

Complete the following information to start the review process:

Title of the manuscript:	
Evaluation date (Month - Day - Year):	
The type of manuscript is: (mark with an X)	
<i>Research article</i>	
<i>Review article</i>	
<i>Case study</i>	
<i>Letter to the editor</i>	
Basic manuscript information:	
<i>Keywords</i>	
<i>Scientia Journal Thematic Area</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Engineering <input type="checkbox"/> Exact and Earth Sciences

Evaluation Rubric:

SCORE	
1	Does NOT meet established criteria or requires complete restructuring.
2	Requires major changes to meet requirements (specify suggested changes).
3	Requires minor changes to meet requirements (specify suggested changes).
4	Meets the criteria.

Assessment:

Criteria	Score (1 to 4)	Comments or Recommendations to the Authors (required)
1. TITLE		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The title is concise and informative of the main idea of the writing. ● It generates expectations of reading and impact on the topic. 		
2. ABSTRACT (Does not count to Manuscript type: Letter to the Editor)		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Provides necessary information that guides the reader to identify what the research is about and its relevance. ● Incorporates the problem, objectives of the study, methodology, main findings and conclusions. 		
3. INTRODUCTION		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Provides information on the topic to be discussed. ● Describe the research problem, justification and relevance. ● Presents the objectives of the article or research hypothesis, clear and concise manner. 		

4. MATERIALS AND METHODS (Does not count to Manuscript type: Letter to the Editor)		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Conceptual reference coherent and articulated with the methodology. ● Provides concepts of terms that are used in the study. ● Support the conceptual reference with appropriate sources of authority. ● The article mentions more than one author who discusses each variable in accordance or opposites ● Describe the methodological approach, the type and scope of the research to be developed. ● Clearly explains the processing of information (methods) and obtaining of data. ● Describe the population and type of sampling (if applicable). ● Specifies the way in which the tools have been validated and the proven results 		
5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Deliver the results in an organized manner based on specific objectives, problems posed, hypotheses, etc. ● The tables and figures are relevant and clearly represented and explained. ● Provide comments or questions on the tables and figures. ● The text does not repeat information provided in the tables and figures. ● In the discussion, possible directions for future research are proposed, based on the limitations of the current study and the interpretation of the results. 		
6. CONCLUSIONS		

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Provides a summary of the main topics covered in the study. ● The conclusions address and are related to the purpose of the article and the title. ● Provide recommendations, discuss, and reflect on the results obtained. ● The conclusion summarizes the importance of the findings and discusses how they might influence future research. 		
7. GENERAL COHERENCE		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Correspondence between title, problem addressed, objectives, theoretical framework, methodology, conclusions. 		
8. CONTRIBUTION		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● It shows the author's critical contribution ● Show coherent, original arguments and relevant interpretations of the results. ● The research shows Originality that is based on the creation of something unique through an innovative and critical proposal. 		
9. CLARITY		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Shows coherence, fluency, appropriate use of examples and supports didactic. ● Figures and tables are presented in the format indicated in the Official Template. 		
10. MANAGING CITATIONS AND REFERENCES		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Up-to-date sources predominate, preferably at most 5 to 10 years old. ● The references used use publications from journals indexed in Scopus or Web of Science. ● Avoid self-citations by authors. ● Apply IEEE standards in citations, 		

sources and references.		
TOTAL SUM OF SCORES:		
COMMENTS FOR THE EDITORIAL COMMITTEE:		

FINAL DECISION

Check the box corresponding to your evaluation criteria for the peer-reviewed document.

Recommendation	Mark with X
Acceptance without modifications (Total Score 35 to 40)	
Requires minor revisions (Total Score 28 to 34)	
Requires major revisions (Total Score 21 to 27)	
Rejection (Total Score 0 to 20)	