

How about you only care about your lust for children and are trying to convince everyone around you that it's fine to kidnap people and force them into sex slavery. There should be no repercussions for those people who ruin other people's lives? Take someone from their homes. Take them from their families. For the kidnapers' benefit.

That's a strawman. I don't know if you know this but most sex crimes, especially ones against "children" occur not by strangers, but family members, relatives, friends, acquaintances, people close and known to each other. The estimated number is around 90%. That is a far cry from the whole "stranger danger" panic where kids are kidnapped, assaulted, and murdered.

Source: Justice Department's National Crime Victimization Survey

The whole "boogeyman" out to get them is practically non-cases. Cases involves strangers and sexual abuse are extremely rare. This whole "stranger danger" phenomenon is ridiculous. On the other hand, you made the assumption that I had "lust" for children and said it was okay to "kidnap" people. WTF? That's a strawman. I never said it was fine to "kidnap people and force them into sex slavery". Did you not read what I said? I said that prostitution and sex trafficking are used interchangeably, even when no force or coercion is involved. Not everyone is forced against their will. You are trying to demonize everything you find "immoral" because it fits your narrative. You also have to understand that kidnapping does not always result in sexual abuse, despite the persistent fears society has. There is no "free candy" van hiding out in the parking lots.

All those reports of missing children turn out to be runaways, misunderstandings, getting lost, kidnappings by non-custodial family members in "custody" cases. Not sex trafficking or from sex offenders.

*"According to author, Roger N. Lancaster, in his blockbuster book, Sex Panic And The Punitive State, NCMEC proclaims that more than 750,000 children are reported missing or abducted in this nation every year – a shocking phenomenon that, even at first glance, simply does not add up. This number of missing and exploited children would suggest that Amber Alerts would be blaring every few minutes on street corners across the country, and every broadcast news show in the nation would be dominated by reports of hundreds of neighborhood children being snatched in broad daylight from parks and malls."*

[Sex Panic: The War on Sex Offenders as Public Enemy Number One | Criminal Legal News](#)

See? There's a difference between those that are reported missing and those that literally disappear. Very few cases of abductions result in murder or assault, let alone *intend* to result in murder or assault.

*"According to a 2003 report by the Department of Human Services, hundreds of thousands of children are abused and neglected each year by their parents and caregivers, and more than 1,500 American children died from that abuse in 2003—most of the victims were under four*

*years old. That is more than four children killed per day—not by convicted sexual offenders or Internet predators, but by those entrusted to care for them."*

*- Benjamin Radford, Predator Panic: A Closer Look, Skeptical Inquirer.*

[p20.pdf \(centerforinquiry.s3.amazonaws.com\)](https://centerforinquiry.s3.amazonaws.com/p20.pdf)

People live in fear of these people. Predators are not innocent. I think if anyone steps on my liberty to pursue a fulfilled life. If someone takes me from my home. If someone took my child from my home. They should die. Absolutely. No "quotation" needed. Not blood lust.

Of course they live in fear. It's a moral panic. But those "fears" are even justified or rational. You gotta look at the facts and logic, buddy. Society well overestimates and exaggerates the threat. It is blood lust because they are reacting emotionally instead of rationality. Just look at the true crime subreddits and communities. So many people "wish death" on abusers, even if they haven't gone to trial. Even if they're crime wasn't done with force or violence. So, of course it's bloodlust. You think that every problem out there, even if it didn't result or seek death, should be solved with killing. What is this? Hammurabi's Code? Medieval Europe? The Wild West?

*"Perhaps it is no wonder that in a Mayo Clinic study of anxieties reported to pediatricians, three-quarters of parents were afraid their children would be abducted; a third said it was a frequent than fretting over sport injuries, car accidents, or drugs."*

*Richard Laliberte, "Missing Children: The Truth, the Hype, and What You Must Know," Redbook, February 1998, 77.*

*- Judith Levine, author of Harmful to Minors, Page 24.*

*It seems as though you are trying to appeal to emotions and morals. You are full of rage and hatred against the "bogeyman" because it's easy for you. It's easy for you to jump the bandwagon since that's the only way to respond to these types of "problems". You could give less of a shit about statistics or government sources. Fear prevails. Ironic, considering Reddit is full of self-proclaimed progressives, but they find it okay to become vigilantes and attack people that go against their agendas. It's moral hypocrisy. This is why I oppose the death penalty. It's just gonna be loud public opinion and angry, ill-informed idiots influencing legislation with no real basis other than how they feel, because that "feeling" makes them "feel good". Even then, you wish death for people who committed non-lethal crimes. In 2008, presidential nominees John McCain and then-president Barack Obama, both criticized the Supreme Court ruling on Kennedy v. Louisiana. A 1998 case where a male defendant was sentenced to death for the rape of his 8-year-old stepdaughter. It was struck down by Unconstitutional in a 5-4 decision, with Justice Anthony Kennedy giving the majority opinion.*

*"The Court noted that the defendant, Patrick Kennedy, had been sentenced to death under a law that was embraced by only 6 out of the 50 states. Justice Anthony Kennedy, writing for the 5-4 majority, stated, "Based both on consensus and our own independent judgment, our holding*

*is that a death sentence for one who raped but did not kill a child, and who did not intend to assist another in killing the child, is unconstitutional under the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments.” The Court pointed to the danger in laws such as Louisiana’s, which allowed the death penalty where no murder was committed: “When the law punishes by death, it risks its own sudden descent into brutality, transgressing the constitutional commitment to decency and restraint.”*

*Victims’ groups and child advocates had concluded that the death penalty for child rape could actually harm children, rather than protect them. Some of the reasons they cited included a possible decrease in reporting, re-victimization through the lengthy appeals or re-trials, and that equating rape to murder sends the wrong message to child victims.*

*Read the decision: [Kennedy v. Louisiana](#), 07-343 U.S. (2008) (See modified opinion following note about rehearing).*

Not only that, both giving life sentences to sex crimes may increase the "incentive" for death. In other words, the perps may "murder" the victim to avoid witnesses.

Sources:

[Kennedy v. Louisiana Resource Page | Death Penalty Information Center](#)

[Kennedy v. Louisiana | Oyez](#)

It’s absolutely insane to kidnap someone, anyone, and think that is okay. It’s not okay. If you infringe on someone’s ability to live free, die. If your version of living free is removing another person from their pursuit of a fulfilled life and detaining them, die. If you keep a dog chained in the basement, die. Everyone should have freedom of movement. Freedom of choice. Freedom from tyranny. Freedom from oppression. Those who diminish freedoms and oppose the US constitution and our forefathers should be removed from our society.

I never said it was okay, but it shouldn't be enough to warrant a life sentence. You are strawmanning me again. You think these crimes are all the exact same. I've already explained it to you that the word "trafficking" is synonymous with "prostitution". Even if you don't agree with that, you can't deny there are going to be people who are going to do this willingly and without force. How doesn't one little "abuse" take away their life fulfillment. The whole "abuse" word has been stretched and become so broad, that every negative experience is labeled as "trauma" or "abuse". It's ridiculous. You literally don't know the people involved or what is going on in their heads. You are overgeneralizing things and placing assumptions onto "black-and-white" narratives.

I find idiotic to want people who "hurt" animals to be put to death. Seriously? How is that going to fix anything? You value an animal life over a human? Screw you.

There are also many people who oppose "freedom" or "the U.S. Constitution". But whom? That's entirely subjective. Depends on what you mean as "opposing freedom". Voting against

abortion right? Amending the 2nd amendment? Flag burning? What exactly? Define that and give examples. We don't arrest or deport people for simply disagreeing with someone or something, even if their views are unpopular. That is their right.

tl;dr: Your attempt at appealing to emotions and morals isn't going to hide the facts.