

PEER REVIEW #5 | RATIONALES

(SAVE TO EDIT)

Instructions

- STEP 1.** SELECT **TWO PEERS'** DRAFTS TO REVIEW USING THE RUBRIC BELOW 
- STEP 2.** FOR **EACH SECTION** OF THE RUBRIC, ANSWER THE QUESTIONS ACCORDING TO HOW WELL EACH PEER FOLLOWED INSTRUCTIONS. YOUR ANSWER ('YES' OR 'NO') WILL GUIDE YOU TO WHAT TYPE OF FEEDBACK YOU SHOULD PROVIDE
- STEP 3.** **SUBMIT** YOUR COMPLETED RUBRICS AS A .DOC, .DOCX, OR .PDF FILE EITHER (I) ATTACHED DIRECTLY TO YOUR PEER RESPONSE OR (II) AS A REPLY TO IT.

Reviewer:  Person

Author [Draft]:  Person

§1 PRESENT | REVISION (1 section)

PRESENT:	YES	NO	SELECT FEEDBACK 
Is there an argument presented in deductively valid form?	If so, which argument form(s) does it follow? Identify the form(s) specifically as well as any other ways in which the author could improve the argument's clarity / phrasing.	If not, please state what can be done to make it a valid argument. Please be as specific and thorough as possible. If there is no argument presented, please mark 'missing' and identify what you think the author may be attempting to argue for along with a suggestion as to which argument form they should use.	SUGGESTION(S):

§2.1 EXPLAIN: Definitions | REVISION (1 section)

<p>EXPLAIN: DEFINITIONS</p> <p>Are all of the technical terms from the argument defined clearly and correctly? Is each definition cited correctly?</p>	<p>YES</p> <p>If so, please mark 'complete' and make at least one suggestion as to how the author could improve the clarity and/or organization of this section. Be sure to identify any and all definitions that require citations /corrections.</p>	<p>NO</p> <p>If not, please state which terms require defining, are defined incorrectly, and/or should be redefined more clearly. If there are no terms defined, please mark 'missing' and list the terms which should be defined. Be sure to identify any and all definitions that require citations/corrections.</p>	<p>SELECT FEEDBACK - SUGGESTION(S):</p>
---	--	---	--

§2.2 EXPLAIN: Significance | REVISION (1 section)

<p>EXPLAIN: STORY</p> <p>Is there a story told which sufficiently and clearly explains the significance of the topic being discussed? Does the author include a specific example that strengthens the point they are attempting to make?</p>	<p>YES</p> <p>If so, please mark 'complete' and make at least one suggestion as to how the author could improve the clarity, organization, and/or appeal of this section.</p>	<p>NO</p> <p>If not, please identify any specific points which require further clarity or explanation in greater detail and/or how they could more directly spark the interest of the reader. If there is no story of significance told, please mark 'missing' and suggest how the author may spark the interest of the reader</p>	<p>SELECT FEEDBACK - SUGGESTION(S):</p>
---	--	---	--

§2.3 EXPLAIN: Rationales (2 sections)

<p>EXPLAIN (i): RATIONALES</p> <p>Are rationales provided for each premise as well as for why the conclusion follows from the premises?</p>	<p>YES</p> <p>If so, please mark 'complete' and make at least one suggestion as to how the author could improve the clarity, organization, and/or focus of this section.</p>	<p>NO</p> <p>If not, please identify all lines that require rationale(s) or whose rationales need improvements. Make suggestions for how each rationale can be improved.</p> <p>If there are no rationales presented, please mark 'missing' and suggest how the author may explain each premise and the conclusion.</p>	<p>SELECT FEEDBACK -</p> <p>SUGGESTION(S):</p>
<p>EXPLAIN (ii): SUPPORT</p> <p>Is evidential support given for all premises which make assertions?</p>	<p>YES</p> <p>If so, please mark 'complete' and make at least one suggestion as to how the author could improve the clarity, organization, and/or support of this section.</p>	<p>NO</p> <p>If not, please identify all lines that require evidential support (suggest potential sources if none are listed). If there is no support given, please mark 'missing' and suggest how the author may back up their assertion(s), i.e., what type of support to use.</p>	<p>SELECT FEEDBACK -</p> <p>SUGGESTION(S):</p>

WORKS CITED (1 section)

<p>WORKS CITED:</p> <p>Are sources cited</p>	<p>YES</p> <p>If so, please mark</p>	<p>NO</p> <p>If not, please state where citations are</p>	<p>SELECT FEEDBACK -</p>
---	---	--	---------------------------------

Reviewer:

Author of Work Being Reviewed:

<p>throughout the explanation section as well as at the end of the assignment? Are there at least two sources utilized in the rationales (one for P1 and one for the assertion)?</p>	<p>'complete' and make at least one suggestion as to how the author could improve the MLA formatting of this section.</p>	<p>missing and/or which citations need correcting. Make suggestions for how to correct the citations. If sources are cited only internally or only at the end, please identify where further citations/support should be provided. If there are no sources cited, please mark 'missing' and suggest potential sources for the author to reference.</p>	<p>SUGGESTION(S):</p>
--	---	--	------------------------------