

What group dynamics that we discussed today showed up in our groupwork in class on Tuesday and Thursday?

Overall, I feel our group was equally inclusive. Everyone shared their opinion which was useful given that all definitions needed to be explained and fit into our middle-schooler theory.

I noticed there were certain people that were less willing to talk and participate than others, but this was probably a result of not knowing each other very well. This made it more difficult to get input from all group members.

Our group was pretty consistent with sharing ideas. Everyone got a chance to talk and pitch in. Not everyone did speak but I believe that's due to the discomfort that comes from group projects.

I noticed there were a lot of people who were really interested and wanting to talk and take more of a lead role.

I could easily tell that some people aren't as comfortable and as outspoken as others in the group.

It was good because a big group of new people allowed us all to give a unique opinion but there were some people who talked and participated more than others.

Being such a large group it was difficult to get input from everyone and the time constraint made it hard to slow down and ask everyone their thoughts. This led to some spiral of silence and some group polarization.

I thought for the most part everyone was willing to talk around the same amount but at some points during the project people would stop talking and let the main leaders of the group discuss the topic in more detail.

I noticed it was harder to get everyone's information for the project in such a short period of time.

I noticed that certain people naturally took charge and then they were kind of pushed to lead every aspect of the assignment.

Some people were less active in engaging with the group; for example when we finished the project no one seemed like they wanted to talk about personal life, everyone just got on their phones.

There were many people in our group who did not contribute as much to this project as some others did. The few of us who did participate tried to effectively communicate and share ideas

while the other members did not talk about the topics at hand. It was difficult to have everyone coordinate and work on the project together.

I noticed social facilitation as well as some social loafing.

It was good

I noticed the same few people would speak up in the group and that some were hesitant to provide input.

I noticed in our group, we worked very well together and we all participated in some way by explaining our group's words and whether they were effective or not in a group. I did notice, however, that there was definitely a leader in the group and there were mini groups within the large group.

Our group worked well together and did our part to complete the project. I do think that in larger groups it's harder for the work to be separated equally, or for everyone to have an equal chance to contribute.

I noticed that all of us were willing to share ideas more openly, we were good about delegating tasks, and we discussed ways to break up the work to make things more efficient (optimal distinctiveness theory). Social facilitation also played a role in this as we were in a group with other people, so we felt more inclined to share and work with one another.

An inner group formed within (probably since the groups were so big). The 'inner group' would end up participating more and lead the discussion while the others would not communicate as frequently.

I thought that we had great group cohesion and participation by getting every single person to apply their ideas to our project. I think that it was very productive in the class setting. I felt some people didn't share or participate with the final portion of our group project by creating group examples, but otherwise it was awesome.

I thought that everyone had something to say and it went smoothly. Everyone explained their reasoning and contributed to making a definition for the children to understand.

There were small groups that formed within our group. Some were more willing to talk than others. Large groups of like 9 make it harder to connect fast because of the many voices.

I noticed that four our group we tried to make other people who were not talking or participating that much into the conversation participate and give their thoughts on how so solve certain problems and having an input on what is being talked about.

I noticed that the bigger the group was, the faster smaller groups tended to form faster, because it seems to be easier to do that with more people.

I was sick on Friday, but my group worked well to get all the work done on Wednesday.