

# FREME call 24 April 2015, on e-Link

Time: 12 p.m. CEST

<http://www.timeanddate.com/worldclock/fixedtime.html?iso=20150424T1000>

Attendance:

Milan Dojchinovski	INFA
Jan Nehring	DFKI
Pieter Heyvaert	iMinds
Gerald Haesendonck	iMinds
Phil Ritchie	Vistatec
Marta Borriello	Vistatec

Regrets: Felix

Dial-in information:

Dial in via internet: <https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/910806877>

Dial in via phone:

- call +49 (0) 692 5736 7208
- access code: 910-806-877

Meeting-ID: 910-806-877

**Agenda:**

- data sets to be used in e-Link - concrete next implementation steps wrt to making data sets available via FREME. background [presentation from Milan](#)

Milan: With datasets do you want for start?

Phil: Use datasets from datahub.io

Jan: Check the table in Google Docs:

[https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1a9xD31U4ZPbGZqCJQ0bHC\\_n1PyyIf2VAorIHjLCsWds/edit#gid=0](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1a9xD31U4ZPbGZqCJQ0bHC_n1PyyIf2VAorIHjLCsWds/edit#gid=0)

Milan: DBpedia and LinkedGeoData are required by three BCs so we should start with these.

Everyone agrees.

Jan: They are a good start because they have a sparql endpoint and are therefore easy to use.

- e-Link design
  - just a sparql call for the first round?
  - conversion functionality, see e.g. [tools linked here](#)
  - sparql templating, see Phil's example
- deriving from the above: concrete implementation tasks for the 1st version of e-Link

Phil: I hope I can use e-Link as extended search. Two queries: Submit plaintext to e-Entity first. Then use these against a templated query.

We want to make recursive queries about the entities retrieved by e-Link.

Jan: We can construct one SPARQL query that fetches all these entities with one query.

Phil: e-Entity performs better on the whole document or on single sentences?

Milan: The context is important. So we need the whole document. Regarding e-Link the text / context is not important.

Phil: response times of queries against e-Link/e-entity

Pieter: [LDF](#)? performance should be better than SPARQL endpoints (under high load)

Jan: whether we query one endpoint or multiple endpoints, also how we define the endpoints - as part of the templates or the user defines these endpoints?

Phil: sparql endpoints defined as part of the templates - is better

Pieter: on federated queries

Discussion about query templates:

We discussed two ways of defining query templates:

### **1. Use "simple templates" like Felix suggested in**

<https://github.com/freme-project/technical-discussion/issues/8>

Pro: Easy to understand

Pro: Easy to implement

Contra: This is our own proprietary solution and is not compatible to NIF

Contra: To use this with pipelines we would need to define filters / extractors that processes output of e-Entity and feeds this into e-Link.

A POST request to e-Link "simple templates" can look like this:

template with id=events\_around\_city: All events around ....(city)

POST /e-link/templates/events\_around\_city?distance=100

POST variables: var1=...&var2=...

### **2. Use smart template that are compatible to NIF.**

E.g. the template has variables like `@@@entity` of class `City@@@`. e-Link then iterates through all NIF data submitted to it and extracts all entities of class `city`. Then it executes the query template once for each entity. This solution also requires variables as in the “simple templates” to define things like: “Give me all events around a city within a distance of 100 miles” (the 100 miles part)

A POST request to e-Link “smart templates” can look like this:

template with `id=events_around_city`: All events around ....(city)

POST `/e-link/templates/events_around_city/`

POST variables: NIF in RDF

Output: will be also NIF with the enrichments

Pro: This is compatible to NIF

Pro: This is compatible to pipelines

Pro: This is easy to use because user does not have to process the NIF coming from e-Entity.

Contra: There can be ambiguities. E.g. if the template contains two entities of class `city` then it is hard to put the entities in the right place. We could add a new variable in NIF to overcome this issue, like the last line in this example:

```
// Detected entity mention linked with its DBpedia representation and ontology class.
```

```
<http://example.org/document/1#char=14,20>
```

```
  a nif:String , nif:RFC5147String , nif:Word, nif:Phrase ;
```

```
  nif:referenceContext <http://example.org/document/1#char=0,3680> ;
```

```
  nif:anchorOf "Xiamen"^^xsd:string ;
```

```
  nif:beginIndex "14"^^xsd:nonNegativeInteger ;
```

```
  nif:endIndex "20"^^xsd:nonNegativeInteger ;
```

```
  itsrdf:taIdentRef <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Xiamen> .
```

```
  itsrdf:taClassRef <http://dbpedia.org/ontology/City> ;
```

```
  e-link:id "variable1" ;
```

Contra: We need to define our own syntax how to define the “selectors” (like `@@@entity` of class `city@@@`)

**ACTION: INFAL to start working on the first e-Link prototype.**