

The intended users seek to understand:

Domain	Focus	What intended users want to know
<b>A. Programme progress and emerging results</b>	<b>Progress and early results</b>	Whether RANGE is making meaningful progress toward its intended outcomes at mid-implementation, how that progress varies across counties, wards, technical areas, and system actors, and what early intended or unintended results are emerging. They also want to know whether the programme is showing credible signs that it is on track to contribute to longer-term resilience and livelihood outcomes.
<b>B. Strategic relevance, coherence, and effectiveness</b>	<b>Strategy and implementation logic</b>	Whether RANGE's core strategies and approaches remain relevant, coherent, and effective in the current ASAL context; whether the Theory of Change and key assumptions are holding in practice; and how well the programme is sequencing, layering, and integrating interventions. This includes understanding the main benefits, trade-offs, and operational challenges associated with integrated implementation.
<b>C. Intervention performance, sustainability, and scale</b>	<b>What is working and what can last</b>	What key strengths, implementation challenges, and lessons are emerging across the programme's technical areas; which intervention models show the strongest potential for sustainability, institutional uptake, or scale; and what early insights are emerging on incentives, service uptake, and willingness to engage among programme participants and market actors.
<b>D. Systems change and stakeholder engagement</b>	<b>Systems and partnerships</b>	What signals of systems-level change are emerging in rangeland governance, service delivery, market relationships, institutional behaviour, incentives, and data use; how RANGE's engagement with county governments, community institutions, partners, and private sector actors has evolved; and which partnership approaches have been most influential on programme performance, coordination, and sustainability.
<b>E. MERL, evidence, and adaptive management</b>	<b>Use of evidence and learning</b>	How well the MERL system and Results Framework are supporting evidence-based decision-making, programme coordination, early warning, and adaptive management; whether current measurement systems remain fit for purpose; what constraints exist in obtaining timely and reliable data from diverse actors; how evidence and learning have been used to adapt strategies and interventions; and where key staff, partner, and institutional capacity gaps remain.
<b>F. Forward-looking management use</b>	<b>Decision-making for the next phase</b>	What strategic, operational, and measurement adjustments are needed to strengthen programme performance during the remaining implementation period, and what findings should directly inform FY4 work planning, budgeting, donor engagement, and endline preparation.