⚠️⚠️⚠️⚠️⚠️⚠️⚠️⚠️⚠️

Author's Note & Trigger Warning

⚠️⚠️⚠️⚠️⚠️⚠️⚠️⚠️⚠️

As I present this work, I must express the immense burden that comes with attempting to resolve the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, one of the most complex and deeply rooted issues in modern history. This conflict intertwines history, religion, identity, and trauma in ways that defy simple solutions or easy understanding.

This document discusses themes of civilizational differences, religious disputes, settler-colonialism, ethnic cleansing, genocide, and historical grievances which may be distressing to those impacted by the conflict. It also proposes solutions that aim to disrupt deeply held religious sensitivities and national narratives. The content presented here critically analyzes complex identities and narratives, inviting dialogue while acknowledging that such discussions may involve confronting uncomfortable and sensitive truths.

My Background and Motivation

As an African American, I feel a deep moral obligation to engage in the Israeli-Palestinian peace process, honoring the historic solidarity between American Jews and African Americans in the struggle for civil rights. Just as Jews fought for our freedom, I believe it is now crucial to support Palestinian liberation while still ensuring a secure, defensible national home for the Jewish people.

My Own Struggles

The cognitive load of holding and processing the nature of this conflict, combined with the emotional challenge of addressing deeply conflicting narratives, has made this the most demanding period in my 30 years of life on this planet. The Israel-Hamas war, and my desire to create a peace plan for it has possibly revealed a diagnosis of bipolar disorder, which would explain the passion, ambition and delusion that has motivated this work.

Though this is not necessarily my formal specialty—I'm a mediocre Software Engineer by profession—I bring to this task a unique educational trajectory, a combination of hobbies, and a background as a marginalized person who empathizes with both persecuted peoples. I also recognize that, because I don't have a direct personal stake in the conflict, I am able to approach this issue with a level of impartiality that might not be possible for those directly involved. These experiences have equipped me to attempt this immense and complex endeavor.

The Jewish Contribution to Humanity Is Immense

My motivation for protecting the Jewish national home stems from their incalculable contributions to humanity, which have enriched countless fields such as mathematics, science, philosophy, literature, and morality. These contributions are not just isolated achievements but are deeply tied to their collective identity and survival as a people. The preservation of a Jewish national home is crucial to ensuring that this legacy continues, as their ability to thrive and contribute to global progress is inherently linked to their survival. Safeguarding their national home is therefore not only about protecting a people but also about preserving an essential force that has consistently driven human advancement.

The Systematic Oppression of the Palestinians Is Second To None

My motivation for advocating on behalf of the Palestinians stems from the recognition that they live under one of the harshest, most developed systems of oppression in existence today. The daily realities of mass killing, displacement, disenfranchisement, and a lack of fundamental rights have subjected generations of Palestinians to deep insecurity and suffering. This level of systemic oppression not only stifles their potential but also denies them the dignity and justice that every human being deserves. My aim is to provide an alternative to these structures of oppression and pave the way for a future where Palestinians can live freely, with the opportunity to rebuild their communities, pursue their aspirations, and contribute fully to the global community, as they too are highly resilient people with profound potential waiting to be realized.

Both Nations Deserve a Safe and Secure Homeland

The Israeli and Palestinian peoples each have a deep historical connection to the land, and both deserve the right to live in a safe and secure homeland. This means recognizing the legitimate aspirations of both nations—Israelis need a secure and defensible state to preserve their national identity and ensure the safety of their citizens, while Palestinians have the right to self-determination, free from occupation, with the ability to build a peaceful and prosperous future. A just resolution to this conflict requires acknowledging the humanity and rights of both peoples, creating a framework where security, dignity, and opportunity are guaranteed for all. Without this mutual recognition, the cycle of violence and mistrust will only continue, depriving both nations of the stability they desperately need.

Neither Nation Will Like This Plan

My peace plan acknowledges that, initially, it will likely unify both the Israelis and Palestinians in their mutual dislike for the proposed solutions. However, this shared sentiment is, paradoxically, the first step toward unifying both nations. By confronting the uncomfortable realities that both sides face and offering compromises that neither will fully embrace at first, the plan aims to foster a shared experience—one of mutual dissatisfaction,

which can eventually become the basis for understanding and reconciliation. Only by addressing the grievances and hard truths head-on can the foundations for a unified future be laid, where both peoples can ultimately move beyond division and work towards mutual coexistence.

Many of these ideas, including disengagement and repartition, are being considered as a last resort in the face of the ongoing genocidal nature of the conflict. After decades of failed negotiations, peace talks, and efforts at coexistence, the urgency to prevent further atrocities leaves little choice but to explore options that may seem extreme or unpopular. Tactical separation, while painful and disruptive, is seen as a temporary measure to stop the bloodshed and create a path toward peace. At the same time, strategic reconciliation remains a distant but essential goal, aimed at addressing the deep-rooted causes of conflict. These measures are born out of necessity, not desire, recognizing that without bold and decisive action, the cycle of violence may continue indefinitely.

As the controversial but invaluable Orientalist Bernard Lewis suggests, those of us who enjoy the freedom to express and explore historical truths have a moral obligation to use that freedom responsibly, especially on behalf of those who cannot. **In times when history is often manipulated to serve propaganda, it is our duty to address these difficult subjects fairly, honestly, and competently—without apologetics or polemic.** This commitment to historical truth is crucial not only for understanding our present but also to preparing for our future.

The Necessity of An Impartial, Emotionally Detacted Judge

While there is a strong taboo against outside solutions that might violate self-determination, I have come to believe that external mediation is necessary for the safety and security of both peoples. The perception of this being a foreign-provided solution is correct, but I believe that it is the only viable pathway forward for both nations.

As an impartial, foreign observer, I've taken on the role of attempting to provide a clear, unfiltered perspective on the complex dynamics surrounding the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. Being outside the direct influence of the groups involved allows me to view the situation with a degree of objectivity that insiders will struggle to maintain. Without the weight of identity politics or historical grievances that naturally shape the perspectives of those within the conflict, I can approach the situation analytically and propose solutions that might otherwise be politically impossible for those directly involved.

In this capacity, I've sought to leverage my outsider status to suggest difficult, often politically unpopular but necessary actions for ensuring long-term stability in the region. While these ideas might seem radical or unpalatable to those entrenched in the conflict, my position allows me to rise above the emotional charge of identity, offering practical solutions that prioritize outcomes over ideology. This impartiality allows me to explore the widest possible range of possibilities for maximum creativity in problem solving.

Moreover, my role as an outsider has granted me the ability to make suggestions that might be perceived as biased if made by a member of the Jewish or Palestinian communities. This impartial viewpoint not only fosters a fresh perspective but also pushes both sides to engage in the kind of open, hard-hitting debate that is essential for progress.

In this way, I see my role as that of a mediator—offering a blueprint for meaningful dialogue and debate that insiders may struggle to initiate. While I may not be able to lead the change from within, I can provide the intellectual groundwork and strategic suggestions that others can take up. My aim has always been to serve as a catalyst for the necessary reckoning that both Israelis and Palestinians must face in order to build a sustainable future, grounded not in dominance, but in security and peace for all.

Solving the Problem of "All Tactics, No Strategy"

My goals for tackling this issue is simple; First and foremost, I wanted to solve the problem and believed that the Palestinian people need a realistic pathway towards a vision of security and self-determination that the Western world can support while also acknowledging the need for a safe national home for the Jewish people. ***Both nations suffer from the problem of "All Tactics, No Strategy" when addressing the conflict, and this plan aims to rectify that.***

Optimizing for Minimal Death

In the realm of mathematics, one can strictly optimize for only one function at a time, a principle that guided the formulation of this peace plan. Acknowledging this, I chose to prioritize and minimize future deaths as the singular, overriding objective of the plan. This decision stems from a profound commitment to the sanctity of life and the belief that the most ethical and effective path to lasting peace is one that seeks to reduce human suffering and casualties to the greatest extent possible.

Acknowledgements

This peace plan is deeply indebted to the countless authors whose works have provided the essential historical, cultural, and political context necessary for its development, and I am profoundly grateful to ChatGPT for simultaneously educating me and assisting in transforming my thoughts into language, making the drafting of this document possible. The innovations by OpenAI and the Artificial Intelligence community in Large Language Models have been invaluable. I also want to acknowledge my family, friends, and coworkers for providing the support system crucial to this plan's creation; their patience and understanding while I covertly dedicated myself to this task have been essential to its completion.

A Plea for Understanding and Patience

I would like your understanding and invite you to approach these topics with the spirit of inquiry and commitment to resolution that has driven my efforts. The personal attacks I have endured as a peacemaker are undeniable evidence of the deep-seated malice that festers within the human heart, driven by those who would rather cling to division and hatred than pursue justice and peace.

Above all, I challenge any opposing voice to prove your wisdom by presenting a more just and comprehensive solution.

The Canaan Confederation and The Virtuous City

A Regional Détente Architecture for the Middle East

[1]

Blessed are the peacemakers, for they shall be called the children of God: (Matthew 5:9)

[1] Provisional, DALL-E Generated Logo, based on https://photos.app.goo.gl/YpaBduWpBDYoGS6P8

Link to the Plan (PDF): https://bit.ly/4cXxwzw
Link to the Plan (Google Doc): https://bit.ly/3T8EdHO
Author: David Hanna Jr.
Status: Soliciting feedback at
canaanconfederation@gmail.com
Version: Sep 19, 2024

This proposal is an amateur but impartial, independent, and rigorously researched Personal peace framework for resolving the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict. To the best of the author's knowledge, it represents the most comprehensive plan available for addressing the long-standing disputes between Arabs and Jews over the Ancient Land of Canaan.

Author's Note & Trigger Warning **1**
My Background and Motivation 1
My Own Struggles 1
The Jewish Contribution to Humanity Is Immense 2
The Systematic Oppression of the Palestinians Is Second To None 2
Both Nations Deserve a Safe and Secure Homeland 2
Neither Nation Will Like This Plan 2
The Necessity of An Impartial Judge 3
Solving the Problem of “All Tactics, No Strategy” 4
Optimizing for Minimal Death 4
Acknowledgements 4
A Plea for Understanding and Patience 4
Executive Summary **16**
The Strategic Objectives 16
Critical Components 17
Challenges and Risks 17
Call to Action 18
Confronting the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict **19**
Disclaimer on the Use of Nations and Civilizations in Geopolitical Analysis **20**
Nations and Civilizations As Mental Models 20
Importance of Demographic Realism in Geopolitical Analysis 20
Understanding Nations Through Their National Consciousness 21
Foundational Acknowledgments for Reconciliation: **22**
The Israel-Hamas War Is the Result of a Profound Failure of Humanity 22
Humanity Has Failed The Israeli and Palestinian Nations 22
The Need for External Meditation 22
The Israeli-Palestinian Conflict Is a Manifestation of the Limitations of Modern Statecraft and the Nation-State Concept 23
Hamas Has Destroyed Israel's Sense of Security 24
Israel's Strategic Vulnerability 24
A Metaphorical Eschatological Interpretation of Jewish Insecurity 24
A Call for Fundamental Change 24
Palestinians Have Historically Been Dehumanized, With Their Security Needs Systematically Deprioritized 25
Historical Roots of Insecurity: The Basel Program, and the Balfour Declaration 25
Consequences of Demographic Shifts 26
Colonial Narratives and Dehumanization 26
Israeli and Palestinian Security Needs Are Intrinsically Linked 27
Israelis and Palestinians are Loose Descendants of Canaanites 27
This is a Civil War Between Nations of Quasi-Canaanite Ancestry 27
The Collective Shitlist Is Countably Infinite 28

The Western World Must Fully Recognize the Palestinian Catastrophe 28
Historical Context and Colonial Influence 28
Economic and Cultural Fears of the Palestinian Arabs 28
Disillusionment with Political Processes 29
Recognition of Historical Grievances 30
Acknowledgments of the History of Jewish-Muslim Relations within the broader context of the Abrahamic Tradition 30
We Must Acknowledge The Theological and Political Conflicts Starting From Medina 30
The Arab/Islamic World Must Acknowledge the Tolerance, Discrimination, and Persecution of the Jews Living in Arab/Islamic Lands 31
The Context of the Dhimmi Status on Jewish Self-determination 31
The Old Yishuv 31
Secularism in the Islamic World 32
Acknowledgement of Jewish Experiences in the Islamic World 32
The Christians Kill the Jews, So They Take Muslim Land?! 32
The Israeli Nation Consists of the Jews of Islamic Lands 33
We Must Acknowledge The Greater Christendom-Islamic World Fault Line in the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict 33
Western Christendom Is Partially Responsible for Palestinian Disillusionment with the Political Process 33
The Legacy of The Crusades 34
Christian Zionism: Naked Hypocrisy and The Broader Moral Decline of the Christian Tradition 34
The Israeli State Cannot Resolve the Palestinian Question Unilaterally, by Devastating Force, Disenfranchisement, Humiliation, or Forced Displacement 35
The Iron Wall of Revisionist Zionism Crumbled on October 7th 35
The Status Quo Implies Permanent Ethnic Conflict 36
Israel Is Overextended in Its Ability To Protect Itself 36
Military and Security Challenges 36
Political and Institutional Strain 38
Economic Pressures 39
Societal Impacts 40
Humanitarian Impacts and International Consequences 41
International Relations and Legitimacy 41
Strategic Missteps and Overarching Threats 41
Existential and Ethical Dilemmas 43
The Exoneration and Importance of Ben-Gurion’s Legacy 43
Ben-Gurion Was Just Despite Executing the Nakba 44
Ben-Gurion and the New World Order 44
Israel Has a Need to Exist 45
Israel Must Be Strong, Intelligent, Strategic, Pragmatic, and Moral 45
Israel Must Bear the Moral Burden of Recognizing Palestinian Aspirations 47

The Palestinian Nation Must Advance Through Pragmatism, State-Building, Continued Civil Resistance, and a Broader Use of Islamic Tradition 47
This Peace Plan is Meant to Stop the Bleeding 47
The Palestinian Vision For Self-Determination Must Be Acceptable to America and its allies to End the Occupation 48
The Limits of Martyrdom in this Framework 48
Flexible Use of the Islamic Tradition is Required For This Plan To Work 49
The Justness of The Palestinian National Cause 49
War Is Terrorism For The Rich and Terrorism is War for The Poor 49
The Moral Duty of Palestinian Resistance 50
A Significant Amount of the Gazan Population Must be At Least Temporarily Resettled 51
The Destruction of Gaza is the Ultimate Realization of the Dahiya Doctrine 51
The Discontinuity of the Palestinian Territories Implies that Full Palestinian Sovereignty Over the Gaza Strip is Impossible 51
A Future Palestinian Nation-State within the pre-1967 Borders Will Likely Resist for More Land 52
The pre-1967 Borders Are Likely A Temporary Solution 52
Repartition Is Likely Necessary for a Stable Social Order 52
The Acknowledgment of Genocidal Realities and the Necessity of Phased Hostile Population Disengagement and Repartitioning with International Assistance 52
Acknowledgment of the Imprecision of the word Genocide 52
The Israeli and Palestinian Nations Are Genocidal Towards Each Other 53
An Intentional, Modern Day Killing Machine: From the Haganah to the IDF, Israeli Military Tactics and Goals Facilitate Genocidal Outcomes 53
Hamas as a Spiritual Successor To Amin al-Husseini: A legacy of Genocidal Islamic Antisemitism 59
Amin al-Husseini's Nazi Collaboration: A Complex Intersection of Ideology and Desperation 59
Hamas and the Legacy of Amin al-Husseini: Fusing Religious and Nationalist Resistance Against Israel 60
The Land of Canaan Must Be Repartitioned, The Populations Disengaged, With Buffer Zones Possibly Established 60
Israeli Minority Citizenship Must Not be Stripped 61
Bilateral Trade Must be Maintained to the Greatest Extent Possible 61
Globalized Incompetence: The World's Complete Failure to Confront the Mutual Genocidal Aims of Both Palestinians and Israelis 62
Proposed Actions for Collective Peace and Security: 63
Establish a Canaan Multinational Confederation as a Durable Diplomatic Vehicle 63
Confederation Oveview and Membership 63
An Impartial Interpretation of Ben-Gurion's Pragmatic Vision for Regional Peace 63
Governance and Security Dynamics 64
At Least a 30-Year Hudna Between All Signatories of this Plan 64
Purpose and Overview of the Hudna 64

Challenges and Enforcement 64
Amnesty and Reconstruction 65
Reclassify the Gaza Strip as Corpus Separatum Under Disputed/Confederation Sovereignty 65
Unified Acceptance of All Religious Traditions Necessary to Promote Peace in the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict 65
We Must Respect Palestinian Self-Determination 65
However, Flexibility Is Needed vis-à-vis Islamic Teachings For This Compromise to Work 65
Tikkun Olam Must Become the Motto For the Israeli Nation 66
The Formal Establishment of the Judeo-Islamic and the Abrahamic Traditions 66
A New Covenant for Al-Aqsa Mosque and Temple Mount: A Practical Détente 67
The Revival of Judeo-Arabic, and Interfaith Diplomacy 67
Multilateral Disengagement: Deployment of Confederation Peacekeepers 68
Israel Must Have An Exit Plan For The Occupation 68
Strategy 1: Deployment in Lower-Risk Zones 68
Strategy 2: Deployment in High-Conflict Zones 68
Balancing the Strategies 69
Peacekeepers Must Maintain A Monopoly of the Use of Force 69
Temporary Resettlement of A Significant Amount of the Gazan Population to the West Bank, With a Binding Right of Return Guaranteed by The Canaan Confederation 69
It Is Not Sustainable To Keep The Palestinian's in Gaza Indefinitely 69
This Plan Acknowledges This Is Forced Displacement 70
Reserved Minority Representation in the Israeli Governing Cabinet 70
Israel Suffers from the Scourge of Ultranationalism 70
Reserved Female Representation in the Palestinian Liberation Organization 71
Expand the Definition of Weapons of Mass Destruction to Include Advanced Artificial Intelligence Systems 71
Transparency is Needed To Adjudicate Genocidal Intent 71
Mandatory Land Lease: Sanctions Imposed for Expansion Beyond 1947 Allocations 72
Israel Is Overextended in Its Ability to Secure Its Borders Due to Politics and a Lack of Strategic Vision 72
Land Lease, Either Adopted or Imposed 72
Sample Implementation Schedule 73
Phase 1: Initiation and Settlement Freeze (Years 1–2) 73
Phase 2: Gradual Increase of Penalties (Years 3–5) 73
Phase 3: Escalation of Penalties (Years 6–10) 73
Phase 4: Full Implementation and Maximum Penalties (Beyond Year 10) 74
Proposed Global Levy Tax in the Event of Non-Compliance 74
Summary of the Phased Penalty Schedule 74
Protection of Citizenship Rights 74
Option of Long-Term Land Lease For The State of Israel 75

Explicitly Condition U.S. Military Aid to Israel Based upon Compliance with the Canaan Confederation Framework 75
Heeding George Washington's Warning Against Permanent Entangling Alliances 75
Resisting Israel’s Tendency to Deliberately Damage Pax Americana 76
Congressional Oversight on Israel's Adherence to the Canaan Confederation Framework 76
Offer the State of Palestine a Compact of Free Association with the United States, conditioned upon their Adoption of the Canaan Confederation framework 77
Empires, Colonialism, and Settler-Colonialism: Engines of Development with a Moral Responsibility 77
The Complex and Universal History of Empires 78
Islamic Imperialism and Palestine 78
America As The Most Successful Instance of Settler-Colonialism 79
The Moral Responsibility of Acknowledging The Victims of Imperialism 80
A Compact of Free Association as a Post-Imperialist Framework 80
How A COFA Can Respect Self-Determination 81
Bridging the Geopolitical Fault line Through Western Integration 81
Gaza As An Educational Nexus: Building the Modern House of Wisdom 82
A US-Led Enforcement Action Against Iran and its Proxies If They Refuse/Violate The Hudna 82
Containing Smuggling by the Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps 82
Violations of the Hudna May Result In the Complete Destruction of the Iranian Nuclear Program 83
Iran's Contribution to the Canaan Confederation Waqf: A Path for Rebuilding Gaza and International Reintegration 84
Confidence Building Actions for Nations Supporting The Canaan Confederation 84
Asylum for Members of the Old Order 84
Funding the Confederation Waqf 84
Addressing Historical Grievances due to Colonialism 85
The Arab World Must Improve Its Respect for Human Rights 85
Americas Geopolitical Rivals Must Assist For World Stability 85
US-Turkey-Palestine Joint Effort for Gaza Reconstruction 85
Potential Pathways for the Evolution of the Canaan Confederation: Addressing the Right of Return and the Status of East Jerusalem 86
The Right To Return 86
East Jerusalem 86
Two Semi-Separate States with the Expiration of the Confederation 87
Permanent Existence of the Confederation 87
A Canaan Union 87
Single Binational Judeo-Arab State of Canaan 87
Conclusion 88
The Rebirth of the Virtuous City of Gaza 89

Al-Farabi's Vision For the Perfect City-State 89
Rebuilding The Gaza Strip and The Palestinian Nation Movement 89
A More Stable Order For Both Israelis and Palestinians 89
Appendix A: Draft Articles of Confederation for the Canaan Confederation Multinational Force 90
Appendix B: Draft Proposal for the Vision of the Virtuous City of Gaza 107
Appendix C: Draft Proposal for the Modern House of Wisdom in The Virtuous City of Gaza 109
Appendix D: The Narrowing of the Islamic Intellectual and Philosophical Traditions and the Loss of Palestine 111
⚠️⚠️Disclaimer⚠️⚠️ 112
Intellectual Transitions and Their Geopolitical Impacts: A Historical Interpretation on the Loss of Palestine 112
Appendix E: Draft United Nations Security Council Resolution for the Displacement of Gazan Refugees Under the Duress of Genocidal Conditions 117
Appendix F: Draft United Nations Security Council Resolution Calling for a Ceasefire 119
Appendix G: Covenant of the Canaan Confederation: A Pact for Lasting Peace and Cooperation 123
Appendix H: Draft Covenant Framework for the Al-Aqsa Mosque Compound/Temple Mount as a Pluralistic Religious Space 126
Appendix I: Draft Covenant for Temple Mount/Haram al-Sharif 128
Appendix J: Draft Gentlemen's Agreement Regarding the Diplomatic Recognition of the State of Israel and the State of Palestine 136
Appendix K: Draft Formal Apology from the United States with an Invitation to the Islamic Republic of Iran to Join the Canaan Confederation 137
Appendix L: Draft Warning of Possible US-Led Police Action Against Iran 140
Appendix M: Draft International Declaration on Reconciliation and Remembrance for the Historical Tragedies of the Late Ottoman Period 141
Appendix N: Draft Memorandum of Understanding on Human Rights and Legal Reforms in the Canaan Confederation 144
Appendix O: Towards Understanding and Reconciliation: France and the United Kingdom Reflect on the Sykes-Picot Agreement 146
Appendix P: Formal Apology for the Suez Crisis from the United Kingdom and France 147
Appendix Q: Miscellaneous Initiatives for Lasting Peace in the Middle East 148
Appendix R: Draft 30-Year Hudna Agreement 151
Appendix S: Draft United Nations Security Council Resolution deploying Peacekeepers to the of the Rafah Border Crossing 153
Appendix T: Draft Proposal for the Advisory Role of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers in the Rebuilding of Gaza under the Auspices of the Canaan Confederation 155
Appendix U: Draft Canaan Confederation Educational Framework for the Judeo-Islamic and Abrahamic Traditions: Aiming for Détente 157
Drawing Analogies with the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict 157
Fostering Détente through Diplomatic Engagement and Security Cooperation 157
Educational Outreach for Building Détente 158

Canaan Confederation As an Educational Framework Itself 158
The role of the Judeo-Islamic and the Abrahamic Traditions 158
Conclusion: A Framework for Stability and Détente 159
Appendix V: Draft Framework for U.S.-Palestine Olive Oil Trade Agreement Under the Auspices of the Canaan Confederation 159
Appendix W: Compact of Free Association Between the United States and the State of Palestine - A Stability Plan 163
Appendix X: Estimating Total Amount of Occupied Land Using OSINT 165
Appendix Y: Deployment of Canaan Confederation Peacekeepers in the Jordanian Valley to Prevent Smuggling Activities by Foreign Actors 166
Appendix Z: Deployment of Canaan Confederation Peacekeepers to Nablus, Tubas, Tulkarm, Jenin, and Surrounding Areas for Hostile Population Disengagement 168
Appendix AA: Phased Deployment of Canaan Confederation Peacekeepers to the Gaza Strip for Hostile Population Disengagement 169
Appendix AB: A Secular-Humanist Interpretation of the Conflicts Between the Muslims and the Jewish Tribes of Medina 172
⚠️⚠️Disclaimer⚠️⚠️ 172
Human Nature and the Struggle for Survival in 7th-Century Arabia 172
Perception of the Jewish Tribes as a Fifth Column 173
Khaybar and the Final Act of Resistance 173
Historical Conflicts as Products of Human Nature 173
The Prophet's Divinely Ordained Task 174
Muhammad's Lasting Impact on the Arab World 175
War as a Means to Peace 176
The Jews of Medina Did Not Fit Within the New Social Order 177
Validating the Jewish Perspective on the Conflicts in Medina 178
Relevance to the Present: Moving Beyond Historical Grievances 179
Conclusion: 180
Appendix AC: A Spectulative Interpretation of the Death of the Prophet Muhammad 181
⚠️⚠️Disclaimer⚠️⚠️ 181
Appendix AD: Proposal for a Modern, Temporary Tabernacle to Implement Isaiah 56:7 in 15 Years 183
Objective 183
Project Overview 183
15-Year Hudna Requirement 183
Modern Construction Approach (Post-Hudna) 183
Terms for Hudna (Truce) 184
Stakeholders 184
Implementation Timeline (Post-Hudna) 184
Funding and Resources 184
Expected Outcome 185
Appendix AE: Proposal For A Constitutional Convention for Israel with Endorsement of

the Canaan Confederation **185**
Introduction 186
Rationale for a Constitutional Convention and Confederation Endorsement 186
Structure of the Constitutional Convention 186
Key Elements of the Proposed Constitution 187
Benefits of Endorsing the Canaan Confederation 187
Conclusion 188
Appendix AF: Ghetto Screenshot of Calculations for Land Lease Scheme **189**
References **190**

Executive Summary

The Israeli-Palestinian Conflict, rooted in deep-seated nationalist ambitions and complex historical grievances, demands drastic solutions. This Interim Status Agreement introduces the Canaan Confederation and the Virtuous City of Gaza, a highly creative framework that fosters the conditions necessary for a secure Israel and a viable Palestinian State. Crafted with the strongest commitment to impartiality, this plan seeks to bridge centuries-old divides by suggesting comprehensive frameworks considering the perspectives and rights of all stakeholders involved, offering a holistic approach to resolving the world's most enduring frozen conflict.

The Virtuous City of Gaza: The Virtuous City of Gaza will rise from the rubble of conflict, inspired by the rich heritage of the Islamic Golden Age. This city aims to become a global center of learning and cultural exchange, embodying principles of peace, knowledge, and cooperation. Drawing from historical examples like Al-Andalus and the House of Wisdom in Baghdad, the Virtuous City will become the **Pluralistic Crown Jewel** of the Middle East, fostering a new era of Islamic revival and serving as a bridge between the Western and Islamic worlds.

The Canaan Confederation: The Canaan Confederation provides the substrate, a Judeo-Arab durable diplomatic vehicle that offers a flexible political framework for resolving the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict. Centered around Israel and the future Palestinian State, the Confederation will facilitate cooperation, economic integration, and regional stability, ensuring long-term peace and security. This union, validated by David Ben-Gurion's vision of an Arab federation inclusive of Israel (Teveth, 1985), seeks to spark a new Islamic Golden Age, serving as a bridge linking the prosperity of that era with contemporary modernity.

A New Global Order for Peace and Security: The Canaan Confederation introduces a new security architecture in the Middle East, which aims to foster regional stability and, by extension, enhance global security.

The Strategic Objectives

1. **A Vision for Peace:** Establish a durable ceasefire in the Gaza Strip, setting the stage for re-establishing regional security throughout the Middle East.
2. **Addressing The Acute Humanitarian Crisis:** Address immediate humanitarian needs in Gaza and set the stage for the region's reconstruction.
3. **Formalizing a post-war Multinational Force:** Establish a security architecture that provides peacekeeping forces and security guarantees to support both nations and to counter regional threats.
4. **Ensuring the Reconstruction of the Gaza Strip**: Establish the infrastructure to encourage international cooperation to rebuild the Gaza Strip through the creation of a dedicated Waqf and Modern House of Wisdom.
5. **Balancing Separation and Reconciliation:** Tactical separation and marginalization of the extremist elements of both nations but counterbalanced by strategic reconciliation

strategies through cultural innovation, economic, and educational integration with global partners.

6. **Ensuring a Final Status Agreement for a Viable Palestinian State**: Creating a time-bounded Process for Establishing a Palestinian State.
7. **Addressing Jewish-Muslim Relations Directly:** This is the thorniest issue in the conflict, which all previous political solutions have avoided due to diplomatic sensitivities.

Critical Components

- **Foundational Acknowledgements for Reconciliation**: Declarations that provide a baseline for reconciliation and address major historical grievances, informed by a thorough historical conflict analysis and deconstruction.
- **Proposed Actions for Collective Peace and Security:**
    - Establish a renewable Confederation as a diplomatic vehicle for the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict.
    - Implement and maintain a generational Hudna (ceasefire) between major Palestinian factions and Israel.
    - Administer the Gaza Strip and the West Bank under Confederation oversight during reconstruction, leading to Palestinian Statehood.
    - Initiate phased disengagement in conflict zones with Confederation peacekeeping support.
    - A Compact of Free Association Between the Palestinian State and the United States
    - Limited Evacuation of the Gazan population to the West Bank to alleviate excess deaths from the Israel-Hamas War
    - Mandatory Land Lease for Land Occupied Beyond the 1947 Allocations
    - Various proposed Cultural and Religious Innovations to promote reconciliation in the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict, including formalizing the Judeo-Islamic and Abrahamic traditions.

Challenges and Risks

- **Ambition of the Plan**: The scope and novelty of this plan cannot be understated. It requires unprecedented cooperation and commitment between multiple parties with diverse interests.
- **Security Concerns**: Peacekeeping, disengagement, and land-swap efforts must effectively address potential resistance and strategic depth concerns.
- **Political opposition and skepticism:** Due to historical conflicts, cynicism and mistrust in both populations will pose significant barriers. Disruption of the current status quo will draw the ire of reactionaries.
- **Diversity of religious and political interests:** The varied religious and political interests across the spectrum complicate diplomatic efforts.

- **Overuse of Strategic Ambiguity:** The plan liberally uses strategic ambiguity to provide as broad a vision as possible, which the political process will fill in.
- **Time:** The political process risks getting bogged down before enough progress can be made towards peace.

Call to Action

The Canaan Confederation and the Virtuous City of Gaza represent a bold vision for peace that requires unprecedented cooperation. Despite numerous efforts, a bold post-war strategy for resolving the Israel-Hamas war remains elusive, highlighting the need for innovative solutions beyond the traditional approaches. This peace plan is designed to synthesize diverse perspectives and needs into a unified vision that respects the situation's complexity and is guided by a commitment to impartiality.

The Confederation framework proposed here is flexible, allowing adjustments to accommodate all parties involved. However, the new order requires consideration in its ***entirety*** before addressing specific concerns, recognizing that this plan is a compromise in pursuit of peace.

Establishing a viable Palestinian State is essential for the *long-term* security and moral integrity of the Jewish People and crucial for regional and global stability. This plan calls on global leaders, communities, and stakeholders to embrace a bold, comprehensive strategy to address the deep-rooted issues of extremism, ethnic and religious strife, and the existential crises affecting both nations.

The future of the Palestinian Nation hinges on the recognition of a *permanent* Israeli presence. Anyone unable to support this new order should reflect on their reasons and consider the broader implications of continued conflict.

By rebranding the Gaza Strip as the Virtuous City, we aim to inspire hope and highlight the potential for a new era of peace, learning, and cultural renaissance in the region. This initiative will ensure the Strip is rebuilt and transformed into a symbol of unity and progress for the entire world.

The international community can advance the Confederation framework before the political elites of the Palestinian and Israeli Nations formally accept it. I urge nations worldwide to endorse this plan to foster a durable peace and ensure the security and prosperity of all involved.

Confronting the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict

The Israeli-Palestinian conflict demands that humanity undertake decisive actions — akin to breaking and resetting a malformed bone — to address not only the conflict itself but also the broader human condition that underpins it. We stand at a critical juncture, with the potential for widespread conflict in the Middle East increasingly apparent.

Numerous peace plans have attempted to address the core political issues at the heart of the conflict: the right of return for refugees, territorial disputes and settlements, security concerns, the status of Jerusalem, control of religious sites, and the mutual recognition of the Palestinian and Jewish states. However, these efforts have often been constrained by diplomatic sensitivities, lacking the scope necessary to bridge the deep divides of history, religion, language, culture, and ethnicity.

The attack on October 7th by Hamas and the subsequent destruction of the Gaza Strip underscore the brutal realities of the conflict and highlight the need for radical changes to achieve a stable order of affairs. This plan proposes bold steps that deliberately cross known red lines because true resolution requires confronting the most uncomfortable aspects of our human condition. The differences between the Jewish nation and the largely Arab-Muslim Palestinian nation — religious, ethnic, cultural, and historical — are vast and volatile, and addressing these openly is essential for coexistence.

The Canaan Confederation, the framework proposed herein, is designed around both the stated and unstated needs and narratives of the Arabs and Jews of the Historical Land of Canaan. It represents a comprehensive attempt to cut through the Gordian Knot of this conflict, aiming for a new era of peace and stability. This plan, including all its opinions, potential factual inaccuracies, and deficiencies, is a personal endeavor to present impartial solutions, and responsibility for them rests solely with the author.

Disclaimer on the Use of Nations and Civilizations in Geopolitical Analysis

Nations and Civilizations As Mental Models

In this analysis, the concepts of nations and civilizations are employed as abstract models to facilitate discussion of broad patterns in geopolitical dynamics, drawing on frameworks like those proposed by Samuel P. Huntington in The Clash of Civilizations.

A **nation** is defined as a group of people who share a common identity, history, culture, and often a geographic region, bound together by a sense of kinship and collective destiny. A **civilization**, on the other hand, represents a larger cultural grouping of people, encompassing multiple nations or regions, characterized by shared social, religious, economic, and political structures that have developed over a long period.

These models serve as simplified mental tools that help to abstract and generalize complex real-world phenomena, providing a structured way to comprehend global interactions and inform strategic decision-making. While these constructs are essential for theoretical analysis, it is important to remember that they represent complex, diverse entities with tangible realities.

One of the strengths, rather than pitfalls, of using abstract models like nations and civilizations in geopolitical analysis is their ability to capture broad trends effectively. While there is a general perception of Western support for Israel over Palestine, Ireland's notable deviation from this trend highlights an exception rather than the rule.

This singular deviation actually underscores the model's validity by showing that while not monolithic, the predominant trend in Western geopolitical stances can be captured effectively by this model. Ireland’s unique position, influenced by its own historical experiences with colonialism, enhances our understanding of the complexities within civilizations but does not necessarily invalidate the broader patterns observed.

Such models help identify and focus on widespread geopolitical alliances and sentiments, acknowledging exceptions like Ireland as critical nuances that add depth to our understanding without overshadowing the collective narratives such as imperialism, colonialism, dehumanization, and displacement faced by the Palestinian nation.

Importance of Demographic Realism in Geopolitical Analysis

This analysis does not seek to erase or overlook the existence of minorities within these nations. Israel, for instance, was founded as a Jewish state, a fact central to its identity, yet this does not imply the exclusion or dehumanization of minority groups. Simultaneously, the conditional probability of someone being an Arab Muslim, given that they are Palestinian, underscores a widely understood demographic reality that is essential for accurate geopolitical modeling.

Based on demographic data, greater than 93% of Palestinians are Muslim. This can be expressed in probabilistic terms as: $P(\text{Arab Muslim} \mid \text{Palestinian}) = 0.93$

Using this calculation is crucial for our model to reflect reality, as it mirrors how human cognition works. Our brains naturally make these kinds of conditional probability assessments to navigate the world. This is the same mechanism that leads to the formation of stereotypes—they arise because, statistically speaking, certain patterns and probabilities are highly prevalent. In this case, acknowledging that most Palestinians are Arab Muslims is a statistically valid observation and serves as a foundation for understanding broader geopolitical dynamics.

Rather than avoiding or downplaying stereotypes, this analysis validates their existence as a natural outcome of human cognition and statistical reasoning. However, it remains essential to differentiate between useful generalizations for analytical purposes and harmful oversimplifications that could lead to unjust actions or policies.

Understanding Nations Through Their National Consciousness

While nations encompass a rich diversity of thoughts, cultures, and perspectives, they often exhibit a national consciousness—a collective identity that binds their people together. This shared sense of belonging and common purpose is forged through historical experiences, cultural practices, language, and social institutions. It manifests in national symbols, narratives, and values that resonate across different segments of the population.

National consciousness serves as a powerful tool for understanding and predicting the broad behavior of a nation, despite its internal diversity. It encapsulates shared historical experiences, predominant ideologies, and cultural values that unite a population and shape its collective identity.

In the case of Israel, Zionism and the memory of persecution drive a focus on security, territorial integrity, and military strength, while for Palestine, the experience of displacement and the pursuit of self-determination fuel efforts toward international recognition and resistance movements. By acknowledging national consciousness, we can better comprehend a nation's motivations, policies, and responses to global events, providing valuable insights into its behavior on the world stage.

By acknowledging this unifying force, we mitigate the risk of overgeneralization in our models. While internal diversity is significant, the national consciousness provides a framework that captures the predominant attitudes and behaviors of a nation. It allows us to use the concept of a nation as a meaningful and coherent unit in geopolitical analysis without ignoring the complexities within.

Recognizing national consciousness doesn’t negate internal diversity but rather incorporates it into a broader understanding of how a nation functions as a whole. It acknowledges that while not all citizens think alike, there is a collective identity that influences national actions and perspectives.

Foundational Acknowledgments for Reconciliation:

These are the most salient facts necessary for a baseline shared narrative between the two nations and to address the biggest elephants in the room. These cover consistent knowledge gaps I have identified in the national narratives of both nations.

Some of these acknowledgments can be subject to negotiation and used as leverage as a part of the overall diplomatic process during the Hudna.

The Israel-Hamas War Is the Result of a Profound Failure of Humanity

Humanity Has Failed The Israeli and Palestinian Nations

The world must recognize the Israel-Hamas war as a stark reminder of the failure of humanity to prevent and resolve the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. This war, marked by over 51000 deaths, underscores the critical need for a comprehensive and enduring solution to the conflict.

In this proposal, we acknowledge the collective trauma endured by both nations and affirm our commitment to a peace process that seeks to end the cycle of violence and address its root causes through dialogue, reconciliation, and mutual respect.

The goal is to ensure that such tragedies are not repeated and to pave the way for a future where peace and justice prevail for all parties involved.

The Need for External Meditation

The unchecked self-determination of both nations will inevitably perpetuate internecine conflict, as each pursues its vision of sovereignty at the expense of the other's existence. The severe moral decline of these nations, stemming from the absence of a viable path to peace, necessitates external mediation to restore humanity and prevent further degradation.

The Israeli and Palestinian nations, deeply entrenched in a conflict marked by historical grievances, religious animosities, and existential fears, are unlikely to solve the conflict themselves. Both sides have been shaped by narratives that portray the other as an implacable adversary, leading to a deep mistrust that undermines any attempt at genuine reconciliation. The mutual suspicion and the zero-sum nature of their respective nationalisms make it nearly impossible for either side to propose a solution that the other would accept. In this context, any resolution emerging from within these two communities is likely to be viewed with skepticism and hostility, further perpetuating the cycle of violence and despair. As such, an external framework or intervention, untainted by the biases of either side, is necessary to pave the way for a sustainable peace.

The Israeli-Palestinian Conflict Is a Manifestation of the Limitations of Modern Statecraft and the Nation-State Concept

The Israeli-Palestinian conflict is emblematic of the broader challenges associated with the relatively recent concept of the nation-state, which emerged from the Treaty of Westphalia in 1648 following Europe's devastating Thirty Years' War. This treaty marked a turning point by establishing the principles of sovereign statehood that have since guided international relations and territorial integrity.

The Thirty Years' War itself was largely fueled by the religious tensions between Catholics and Protestants, illustrating how religious identity can become entangled with questions of governance and territorial control. This conflict fundamentally reshaped European political landscapes and introduced the concept of state sovereignty that influenced global diplomacy.

The birth of modern nation-states has often been accompanied by significant turmoil and violence, a pattern replicated in various regions around the world as empires disintegrated and new national identities were forged:

- **Yugoslavia's breakup** led to ethnic wars in the 1990s.
- **Rwanda's genocide** in 1994 followed heightened ethnic tensions.
- **The Partition of British India** in 1947 caused massive displacement and violence.
- **Sudan and South Sudan** have been engulfed by conflicts post-partition.
- **Balkans**: Post-Ottoman ethnic conflicts, notably the Kosovo War.
- **Israel's creation** in 1948 triggered ongoing conflict and displacement.
- **African decolonization** led to conflicts like the Nigerian Civil War.

Specific to the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict, the collapse of the Ottoman Empire and the dissolution of the millet system, which had allowed religious communities to govern themselves, led to significant upheaval. The loss of this system contributed to tensions and conflicts in the Balkans and the Middle East, including the Armenian and Assyrian genocides, as new nation-states struggled to define citizenship and belonging in newly homogeneous terms.

These historical events underscore the inherent challenges and often violent nature of creating and maintaining nation-states within rigidly defined borders, especially in regions characterized by diverse populations with complex historical and cultural backgrounds. The Israeli-Palestinian conflict, therefore, is not merely a regional issue but a part of a broader history of nation-state formation and the struggle for self-determination in the post-imperial world.

Hamas Has Destroyed Israel's Sense of Security

Israel's Strategic Vulnerability

Despite being the most powerful nation in the Middle East with unrivaled technological, economic, and military strengths—a testament to the intellectual capital and resilience of the Jewish people—the catastrophic events of October 7th have underscored a profound failure.

In the context of studying Islamic extremism, the coordination of Hamas's attack on October 7th stands out for its tactical precision and strategic planning. This operation demonstrated a sophisticated understanding of asymmetrical warfare, where Hamas effectively utilized unconventional tactics to breach well-established defenses. Such a display of coordination and execution underscores the capabilities of extremist groups to carry out complex and highly coordinated assaults that can achieve significant tactical successes. The effectiveness of this attack explains the harshness of Israel's subsequent military response, as it sought to deter future threats and restore a sense of security, illustrating the complex cycle of action and reaction that characterizes the conflict.

What has become abundantly clear is that Israel has not been able to ensure a safe national home or protect its Jewish population from antisemitism effectively. These events have shattered the perceived security within the State of Israel, making it currently the most dangerous place for Jews to reside. This sense of vulnerability extends to the Jewish people worldwide.

A Metaphorical Eschatological Interpretation of Jewish Insecurity

The events of October 7th, and the subsequent destruction of Gaza, can be interpreted, at least metaphorically and symbolically, as Hamas executing on the hadith prophesying a final confrontation with the Jews, which is outlined in Article 7 of their charter. This hadith, found in Sahih Muslim, Book 41, Hadith 6985, speaks of a time when rocks and trees will call out to Muslims to come and kill Jews hiding behind them.

The unprecedented Jewish insecurity in the face of ongoing conflict can be seen as analogous to the image of them hiding behind stones and trees, symbolizing their vulnerability and constant search for safety amidst existential threats.

A Call for Fundamental Change

This situation is a disaster not only for Israel but for the entire Middle East, exemplified by the significant destruction and humanitarian crisis suffered by the Palestinians of the Gaza Strip and the regional spill over in Lebanon, Syria, Yemen, Iraq, and Iran. The Israeli-Palestinian Conflict has re-emerged as mankind’s most pressing geopolitical crisis, destabilizing the region and demanding a reassessment of the status quo.

A fundamental change in the Middle East and the broader human condition is necessary. Drastic actions must be taken. National consciousness must evolve, with all parties striving to abandon the mentalities that have led to prolonged conflict in the Holy Land.

Palestinians Have Historically Been Dehumanized, With Their Security Needs Systematically Deprioritized

Historical Roots of Insecurity: The Basel Program, and the Balfour Declaration

The historical insecurity of the Palestinian people stretches back well before the pivotal events of 1948. Beginning in the late 19th century, the rise of the Zionist movement and the onset of Jewish immigration under the weakening Ottoman Empire introduced significant changes to the demographic and cultural landscape of Palestine. This period marked the initial seeds of conflict, as the land began to witness the establishment of new communities that would eventually challenge the existing social and political order.

David Ben-Gurion believed that the true beginning of the State of Israel can be traced back to 1870 with the establishment of Mikveh Israel, the first modern Jewish agricultural settlement in Ottoman Palestine. Founded by the Alliance Israélite Universelle, a French-Jewish organization, Mikveh Israel represented the start of practical Zionism, where Jewish settlers began returning to the land to cultivate and build a homeland. For Ben-Gurion, this moment marked the birth of Jewish self-reliance and the groundwork for the eventual creation of the state. The establishment of Mikveh Israel symbolized not just the physical return to the land, but the development of a self-sustaining Jewish community that laid the foundation for the modern State of Israel.

However, the Basel Program, established during the First Zionist Congress in 1897, can be argued as the official pivotal starting point of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. This formalized declaration by the Zionist movement articulated the objective to create a "publicly and legally assured home for the Jewish people in Palestine."

While the First Aliyah and other pre-1897 activities had introduced a modern Jewish presence in Palestine, it was the politically charged and internationally backed aspirations of the Basel Program that truly ignited a structured conflict. Thus, while historical narratives often cite later events as flashpoints, recognizing the Basel Program as the conflict's starting point highlights the significant role of political ideologies and international influences in shaping the historical trajectories of regions and peoples.

It marked a significant shift from earlier, more piecemeal Jewish settlement efforts towards a structured and internationally-oriented political movement. The program sought not just to encourage Jewish immigration and settlement but also aimed at securing international support and recognition for the Zionist cause, setting a new stage in Jewish-Arab relations within the region.

The ramifications of the Basel Program were profound, leading to increased Jewish immigration facilitated by organized Zionist backing, significantly impacting the demographic and political landscape of Palestine. These developments began to be perceived by the local Arab populations as a direct threat to their own national and territorial aspirations. The visibility and assertiveness of Zionist activities following the Basel Program acted as a catalyst, accelerating the development of Arab nationalism in Palestine. This burgeoning nationalism was characterized by a growing awareness and opposition among Arabs, who started to view the

Zionist agenda as an existential threat, leading to a distinct Arab-Palestinian identity aimed at countering Zionist goals.

This period of heightened tensions and emerging national identities laid the groundwork for the enduring Israeli-Palestinian conflict. The Basel Program did not just launch the Zionist movement; it fundamentally transformed the region's geopolitical dynamics, leading to decades of conflict.

The Balfour Declaration of 1917, which supported the establishment of a 'national home for the Jewish people' in Palestine, further heightened these tensions. The subsequent British Mandate period saw accelerated Jewish immigration and land purchases, facilitated at times by British policies. This influx was perceived as a direct threat to the Arab way of life and their ancestral lands, leading to increased friction and several violent confrontations, including the major Arab revolts from 1921 to the extensive uprising between 1936 and 1939.

Consequences of Demographic Shifts

By the time of the UN's partition plan in 1947, the demographic shifts and the continued establishment of Jewish settlements had deeply entrenched a sense of insecurity among the Palestinian Arabs, culminating in the mass displacements and conflicts of 1948, known as the Nakba. The enduring legacy of these early tensions and the subsequent conflicts underpin the complex and persistent insecurity that affects the Palestinian community to this day.

Colonial Narratives and Dehumanization

The pattern of rhetoric and behavior observed in early Zionist statements and policies reflects a broader process of dehumanization that has been critically impactful in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict.

This dehumanization is evident in the denial of Palestinian identity and existence, as exemplified by statements like Golda Meir's assertion that '*there were no such thing as Palestinians.*' Such rhetoric served to justify the displacement, dispossession, and marginalization of Palestinians, framing them as obstacles rather than as rightful inhabitants with legitimate claims to the land.

While the Palestinian national consciousness was formally articulated by the PLO, the sense of a distinct Palestinian identity had been developing over decades. This identity evolved particularly in response to the political and social changes during the Ottoman and British Mandate periods, manifesting strongly in opposition to Zionist settlement and British colonial policies, even before the PLO's formal declaration.

Furthermore, in *The Jewish State*, Theodor Herzl's depiction of the Jewish state as an '*outpost against barbarism*' reflects colonial attitudes that portrayed the indigenous population as backward and in need of civilization. This dehumanization was further reinforced by the pervasive rhetoric that Palestine was '*a land without a people for a people without a land*,' which ignored the existing Arab population and their historical connection to the land.

By depicting the land as empty or uncultivated, Zionist rhetoric implied that the incoming Jewish settlers were reclaiming and revitalizing a supposedly deserted land, further marginalizing the indigenous population. This portrayal has been instrumental in shaping

international perceptions and policy approaches to the region, often at the expense of Palestinian rights and visibility. This has had profound effects on the conflict, perpetuating cycles of violence and making reconciliation more difficult. Addressing this legacy of dehumanization is essential for understanding the roots of the conflict and for moving towards a future where the rights and humanity of all parties are recognized and respected.

Israeli and Palestinian Security Needs Are Intrinsically Linked

The security and well-being of both nations are intrinsically linked—two sides of the same coin. Without addressing Palestinian grievances and security concerns, Israel's security remains precarious, particularly given the unstable geopolitical environment in the region, including the influence of external actors like Iran in neighboring states.

Israelis and Palestinians are Loose Descendants of Canaanites

This is a Civil War Between Nations of Quasi-Canaanite Ancestry

Both Israelis and Palestinians have deep historical connections to the Land of Canaan and can be considered to have quasi-Canaanite ancestry (Gassel, 2022).

The Israelites, originally part of the Canaanite peoples, formed their own distinct civilization over time. The Jewish people, emerging from Israelite origins, have historically maintained a strong sense of community characterized by endogamous practices and limited proselytization. Genetic studies indicate that Jewish populations share common paternal ancestry, reflected in Y-chromosome haplotypes, while the maternal lines, as indicated by mitochondrial DNA, are more diverse. This diversity largely stems from Jewish men marrying and converting non-Jewish women, which contributed to the genetic mixture within the Jewish community. This has preserved a unique genetic and cultural identity linked to both their Israelite ancestry and the diverse lands they have inhabited. Over centuries, widespread anti-Semitism and systemic persecution culminated in the Holocaust, providing overwhelming push factors that drove the need for a secure homeland, which Zionism aimed to establish in their ancestral land.

Similarly, the Palestinians have evolved from what can be considered Arabized Canaanites, integrating various cultural and genetic influences over the centuries. Today, both groups maintain a profound and fundamental attachment to this shared land, underscoring their long-standing ties to it.

Despite the differences that have shaped their respective histories and identities, Israelis and Palestinians are, in many ways, distant cousins linked by a common, distant Canaanite heritage. Acknowledging this shared past can serve as a foundation for dialogue, helping both communities explore their complex relationship and the possibilities for future cooperation based on mutual respect and shared historical ties.

The Collective Shitlist Is Countably Infinite[2]

In times of crisis, the quest for moral clarity is both natural and necessary. Yet, this conflict exists on a fundamental fault line of human civilization, complicating any straightforward attribution of blame. Participants in this conflict perpetually exchange a vast array of grievances, forming a complex web of accusations that seems virtually endless. Recognizing that the combined grievances of every party involved form an 'infinite list' can help us understand why placing the blame solely on one side is challenging and unproductive. Such recognition calls for a more nuanced approach that seeks understanding and reconciliation rather than perpetuating blame.

In addition, the creation of this plan is partial evidence of the combination of educational and technological resources needed to create an innovative political framework for the Israeli-Palestinian conflict only recently. It is crucial to recognize the difficulty of this frozen conflict when considering new approaches to the conflict.

The Western World Must Fully Recognize the Palestinian Catastrophe

Historical Context and Colonial Influence

In the shadow of a deeply persecuted Jewish diaspora, the establishment of a Jewish National Home was seen as a necessity, albeit at a devastating and continuous cost to the Arab inhabitants and descendants of Historic Palestine. This process, heavily influenced by the colonial and imperialist attitudes of Western powers, is widely understood as an extension of Western geopolitical strategies in Muslim-majority lands. The Palestinian narrative of settler-colonialism encapsulates the vast injustices experienced before, during, and after the establishment of Israel. This project is often acknowledged as the last Western-sponsored colonial endeavor, emerging at a time when the international legal principle of self-determination had already gained broad recognition.

The creation of Israel, though seen as necessary by many, occurred over the vehement objections of the Arab population in Palestine, leading to catastrophic suffering. The 1947 UN Partition Plan, which aimed to establish both Jewish and Arab states, was fraught with contention and reflects the moral dilemmas faced by the international community in creating an ethnically homogeneous Jewish Nation-State on land predominantly inhabited by Arabs.

Economic and Cultural Fears of the Palestinian Arabs

The Arab fear of Jewish immigration and eventual domination in Palestine was driven by a combination of economic, cultural, and political anxieties. The discrepancy in wealth between the largely agrarian Arab population and the more economically advanced Jewish immigrants created a sense of economic displacement. Jewish immigrants, supported by wealthy Zionist

[2] Inspired by quip from Saeb Erekat during the breakdown of the negotiations between Israel and the PLO during the Camp David Summit

organizations and great power influence, acquired land through legal transfers, often displacing Arab tenant farmers and altering the social and economic landscape. The creation of a Jewish national home, endorsed by the Balfour Declaration and backed by British colonial authorities, intensified these fears, as it was seen as an imposition by foreign powers that threatened Arab sovereignty and identity.

The enterprising nature of the Jewish people, particularly during the late 19th and early 20th centuries, posed a profound existential threat to Palestinian Arabs. Jewish immigrants, motivated by the Zionist vision of a homeland in Palestine, brought with them a spirit of entrepreneurship, innovation, and community-building that rapidly transformed the region's economic and social landscape. Through land purchases, agricultural development, and the establishment of institutions, Jewish communities created a foundation for future statehood. This economic success, however, was perceived by Palestinian Arabs as a direct threat to their own land, livelihoods, and cultural identity. The rapid pace of Jewish development, combined with fears of displacement and marginalization, stoked deep anxieties among the Arab population. They saw their way of life endangered by a community whose ambitions for self-determination and economic dominance could undermine their own aspirations for sovereignty and security. As Jewish enterprise flourished, the stage was set for an escalating conflict over control of the land, resources, and the future of Palestine.

Beyond economic concerns, Arabs feared cultural and religious erosion, particularly the potential loss of Islamic and Arab identity in Palestine, and the perceived threat to the sacredness of Jerusalem and other holy sites. There was also a profound fear of political marginalization, with the growing Jewish population potentially leading to the Arabs' loss of political power and influence. The rapid demographic changes sparked concerns about becoming a minority in their own homeland, exacerbating the sense of impending domination.

Additionally, the social changes brought by Jewish immigration, including new economic practices, social structures, and modernist ideas, were seen as a threat to the traditional Arab social order. Many Arabs viewed the Zionist project as part of a broader pattern of colonial exploitation, akin to other Western imperial endeavors in the Middle East and North Africa, which added to the sense of injustice and fueled resistance. These intertwined fears collectively contributed to the deep-seated animosity and opposition that would shape Arab attitudes towards the Zionist movement and the eventual establishment of the State of Israel.

Disillusionment with Political Processes

The loss of faith among the Arabs of Palestine in the political process is a trend that dates back to the original British Mandate (*Peel Commission Report*, 1937). The failure of the Mandate to protect Arab interests and its perceived favoritism towards the Zionist project sowed deep mistrust in the political mechanisms that were supposed to safeguard their rights. This disillusionment was compounded by broken promises, unfulfilled commitments, and the inability of international institutions to address Arab concerns adequately. Over time, this erosion of trust in the political process contributed to a growing sense of helplessness and fueled resistance, as many Palestinians felt that peaceful and diplomatic avenues were increasingly ineffective in securing their rights and future. This loss of faith also explains the deep-seated resistance to any externally imposed solution, such as the two-state solution often touted by the West, which

is viewed by many Palestinians as another attempt to dictate their fate without genuine consideration of their needs and aspirations.

Recognition of Historical Grievances

Acknowledging the tragedy of creating a new diaspora while settling another is vital. To deny the ethnic cleansing and continuous suffering of the Palestinians is to overlook the original sin of this conflict's history. Recognizing these historical grievances is essential, not only as a matter of justice but as a foundation for future peace efforts. Any diplomatic resolution should involve a forthright recognition of the events of 1948, addressing Palestinian historical grievances as part of confidence-building measures that also critique current policies perpetuating further conflicts.

Acknowledgments of the History of Jewish-Muslim Relations within the broader context of the Abrahamic Tradition[3]

The establishment of a Palestinian State requires the acknowledgment of historical conflicts between Jews, Christendom, and the Islamic World, with a clear understanding of their implications for all communities.

We Must Acknowledge The Theological and Political Conflicts Starting From Medina

A significant factor in the Western indifference to the Palestinian question can be attributed to perceived antisemitism in the Muslim world, which its leaders have not addressed. This observation is not meant to blame the Palestinians for their plight but to highlight a critical barrier to broader empathy and support.

A straightforward reading of conservative translations of the Quran, along with key Hadith, Tafsir, and Sira, reveals motifs that could be interpreted as anti-Jewish (Bostom, 2013). These interpretations often focus on the historical conflicts between the Prophet Muhammad and the Jewish tribes of Medina and Khaybar, reflecting tensions that influenced early Islamic teachings. While these texts are central to the Islamic faith, it is crucial to recognize that they do not inherently dictate antisemitic beliefs among Muslims today. Many Islamic scholars advocate for contextually driven interpretations that align with principles of peace and respect for all people.

Hamas's utilization of certain verses in its charter and their presence in Palestinian educational materials[4] highlights the challenges of historical religious tensions between Jews and Muslims. Although the updated Hamas charter attempts to distance the organization from outright antisemitism, it still denies the historical persecution of Jews as linked to Arab and Muslim heritage[5], a stance that is factually questionable and hinders reconciliation efforts.

---

[3] I will add a disclaimer that speaking generally about a group of people will have its limitations.

[4] The New Palestinian Curriculum | IMPACT-se and UNRWA Education: Textbooks and Terror | IMPACT-se

[5] See Article 17: Hamas in 2017: The document in full

It is impossible to understate the potential intractability of this problem. The responsibility falls on the leaders of the Arab and Islamic world to confront and speak to these theological tensions.

By recognizing these challenges openly and striving for new approaches that encourage peaceful coexistence, there is potential for significant progress. Organizations such as the Arab League and the Organisation of Islamic Cooperation could lead this transformative dialogue, using platforms like the Saudi Arabia-Israel normalization processes or the United Nations Alliance of Civilizations as starting points.

This approach to religious rapprochement aligns with recent movements towards moderation in the Muslim world, demonstrated by initiatives such as the Marrakesh Declaration and the Amman Message, which advocate for interfaith harmony and understanding.

The Arab/Islamic World Must Acknowledge the Tolerance, Discrimination, and Persecution of the Jews Living in Arab/Islamic Lands

The Context of the Dhimmi Status on Jewish Self-determination

The historical dhimmi status of Jews in Muslim lands should logically cast doubt on the feasibility of the secular one-state solution of Palestine proposed by the Arab League during discussions over the future of Mandatory Palestine. While this status was not explicitly discussed in the partitioning of historical Palestine, it should lend credence to the belief that it would be unsafe to subject large Jewish populations to the rule of a majority Arab Muslim population, implying that a separate nation-state is needed to address the concerns about the Jewish nation's security in historical Palestine, especially against the backdrop of the ethnic violence following the Ottoman Empire’s dissolution.

It is important to recognize that dhimmi status, while offering protection and certain rights, also designated Jews and other non-Muslims as second-class citizens within the Islamic world. As ‘People of the Book’ (Ahl al-Kitab), Jews were granted certain protections under Islamic law, but the degree of protection and the conditions of life under dhimmi status varied significantly depending on a range of factors, including the time period, geographic location, the attitudes of the ruling authorities, and the broader political, economic, and social context. In some periods and regions, Jewish communities thrived under Muslim rule, contributing to society in meaningful ways and enjoying a degree of autonomy in their religious and communal affairs. In other instances, they faced restrictions, discrimination, and persecution.

The Old Yishuv

We must also recognize that the Old Yishuv, the Jewish communities that had resided in Palestine for centuries before the advent of Zionism, were relatively tolerated by the Arab population. Deeply rooted in the religious and cultural life of cities like Jerusalem, Hebron, Safed, and Tiberias, these communities were seen as part of the region’s historical fabric and did not pose a significant threat to Arab political or demographic interests. Unlike the newer Zionist immigrants associated with the nationalist movement and the push for a Jewish state, the Old Yishuv focused on religious scholarship and lived a largely apolitical existence.

As part of the millet system during the Ottoman period, the Old Yishuv was granted a degree of religious and communal autonomy, which allowed them to manage their own affairs and maintain their religious practices. However, their status as dhimmis under this system also reinforced their position as second-class subjects, requiring them to pay the jizya tax and abide by various legal and social restrictions that marked them as non-Muslims. While there were instances of violence during periods of heightened tension, the Old Yishuv generally maintained peaceful, albeit sometimes uneasy, relations with their Arab neighbors. They were not the primary target of Arab resistance efforts during the British Mandate period, but the legacy of their dhimmi status continued to influence their experience of social and political inequality in Palestine.

Secularism in the Islamic World

In considering the feasibility of a secular one-state solution in Palestine, it's crucial to recognize that secularism in the Islamic world often differs from Western secularism. In many Islamic societies, even where secularism is officially embraced, religious principles and laws can still exert significant influence over governance. This stems from the belief that God's law, or Sharia, supersedes human-made laws. As a result, the ability to maintain true secularism within such a state is questionable, raising legitimate concerns about whether Jewish populations could trust that their rights and security would be adequately protected. This potential for religious influence in governance underscores the argument for the necessity of a separate Jewish nation-state to ensure these protections.

Acknowledgement of Jewish Experiences in the Islamic World

Leaders in the Arab and Islamic world need to acknowledge the full spectrum of experiences—positive, negative, and at times, oppressive—that Jewish communities have endured in their lands. While these persecutions were not the primary catalyst for Zionist settlement in Palestine nor the direct cause of the nationalist conflict between Jews and Arabs in the region, they have undeniably contributed to the deep-seated mistrust complicating the peace process in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and broader Arab-Jewish relations. This shared history is known among both Arabs and Jews, and there is a pressing need for leaders to engage in frank and comprehensive dialogues about this past. Acknowledging these historical dynamics openly could pave the way toward breaking the cycle of violence.

The Christians Kill the Jews, So They Take Muslim Land?!

While I agree with the Ummah's perspective that views its relationship with Jews as superior to that with Christians—partly due to the protected status of Jews as People of the Book—and acknowledge Christendom's role in making Muslims bear the consequences of Christian persecution of Jews, it is still critical to address the historical treatment of Jews in the Muslim world. Such an acknowledgment is essential for fostering a balanced understanding and advancing the cause of reconciliation.

The Israeli Nation Consists of the Jews of Islamic Lands

The Nation of Israel, situated at the crossroads of the Arab World, fundamentally includes significant populations of Jews from Arab lands—commonly known as Mizrahi Jews—as well as Sephardic Jews who found a second home in the Middle East following their expulsion from the Iberian Peninsula. These communities, having migrated to Israel primarily due to persecution and seeking safety, reflect a broader historical pattern of Jewish immigration in Muslim-majority lands. While the establishment of Israel involved violent conflicts and the displacement of Palestinian Arabs, it also marked another significant episode in the long history of Jews seeking refuge in the region. This includes Jews from Christendom who fled to Palestine to escape Christian persecution, as well as Sephardic Jews who integrated into Middle Eastern societies following their expulsion from Spain and Portugal.

This reality, although potentially uncomfortable for both Jews and Muslims, underscores a shared historical narrative that might serve as a foundation for mutual understanding and tolerance. Recognizing Israel as a diverse nation, including deep roots in the Middle East through its Mizrahi and Sephardic population, is necessary for regional acceptance and peace. Despite the contentious nature of their coexistence, both communities share a history of displacement and adaptation that could foster a common ground.

Acknowledging this intertwined history is essential, not only for healing but also for the practical realities of cohabitation and mutual security. The journey towards peace requires accepting these complex identities and histories and working towards a future where both Jewish and Arab populations can coexist with mutual respect and security.

We Must Acknowledge The Greater Christendom-Islamic World Fault Line in the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict

The Israeli-Palestinian conflict, while fundamentally a nationalist and territorial dispute, is also deeply influenced by broader Christian-Muslim dynamics. Historically, the Western Christian world, led by the United States, has predominantly supported Israel, providing substantial political, military, and economic backing. This support is partly motivated by a sense of moral duty, value alignment, strong political alliances and the theological beliefs of Christian Zionism, which sees the establishment of Israel as fulfilling biblical prophecy.

Western Christendom Is Partially Responsible for Palestinian Disillusionment with the Political Process

Western Christendom's bias toward the Zionist cause is arguably one of the primary reasons for the deep-seated disillusionment among Arabs towards the political process. Western political processes and interventions in the Arab world have largely resulted in negative outcomes, marked by a legacy of colonialism, support for authoritarian regimes, and destabilizing military interventions.

From the Balfour Declaration and the McMahon-Hussein Correspondence, to the Peel Commission’s partition proposal, the UN Partition Plan, and the Oslo Accords, Arab populations have consistently observed Western powers favoring the establishment of a Jewish national home at the expense of Palestinian self-determination. This favoritism has fueled a profound

sense of betrayal and injustice, leading many Palestinians to accurately view the political process as inherently biased and skewed against their interests. As a result, there is widespread skepticism and resistance to externally imposed solutions, such as the two-state solution, which are often seen as perpetuating Western dominance and neglecting the legitimate grievances and rights of the Palestinian people.

Conversely, the Muslim-majority countries universally support the Palestinian cause, driven by a combination of religious solidarity, regional politics, and historical grievances. The centrality of Jerusalem and its Islamic holy sites further intensifies Muslim support for Palestinian rights.

The Legacy of The Crusades

Religious zeal, often manifested as a divine mandate for conquest, has been a core historical element in both Christianity and Islam, profoundly shaping their interactions through crusades, jihads, and the expansion of caliphates and kingdoms. The legacy of the Crusades has left lasting impressions on Christian-Muslim relations, with historical memories of conflict and conquest shaping contemporary attitudes. The interactions between Islamic Caliphates and the Western world, marked by periods of conflict and cooperation, have also played a significant role in shaping these dynamics. The colonial period, during which Christian European nations dominated and often exploited Muslim-majority lands, has further contributed to long-standing grievances and perceptions of injustice.

Amid these historical and ongoing dynamics, Western Christendom has a moral obligation to act as a stabilizer rather than an agitator. Recognizing the role it has played in shaping the current landscape as a neo-crusading force, it is imperative for Western nations to explicitly foster a stable social order for both the Palestinian and Israeli Nations.

Christendom must leverage its considerable influence to encourage policies that acknowledge the rights and aspirations of all parties, actively supporting efforts that aim to heal divides rather than widen them. This balanced approach is not only a reflection of the ethical teachings central to Christian doctrine but also a practical strategy for lasting peace and stability in the region.

This peace plan, while embracing certain Greco-Roman values associated with human rights, democracy, and the rule of law, does so with a profound respect for the rich cultural and religious heritage of the Middle East. We are mindful that the imposition of values can be sensitive; hence, the approach is not about supplanting local traditions but enhancing governance and societal structures to ensure that all individuals within the region can enjoy peace, security, and prosperity.

Christian Zionism: Naked Hypocrisy and The Broader Moral Decline of the Christian Tradition

The phenomenon of Christian Zionism, particularly within Evangelical circles in the United States, exemplifies a significant moral and ethical dilemma in modern Christianity. Supporters of Christian Zionism often interpret biblical prophecies as justifying unconditional support for the State of Israel, aligning with political objectives that seemingly contradict the core teachings of Jesus Christ. This alignment often overlooks the oppression and suffering of the

Palestinians, emphasizing a political theology that prioritizes end-times prophecy over the compassionate and justice-oriented message of Jesus.

This we must lay bare the tensions between the historical and compassionate teachings of Jesus—who advocated for peace, justice, and love for the marginalized—and the current practices of political Christianity that support militarism and conflict. Such support is often justified by a literal and selective interpretation of scripture, which fosters a form of Christianity deeply intertwined with nationalistic and militaristic ideologies.

The irony of this situation is stark: Jesus, who was executed by an oppressive regime, has become a figure whose teachings are used to endorse similar regimes in the modern era. This selective application of Christian doctrine highlights a broader trend of moral decline within certain Christian communities, where political gain takes precedence over ethical consistency.

Many believers and onlookers feel alienated by this approach, leading to disillusionment with institutionalized Christianity. This has spurred a migration away from traditional religious institutions toward spiritual expressions that seek to recapture the ethical foundations of faith—foundations that emphasize universal love, justice, and peace.

In sum, Christian Zionism as it stands today represents a departure from the moral and ethical teachings of Jesus, serving as a harbinger of broader moral decline within the Christian tradition. This has implications not only for the faith's adherents but also for global politics and interfaith relations.

The Israeli State Cannot Resolve the Palestinian Question Unilaterally, by Devastating Force, Disenfranchisement, Humiliation, or Forced Displacement

The Iron Wall of Revisionist Zionism Crumbled on October 7th

The Israeli-Palestinian conflict transcends the capacity of Israel alone to resolve, mainly through unilateral measures such as occupation and overwhelming disproportionate military force. Historical efforts, such as Ariel Sharon's unilateral disengagement plan or the periodic genocidal "mowing the lawn" operations, have failed to enhance security or bring peace to the region. The internal dynamics of Israel, marked by its collective trauma and the militancy of its population, compound the intractability of the conflict. Significant international assistance is necessary, as the conflict's resolution involves factors beyond Israel's control.

The concept of relying on international (Gentile) intervention conflicts with the post-Holocaust consciousness of Jewish national security. Yet, the relentless nature of the conflict, exemplified by the October 7th attacks, demands a reevaluation of long-held security strategies, including the occupation of Palestinian territories.

Furthermore, the perpetuation of images depicting the suffering of Palestinians has eroded international support, sparking a rise in antisemitism, particularly among Western youth, which will have long-term implications for Israel's global relationships and the security of Jewish communities worldwide.

The Status Quo Implies Permanent Ethnic Conflict

The ongoing occupation and the demographic reality of a significant Arab Muslim population under Israeli control challenge the strategic viability of maintaining a Jewish democratic majority, which implies a permanent infringement of Palestinian rights. This status quo implies ethnic conflict and extremism, contributing to the degradation of Israel's long-term security and stability.

However, it is critical to recognize that not tempering the maximalist claims of the state of Israel is leading to severe consequences. The pursuit of these goals, particularly through excessive military force and disenfranchisement, is causing atrocities against Palestinian Arabs and contributing to the overall degradation of Israel's safety, security, and society. This approach not only undermines Israel's moral standing in the world but also erodes the internal fabric of the nation, fostering a cycle of violence and retribution that weakens its long-term viability as a secure and democratic state.

Ultimately, the enduring solution requires rethinking Israel's future configuration, potentially moving towards a binational Judeo-Arab state that aligns with demographic realities (unlikely) or establishing a Palestinian state to ensure peace and security for both peoples. Only by tempering maximalist ambitions and embracing a pragmatic approach to coexistence can Israel hope to achieve a sustainable and peaceful future.

Israel Is Overextended in Its Ability To Maintain the occupation

Israel is arguably overextended, facing an array of challenges that threaten its security, international standing, and long-term viability.

The combination of military strain, international isolation, and a growing list of adversaries has left Israel struggling to maintain control over the occupied territories and protect its borders. The complex network of threats, both external and internal, underscores the need for a reassessment of Israel's strategic direction, as the current trajectory is proving increasingly unsustainable.

Military and Security Challenges

1. **Military Strain Due to the Israel-Hamas War**:
    a. The Israel-Hamas war has become the longest war in Israel's history, surpassing previous conflicts in both duration and complexity. The prolonged nature of this conflict, which has involved multiple escalations over the years since Hamas took control of Gaza in 2007, highlights the difficulty Israel faces in achieving a decisive military victory. Despite Israel's superior military capabilities, Hamas' persistent militancy, expert usage of asymmetric warfare, and the deep-rooted grievances fueling the conflict have made it impossible for either side to achieve a clear resolution. The ongoing war places immense strain on Israel's military, economy, and society, as it struggles to contain the threat while facing the growing international and domestic challenges tied to the prolonged conflict. This

war has now redefined the length and complexity of Israel's security challenges, further underscoring its overextension.

b. Following the outbreak of Israel-Hamas war, Hezbollah has launched thousands of rockets into northern Israel, forcing the evacuation of approximately 80,000 Israelis from their homes near the border. This evacuation reflects the growing threat Hezbollah poses, particularly through their substantial rocket arsenal and drone attacks, which have made it unsafe for residents in border communities to remain. The displacement of such a large number of civilians underscores the escalating multi-front strain Israel is facing, as it contends with simultaneous security challenges in the North, South, and the West.

c. Israel's military strain is highlighted by the need and difficulties in recruiting the ultra-Orthodox (Haredi) community, many of whom lack education beyond a secular 8th-grade level, focusing primarily on religious studies. Efforts to enlist these individuals into the Israel Defense Forces (IDF) face significant resistance, with many Haredis rejecting military service for religious reasons. This educational gap complicates their integration into roles that require technical skills and basic secular knowledge. This recruitment challenge reflects broader issues within Israel's military as it strives to maintain sufficient manpower amidst escalating security threats. The struggle to incorporate this rapidly growing population segment underscores the ongoing challenges in sustaining Israel's defense capabilities.

2. **Impossible Goals and the Futility of "Killing All Terrorists":** Israeli leaders often assert that the goal is to eliminate all terrorists, but this objective is fundamentally impossible. Palestinian militancy is not merely a collection of individuals to be neutralized; it is an emergent phenomenon rooted in historical grievances, the current social order, and the deep-seated Palestinian national consciousness. These factors, intertwined with the experience of occupation and displacement, mean that militancy will continue to arise as long as these underlying issues persist. Attempting to "kill all terrorists" without addressing the core drivers of conflict is an unachievable goal. **Eliminating militancy entirely would require the extermination of the Palestinian nation itself—a morally untenable and practically impossible task.**
3. **Failure of War Goals in the Israel-Hamas War**: The stated goals of the Israel-Hamas war, including the destruction of Hamas and the return of hostages, have not been fully achieved, although Israel's damage to Hamas is far greater than many outside observers would have expected at the outset of the war. Hamas has shown resilience and an ability to continuously recruit and regroup following IDF attacks. The war has exposed the limits of Israel's military strategy and further strained its resources and international standing, as Hamas as an ideology will continue to live as a core part of the Palestinian National Consciousness. In addition, Lebanon has proven to be a fertile ground for Hamas recruitment, with increasing rocket attacks originating from Palestinian refugees recruited by Hamas.
4. **Increasing Sophistication of Its Adversaries**: Israel's adversaries, including Hamas, Hezbollah, and Iran-backed groups, are becoming increasingly sophisticated in their tactics, technology, and strategic capabilities. This growing sophistication makes it more

challenging for Israel to maintain military superiority and control over the region, further stretching its resources and complicating its security landscape.

5. **Decades of Uncontained Jewish Terror**: Israel is increasingly losing its monopoly on the legitimate use of force, as decades of armed settlers acting with impunity, often with the complacency or tacit approval of members of the IDF, is increasingly out of the control of the Israeli State. This erosion of state control is further exacerbated by the influence of ultranationalist figures like Itamar Ben-Gvir, who subvert the political will of the state and embolden these violent actors. The rise of rogue Jewish terror militias, driven by extremist ideologies, threatens to undermine the very foundation of the Israeli state. This internal fragmentation risks creating a scenario where state authority is challenged from within, further destabilizing Israel and complicating its ability to maintain order and security.
6. **Over Reliance on American Deterrence leading to Strategic Overextension:** Israel's heavy reliance on American deterrence has enabled it to act with near impunity, often disregarding the long-term strategic implications of its actions. This dependency has fostered a sense of security that may not always align with the reality of changing global dynamics. The perceived guarantee of U.S. support emboldens Israel to extend its military and political ambitions beyond sustainable limits, leading to strategic overextension. However, Israel's small size and relatively limited economic capacity impose hard constraints on its ability to be fully self-reliant in defense. This overreliance on American deterrence capabilities hampers its ability to engage in forward-thinking and adaptive security planning.

Political and Institutional Strain

7. **Cult of Personality Surrounding Bibi Netanyahu:** The strong cult of personality surrounding Netanyahu highlights a governance risk where the political identity and stability of the state become too closely tied to one individual. This dynamic can lead to a concentration of power, stifling of alternative leadership, policy continuity concerns, and an overemphasis on personality over substantive policy. Such a situation risks reducing institutional strength, limiting long-term strategic planning, and discouraging the development of a diverse leadership pool, which are all essential for a robust state. Additionally, the focus on a singular charismatic leader may mask underlying vulnerabilities, such as the inability to develop and implement wide-reaching policies that address the varied needs of the population. This overemphasis on one leader's vision and capabilities could be considered an overextension of political capital, making it difficult for Israel to adapt and evolve in response to changing circumstances and potentially leading towards authoritarian tendencies. This situation could undermine democratic institutions by concentrating authority in an individual, rather than in systems and processes, and weaken the checks and balances essential for democratic governance.
8. **Split Between the Security and the Political Establishments:**
    a. The growing rift between Israel's political and security establishments underscores the country's overextension. Defense Minister Yoav Gallant, along

with other high-ranking security figures like Benny Gantz, Gadi Eisenkot, Mossad chief David Barnea, IDF Chief of Staff Herzi Halevi, and Shin Bet director Ronen Bar, have all expressed serious reservations or briefed against Prime Minister Netanyahu's strategies. This includes concerns over the handling of the Gaza conflict and opposition to policies such as the annexation of the West Bank.

b. The internal discord is further intensified by controversial judicial reforms, leading to unprecedented protests from military reservists. This dissent highlights a significant vulnerability in Israel's national security strategy and coherence, driven by political decisions that conflict with expert security assessments. These divisions risk Israel's long-term stability and defense capabilities, demonstrating the critical need for a reconciled approach that aligns political actions with security needs and maintains the cohesion of Israel's defense forces.

9. **Erosion of Democratic Values**: The internal political landscape in Israel is increasingly contentious, with **democratic values being eroded by morally dubious governments. Initiatives like the judicial overhaul** have led to widespread protests and internal divisions, weakening the social fabric and democratic institutions that are crucial for Israel's stability and long-term governance.
10. **Death of the Israeli Left**: The decline of the Israeli left is a significant indicator of Israel's political and social overextension. Historically, the left-wing parties in Israel have championed peace initiatives and sought solutions involving compromise with the Palestinians. However, the sustained conflict, escalating security concerns, and shifts towards right-wing nationalist policies have marginalized these voices. The erosion of the left reflects a broader hardening of attitudes within Israeli society, making it increasingly difficult to pursue any approach that deviates from a hardline mindset. This shift away from a balanced political discourse towards more extreme positions highlights the strain on Israel's ability to engage in constructive peace efforts and manage its long-term security needs in a sustainable manner. The death of the Israeli left not only marks a significant shift in domestic politics but also limits the range of strategies available to address both internal and external challenges effectively.

Economic Pressures

11. **Economic Strain due to the Israel-Hamas War:** Israel is facing significant economic strain, underscored by a recent downgrade in its credit rating. The need to drastically increase military spending, particularly in response to threats from Hamas, Hezbollah, and Iran, is placing immense pressure on the national budget. At the same time, the heavy reliance on reservists, many of whom are drawn from critical sectors like technology and healthcare, is disrupting economic productivity as they take time away from their jobs. This not only affects short-term growth but also hampers Israel's long-term economic trajectory by reducing the capacity for innovation and investment. The lack of stability prevents the compounding growth necessary for a prosperous economy, as resources are diverted toward immediate defense needs rather than long-term investments in infrastructure, education, and technological development. Over

time, this could erode Israel's economic resilience, making it more vulnerable to future crises and less competitive on the global stage.

Societal Impacts

12. **Moral Decline:**
    a. The ongoing and persistent conflicts that Israel is engaged in have not only shaped its defense policies but have also deeply affected its civil society, leading to a noticeable moral decline within the population. This decline is characterized by a growing desensitization to violence, an erosion of ethical standards, and an increasing polarization within societal discourse. As the conflict becomes normalized, the threshold for acceptable behavior in handling conflict and dissent within the country shifts. This results in a harder stance not only against adversaries but also within the community, where differing views on the conflict can lead to significant internal strife.
    b. Furthermore, the moral decline fosters an environment where extreme positions gain traction, reducing the space for moderate voices and complicating efforts to address internal social issues comprehensively. This environment challenges the integrity of democratic institutions, as decisions and policies may increasingly reflect the hardened attitudes born out of prolonged conflict. The moral fatigue from constant exposure to conflict scenarios impacts educational systems, judicial proceedings, and even everyday interactions, where tolerance and empathy are diminished. Over time, this can lead to a fracturing of civil unity and degrade the foundational values that support democratic governance and societal cohesion in Israel.
13. **Intellectual Decline**: The moral decline in Israeli society is closely linked to an intellectual decline, where persistent conflict and polarization limit open dialogue and the exchange of ideas. As societal attitudes harden and tolerance for opposing views diminishes, the intellectual landscape becomes constricted, stifling creativity and critical thinking. This intellectual stagnation not only affects societal cohesion and democratic discourse but also hampers innovation and problem-solving capabilities, essential for addressing both internal challenges and external threats. The erosion of a robust intellectual culture can lead to poorer decision-making at all levels of society, reinforcing the cycle of moral decline and making it more challenging to pursue comprehensive and effective solutions.
14. **Deteriorating Mental Health in Israel**: The mental health of Israelis is at its worst levels in history, driven by the constant state of conflict, security threats, and the moral dilemmas posed by the occupation. The trauma of repeated wars, terrorist attacks, and societal divisions—exacerbated by military service requirements—has led to rising rates of anxiety, depression, and PTSD across the population. This mental health crisis is not limited to civilians; soldiers who are tasked with enforcing the occupation often face moral injury and psychological distress. The toll on mental well-being is further fracturing Israeli society and undermining its long-term resilience.

15. **Threat to Jewish People Worldwide**: Israel's actions, particularly during the Israel-Hamas war, have heightened anti-Semitic sentiments globally, leading to increased threats against Jewish communities around the world. This backlash not only endangers the safety of Jews abroad but also complicates Israel's efforts to maintain international support and solidarity within the global Jewish community.

Humanitarian Impacts and International Consequences

16. **Massive Humanitarian Crisis in Gaza**: The Israel-Hamas war has led to a catastrophic humanitarian crisis in Gaza. The destruction of infrastructure, combined with severe restrictions on movement and access to necessities, has created dire conditions for civilians. This crisis further isolates Israel internationally and places additional moral and logistical burdens on its ability to sustain the occupation.

International Relations and Legitimacy

17. **Pariah State Status**: In the aftermath of the Israel-Hamas war, Israel has increasingly become viewed as a pariah state by much of the international community, even though it retains significant support from Western allies. This shift has led to deeper international isolation, potential economic sanctions, and diplomatic challenges, making it more difficult for Israel to pursue its geopolitical goals and maintain the occupation.
18. **Lost Support Among Democratic Voters**: Within the United States, Israel is losing support among younger and more progressive voters, particularly within the Democratic Party. This shift could weaken the traditionally strong U.S.-Israel relationship, reducing diplomatic and military support that Israel has relied on for decades.

Strategic Missteps and Overarching Threats

19. **Lack of Clear Strategic Vision for Dealing with Iran and Its Proxies**:
    a. Israel’s focus on the occupation and tactical security concerns has resulted in the absence of a coherent and comprehensive strategy for managing the growing threat posed by Iran and its extensive network of proxies. These proxies—including Hezbollah, Hamas, the Houthis, and various militias in Iraq and Syria—represent a multi-front threat that complicates Israel’s defense strategy, stretching its military resources across multiple regions. Additionally, Iran’s smuggling operations in the West Bank are creating another crisis, further destabilizing the region and intensifying Israel’s security challenges.
    b. Furthermore, Israel’s political pressure on the U.S. to withdraw from the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA) without offering a viable alternative to contain Iran’s nuclear ambitions was driven by short-term political considerations. Without a clear strategy to address the Iranian threat, Israel is left with few options, short of pushing for unilateral U.S. military action against Iran. Successfully targeting Iran’s deeply entrenched nuclear facilities, such as

Fordow, would require a prolonged and precise bombing campaign, using specialized munitions like the Massive Ordnance Penetrator. This would almost certainly involve unilateral U.S. military action, a deeply unpopular move both domestically and internationally, and one that would likely destabilize the entire region.

c. Additionally, Ben-Gurion, while prioritizing the creation of a Jewish state at any cost, was acutely aware that Israel's moral standing was critical for its security. He believed that only by maintaining a sense of justice could Israel secure the long-term global support necessary for its survival. This legitimacy was, and remains, key to defending against existential threats, including those posed by Iran and its proxies.

20. **No Credible Path to Peace**: The lack of a credible path to peace is a significant factor that exacerbates Israel's overextension. Without a viable plan or process for resolving the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, the occupation is likely to continue indefinitely, perpetuating cycles of violence, resistance, and international criticism. The absence of a peace process further complicates Israel's ability to achieve long-term stability and security.
21. **Demographic Realities**: The demographic reality of a relatively small nation like Israel trying to control a large and growing Palestinian population is fundamentally unsustainable. The increasing Palestinian population threatens Israel's ability to maintain a Jewish majority while controlling a disenfranchised population, leading to long-term instability and resistance.
22. **Political Desire for Lebensraum vs. Reality**: Israel's political desire for territorial expansion (Lebensraum) is increasingly disconnected from its ability to effectively and safely control these territories. The desire for more land does not align with the practical realities of governance, security, and international legitimacy, leading to strategic overreach.
23. **Hubris Leading to the October 7th Attack**: The October 7th attack by Hamas revealed a clear hubris within Israeli leadership, which underestimated the capabilities and resolve of its adversaries. This miscalculation not only led to significant casualties and the capture of hostages but also exposed vulnerabilities in Israel's security apparatus, shaking public confidence and international perceptions of Israel's strength.
24. **Lack of a Credible Path to Military Independence**: Israel's military prowess is heavily reliant on its alliances, particularly with the United States. Despite its advanced defense industry, Israel lacks the economies of scale necessary to fully sustain its military needs through domestic manufacturing alone. The sheer size and technological reach of the U.S. military apparatus provide Israel with capabilities that it cannot replicate independently. Without a credible path to decoupling its military dependency on these allies, Israel remains vulnerable to shifts in international politics and the policies of its benefactors, further complicating its ability to maintain long-term security and strategic autonomy.
25. **U.S. Fatigue with Middle East Conflicts**: The United States, after decades of involvement in Middle Eastern wars, is increasingly fatigued with ongoing conflicts in the region. This war-weariness is leading to a shift in U.S. foreign policy priorities, with a

growing reluctance to engage in or support new military entanglements. As a result, Israel will find it more challenging to secure the same level of U.S. military support in defending against Iranian aggression. This fatigue complicates Israel's strategic calculus, as it can no longer assume that the U.S. will automatically and robustly intervene on its behalf in future regional conflicts.

Existential and Ethical Dilemmas

26. **Credible Accusations of Genocide**: Israel faces credible accusations of genocide related to its treatment of Palestinians, particularly in the context of military operations in the Israel-Hamas War. These accusations not only further isolate Israel internationally but also fuel resistance and deepen the moral and ethical dilemmas associated with maintaining the State itself. Although the world is aware of these events, there has not yet been a full processing of the nature of the Israel-Hamas war. As the global community continues to digest and understand the depth of what has occurred, there may be a reevaluation and acknowledgement of the support that has been extended to these policies. This growing understanding could lead to significant shifts in international relations and support, impacting Israel’s diplomatic standing and potentially influencing future policy corrections.

The Exoneration and Importance of Ben-Gurion’s Legacy

National founders play a crucial role in shaping the identity and principles of a state, laying the groundwork for its long-term stability. In both Israel and the United States, we see a deviation from these core founding principles as certain political factions shift in new, highly dangerous directions. Just as George Washington and the other founding fathers of the United States would likely be deeply disturbed by the mainstreaming of extreme political ideologies seen today, so too would David Ben-Gurion be deeply concerned by the current trajectory of Israel.

Ben-Gurion, who established Israel with a vision of a secure, democratic Jewish state, would likely view the security situation, growing internal divisions and external conflicts as a departure from his foundational ideals. He understood the need for pragmatism, unity, and a long-term strategic approach to ensure the survival and success of the Jewish state. Today, as political factions in Israel pursue increasingly extreme paths, the nation risks straying from the core vision Ben-Gurion fought to establish—one built on resilience, security, and a strong moral foundation. In the same way, the United States grapples with the erosion of its founding principles, and Israel faces the challenge of realigning with the values that originally defined its purpose and identity.

Israel and the United States are fortunate to have had visionary national founders who laid the groundwork for their nations' success. David Ben-Gurion and George Washington understood the immense responsibility of establishing a state and the importance of building strong, principled foundations. Their leadership, driven by pragmatism, foresight, and a deep sense of duty, set the course for their countries to become stable and prosperous. Both nations continue to benefit from the values, structures, and institutions these founders established,

which have helped guide them through difficult times. However, the preservation of their founding ideals is key to ensuring that both Israel and the United States remain strong and resilient in the face of modern challenges.

Ben-Gurion Was Just Despite Executing the Nakba

Ben-Gurion's legacy is often viewed through a deeply polarized lens, particularly by Israel's opposition. However, it's important to recognize that he was not an inherently evil person, as he is sometimes portrayed. The Jewish people, especially in the aftermath of the Holocaust, **needed a state at any cost**, and Ben-Gurion understood that the survival of his people was paramount. He was willing to sacrifice aspects of his personal humanity in order to fulfill what he saw as a god-given duty: to gather the shattered and dispersed children of Israel and lay the foundation for a thriving Jewish state.

The Nakba, for which Ben-Gurion is often criticized, was not born out of cruelty but out of the immense pressure he felt as the leader responsible for the survival of his people. Few can truly understand the weight of carrying the future of an entire people on their shoulders, especially after the horror and devastation of the Holocaust. In Ben-Gurion's eyes, ensuring the establishment and security of a Jewish state was a moral imperative, even if it required difficult and painful decisions.

Ben-Gurion's actions were driven by a belief in the necessity of providing a safe haven for Jews after 2,000 years of exile and persecution. His leadership laid the groundwork for the vibrant, successful society that Israel has become, a society built on the resilience of a people determined to survive and flourish despite centuries of displacement. While the consequences of his decisions remain a point of contention, his vision and determination played an undeniable role in creating the modern state of Israel.

David Ben-Gurion was correct in his belief that the Jewish willingness to work the land of Eretz Israel was a testament to their deep connection to it as their homeland. For many Zionists, the labor they invested in cultivating and transforming the land was not merely an economic endeavor but a physical expression of their historical, religious, and emotional ties to the region. The act of working the land became a symbol of their need for it, as they sought to revitalize what they saw as their ancestral home after centuries of exile and displacement. This effort was central to the Zionist vision of rebuilding the Jewish people's national identity.

Unlike traditional settler colonialism, such as that seen in the Americas, Zionism was not necessarily primarily about expansion or material exploitation. While American settlers came to new territories largely for economic gain and empire-building, many early Zionists were driven by a historical mission to return to their ancestral homeland. This was not just a practical pursuit but a moral and spiritual one. The willingness to labor over the land was proof of their deep-rooted connection to it and a rejection of the idea that they were foreign imperialists.

Furthermore, many early Zionists did not explicitly seek to impose an imperialist agenda on the local Arab population. While tensions did arise between Jews and Arabs over land and rights, early Zionist leaders like Ben-Gurion envisioned coexistence rather than domination. Their goal was to build a Jewish national home, not an empire. The vision of reclaiming the land through hard work and cooperation with its indigenous populations, though fraught with complexities, set the Zionist movement apart from typical colonial endeavors.

Ben-Gurion and the New World Order

David Ben-Gurion's influence on the world order is vividly illustrated by the ongoing Israel-Hamas conflict, a situation that underscores his significance as a pivotal but underappreciated historical figure. As the primary architect of modern Israel, Ben-Gurion's vision and decisions have had far-reaching implications, influencing geopolitical dynamics far beyond the Middle East. Despite his crucial role, his impact is often overshadowed in broader historical narratives that focus on other World War II leaders.

The current Israel-Hamas war is a stark reminder of how central Israel is to global geopolitics. Israel's strategic position and its complex relationships with neighboring countries, major powers, and non-state actors make it a focal point of international attention and often, contention. This centrality can be traced back to Ben-Gurion's leadership during Israel's formative years, where his policies not only shaped the nation's internal character but also its international posture. His ability to establish a viable state in such a volatile region set the stage for decades of conflict and cooperation that have involved multiple global powers.

Ben-Gurion's legacy is crucial to understanding today's world order. In many ways, Israel forms both a literal and metaphorical center of the world—geographically situated at the crossroads of continents and culturally at the heart of many global religious and historical narratives. The ongoing conflict, its international implications, and the global response to it reveal the extent to which Ben-Gurion's Israel has influenced global policy, security considerations, and diplomatic relations.

Understanding the current world order requires an appreciation of Israel's role within it, which in turn demands recognition of Ben-Gurion's foundational contributions. His strategic foresight and political acumen during and after World War II not only secured a homeland for the Jewish people but also permanently altered the global geopolitical landscape.

Israel Has a ***Need*** to Exist

In the ongoing Israeli-Palestinian conflict, a pivotal distinction lies between Israel's "right to exist" and its "need to exist." While the Children of Israel need for a homeland is rooted deeply in the Jewish historical experience, especially after the Holocaust and centuries of persecution, it's argued that this need did not confer a right to displace Palestinian Arabs during the state's formation.

The demand for Palestinians to recognize Israel's right to exist is contentious because it implies acceptance of the displacement processes, which is untenable for many Palestinians still living with the consequences. A more nuanced approach could focus on recognizing Israel's need to exist—a recognition that does not endorse the historical injustices but acknowledges the necessity of a safe haven for Jews. This framing could facilitate dialogue by focusing on shared human needs such as security and self-determination, paving the way for potential reconciliation without forcing either side to compromise their historical narratives.

Israel Must Be Strong, Intelligent, Strategic, Pragmatic, and Moral

Israel must transcend its current state of solipsism, which only exacerbates the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and alienates the global community. By acting as though its own

security and survival are the sole concerns, Israel not only intensifies the suffering and undermines the legitimate aspirations of the Palestinian people but also isolates itself internationally. The world at large cannot be expected to indefinitely tolerate a perspective that prioritizes one nation's interests to the detriment of universal human rights and global stability.

In addressing the complexities of Israeli security, it is crucial to distinguish between the pursuit of Jewish security and the pursuit of Jewish supremacy. Historically, the prioritization of security has often manifested as supremacy, especially given the reality of Middle Eastern power dynamics. However, while this approach may offer short-term benefits, it is unsustainable in the long run and risks exacerbating tensions both within Israel and with its neighbors. The future of Israel demands a stronger, more strategic, and pragmatic approach, one grounded in security that promotes stability rather than dominance.

Drawing on the legacy of David Ben-Gurion, Israel's first prime minister, we see an example of leadership that was unflinching in facing harsh realities. Ben-Gurion, brutally pragmatic, was willing to make difficult and often unpopular decisions—such as during the Altalena Affair, or accepting reparations and economic and scientific and military support from West Germany—to ensure the survival of the state. He understood that Israel's long-term security could not be built on internal division or short-term fixes but required a clear-eyed understanding of the situation and the courage to act accordingly. His leadership also demonstrated a sense of moral responsibility, acknowledging that hard decisions must still align with the ethical foundations of the state's existence.

Today, Israel faces a similar challenge. Jewish security is paramount, but when it is pursued through the lens of Jewish supremacy, it risks alienating allies, intensifying conflicts, and undermining the very security it seeks to protect. Supremacy may offer a temporary stopgap, but it does not provide the durable foundation needed for long-term peace. Instead, Israel must prioritize sustainable security measures thatnot only protect its people but do so in a manner that is morally just and respects the dignity of all, fostering an environment where a balance of power, justice, and reconciliation can flourish.

To achieve this, Israel must embody four key traits: strength, intelligence, strategy, and pragmatism.

1. **Strength**: Israel must remain capable of defending itself against existential threats, but it must do so in a way that is measured and proportionate, avoiding actions that deepen the cycle of violence.
2. **Intelligence**: The decisions Israel makes must be informed by a deep understanding of regional dynamics, including the aspirations of the Palestinian people. Recognizing that both Israelis and Palestinians share a common desire for statehood, even if pursued through different ideologies, can open new avenues for dialogue.
3. **Strategy**: A long-term vision is essential. Just as Ben-Gurion made bold decisions with an eye toward the future of the Jewish state, Israel today must adopt a strategy that considers not only its immediate security but also its place in a changing Middle East.

Short-term actions that prioritize dominance over security will only serve to weaken Israel's standing in the region over time.

4. **Pragmatism**: The hard truths must be acknowledged. The current social order, in which Jewish supremacy is intertwined with security, is not sustainable. Israel must make difficult decisions, as Ben-Gurion once did, to ensure its long-term viability. This means moving beyond pure dominance and finding ways to secure both Israeli and Palestinian futures.
5. **Morality**: At the core of these decisions must be a commitment to ethical conduct. Ensuring the survival of Israel should not come at the expense of justice or human rights. The moral dimension requires that security measures be guided by a commitment to fairness, empathy, and respect for the dignity of all people, recognizing that true security is built on mutual trust and shared humanity.

Israel Must Bear the Moral Burden of Recognizing Palestinian Aspirations

To the Israeli nation, there is a profound moral burden in recognizing the shared aspiration between Israelis and Palestinians for statehood and security—**a state desired by each at any cost**. This commonality, often obscured by decades of conflict, is essential to any meaningful pursuit of peace. Acknowledging that both peoples—despite their different histories, ideologies, and struggles—seek the same fundamental goal of a safe, sovereign homeland places a moral responsibility on Israel to engage in a new kind of dialogue. This recognition should shift the perspective from viewing Palestinians solely as adversaries to seeing them as people with a legitimate, desperate desire for security and statehood, much like the Jewish people once sought and achieved after centuries of exile.

However, this acknowledgment is not expected to be unilateral. This peace plan explicitly asks the broader Arab Muslim Nation to make crucial changes needed by the Jewish people to ensure their sense of safety and security is fully addressed. Just as Israel is called to recognize the mutual drive for statehood, Palestinians are called upon to take decisive actions to show they are committed to peace, security, and coexistence.

In this new framework, Israel can lead the way toward a future that is not just secure, but also peaceful and just. By disentangling the pursuit of security from the need for supremacy, Israel can redefine its role in the region and foster a more sustainable peace. This will require strong leadership—leaders who, like Ben-Gurion, are willing to face harsh truths and act decisively for the greater good. Only then can Israel truly be strong, smart, strategic, and pragmatic.

The Palestinian Nation Must Advance Through Pragmatism, State-Building, Continued Civil Resistance, and a Broader Use of Islamic Tradition

This Peace Plan is Meant to Stop the Bleeding

The disengagement strategy outlined in this peace plan is designed to stop the killing and provide the Palestinian people with the necessary breathing room by halting the ongoing cycle of violence, displacement, and destruction. By creating a separation from Israeli forces, this plan allows the Palestinian nation to focus on mourning its losses and beginning the critical process of rebuilding.

The objective is to create a stable environment where the immediate threat of Israeli aggression is removed, giving Palestinians the space to heal and restore their communities without the constant pressure of conflict. This period of disengagement is crucial for the long-term viability of a Palestinian state, offering the time needed to lay the foundations for a peaceful and prosperous future.

The Palestinian Vision For Self-Determination Must Be Acceptable to America and its allies to End the Occupation

This framework is predicated on the Palestinian nation's willingness to adopt a more pragmatic vision of self-determination—one that aligns with principles America and the broader Western world can support. It calls for a shift from maximalist goals toward a more achievable and sustainable pathway to a stable social order, recognizing the realities of the geopolitical landscape and the need for international cooperation.

By embracing a pragmatic approach, the Palestinian National Movement can garner broader global support, particularly from Western nations, which is essential for securing the economic, political, and humanitarian aid necessary for nation-building and long-term stability. This approach ensures that the pursuit of self-determination is not only about reclaiming land but also about building a viable and stable state that can thrive in the modern world.

The Limits of Martyrdom In This Framework

Recognizing the culture of martyrdom within the Palestinian community is essential; this culture, shaped by decades of conflict, displacement, and occupation, is not merely a symbol of despair but an expression of profound resistance and a means of asserting their struggle for national identity and statehood.

The extremely harsh realities of life under occupation, including economic hardships, restricted freedoms, and genocidal violence, contribute to the valorization of sacrifice as a component of national identity. The valorization of sacrifice, reinforced by a collective narrative of resistance against perceived injustice and the fight for self-determination, is crucial for understanding the motivations behind martyrdom and addressing it in ways that lead to a more peaceful and constructive pathway forward.

Despite the very practical power of religious zeal, the Palestinian nation must consciously reframe its culture of martyrdom for this framework to work. The Canaan Confederation Framework can easily be undone if member Palestinian Nation decides that the compromises suggested are too much to bear, and resume armed resistance against both the Confederation Peacekeepers and the Israeli State.

The Palestinian nation is acutely aware of the disproportionate military asymmetry with Israel. This, combined with the Jewish people's extensive experience in resilience and resistance, highlights the limitations of indefinite armed resistance without a viable political and diplomatic endgame.

Flexible Use of the Islamic Tradition is Required For This Plan To Work

A respectful engagement with Islamic beliefs, which deeply influence the relationships between Jews and Muslims and encode early interactions between the two groups, is necessary given Islam's pivotal role in Palestinian nationalism.

The resolution of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict is contingent upon pragmatic innovations —deliberately limited and deeply rooted in Islamic tradition—to foster coexistence.

A significant grievance fueling the conflict from the Israeli perspective is the portrayal of Jewish people in Islamic education, which often perpetuates narratives contributing to mistrust and hostility. Addressing this educational aspect is crucial for altering perceptions and building a foundation for eventual reconciliation and mutual respect.

Today, as the Muslim world confronts a range of existential threats, addressing these challenges demands a flexible, adaptive approach to using the rich history of the Islamic civilization as an example of coexistence. Instances of harmonious coexistence, such as those during the Islamic Golden Age—a period marked by a flourishing of science, culture, and religious tolerance—show that peaceful cohabitation is achievable within the broader context of the Islamic faith.

This peace plan emphasizes drawing on the broader tradition of the Islamic faith, rather than promoting specific interpretations, to minimize the natural imposition that often accompanies a peace plan.

Moral support for the Palestinian cause should simultaneously validate their experiences of oppression while advocating for a path that leads to actual progress—one that balances resistance with the necessity of pragmatism, state-building, and long-term peace and stability, within the Canaan Confederation framework.

The Justness of The Palestinian National Cause

The rhetoric and methods of Palestinian resistance are often judged harshly through the lens of Western morals, which reflect the dominance of Western powers in shaping global discourse. This bias influences how actions are perceived and categorized, frequently overlooking the complexities of living under occupation and the desperation that can drive such resistance. The Western-centric view often obscures the legitimate grievances of the Palestinian people, framing their struggle in a way that delegitimizes it in the global arena.

It's essential to recognize that resistance movements, particularly in contexts of dispossession and displacement, may manifest in ways that do not align with Western sensibilities. However, this disparity does not diminish the validity of their cause or the humanity behind their actions. Understanding Palestinian resistance requires stepping outside the dominant Western perspective to appreciate the historical, cultural, and political forces at play, which often challenge narratives shaped by global powers.

War Is Terrorism For The Rich and Terrorism is War for The Poor

The saying "war is terrorism for the rich and terrorism is war for the poor" resonates deeply in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. This conflict highlights a stark power imbalance, where Israel, as a state with substantial military resources and global backing, wages formal war. In contrast, Palestinians, facing overwhelming military power and political disenfranchisement, often resort to methods labeled as terrorism. For the poor and dispossessed, these methods can be seen as their only form of warfare, born out of desperation and a lack of access to conventional means of defense or aggression.

This dynamic underscores the brutal reality of how power shapes the perception of violence: one side's actions are legitimized as acts of war, while the other's are condemned as terrorism. Both, however, are forms of violence rooted in the same fundamental human struggle for survival and self-determination. Recognizing this balance sheds light on the moral and practical complexities of the conflict, where the lines between war and terrorism blur depending on who holds power.

To this day, Palestinians face nearly insurmountable odds, as the Western world, through its inaction, effectively stands against their cause. Despite the moral debates and global outcry over the conflict, the lack of meaningful intervention or support from Western powers leaves Palestinians in a position of deep vulnerability. This inaction, whether through political indifference or implicit support of the status quo, creates a scenario where Palestinians struggle with few resources and little international backing, further entrenching the imbalance of power in the region. The silence or passivity of the West can be as powerful as direct opposition, making the pursuit of a just solution even more challenging.

The United States, in particular, has been a significant force of terror in the Middle East, especially through its misguided War on Terror. This campaign, largely driven by neo-conservatives, weaponized historical narratives to justify a series of military interventions—modern-day neo-crusades—across Islamic lands. These interventions were pursued with little practical understanding of the lands being occupied or the cultural, political, and historical dynamics at play. As a result, the U.S. not only failed to achieve long-term stability but also exacerbated conflicts, further destabilizing already fragile societies. The lack of a nuanced strategy and the blind pursuit of military dominance have left a lasting legacy of violence and resentment, with profound consequences for both the Middle East and the U.S. itself.

The Moral Duty of Palestinian Resistance

The Israeli-Palestinian conflict serves as a microcosm of a broader pattern of injustices perpetrated by the Western world against the more vulnerable Islamic world. For decades,

Western powers have engaged in military interventions, economic exploitation, and political manipulation across the Middle East and beyond, often without regard for the long-term consequences. The imbalance of power between Israel and Palestine mirrors this larger global dynamic, where Western interests and actions frequently override the sovereignty and well-being of Muslim-majority nations. This context reflects not only the specific struggles of the region but also the broader history of exploitation and domination by powerful Western nations over more vulnerable Islamic societies.

Thus, it becomes a moral duty for Palestinians to remain resilient and resist the deep injustices and crimes committed against them. Their struggle is not just a fight for land or political recognition but a broader defense of their dignity and identity against an overwhelming power imbalance. In the face of ongoing oppression, their resistance serves as a powerful reminder of the right of all peoples to defend themselves against unjust domination. Despite the seemingly insurmountable odds, their resilience is a testament to their enduring spirit and the moral imperative to stand against oppression.

A Significant Amount of the Gazan Population Must be At Least Temporarily Resettled

The Destruction of Gaza is the Ultimate Realization of the Dahiya Doctrine

The Planetary Devastation of Gaza, under the Dahiya doctrine, has led to a situation where billions of dollars and years will be required merely for demolition, let alone reconstruction. Given these daunting financial and logistical challenges, no nation will likely commit the necessary funds without substantial guarantees. The international community, while posturing, may ultimately force many in Gaza to live in untenable conditions.

Moreover, the entrenchment of extremism threatens the long-term viability of a rebuilt Gaza, continuing to pose an existential threat to Israel. Additionally, ongoing uncertainties in Israeli-Palestinian negotiations complicate any commitments from Arab nations regarding Gaza’s future. Given the staggering estimated costs of $20 to $90 billion required for rebuilding—sums that starkly contrast with the region's current economic capacity and population size—an evacuation strategy is regretfully humane to further minimize the Palestinian death toll.

This step, while induced by the dire state of the region rather than chosen freely by its residents, must still be managed with the utmost respect for the rights and dignity of those affected. The international community must ensure that any such evacuation is conducted under adherence to international humanitarian law, including the recognition of an instance of forced population transfer as defined by legal standards.

The Discontinuity of the Palestinian Territories Implies that Full Palestinian Sovereignty Over the Gaza Strip is Impossible

Due to its isolation and compact size, the Gaza Strip is inherently constrained by external control over its borders, primarily by Israel and Egypt. This reality subjects Gaza to de facto Israeli-Egyptian security and humanitarian oversight. Any negotiated solutions, like a land corridor or tunnel connecting Gaza with the West Bank remain vulnerable to closures by Israel on security grounds. Therefore, any final status agreement must acknowledge these realities, proposing a distinct geopolitical status for Gaza that differs from that of the West Bank, reflecting its unique challenges and dependencies.

A Future Palestinian Nation-State within the pre-1967 Borders Will Likely Resist for More Land

The pre-1967 Borders Are Likely A Temporary Solution

The pursuit of a Palestinian nation-state within the pre-1967 borders faces inherent challenges due to the deep-rooted and mutually incompatible territorial claims rooted in the historical narratives of both Arabs and Jews. The Palestinian national consciousness, which has evolved under conditions of conflict and displacement, is unlikely to find satisfaction with just 22% of what is considered their historical homeland. This sentiment is exemplified by the ongoing activities in Gaza, which historically served as a significant base for Palestinian resistance since the 1948 conflict and continues to do so.

Repartition Is Likely Necessary for a Stable Social Order

The United Nations General Assembly Resolution 181, which sought to divide the land somewhat equitably, has obviously failed to quell the underlying territorial dispute between the two nations. The idea of significant land swaps between Israeli and Palestinian states remains sensitive and fraught with the potential for further displacement and upheaval. Israeli concerns about strategic depth, along with the broad Palestinian desire for the entirety of historic Palestine, suggest that strategically redrawing borders might be a necessary discussion for long-term stability.

However, the feasibility of re-partition or significant border adjustments is limited by the current geopolitical context and the lack of military parity. Despite this, this peace plan's goal is to promote a stable social order, which will likely require a repartition of the Land of Canaan.

While a typical Zionist argument posits that Palestinians should have accepted a Partition Plan, the geometry of the 1947 Partition map, along with the national, demographic, and geographic realities of that time made such a partition untenable for Arab leaders, especially given the implied displacement of existing populations and the allocation of fertile lands like the Coastal Plain to the Jewish state—a decision that would be unacceptable to any nation.

The Acknowledgment of Genocidal Realities and the Necessity of Phased Hostile Population Disengagement and Repartitioning with International Assistance

Acknowledgment of the Imprecision of the word Genocide

The term "genocide" has a specific legal definition tied to the intent to destroy a particular group, but in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, the line between intent and outcome is blurred by its historical, political, and social complexities. While I use "genocidal" to describe the devastating impacts of actions by both nations, this usage is imprecise, given the power asymmetry between Israel and Palestine. The entrenched nature of the conflict, marked by mutual existential threats and historical grievances, complicates categorization under strict legal terms. This discussion highlights the severe human cost and cyclical violence without solely blaming either side, acknowledging that while intent is difficult to prove, the outcomes often resemble genocidal effects. The analysis provided here reflects personal interpretations of the conflict, while broader determinations on genocide remain the purview of experts in international law.

The Israeli and Palestinian Nations Are Genocidal Towards Each Other

The Israeli-Palestinian conflict is an existential struggle, with both nations seeing their survival and self-determination as incompatible, leaving little room for compromise. The lines between combatants and civilians blur as the conflict impacts all aspects of life. The genocidal massacre of October 7th and the subsequent devastation of Gaza exemplify the extreme violence driven by this zero-sum dynamic. As hopes for a two-state solution fade, the conflict escalates, reinforcing the perception that each side's survival depends on the other's destruction, making peace increasingly elusive.

Israel’s overwhelming firepower, combined with the Palestinian militants’ lack of suitable conventional military infrastructure, creates a deadly imbalance that disproportionately impacts civilians and leads to outcomes that some argue are genocidal in nature. With no viable option to construct separate military bases or operate in open spaces due to Israel's superior surveillance and intelligence capabilities, Palestinian militants are forced to embed themselves within densely populated civilian areas. This, in turn, results in Israel targeting those areas in its military operations, causing widespread destruction of homes, schools, hospitals, and essential services.

The civilian toll is immense, as Israel’s advanced military technology far exceeds the limited capabilities of Palestinian fighters. As a result, these operations, while framed as defensive measures by Israel, contribute to the systematic erosion of Palestinian society and infrastructure, with civilians bearing the brunt of the violence. Over time, the cumulative impact of these actions—displacement, destruction, and the breakdown of social structures—produces outcomes that resemble a slow-motion genocide, as the very existence and future of the Palestinian people are imperiled.

An Intentional, Modern Day Killing Machine: From the Haganah to the IDF, Israeli Military Tactics and Goals Facilitate Genocidal Outcomes

Proving genocidal intent of the Israeli Nation is murky, given Israel's status as a sovereign state and the broad Western support for its right to defend itself, as outlined in Article 51 of the United Nations Charter.

The ultimate intent of the Israeli state is clear and directly tied to the mandate of its creation: <u>to protect Jewish life at any cost</u>, a mission rooted in the trauma of genocide and the desire to ensure the safety and survival of the Jewish people in a world where they had historically faced persecution.

However, while genocidal intent may be legally difficult to establish, the focus here is on the ***<u>outcomes</u>*** of these actions, which bear striking genocidal consequences. By sidestepping the question of intent, this discussion centers on the widespread destruction and human suffering resulting from military operations, acknowledging that while Israel's right to defend itself is recognized, the disproportionate impact on the Palestinian population raises critical ethical and legal concerns.

In 1948, the Haganah, aiming to consolidate a Jewish state amidst widespread hostility from the surrounding Arab nations, implemented Plan Dalet and Plan Gimmel. These plans significantly decentralized decision-making, granting local commanders the discretion to manage Arab villages within their operational zones. Plan Gimmel focused more on preparation and securing arms and provisions, while Plan Dalet directed the depopulation of numerous Arab villages, either through direct military action, fear-induced flight, or explicit expulsion orders. This strategic choice was influenced by the overarching Arab opposition to the establishment of Israel, prompting actions that aligned with the security and demographic objectives of the nascent state. While some Arab communities were spared—resulting in the demographic group known today as the '48 Palestinians'—the widespread depopulation tactics under Plan Dalet, complemented by the logistical groundwork of Plan Gimmel, bore characteristics of ethnic cleansing and had genocidal implications, fundamentally altering the region's demographic landscape.

To understand the historical continuity in the tactics used by the Israeli state, it is instructive to compare the actions of the Haganah in 1948 with those of the modern-day Israel Defense Forces (IDF). Both during the establishment of Israel and in current times, strategies such as depopulation, displacement, and the use of force have been evident. The Haganah's plans, including Plan Dalet and Plan Gimmel, set precedents for demographic engineering through tactics that included depopulation of Arab villages, which can be seen as having parallels in contemporary practices.

1. Making the Occupation Felt

Haganah/1948-1967:
In the early years of Israeli statehood, efforts to consolidate control over newly captured territory often included policies designed to exert pressure on Arab populations, making their existence under Israeli rule increasingly difficult. This was achieved through curfews, military law, land confiscations, and restrictions on movement. These tactics ensured that Arabs living under

Israeli control felt the weight of occupation, creating a hostile environment that prompted many to leave.

IDF Today:
The policy of making the occupation felt continues in modern times, primarily through the enforcement of military law in the West Bank, the creation of checkpoints, home demolitions, restricted movement, and periodic military incursions. This policy is especially visible in the Gaza Strip, where the blockade and frequent military operations create constant pressure on the population. The separation barrier, restrictions on building permits, and the system of military checkpoints are all tools that ensure Palestinians feel the presence of occupation in their daily lives, discouraging resistance and facilitating control over the region. Moreover, the destruction of infrastructure, agricultural land, and the economy through these tactics has led to widespread suffering, echoing earlier policies aimed at making life under Israeli control unbearable for the Arab population.

2. Control of Territory and Strategic Land Acquisition

Haganah (1948): Securing key areas for Jewish settlement through the removal of Arab populations and control of strategic locations during the 1948 Arab-Israeli War.
IDF Today: The expansion of Israeli settlements in the West Bank, coupled with military protection for settlers, mirrors this strategy. The use of settlements as a means to establish territorial control continues to reshape demographics and create facts on the ground, making the possibility of a contiguous Palestinian state increasingly difficult.

3. Collective Punishment

Haganah (1948): Arab villages perceived as hostile were often subjected to collective punishment, including forced expulsions and destruction of homes.
IDF Today: The blockade of Gaza and punitive measures, such as the demolition of homes belonging to the families of suspected militants, are seen as forms of collective punishment. These actions, justified by security concerns, often result in widespread civilian suffering and are criticized for targeting entire communities for the actions of a few.

4. Checkpoints and Restricted Movement

Haganah (1948): Control over the movement of Arab populations through curfews and blockades to prevent uprisings and resistance during the early years of the state.
IDF Today: The extensive system of checkpoints and road closures in the West Bank severely limits Palestinian movement. These checkpoints, justified by security needs, create physical barriers that fragment Palestinian society and restrict access to essential services, employment, and education.

5. Curfews and Military Law

Haganah (1948): Imposing curfews and military governance over Arab areas to maintain control and suppress potential threats.
IDF Today: The continued use of curfews, particularly in the West Bank, and the application of military law to Palestinians (while Israeli settlers are governed by civil law) reflect ongoing military control over Palestinian life. This dual legal system enforces Israeli authority over Palestinians and contributes to the perpetuation of occupation.

6. Targeted Assassinations

Haganah (Pre-1948 and 1948): Jewish underground groups and the Haganah employed targeted assassinations of Arab leaders and British officials to weaken opposition and resistance movements.
IDF Today: The IDF's use of targeted assassinations, particularly against leaders of Hamas and other militant groups, continues this tactic. Precision-guided strikes and drone warfare are employed to eliminate key figures, though these operations are controversial due to their potential for civilian casualties and the escalation of violence.

7. Siege Mentality and Buffer Zones

Haganah (1948): Establishing defensive lines and buffer zones to protect Jewish settlements from Arab forces during the 1948 war.
IDF Today: Buffer zones around Gaza and restricted access areas continue to function as defensive measures. Palestinians are prohibited from approaching certain zones near the Israeli border, which restricts land use and contributes to Gaza's isolation. These buffer zones, like those of 1948, are seen as necessary for security but have significant humanitarian impacts. Within Area C, Israeli settlements, military outposts, and restricted areas near the separation barrier function as de facto buffer zones. The Israeli military maintains a strong presence, and Palestinians often face restrictions in movement and access, particularly near settlements and military installations.

8. Home Demolitions

Haganah (1948): Destruction or repurposing of Arab villages to clear areas for Jewish settlement and military control.
IDF Today: The demolition of Palestinian homes in the West Bank and East Jerusalem is often justified by security measures or violations of building codes. These demolitions serve to displace Palestinians and reshape the demographic landscape in favor of Jewish settlements, reflecting similar tactics used during the 1948 war.

9. Jewish Terrorism Against Arabs

Haganah/Pre-1948 Jewish Militias:
During the British Mandate period, groups like the Irgun, Lehi (Stern Gang), and even elements within the Haganah were responsible for acts of terrorism against Arab civilians. These attacks were designed to destabilize and terrorize the Arab population, prompting them to flee and

abandon their homes. Notable attacks such as the bombing of the King David Hotel and the massacre at Deir Yassin were intended to send a message of fear and assert Jewish claims to the land.

IDF Today:
While state-led military operations today are officially justified as defensive actions, certain tactics—such as disproportionate responses to Palestinian resistance—are seen by critics as maintaining a level of terror among Palestinians. Additionally, the persistent growth of far-right settler movements and the rise in settler violence, often with impunity, perpetuate a similar climate of fear, echoing the tactics of early Jewish militias that sought to weaken Arab resolve and resistance. The failure to hold settlers accountable for violence against Palestinians reinforces the perception that terror is being used as a tool to facilitate the occupation.

These actions, when viewed collectively, suggest a pattern wherein tactics used by the Haganah in 1948 have evolved but continue in different forms in the IDF's operations and in the broader Israeli policy framework. **This pattern indicates a genocidal continuity, reflecting a systemic approach to managing the demographic composition of the region by diminishing the presence and viability of Palestinian communities.**

The high civilian death toll in the Israeli-Hamas war is significantly due to the tactics employed by Hamas, which involve embedding military operations within civilian areas as a core strategy. However, Israel's response to this challenge, characterized by the overwhelming use of force, also results in extensive civilian casualties and suffering.

This dynamic results in outcomes where its efforts to combat Palestinian militants, which many governments in the world have justified under the nation's right to self-defense, have led to outcomes that are arguably genocidal. This dynamic is reinforced by the history of persistent dehumanization of the Palestinian people by the West and the Zionist movement and the persistent policies of occupation by the State of Israel.

An unjust government cannot wage a just war; members of the ultranationalist coalition led by Bibi Netanyahu, including Kahanist figures like Itamar Ben-Gvir and Bezalel Smotrich, have consistently and openly displayed unrepentant genocidal intent towards the Palestinian people. These members exemplify the moral decline of the Israeli state, and their influence on setting the overall war strategy is undeniable, given their repeated threats to collapse the government during a time of war.

The Israel-Hamas war and the destruction of the Gaza Strip exemplify the final conclusion of the Dahiya Doctrine, a military strategy employed by the Israeli Defense Forces (IDF) that advocates for the use of overwhelming and disproportionate force to deter and punish hostile entities like Hamas.

This doctrine, which involves targeting civilian infrastructure to create a powerful deterrent and inflict psychological damage, is evident in the extensive destruction seen in Gaza during the conflict. The scale of destruction, tolerance for a high civilian death toll, and deliberate targeting of essential infrastructure can be interpreted as genocidal, raising serious questions about the potential for these actions to constitute genocide.

The extensive deployment of the Mark 84 bomb, an extremely heavy ordnance, in densely populated areas of the Gaza Strip can be viewed as indicative of genocidal force, demonstrating a disregard for the disproportionate impact on civilian populations. (Ismay, 2024)

The use of AI-driven targeting systems like "Hasbora" and "Gospel" by the Israeli military, especially following the October 7th genocidal massacre, underscores an intensification of the Israeli state's approach towards the Palestinians. These systems, designed to rapidly identify and propose military targets, were deployed early on in the war with very loose rules of engagement, leading to a significant escalation in the scale and intensity of attacks. This heightened use of technology in warfare, particularly when coupled with the state's high tolerance for the civilian casualties resulting from such operations, can be seen as further evidence of the Israeli state's genocidal actions towards the Palestinian population.

The term "mowing the lawn" starkly illustrates the disturbing mindset behind recurring military tactics employed by the Israeli Defense Forces (IDF) in Gaza. This phrase, ostensibly trivializing and routine, suggests a cyclical, systematic approach to the suppression of perceived threats, implying that elements of the Palestinian population, like overgrown grass, must periodically be cut down. While it is acknowledged that the IDF is combating militants who are intent on the destruction of Israel, the casual use of such language in military and political discourse not only dehumanizes the Palestinians but also suggests a normalization of continuous violence as a maintenance strategy. This dehumanization is instrumental in justifying extreme measures, which can be interpreted as aligning with genocidal tactics by reducing the gravity and humanity of the actions taken.

The practice of home demolitions by the Israeli Defense Forces (IDF) stands as a continuation of tactics employed since the Nakba, aimed at forcibly displacing Palestinian communities. This policy, often justified by Israel as a means of deterrence or security measures, systematically targets Palestinian structures under various pretexts such as lack of building permits in areas where such permits are notoriously difficult to obtain or as retaliation for attacks. These actions not only lead to immediate and tangible suffering but also serve to erode the foundation of Palestinian societal and cultural continuity. By perpetuating instability and transience among Palestinian populations, these demolitions can be interpreted as part of a genocidal strategy, aiming to undermine the viability of the Palestinian nation itself.

Finally, the destruction of Hamas as the governing backbone of the Gaza Strip is inevitably the act of extermination due to the deep embedding of Hamas in the fabric of Gazan society, and the loose rules of engagement shown by the IDF. As an organic Palestinian resistance movement that emerged in response to the Zionist movement, Hamas is not only a militant organization but also a crucial part of the social, political, and economic structures within Gaza. This integration means that efforts to dismantle Hamas necessarily involve widespread devastation across the civilian population, as the lines between combatants and non-combatants are blurred. **The destruction of Hamas, therefore, implied the destruction of the very community it governs, leading to consequences that can be interpreted as genocidal in nature.**

The perception of existential threats has historically been a precursor to genocide, as seen in various global instances where states or groups, fearing for their survival, have resorted to extreme measures against those they perceive as threats.

In the context of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, Israel views itself as being in an existential battle, particularly given the threats from groups like Hamas, which is a manifestation of *Islamic Antisemitism* within the broader Palestinian National Movement. Hamas's founding charter not only seeks the elimination of Israel but also contains deeply antisemitic rhetoric, framing Jews as inherent adversaries of Islam. This dangerous interplay of existential fear and ideological extremism has driven Israel to adopt actions that are genocidal in nature, as they aim to neutralize what is perceived as a mortal threat.

History has shown that such mixtures of perceived existential threats and dehumanizing beliefs have led to catastrophic outcomes, as evidenced by the Holocaust, where Nazi Germany, perceiving Jews as an existential threat, orchestrated the systematic extermination of six million Jews; the Armenian Genocide, where the Ottoman Empire, fearing Armenian collaboration with enemies, led to the mass killing and forced deportation of Armenians; and the Rwandan Genocide, where Hutu extremists, perceiving Tutsis as a threat to their power, committed mass atrocities. The fear of annihilation, when coupled with dehumanizing ideologies, has historically set the stage for genocidal actions, and similar dynamics are at play in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict today.

Hamas as a Spiritual Successor To Amin al-Husseini: A legacy of Genocidal Islamic Antisemitism

The attacks carried out by Hamas and its allied militias on October 7th were strategically designed to achieve multiple goals: drawing international attention to the Palestinian cause, inciting severe Israeli retaliation, and perpetuating a state of endless conflict. These actions reveal a deeply ingrained genocidal intent within Hamas, aimed not solely at resisting Israeli occupation but at fostering a cycle of violence that continuously escalates suffering for both communities.

Hamas's history of suicide bombings and rocket attacks targeting Israeli civilians underscores a tactical approach that seeks to instill fear and provoke retaliatory measures that further justify their ongoing resistance. This cycle of violence, often indiscriminate and aimed at causing maximum civilian casualties, can be interpreted as having genocidal overtones as it perpetuates an environment of perpetual conflict and suffering, undermining efforts towards peaceful coexistence.

Moreover, the psychological impact of this terror on Israeli society is profound, contributing to the dehumanization of Palestinians in Israeli public perception, which in turn fuels the conflict. The inevitable Israeli military responses to these attacks have led to high civilian casualties, significant infrastructural damage, and deepening humanitarian crises in Gaza. These outcomes serve Hamas's narrative by depicting the Palestinian population as under siege, thereby galvanizing support for their cause both locally and internationally.

Furthermore, Hamas's use of human shields and civilian infrastructure—schools, mosques, and hospitals—as operational bases exploits the legal and ethical dilemmas faced by the Israeli military. This "lawfare" strategy is ineffective in deterring Israeli actions but successful in increasing Palestinian civilian casualties, which Hamas uses to garner international sympathy and intensify global anti-Israel sentiment. This approach not only perpetuates the conflict but

also intensifies the cycle of dehumanization and heightens the genocidal atmosphere of the conflict.

Organizationally a descendant of the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood and ideologically a spiritual successor to Grand Mufti Amin al-Husseini, Hamas epitomizes the core of Palestinian nationalism. This ideological lineage, grounded in the use of Islamic rhetoric to frame their opposition to Zionism, poses significant existential threats to Jewish self-determination in the Land of Canaan.

Amin al-Husseini's Nazi Collaboration: A Complex Intersection of Ideology and Desperation

Amin al-Husseini's collaboration with Nazi Germany during World War II represents a particularly notorious chapter in the history of Palestinian nationalism. As the Grand Mufti of Jerusalem, al-Husseini actively recruited Bosnian Muslims for the SS Handschar division, the largest non-German unit in the Waffen-SS, aimed at fighting against partisan groups in the Balkans. His engagement extended beyond recruitment to propaganda efforts and high-level meetings with Nazi leaders, including Adolf Hitler, where they discussed mutual interests against the British and Jewish populations in Palestine.

This aspect of his legacy is well-known and remains highly controversial, highlighting a dark alignment with Nazi anti-Semitic policies driven by his fierce anti-Zionism and opposition to British colonial rule. The explicit genocidal intent of his actions, aligning with Nazi goals of Jewish eradication, has overshadowed other facets of his role in Palestinian nationalism.

Amin al-Husseini's collaboration with Nazi Germany was driven by both ideological and strategic factors. Ideologically, he shared a deep opposition to Zionism and viewed British support for Jewish immigration to Palestine as a threat to the Arab population. His alignment with the Nazis was partly rooted in this anti-Zionism and a desire to counter British influence.

However, the collaboration was also a result of the Palestinians' lack of consistent great power backing. Faced with limited options and little support from Arab states or major powers, al-Husseini turned to Germany in the hope of securing assistance for his cause. While his actions cannot be excused, this decision reflected the desperate geopolitical situation of the Palestinian leadership at the time. It also proved to be a significant diplomatic miscalculation, damaging the Palestinian cause in the post-World War II era.

Hamas and the Legacy of Amin al-Husseini: Fusing Religious and Nationalist Resistance Against Israel

By continuing the legacy of Amin al-Husseini, Hamas merges deep-seated religious and nationalist fervor into a unified stance against the existence of Israel. This stance integrates Islamic principles with Palestinian nationalist aspirations, establishing Hamas as a central figure in the struggle for Palestinian identity and statehood. Through its commitment to resistance, framed both as a religious duty and a nationalist obligation, Hamas strengthens its position at the heart of Palestinian nationalism, appealing to both the religious sentiments and national pride of the Palestinian people. This dual appeal helps solidify its role as a major political and military force within Palestinian society.

The Land of Canaan Must Be Repartitioned, The Populations Disengaged, With Buffer Zones Possibly Established

Militancy and moral decline have become embedded within both populations, profoundly shaping the socio-political landscape and daily life. This plan explicitly recognizes the tragic and genocidal nature of this relationship and the necessity for a radical, yet deeply unpopular, remedy: the disengagement of these populations from one another, along with the necessity of repartitioning the Land of Canaan.

The realities of the current occupation, with significant intermingling of Palestinian and Israeli populations in the West Bank, make the establishment of contiguous buffer zones between these communities impossible without some degree of displacement. The geographical and demographic entanglement has created a highly volatile environment where violence can erupt at any time. A robust peacekeeping force, backed by international support, will be crucial to oversee and facilitate the disengagement process, ensuring that the most hostile elements of both populations are separated and play no direct role in destabilizing the region further. This separation and disengagement are essential to reducing friction between the two peoples, whose close proximity under the current occupation continually fuels conflict.

Military occupation was never meant to be a permanent solution. When the territories were captured, they were seen as a bargaining chip for future peace negotiations, not as land to be indefinitely controlled. **However, due to the decades-long entrenchment of the occupation, this disengagement and the establishment of buffer zones must be phased over time.** The process will require careful planning and coordination to minimize disruption, allow for resettlement, and maintain security.

Historical precedents, such as the demilitarized zones (DMZs), buffer zones, and safe evacuation corridors established in conflicts like the Korean Peninsula, Cyprus, Bosnia, the Sinai Peninsula, and the Golan Heights, highlight the effectiveness of such measures in reducing confrontations and creating conditions for peace. In the context of the Canaan Confederation, similar measures will be indispensable.

In this plan, peacekeepers from Members of The Confederation would temporarily oversee portions of the occupied territories, assuming control to ensure stability and neutrality during the disengagement process. This arrangement would involve negotiations between Israel, the Palestinian Authority, and observer States of the Canaan Confederation to establish and maintain these zones. The buffer zones must be contiguous and strategically placed to serve as neutral areas separating the communities, allowing for uninterrupted humanitarian assistance and the rebuilding of civil order.

While this intervention will undoubtedly lead to the displacement of some communities, it is a necessary step to mitigate the ongoing cycle of violence and ethnic conflict. The temporary separation enforced by peacekeepers may present challenges for future generations, but it is essential to prevent further bloodshed and lay the groundwork for eventual reconciliation.

Israeli Minority Citizenship Must Not be Stripped

This peace plan unequivocally advocates that no individual's citizenship will be stripped during the process of population disengagement or land swaps. The protection of citizenship

rights is paramount, as the presence and inclusion of minorities within Israel will be crucial for future reconciliation efforts.

These minority communities serve as bridges between the Israeli and Palestinian populations, embodying the possibility of coexistence and mutual respect. Their role in fostering dialogue and understanding is indispensable, and their citizenship must remain intact to pave the way for a peaceful and integrated future. While Israel may inevitably attempt to challenge this principle, the plan allows for compromises in other aspects to ensure that citizenship rights are upheld and remain non-negotiable.

Bilateral Trade Must be Maintained to the Greatest Extent Possible

The disruption of bilateral trade and the movement of workers between Israeli and Palestinian territories must be minimized for security purposes during the redrawing of borders and disengagement under the Canaan Confederation, especially given that the two economies are still deeply intertwined. To ensure continuity and stability, the Paris Protocol must be maintained to the greatest extent possible until the Canaan Confederation can develop a suitable replacement. Maintaining stable economic relations is essential to prevent economic hardship that could exacerbate tensions and undermine the peace process. Ensuring the continued flow of goods, services, and labor across the new borders will help sustain livelihoods, reduce the potential for unrest, and support the broader goals of stability and reconciliation within the region.

Globalized Incompetence: The World’s Complete Failure to Confront the Mutual Genocidal Aims of Both Palestinians and Israelis

The international community's failure to recognize the deep-seated genocidal sentiments harbored by both the Israeli and Palestinian nations toward each other significantly undermines efforts to understand and resolve their longstanding conflict. This oversight not only weakens the enforcement of international norms, such as those established by the Genocide Convention, but also threatens global stability by potentially encouraging similar extreme behaviors elsewhere. Moreover, it diminishes the collective commitment to human dignity and the prevention of mass atrocities, pivotal values reinforced globally after World War II. Without such recognition, policymakers are ill-equipped to develop effective strategies for prevention and resolution.

George Washington's warning against the dangers of factionalism is profoundly relevant when examining the ongoing Israeli-Palestinian conflict and the global response to it. Factionalism, or the division of society into partisan groups with rigid ideological stances, contributes significantly to the lack of acknowledgment of the genocidal realities present in the conflict. This entrenchment leads to biased interpretations and selective empathy, where supporters of each side often overlook or justify the extreme actions of their faction while condemning those of the opponent.

Consequently, this deep-seated partisanship impedes the international community's capacity to objectively assess and address the issues, resulting in a polarized view that hinders both recognition and resolution. The grip of factionalism stifles meaningful dialogue and

prevents the formation of a consensus on how to effectively intervene or support peace initiatives, ultimately undermining efforts to acknowledge and address the genocidal elements that plague the conflict.

Proposed Actions for Collective Peace and Security:

Establish a Canaan Multinational Confederation as a Durable Diplomatic Vehicle

Confederation Overview and Membership

The Canaan Confederation is envisioned as a robust multinational agency/force consisting of Arab, Muslim, and Western states, aimed at fostering stability across the Middle East through continuous diplomatic engagement. As a dedicated diplomatic vehicle, the Confederation will include the State of Israel and the State of Palestine as permanent members, thus reflecting the Judeo-Arab entanglement that is the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict. The union will also extend invitations to neighboring countries such as Egypt, Jordan, and Lebanon, as well as other influential global players, including the United States, Saudi Arabia, Iran, the UAE, the United Kingdom, France, Russia, Qatar, and others to join as observer members. These countries will contribute to and benefit from the Confederation's diplomatic infrastructure.

Informally, the Confederation will simply be the name of the set of states involved in solving the tragedy of the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict. Israel's allies, the current Arab States lending diplomatic and humanitarian support, as well as countries currently funding UNRWA and supporting the Palestinians, are already members of this set.

The Confederation's overarching principle is to provide hope and promote stability by delegating international arbitration, assistance, or observation to this collective, while minimizing the infringement on the sovereignty of the Israeli and Palestinian States. **It aims to serve as the vehicle for the Final Status Agreement for the State of Palestine. It will also facilitate a peace table and diplomatic vehicle between all member states to parley during conflict when truces are inevitably broken.**

An Impartial Interpretation of Ben-Gurion’s Pragmatic Vision for Regional Peace

The Canaan Confederation peace plan embodies an impartial interpretation of David Ben-Gurion’s visionary concept of an Arab federation that includes Israel. This plan attempts to broadly align with Ben-Gurion’s idea of a multilateral integration of Israel into the broader Middle Eastern context and also expands upon it by offering a structured approach to shared governance, economic collaboration, and mutual security.

By transcending traditional national boundaries, the Canaan Confederation seeks to establish a cooperative framework where both Israeli and Arab populations can pursue prosperity, security, and peace through collective effort and mutual respect. It envisions a region united by common interests and shared human values, fostering a new era of partnership that respects the unique identities of each community while building bridges over historical divides.

Governance and Security Dynamics

Regarding governance, the developing Palestinian State would be placed under the auspices of the Confederation, tasked with administering and revitalizing governance through either the Palestinian Authority or a new Arab governing entity. Additionally, a joint Arab-European-American force could rebuild the internal security of Palestinian territories, alleviating Israel's burden of policing these areas.

However, recognizing the complex geopolitical dynamics, Israel would need to transfer its Security Sovereignty over the Occupied Territories to the Confederation for this initiative to succeed.

Given its history of extreme tactics, Hamas's status necessitates a reconstitution of its role if the Gaza Strip is to be rebuilt, requiring the exile of its military leadership to a Confederation observer state, the conditional surrender of its fighters in the Gaza Strip, and the integration of its governance structures into the broader framework of the Confederation after revising its charter to support the strategic goals of the Confederation.

The Confederation, while not having a strong centralized voting system, would see countries voting with their contributions—financial, logistical, and personnel. The aim is to create a flexible yet robust framework for cooperation that adapts to the evolving geopolitical landscape, ensuring peace and stability through joint efforts and shared responsibilities.

At Least a 30-Year Hudna Between All Signatories of this Plan

Purpose and Overview of the Hudna

In an effort to establish a durable peace and lay the groundwork for comprehensive and lasting agreements, the plan proposes at least a 30-year Hudna among all parties involved in this ambitious peace architecture.

This extended ceasefire is designed to provide the vital breathing room necessary for the complex task of implementing the nuances of such a transformative agreement. It represents a collective acknowledgment of war fatigue and signifies a commitment from all signatories to pivot towards a global campaign for peace, reconciliation, and the dawn of a new era reminiscent of an Abrahamic Golden Age.

Challenges and Enforcement

To encompass all aspects of the region’s dynamics, the Hudna will include infrastructure to monitor and report non-compliance and extremism. Recognizing that some Palestinian militant factions might opt out of the peace agreement, it is understood that these groups would then potentially face conflicts with the peacekeeping forces of the Canaan Confederation member states. Importantly, the raison d'être of this plan is to prevent these confrontations from replacing the current Israeli-Palestinian military engagements.

Furthermore, we must remain vigilant about the potential risks from extremist elements within the Jewish community, recalling the historical activities of groups like Irgun, Lehi, and the

Jewish Defense League and their subsequent effects on Israeli politics, as well as the rampant extremist settler violence occurring in the West Bank.

While the Hudna aims to significantly reduce the frequency and intensity of conflicts for at least 30 years, it pragmatically acknowledges the inevitable breaches given the volatile history of the region. Nevertheless, the aim is to reduce the overall totality of conflict in the Middle East by at least one generation.

Amnesty and Reconstruction

As part of the ceasefire, detailed discussions will be needed to negotiate terms of amnesty, allowing both Israel and Palestine to focus on rebuilding their societies without the burden of past conflicts hanging over them. This amnesty is crucial for facilitating a forward-looking approach that focuses on reconstruction and state-building.

The Hudna is envisioned not just as a temporary ceasefire but as a strategic pause that sets the stage for a comprehensive peace process, supported by mechanisms for monitoring, rapid conflict resolution, and continuous dialogue to adapt to evolving circumstances. By establishing this framework, we aim to create an environment conducive to peace and long-term stability in the region.

Reclassify the Gaza Strip as Corpus Separatum Under Disputed/Confederation Sovereignty

The proposed reclassification of the Gaza Strip as 'Corpus Separatum' under disputed/Confederation sovereignty acknowledges the current high-intensity military disputes and the extensive reconstruction needed due to destruction from the Israeli-Hamas War. This on-paper action signifies the transitional status of Gaza’s sovereignty, necessitating substantial international assistance for governance rebuilding.

Unified Acceptance of All Religious Traditions Necessary to Promote Peace in the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict

We Must Respect Palestinian Self-Determination

In seeking lasting peace in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, it is crucial to respect the perspectives and rights of Palestinian Arabs, allowing them to lead their own journey toward coexistence with the Jewish people. The international community’s role should be to supportively facilitate these efforts, fostering an environment conducive to peace.

However, Flexibility Is Needed vis-à-vis Islamic Teachings For This Compromise to Work

The Islamic philosophical and intellectual tradition, celebrated for its depth and richness, provides the primary framework for addressing contemporary challenges. By tapping into this

tradition, we encourage open dialogues within the Muslim community centered on principles of mercy, peace, and tolerance. These discussions, steered by the community's scholars and leaders, aim to draw on Islam’s rich historical legacies while exploring new pathways for peace.

This plan's approach is to avoid prescribing specific solutions but to advocate for the widening of the Islamic intellectual and philosophical traditions to utilize the full breadth and depth of the civilization’s history to enhance peace and coexistence.

By supporting this approach, we uphold the principle of self-determination and contribute to a more effective and respectful resolution of this enduring conflict.

Tikkun Olam Must Become the Motto For the Israeli Nation

As we call upon our Muslim friends to explore their traditions to foster peace and understanding, we recognize that this is not a journey they should undertake alone. The Jewish community, too, holds a critical role in this endeavor. Just as Islamic scholars can look to the rich traditions of early thinkers for inspiration, so too can Jewish scholars draw upon the profound ethical teachings of our own tradition.

Judaism has evolved with time, reflecting the deep thinking of scholars who interpret the Torah and Talmud to meet contemporary needs. Today, we find ourselves at a crossroads where these interpretations can significantly impact our shared future. For instance, the Jewish concept of 'Tikkun Olam,' or repairing the world, encourages us to pursue justice and healing, which can serve as a foundational principle for peace.

Moreover, initiatives like interfaith education programs, which bring Jewish and Muslim youth together, will be vital to the foundation of the Confederation. These programs not only educate but rebuild lost trust between communities.

Our commitment to peace must be demonstrated in words and actions. We urge leaders and scholars within the Jewish community to join their Muslim counterparts in this crucial dialogue. By promoting teachings emphasizing respect, tolerance, and understanding and actively engaging in community outreach and educational reform, we can create a fertile ground for peace and mutual respect.

Together, let us build a bridge of dialogue and understanding supported by the pillars of our rich, respective traditions. Let this be our joint contribution to a world where future generations can thrive in peace and harmony.

The Formal Establishment of the Judeo-Islamic and the Abrahamic Traditions

In an era marked by conflict, there lies an opportunity to revisit and revitalize the historically rich relationships that Jews, Christians, and Muslims once enjoyed. The proposed initiative to formally recognize and develop the Judeo-Islamic and Abrahamic traditions is not merely symbolic; it is a call to action for deep, meaningful reconciliation as we concurrently seek to resolve the Israeli-Palestinian conflict.

A New Covenant for Al-Aqsa Mosque and Temple Mount: A Practical Détente

Central to our peace initiative is the establishment of a new Covenant for Al-Aqsa Mosque and Temple Mount. This covenant marks a critical juncture—a symbolic détente in Jewish-Muslim relations. Rather than aspiring to a utopian harmony, it acknowledges the complex realities and seeks to establish a framework for peaceful coexistence. This covenant is not about erasing differences but about managing them with mutual respect and shared objectives.

The covenant aims to move beyond historical conflicts, fostering dialogue grounded in pragmatic cooperation. By formally establishing this détente, both communities signal their commitment to a more stable and constructive relationship, creating a foundation for future generations to build upon.

Within the Canaan Confederation, educational materials will be tailored to reflect this pragmatic approach, framing historical interactions as lessons in negotiation and conflict resolution. These narratives will serve as essential tools for understanding the past's complexities while promoting a culture that values strategic dialogue and collaboration.

The covenant will also function as a living document, continuously guiding interfaith relations to ensure that Al-Aqsa Mosque/Temple Mount remains a respected symbol of coexistence. Under this new framework, initiatives such as joint cultural festivals, Abrahamic dialogues, and collaborative community projects will be developed. These efforts will emphasize the site's role as a crucial bridge between communities, fostering shared experiences without demanding complete assimilation.

By embracing this narrative of détente, we recognize the historical intricacies and the potential for a future where peaceful coexistence is the norm. The Al-Aqsa Mosque/Temple Mount will become a cornerstone of strategic interfaith cooperation within the Canaan Confederation, symbolizing a commitment to navigating the challenges of coexistence with wisdom and respect.

The Revival of Judeo-Arabic, and Interfaith Diplomacy

Language and cultural exchange are also vital. **We encourage the intentional revival of Judeo-Arabic as a bridge between Israeli and Palestinian communities.** By fostering this shared language for artistic and political dialogue, we highlight the interconnected narratives of Jews and Arabs. This linguistic revival will support mutual understanding and respect, which are critical components of lasting peace.

Recognizing Medina's strategic significance, we propose its use as a venue for interfaith diplomatic events, symbolizing our commitment to these dialogues. Medina's historical openness to non-Muslim diplomatic presence makes it an ideal location for significant ceremonies, like signing peace agreements such as the Final Status Agreement for the State of Palestine, demonstrating our dedication to these principles in action.

Finally, the involvement of key global and regional stakeholders—Israel, Saudi Arabia, Egypt, Jordan, and the Vatican—is crucial. Their support can ensure the success of these

initiatives, leveraging their unique positions to foster dialogue, promote reforms, and implement the ambitious goals of this peace plan.

By formally establishing the Judeo-Islamic and Abrahamic traditions, we do more than acknowledge our past; we build a foundation for a more harmonious future. This effort is a testament to our shared commitment to dialogue, understanding, and peace.

Multilateral Disengagement: Deployment of Confederation Peacekeepers

Israel Must Have An Exit Plan For The Occupation

Israel is at a critical crossroads, facing severe overextension due to its current military, political, and diplomatic strategies. The ongoing conflicts, demographic pressures, and international isolation underscore the urgent need for a shift towards a more just and equitable order. To restore its sense of security and stability, Israel must reconsider its approach and move towards a resolution that acknowledges and addresses the rights and grievances of all parties involved. This necessitates a bold and transformative step: the multilateral disengagement of the Israeli military from the occupied territories.

This disengagement, facilitated by international cooperation, should be supported by the deployment of peacekeepers from the proposed Canaan Confederation—a framework designed to promote stability, security, and reconciliation in the region. These peacekeepers would ensure a smooth transition, maintaining order and security as Israeli forces withdraw, while also providing a neutral buffer to prevent further escalations. Such a move would not only alleviate the military strain on Israel but also pave the way for a sustainable and just peace, creating the conditions necessary for a lasting resolution to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and a more secure future for all.

Strategy 1: Deployment in Lower-Risk Zones

Minimizing Confrontations: This approach focuses on deploying peacekeepers in areas where the likelihood of direct confrontation is lower, such as the Jordanian Valley and less volatile parts of the West Bank. The gradual deployment in these zones allows for more extensive community engagement, confidence-building measures, and the establishment of a stable presence without the immediate threat of violence. This strategy minimizes risks to peacekeepers and allows for a more controlled and sustained peacekeeping effort.

Strategy 2: Deployment in High-Conflict Zones

Prioritizing Urgent Needs: The alternative strategy involves deploying peacekeepers in high-conflict areas where their presence is most urgently needed. These include regions like Jenin, Tulkarem, and Gaza, where confrontations between the State of Israel and Palestinian groups occur most frequently. This approach is more aggressive and aims to stabilize the most volatile areas rapidly, providing immediate protection to civilians and preventing further escalations. However, it carries a higher risk of direct confrontations with militant groups and

requires a robust, well-prepared peacekeeping force. To further reduce friction and enhance cooperation, the Confederation will explore the possibility of negotiating militia-specific treaties with Palestinian resistance factions. These treaties would aim to ameliorate tensions and facilitate a more collaborative environment between peacekeepers and local armed groups. This approach aims not only to alleviate the immediate security concerns but also to restore a sense of humanity and justice to the governance of these territories, aligning with international law and moral expectations.

Balancing the Strategies

The choice between these strategies will depend on the evolving political and security landscape. A phased or hybrid approach could also be considered, starting with lower-risk zones to establish a foothold and then gradually moving into higher-conflict areas as conditions permit. This adaptive strategy would allow the Confederation to respond to the most pressing needs while minimizing unnecessary risks to peacekeeping forces.

Peacekeepers Must Maintain A Monopoly of the Use of Force

The peacekeeping forces must maintain a monopoly on the legal use of force in their deployed sectors. **This is essential not only for dealing with potential insurgencies and ensuring the safety of both peacekeepers and civilian populations but also for providing the Palestinian nation with much-needed relief from Israeli aggression**. By controlling the use of force, peacekeepers can prevent escalations and protect vulnerable communities from violence, thereby creating a more stable environment. This approach is crucial for mitigating threats posed by all forms of extremism, which jeopardize the objectives of peace and stability in the region. Ultimately, maintaining this monopoly on force aims to pave the way for a more peaceful coexistence and a comprehensive resolution of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict.

Temporary Resettlement of A Significant Amount of the Gazan Population to the West Bank, With a Binding Right of Return Guaranteed by The Canaan Confederation

It Is Not Sustainable To Keep The Palestinian’s in Gaza Indefinitely

The Gaza Strip, enduring planetary devastation, presents a humanitarian crisis where the conditions continue to deteriorate, ensuring the exacerbation of the suffering among its population. The mental and physical toll on the Gazans under such dire circumstances makes it inhumane to compel them to remain in what is arguably the most devastated region on earth.

Given this, the regretful evacuation of a significant portion of Gaza's population emerges as a critical, albeit reluctant, necessity. While this step arises from necessity rather than choice, it must be conducted with the highest respect for the rights and dignity of those affected. The international community, particularly the Canaan Confederation, must ensure that any such resettlement adheres to international humanitarian law to the greatest extent possible.

An alternative scenario where Gazans are forced to live among the ruins of their former homes for an indefinite period is untenable and inhumane. Survival in such conditions is possible, but it does not contribute to a viable long-term solution to the conflict or the well-being of the people.

This Plan Acknowledges This Is Forced Displacement

To facilitate this, Israel will have to create evacuation corridors to the West Bank, with international peacekeeping assistance. Moreover, a ***Binding*** UN Security Council Resolution must guarantee the Right to Return for all displaced Gazans guaranteed by The Canaan Confederation, properly enshrining this right under international law and record.

This plan pragmatically acknowledges that many displaced Gazans will not return, and must accept that this is likely at least a generational trip for the families displaced, and that this represents another Nakba for the Palestinian people.

Additionally, members of the Canaan Confederation should commit to accepting Palestinian refugees. This global approach not only shares the burden but also underscores the international community's role in bearing the burden of the sins of this unresolved conflict.

Reserved Minority Representation in the Israeli Governing Cabinet

Israel Suffers from the Scourge of Ultranationalism

In Israel, the balance between maintaining a democratic state and preserving its Jewish identity presents unique challenges. Ensuring that Israel's minority citizens have a formal, reserved representation in the governing cabinet will be crucial for the moral fiber of the Israeli State.

Recent political developments in Israel have shown a trend where figures associated with ultranationalist ideologies have gained significant influence, as evidenced by individuals such as Itamar Ben-Gvir and Bezalel Smotrich rising to prominent positions. This shift towards more extreme stances underscores the need for a governance structure that actively includes diverse perspectives, especially those from minority communities who are deeply impacted by these policies.

The historical experiences of the Jewish people with racism, ultranationalism, occupation, and genocide highlight the critical importance of preventing similar injustices within their own state. Ensuring minority representation in government could serve as a counterbalance to potential ethnic supremacy and promote a more equitable society. This is not only a moral imperative but also a practical necessity to draw on all available human capital to address ongoing and future challenges in the region.

Given the unlikely scenario of Jewish settlements in at least the early Palestinian state, the proposal for reciprocal commitments may not be necessary. However, for Israel, implementing reserved minority representation would be a significant step toward ensuring that

its democratic institutions reflect and benefit all its citizens, thereby strengthening the state's democratic and inclusive character.

Reserved Female Representation in the Palestinian Liberation Organization

Ensuring reserved female representation within the Palestinian Liberation Organization (PLO) will significantly impact peace efforts and the overall stability of the region. Women in political leadership roles bring a wealth of benefits that extend beyond mere inclusivity. Their involvement will likely lead to more sustainable peace by incorporating a broader range of perspectives and priorities. Women leaders are known for their strong community ties, which can be instrumental in building grassroots support for reconciliation and peace initiatives.

They tend to prioritize social welfare issues such as health, education, and economic development, which are critical for long-term stability and growth. Moreover, women often advocate for non-violent solutions, contributing to a decrease in overall violence. The inclusion of women in leadership also helps to legitimize peace efforts both locally and internationally, showing a commitment to balanced representation and inclusivity. Thus, promoting female leadership within the PLO is not only a step towards gender equity but also a strategic enhancement of the effectiveness and sustainability of peacebuilding efforts.

Expand the Definition of Weapons of Mass Destruction to Include Advanced Artificial Intelligence Systems

The development and deployment of advanced AI systems in military operations, as evidenced by Israel's use of systems like the Habsora and Lavender Targeting Recommendation Systems, underscore the potential for new technologies to impact modern warfare significantly. These systems, which leverage machine learning to enhance targeting and operational decisions, represent a technological leap that could pose risks comparable to traditional WMDs in terms of their impact on civilian populations and global stability.

Given these concerns, there is a pressing need for international recognition and regulation of such technologies. This would help mitigate the risks associated with their development and deployment and slow our progression toward scenarios that could lead to the obliteration of the human race.

Transparency is Needed To Adjudicate Genocidal Intent

Transparency in how these AI systems are trained, validated, and deployed must be mandated. The Israelis, who are at the forefront of this technology, should disclose the methodologies involved in training and validating their systems. This transparency is crucial not only for fostering international trust but also for ensuring that the deployment of these systems complies with international humanitarian laws or are dismantled accordingly.

Moreover, the use of such advanced AI in conflict zones must be scrutinized to determine if their deployment meets the legal definitions of warfare conduct, including

the evaluation of whether such actions could be de jure legal but de facto genocidal. This scrutiny should be part of an international framework to ensure that all nations employing similar technologies adhere to the same standards of accountability.

Mandatory Land Lease: Sanctions Imposed for Expansion Beyond 1947 Allocations

To address the ongoing expansion of Israeli settlements beyond the territories initially allocated to Israel by the 1947 UN Partition Plan, a system of economic sanctions is proposed. This plan would impose a financial penalty per dunam on the total area exceeding the 55% land allocation, excluding the Golan Heights, and any buffer zones and DMZ's created for population disengagement.

Israel Is Overextended in Its Ability to Secure Its Borders Due to Politics and a Lack of Strategic Vision

This financial repercussion aims to freeze settlement activity and curtail the settler movement, which is at the forefront of the territorial occupation and radicalization present in Israeli society. The ongoing expansion is part of an *overextension* that not only threatens peace and stability in the region but also hampers Israel's long-term security and international relations.

Appropriating Holy Land from the Palestinian nation to build houses is not an effective anti-terror strategy; instead, it is a tactic driven by political ideology rather than long-term strategic vision, focusing more on short-term gains and the appearance of control rather than on addressing the underlying causes of conflict and working towards a sustainable peace.

The pursuit of a Greater Israel, driven by tactical decisions without a coherent strategic vision, has compromised Israel's long-term security and stability. While short-term actions such as settlement expansion and territorial control may offer immediate gains, they fail to address the demographic realities and geopolitical challenges that threaten the viability of a Jewish and democratic state. The politically driven expansion of Israel's borders disregards the fact on the ground that there are not enough Jews to sustain such a vision, leading to policies that undermine both Israel's security, morality, and its international standing.

Land Lease, Either Adopted or Imposed

To enforce these sanctions, a Land Lease scheme must be implemented or imposed. This scheme would require the cooperation of all of Israel's diplomatic and trading partners, ensuring a concerted international effort to support the enforcement of these penalties in the case of non-compliance.

Implementing this scheme would compel Israel to make critical decisions regarding what land it wishes to claim based on its financial and strategic viability. This approach does not only seek to penalize but also to provide a structured pathway for Israel to align its settlement policies with secure borders, thereby contributing to a more sustainable and peaceful resolution of the conflict.

Sample Implementation Schedule

To facilitate compliance and make the Land Lease scheme more manageable, the plan will be implemented in phases, focusing on escalating penalties to encourage adherence to the agreed land allocations.

Phase 1: Initiation and Settlement Freeze (Years 1–2)

- **Settlement Freeze**: Israel agrees to halt all new settlement construction beyond the allocated 55% of land immediately.
- **Data Mapping**: Collaborative efforts to map existing settlements and land usage to establish a clear baseline for monitoring compliance and applying penalties.
- **Nominal Penalties**: Minimal financial penalties are applied for any new violations to establish accountability.
    - **Penalty Rate**: **$200 per dunam per year** for land exceeding allocations.
    - **Estimated Annual Penalty**: Approximately **$1.88 billion**, representing about **0.37%** of Israel's GDP.
- **Monitoring Mechanisms**: Members of the Canaan Confederation will be in charge of overseeing compliance.

Phase 2: Gradual Increase of Penalties (Years 3–5)

- **Moderate Penalties**: Increase penalties to encourage initial steps toward compliance.
    - **Penalty Rate**: **$500 per dunam per year**.
    - **Estimated Annual Penalty**: Approximately **$4.69 billion**, representing about **0.94%** of Israel's GDP.
- **Policy Adjustments**: Israel begins revising domestic laws to align with the land lease requirements.
- **Enhanced Monitoring**: Strengthen the capabilities of the international monitoring body for effective oversight.
- **Compliance Reporting**: Regular assessments and transparent reporting to the international community.

Phase 3: Escalation of Penalties (Years 6–10)

- **Significant Penalties**: Further increase penalties to create substantial economic pressure for compliance.
    - **Penalty Rate**: **$1,000 per dunam per year**.
    - **Estimated Annual Penalty**: Approximately **$9.38 billion**, representing about **1.87%** of Israel's GDP.
- **Compliance Reviews**: Conduct thorough evaluations of adherence to land allocations, adjusting penalties based on compliance levels.
- **Preparation for Full Compliance**: Develop detailed plans for relocating or legalizing settlements within allocated areas.

Phase 4: Full Implementation and Maximum Penalties (Beyond Year 10)

- **Maximum Penalties**: Apply the highest level of penalties to make non-compliance economically unsustainable.
    - **Penalty Rate**: **$2,000 per dunam per year** or higher.
    - **Estimated Annual Penalty**: Approximately **$18.76 billion**, representing about **3.74%** of Israel's GDP.
- **International Enforcement**: Utilize international legal mechanisms and potential sanctions to enforce compliance if necessary.
- **Finalization of Land Allocations**: Solidify permanent borders based on the original 55% allocation, completing necessary relocation or adjustment of settlements.
- **Legal Actions**: Resort to international courts to address disputes or persistent non-compliance.

Proposed Global Levy Tax in the Event of Non-Compliance

In the event of non-compliance, Israel's trading partners must design and implement a **Global levy tax** on all goods and services imported from Israel. This levy serves as a mechanism to collect the penalties outlined in the Mandatory Land Lease scheme without disrupting normal trade relations.

Summary of the Phased Penalty Schedule

Phase	Years	Penalty Rate	Estimated Annual Penalty	Percentage of Israel's GDP
1	1-2	$200	1.88 billion	0.37%
2	3-5	$500	$4.69 billion	0.94%
3	6-10	$1,000	$9.38 billion	1.87%
4	10+	$2,000	$18.76 billion	3.74%

Protection of Citizenship Rights

This peace plan unequivocally advocates that no individual's citizenship will be stripped during the process of land reallocation. The protection of citizenship rights is paramount, as the presence and inclusion of minorities within Israel will be crucial for future reconciliation efforts.

These minority communities serve as bridges between the Israeli and Palestinian populations, embodying the possibility of coexistence and mutual respect. Their role in fostering dialogue and understanding is indispensable, and their citizenship must remain intact to pave the way for a peaceful and integrated future.

While Israel may inevitably attempt to challenge this principle, the plan is designed with enough strategic ambiguity, most critically adjustments to the above proposed penalty and land allocation percentages, to ensure that citizenship rights are upheld to the greatest extent possible.

Option of Long-Term Land Lease For The State of Israel

As part of the framework between the Israeli and Palestinian States, a flexible mechanism can be introduced that allows Israel to negotiate long-term leases over key land areas. This option offers a way for Israel to secure access to strategically important locations—such as military zones, religious sites, and established settlements—without requiring permanent annexation. In turn, the Palestinian state retains internationally recognized sovereignty over these areas, preserving its territorial integrity while receiving economic benefits from lease payments.

The lease agreements would be structured in phases, beginning with temporary leases for an initial period (e.g., 5-10 years), during which both parties could negotiate the terms for longer-term leases of up to 50 years or more. Leases would be renewable, with regular reviews to ensure that the terms are respected and adjusted as necessary. The agreements would include clear conditions regarding land use, economic compensation, and security guarantees, ensuring that Israel's control over leased land respects Palestinian sovereignty and freedom of movement.

This mechanism promotes cooperation by embedding the long-term lease option within a framework of international oversight and dispute resolution. Neutral third-party mediators and peacekeeping forces could help monitor compliance with the agreements and ensure the process remains transparent and fair. By offering Israel the ability to maintain access to important areas while respecting Palestinian sovereignty to the greatest extent possible, the long-term lease mechanism contributes to a more stable, peaceful future for both sides. Additionally, the economic benefits for the Palestinian state from lease payments and potential revenue-sharing provide an incentive for cooperation and development.

Land Management, Not Restitution

The Mandatory Land Lease scheme, while integral to the broader framework of the Canaan Confederation peace plan, is not designed as restitution for the historical injustices and hardships endured by the Palestinian people. Rather, it serves as a pragmatic measure to manage and stabilize land allocation and to curb further expansion beyond the designated boundaries. This scheme, primarily focused on halting illegal settlements and ensuring compliance with established borders, does not address the comprehensive range of grievances, losses, and displacements experienced by Palestinians over decades. True restitution would require a more extensive set of reparative actions, including acknowledgment of past wrongs, tangible compensation, and significant steps towards reconciliation and healing, which are beyond the scope of this particular land management strategy.

Explicitly Condition U.S. Military Aid to Israel Based upon Compliance with the Canaan Confederation Framework

Heeding George Washington's Warning Against Permanent Entangling Alliances

In line with the principles advocated by George Washington in his farewell address to the young American nation, which cautioned against forming permanent, unconditional military alliances that might not align with American interests and risk entangling the nation in ongoing foreign conflicts, the U.S. must develop a conditional framework for its military aid to Israel. This framework is intended to support a strategy that prioritizes a sustainable peace process over an overreliance on military deterrence, often leading to the overextension of military efforts and the exacerbation of conflicts for political gain.

Resisting Israel’s Tendency to Deliberately Damage Pax Americana

Under the leadership of Benjamin Netanyahu, Israel has at times acted in ways that challenge or undermine Pax Americana, particularly when these actions align with Netanyahu’s domestic political agenda. Notable instances include his overt sabotage of the Oslo Accords and the incitement that contributed to the environment leading to Yitzhak Rabin’s assassination. Netanyahu’s tenure has also seen the negotiation and subsequent abandonment of the Wye River Memorandum, along with the deliberate torpedoing of hostage deals during the Israel-Hamas war. Furthermore, Netanyahu has been a vocal opponent of the Iran nuclear deal, positioning himself against a key U.S. diplomatic effort which aimed at stabilizing the region.

Additionally, his policies have aggressively pushed the expansion of Israeli settlements, a move that, while tactically popular and politically expedient domestically, is obviously strategically unwise. These actions not only strain relations with the United States but also complicate Israel’s long-term strategic objectives by potentially isolating the country on the international stage.

Each of these decisions reflects a pattern where short-term tactical gains are prioritized at the expense of broader strategic stability and international cooperation, impacting the effectiveness and sustainability of Pax Americana in the Middle East.

Congressional Oversight on Israel's Adherence to the Canaan Confederation Framework

Central to this proposal is a periodic review process, synchronized with Congressional debates on foreign aid bills, to ensure that assessments of Israel's compliance with the Canaan Confederation agreements directly influence Congressional decisions regarding the continuation, modification, or cessation of military aid. These reviews would evaluate Israel's commitment to cooperative security arrangements, the protection of civilian rights, and overall cooperation within the confederation framework.

The findings from these reviews would be compiled and presented to Congress. This procedure is designed to enhance legislative oversight and ensure that U.S. military aid

promotes long-term stability and peace consistent with American values and strategic goals. By linking aid to general compliance with the framework and embedding the review process within the political process, this policy aims to enhance accountability and ensure that military support serves as a constructive tool in international relations, adapting to evolving dynamics and aligning with U.S. strategic interests in maintaining Pax Americana in a multipolar world.

Offer the State of Palestine a Compact of Free Association with the United States, conditioned upon their Adoption of the Canaan Confederation framework

Empires, Colonialism, and Settler-Colonialism: Engines of Development, with a Moral Responsibility

Throughout history, **empires, colonialism, and settler-colonialism** have been powerful forces driving the development of human civilization. While these processes are often associated with displacement, exploitation, and suffering, they have also been responsible for significant advancements in technology, governance, and cultural exchange that have shaped the modern world. Recognizing both the benefits and the harms of these forces allows for a balanced understanding of their overall impact, and it becomes clear that their net effect has been one of human progress, even though it has created "losers" along the way.

Empires, from the Akkadian Empire in Mesopotamia to the British Empire, have historically functioned as engines of human development. Through the centralization of power, empires were able to organize large-scale infrastructure projects, such as roads, aqueducts, and trade networks, which helped unify vast regions. Empires also facilitated the spread of legal systems, scientific knowledge, and governance models that improved the quality of life for many. The Roman Empire, for example, brought with it not only military dominance but also innovations in law and engineering that influenced later civilizations across Europe and beyond.

Colonialism expanded this model on a global scale, often driven by the desire for economic resources and strategic advantage. While colonialism undeniably exploited local populations and extracted wealth, it also facilitated the global exchange of ideas, technology, and governance models. The spread of parliamentary governance, modern legal systems, and technological innovations from Europe to its colonies played a crucial role in shaping today’s interconnected world. The challenge lies in recognizing both the benefits of this exchange and the cost borne by those who were subjugated in the process.

Settler-colonialism, exemplified by the United States, Canada, and Australia, led to the creation of some of the world’s most powerful and innovative nation-states. These societies, built on the displacement of indigenous populations, have become leaders in technological advancement, democratic governance, and economic growth. However, not all settler-colonial projects were as "successful." The case of **Rhodesia (now Zimbabwe)** and **South Africa**

illustrates the negative impacts settler-colonialism can have when it is unsustainable or collapses under its own weight.

In **Rhodesia**, the white minority government attempted to maintain a settler-colonial state from 1965 until 1980. However, resistance from the indigenous African population and a protracted liberation war led to its ultimate collapse and the establishment of majority rule in Zimbabwe. Similarly, **South Africa's apartheid regime**, built on racial segregation and minority rule, faced intense international condemnation and internal unrest. The dismantling of apartheid in the early 1990s brought an end to a settler-colonial system that had caused deep social and economic inequality, leaving a legacy of racial tension and injustice that persists today. These examples underscore the inherent instability and long-term social harm that can result when settler-colonial projects are unable to adapt to the changing political and social realities of the modern world.

These negative instances of settler-colonialism, such as the collapse of Rhodesia and the apartheid regime in South Africa, underscore the dangers of unsustainable systems that fail to adapt to the complex realities of modern nation-building. These historical examples highlight the necessity for creating a new, sustainable order for **Israel and Palestine**—one that acknowledges the entrenched realities on the ground while striving for a just and equitable solution. Unlike past settler-colonial projects that were built on exclusion, displacement, and deep social divides, a sustainable order for Israel and Palestine must focus on coexistence, mutual recognition, and the protection of rights for all inhabitants of the land. The failure of past systems serves as a stark warning: only by embracing a model that integrates both Jewish and Palestinian narratives, while fostering long-term stability and peace, can the region avoid repeating the mistakes of history.

The Complex and Universal History of Empires

Imperialism is often discussed as a distinctly Western phenomenon, but history reveals it to be a universal aspect of human civilization, deeply tied to the development of societies across time and place. The first empire is widely believed to be the Akkadian Empire, which emerged around 2334 BCE in Mesopotamia, between the Tigris and Euphrates rivers, in what is often referred to as the cradle of civilization. Founded by Sargon of Akkad, the Akkadian Empire was the first to unite city-states under a single centralized authority, creating a model of imperial rule that would influence countless civilizations to come. Mesopotamia, as a region, had already been home to advanced societies such as the Sumerians, but it was the Akkadian Empire that laid the foundation for future empires by establishing systems of governance, infrastructure, and cultural exchange across a vast and diverse territory. This empire, which spanned much of present-day Iraq and parts of Syria and Iran, represented a transformative moment in human history, as it marked the transition from localized rule to a more expansive imperial model that would shape the development of human civilization for millennia.

Islamic Imperialism and Palestine

Similarly, imperialism has been a tool of expansion and control across many cultures, including the Islamic world. The jizya verse in the Quran, which mandates the imposition of a tax on non-Muslims living under Islamic rule, reflects a structured system of governance that reinforced Muslim political and religious authority over conquered territories.

The verse states, *'Fight those who do not believe in Allah or in the Last Day and who do not consider unlawful what Allah and His Messenger have made unlawful and who do not adopt the religion of truth from those who were given the Scripture – [fight] until they give the jizya willingly while they are humbled'* (Quran 9:29). This tax, while offering protection to People of The Book in exchange for their submission to Islamic rule, also symbolized the broader mechanisms of imperial control, as it delineated social and political hierarchies based on religious affiliation.

By instituting such a system, Islamic rulers not only asserted their dominance but also solidified the status of Islam as the prevailing ideology within their empire. This practice, alongside the territorial expansion of the caliphates, demonstrates that Islamic conquests were not solely about military victory but also about establishing and maintaining a political and religious order—an expression of imperialism that was intricately tied to the spread of Islamic faith and governance.

Specifically Palestine, a region of immense historical and religious significance, was captured and ruled by multiple Islamic empires for centuries, beginning with its conquest by the Rashidun Caliphate in 637 CE under Caliph Umar ibn al-Khattab. After the initial conquest, Palestine remained under Islamic rule for long periods, first as part of the Umayyad Caliphate, which controlled the region from 661 to 750 CE, and then under the Abbasid Caliphate, which governed until the fragmentation of its empire in the 10th century. During the Crusades, control of Palestine briefly shifted to European Crusaders in the late 11th and 12th centuries, but it was recaptured by the Ayyubid dynasty under Salah al-Din (Saladin) in 1187. Afterward, Palestine was incorporated into the Mamluk Sultanate in the 13th century, followed by the Ottoman Empire, which ruled from 1517 until the empire's collapse in 1917.

Throughout these centuries, Islamic empires solidified their presence in Palestine through a combination of military conquests, religious influence, and the establishment of administrative systems, maintaining control over this strategically and religiously important land for nearly 1,300 years.

Understanding this broader and more universal context of imperialism allows for a post-imperialist framework for Palestine, one that recognizes the role of imperialism not just in Western colonization, but also as a fundamental mechanism through which human civilization, including the Islamic world, has historically grew and evolved.

America As The Most Successful Instance of Settler-Colonialism

America stands as the most successful settler-colonial project in existence, and while it’s essential to acknowledge the suffering of Native Americans and the ongoing struggles of African Americans and other minorities with systemic racism, the country’s accomplishments cannot be overlooked.

I live in the capital region, a citadel of modern civilization where people of all races, religions, and nationalities coexist in what is arguably the most harmonious and pluralistic society in human history. No nation can claim a more diverse and integrated population, where every type of human being can be found living and thriving together. This achievement is a direct result of America's founding principles—an experiment in Enlightenment ideas, freedom of religion, and the pursuit of individual rights.

The crimes committed during America's creation—displacement of Native Americans, slavery, and racial oppression—are undeniable and tragic. Yet, these dark chapters do not negate the greatness that has emerged. The United States was founded on ideals that were ahead of their time, and despite its flaws, the country has remained committed to evolving and improving.

The strength of America lies in its ability to reconcile with its past while continuing to strive toward its foundational goals of liberty, equality, and justice for all. This balance between recognizing past injustices and celebrating the achievements of the present is what makes America exceptional, not just as a historical nation but as a living experiment in pluralism and freedom.

The Moral Responsibility of Acknowledging The Victims of Imperialism

In acknowledging the role of empires and colonialism in human development, it's essential to address the moral responsibility that comes with this history. The progress brought by these systems was not without cost. **Displacement, exploitation, and the destruction of indigenous cultures are realities that cannot be ignored. While empires and colonialism drove human progress, they also created "losers" who suffered under these systems.**

Compensating the displaced and marginalized populations is a necessary step toward reconciling the benefits of development with the harms inflicted. Reparations, legal recognition of indigenous rights, and cultural preservation efforts are examples of how we can begin to address these historical injustices. These actions, while unable to undo the past, serve as a step toward a more just and equitable global society, acknowledging the complexity of human progress and the ethical obligations it carries.

In conclusion, while empires, colonialism, and settler-colonialism have undoubtedly contributed to human development, they have also caused significant harm. The reality is that human progress often comes at the cost of displacement and suffering. The challenge now is to recognize these historical realities, compensate those who were harmed, and continue to build a world that benefits from the lessons of the past, striving for greater equity and justice in the future.

A Compact of Free Association as a Post-Imperialist Framework

The proposal emphasizes a strategic partnership primarily with the United States, focusing on the integration of the State of Palestine into the global community through a Compact of Free Association. This compact, contingent upon Palestinian acceptance of the Canaan Confederation framework, represents a profound commitment to rectifying historical injustices while facilitating Palestine's economic and educational advancement. It draws upon

the model historically used to support Israel, acknowledging that while the creation of Israel addressed a critical need for the Jewish people, it also resulted in severe repercussions for the Palestinian Arabs, including displacement and ongoing conflict.

Offering a Compact of Free Association (COFA) to Palestine could present a viable path toward sustainable statehood while navigating the complex geopolitical realities of the region. This framework would enable Palestine to maintain its sovereignty and self-governance while receiving vital economic, security, and developmental assistance from a more powerful partner, in this proposal the United States.

Such an arrangement would not only help stabilize the nascent state but also facilitate its integration into the international community, enhancing its diplomatic standing and ability to attract investment. Moreover, a COFA could serve as a structured response to the long-standing impacts of colonialism and conflict, providing the necessary support for building institutional capacity without imposing direct foreign governance.

By anchoring the relationship in mutual respect and shared interests, a COFA offers a balanced approach that respects Palestinian autonomy while addressing the practical needs for external support in areas such as defense and economic development, making it a post-imperialist model of cooperation that contrasts with traditional forms of external domination.

How A COFA Can Respect Self-Determination

Offering a Compact of Free Association (COFA) to Palestine could present a viable path toward sustainable statehood while navigating the complex geopolitical realities of the region. This framework would enable Palestine to maintain its sovereignty and self-governance while receiving vital economic, security, and developmental assistance from a more powerful partner, likely a global player like the United States.

Such an arrangement would not only help stabilize the nascent state but also facilitate its integration into the international community, enhancing its diplomatic standing and ability to attract investment. Moreover, a COFA could serve as a structured response to the long-standing impacts of colonialism and conflict, providing the necessary support for building institutional capacity without imposing direct foreign governance. By anchoring the relationship in mutual respect and shared interests, a COFA offers a balanced approach that respects Palestinian autonomy while addressing the practical needs for external support in areas such as defense and economic development, making it a post-imperialist model of cooperation that contrasts with traditional forms of external domination.

Bridging the Geopolitical Fault line Through Western Integration

The strategy of shifting the geopolitical fault-line to integrate the Palestinian nation into the Western world represents a bold and transformative approach to resolving the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. By aligning Palestine more closely with Western economic systems, educational exchanges, and political alliances, this plan seeks to bridge the historical divide that has marginalized the Palestinians. Offering a Compact of Free Association with the United States could serve as a cornerstone of this strategy, providing economic support, security guarantees, and a stronger connection to the international community. This approach not only aims to stabilize and empower the Palestinian state but also repositions it as a dynamic and

integral part of the global order, fostering peace and prosperity in a region long defined by conflict.

Offering a Compact of Free Association to Palestine by the United States would provide not only economic support and security guarantees but also crucial international recognition. This gesture of justice and restitution recognizes the enduring grievances of the Palestinian people, establishing a foundation for a more equitable path towards regional peace and stability. It also proposes making Palestine a vibrant center for tourism and pilgrimage, leveraging its historical and spiritual significance to enhance economic stability.

Gaza As An Educational Nexus: Building the Modern House of Wisdom

The integration initiative could further extend to forging cultural and educational ties, such as transforming the Gaza Strip into a nexus of culture and learning. Plans would include establishing a center reminiscent of the of the historic House of Wisdom along with an Institute dedicated to the study and preservation of the Islamic Civilization. This would not only highlight the rich intellectual heritage of the Islamic world but also attract global scholarly attention and tourism, creating sustainable sources of income and fostering international goodwill.

Emphasizing human rights and universal values of justice and equality is crucial, serving as prerequisites for continued international support. This approach positions economic empowerment, educational advancement, and cultural revival at the heart of a comprehensive blueprint for addressing the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, promoting long-term peace and prosperity in the region.

A US-Led Enforcement Action Against Iran and its Proxies If They Refuse/Violate The Hudna

Containing Smuggling by the Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps

Given the persistent regional threats posed by Iran and its proxies, including the IRGC (Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps) and Quds Force, a contingency plan for a US-led enforcement action becomes necessary to meet the stringent security guarantees needed by Israel for this plan to succeed. This action would be considered if Iran refuses to participate in a peace treaty or substantially violates an established Hudna, thereby risking further escalation that could potentially lead to a broader conflict.

In order to facilitate the deployment of Canaan Confederation peacekeepers and ensure their safety, it is imperative that all Iranian smuggling activities aimed at arming non-state actors in the region must cease immediately. While this plan acknowledges the right of Palestinians to engage in armed resistance as part of their struggle, it is equally crucial to ensure the safety and operational integrity of Confederation peacekeepers. This dual approach seeks to respect the rights of Palestinians under international law while actively reducing the risks to peacekeeping forces, thereby fostering a more secure and stable environment for peace initiatives to take root and flourish.

The proposal recognizes these groups' existential threats to regional stability for decades. It suggests that the existing regional cooperation pact, which has previously defended Israel from Iranian aggression, could be formalized to strengthen deterrence mechanisms.

While acknowledging the legitimate grievances Iran may hold against Western and American influences, the proposal critically views the Islamic Revolution's regressive ideologies and their impacts, including religious-based oppression and its broader social consequences. The historical context of Iran's technological and cultural contributions, particularly before the isolation of the Iranian civilization, underscores the potential benefits of Iran improving its relationships with the Western and Arab worlds.

Furthermore, the trauma of the Iran-Iraq war and its enduring impact on Iran's national psyche, including the development of a martyrdom culture, highlight the deep-seated complexities at play. The proposal argues that the UK and the US, having historical roles in shaping modern Iranian attitudes, should lead efforts to achieve détente with Iran. This approach aims for a resolution that respects Iran’s rich cultural and historical contributions while pragmatically addressing current geopolitical realities.

Violations of the Hudna May Result In the Complete Destruction of the Iranian Nuclear Program

A violation of the hudna by Iran may result in the dismantling of its nuclear program. While this peace plan acknowledges the injustices committed by the Israeli state against the Palestinian people, Iran’s aggressive and religiously driven rhetoric significantly undermines its claims of pursuing nuclear weapons for self-defense.

Although Iran’s animosity towards the West, stemming from the 1953 coup that overthrew Prime Minister Mohammad Mossadegh, holds validity, its hostility toward Israel—a small state with a much smaller population and created in response to the persecution of the Jewish people—lacks moral justification. Iran, with its vast territory and far larger population, faces no existential threat from Israel, whose primary focus is on defense and survival at any cost.

The historical relationship between Iran and its Jewish population has alternated between periods of tolerance and persecution, reflecting broader shifts in political and religious attitudes. Under leaders influenced by the teachings of Zoroaster, Iran set benchmarks for religious tolerance, as exemplified by Cyrus the Great, who respected and protected Jewish communities.

However, this legacy of inclusivity was sharply contrasted by subsequent periods under dynasties like the Safavids and Qajars, during which Jews faced severe discrimination and violence. This hostility carried into the modern era, particularly intensifying after the 1979 Islamic Revolution, which embraced Islamic fundamentalism and heightened animosity toward Israel, further marginalizing the Jewish community. In today’s global context, where human rights and equality are increasingly prioritized, such historical hostilities and ongoing discrimination against Jews or any other group are not only outdated but profoundly unacceptable. Iran’s modern policies and rhetoric should reflect a commitment to the principles of tolerance and coexistence that once characterized some of the brighter periods in its long history.

Moreover, Iran's pursuit of nuclear weapons risks triggering a nuclear arms race in the most volatile region in the world, making its actions morally and strategically indefensible. Should Iran push the conflict to a breaking point, Israel's "Samson Option" could be invoked, further escalating the existential risk to the entire region.

This plan recognizes Iran's sovereign right to peacefully and transparently enrich uranium for nuclear power purposes only. Iran is encouraged to freeze its enrichment of nuclear material beyond what is needed for power generation and to join the security architecture aimed at ensuring stability in the Middle East. This cooperative approach offers Iran a path to contribute positively to regional security, while avoiding the escalation inherent in the pursuit of nuclear weapons.

Furthermore, this peace plan calls upon the Supreme Leader of Iran to cease using genocidal rhetoric toward the sole Jewish nation in existence. It also reminds the Supreme Leader of the teachings of Zoroaster, particularly the duality of good and evil inherent in Persian religion, urging him to reflect on his actions accordingly.

Iran's Contribution to the Canaan Confederation Waqf: A Path for Rebuilding Gaza and International Reintegration

Iran is invited to contribute its frozen assets to the Canaan Confederation Waqf as a step toward international reintegration, symbolizing its commitment to peace and regional stability.

This initiative draws inspiration from Cyrus the Great, who supported the rebuilding of the Jewish Temple in Jerusalem, during a period when Zoroastrianism likely influenced the development of Jewish thought and, by extension, the broader Abrahamic tradition. By following this precedent, Iran can rekindle this ancient connection, playing a constructive role in the Middle East's future and reaffirming its commitment to peace, cooperation, and shared values.

Confidence Building Actions for Nations Supporting The Canaan Confederation

As the post-World War II liberal international order shows signs of cracking, it is critical to renew our collective commitment to it, addressing emerging multipolarity to maintain global stability. This renewal requires addressing critical issues that divide nations, especially along civilization fault lines.

To foster peace and stability in the Middle East, the Canaan Confederation seeks to promote confidence-building measures that address historical grievances and contemporary challenges. These measures are designed to soothe existing tensions and lay a foundation for enduring cooperation across the region.

Asylum for Members of the Old Order

Members of the Confederation can offer asylum to leaders of the old order as a confidence-building measure, facilitating a peaceful transition and stabilization within the region.

Funding the Confederation Waqf

The involvement of the Western world in guaranteeing the support and security of the Canaan Confederation through the strategic use of *frozen Iranian assets* to fund the Confederation Waqf will be pivotal.

Addressing Historical Grievances due to Colonialism

Historically, colonial actions by major powers have left deep scars that contribute to ongoing mistrust and conflict. Recognizing this, we propose that key nations undertake gestures of reconciliation. France and the UK, for instance, should formally apologize for their roles in the Sykes-Picot Agreement, which arbitrarily divided the Middle East in ways that have fueled conflict for over a century. Similarly, the United States and the UK need to acknowledge their involvement in the 1953 Iranian coup, which has long soured Iran's relations with the West. Additionally, the United States should address its missteps leading to and during the Second Gulf War, particularly the intelligence failures and policies that precipitated widespread chaos and contributed to the rise of ISIL.

The Arab World Must Improve Its Respect for Human Rights

These historical reflections should be complemented by proactive steps to improve human rights and freedom of expression in the Arab and Islamic world to increase the Ummah's soft power, which has very real implications for the humanization of the Palestinian people.

Americas Geopolitical Rivals Must Assist For World Stability

Finally, stabilizing international relations between the United States, China, and Russia is crucial for providing a stable backdrop for the Confederation's activities. We can ensure a cooperative approach to resolving the Middle East's complex issues through a concerted effort to mend these international rifts.

These confidence-building actions represent a sampling of the comprehensive approach required to address the roots of conflict and lay the groundwork for a peaceful and prosperous region, aligning historical grievances with contemporary needs for justice, reconciliation, and mutual respect.

US-Turkey-Palestine Joint Effort for Gaza Reconstruction

In a strategic move to enhance the reconstruction of the Gaza Strip, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, in collaboration with Turkish contractors and the Palestinian Authority, will deploy a workforce comprising both Turkish and Palestinian labor. This approach aims to utilize the extensive construction experience of Turkish professionals alongside the local knowledge and skills of Palestinian workers. By integrating these diverse capabilities, the partnership seeks to streamline the rubble clearance and rebuilding processes efficiently. This method is not just about physical reconstruction; it aims to foster a cooperative and respectful work environment, promoting intercultural understanding and strengthening economic recovery efforts. This

collaborative workforce strategy will contribute significantly to regional stability, peace, and prosperity by rebuilding trust and cooperation among the communities involved.

Potential Pathways for the Evolution of the Canaan Confederation: Addressing the Right of Return and the Status of East Jerusalem

The Confederal Framework is designed to evolve, offering pathways to address key issues such as the Right of Return and the status of East Jerusalem. Its flexibility is based on unprecedented pragmatism and reconciliation between the two nations, allowing for phased solutions and mutual concessions tailored to their evolving needs, thereby fostering cooperation and aiming for a sustainable resolution.

The Right To Return

Recognizing that the demographic balance of the State of Israel is a hard constraint, any right of return will naturally be limited. Addressing historical grievances from the Nakba realistically involves acknowledging this event and providing more equitable territorial concessions and exploring other forms of reparations that can further the reconciliation process. These may include financial compensation, restoration of property rights, or cultural acknowledgments, all contributing to honoring the past while laying the foundation for a more just and sustainable peace process. This approach offers a path forward that respects the needs and identities of all parties involved.

East Jerusalem

The expansion of **East Jerusalem** as the capital of a future **Palestinian state** will be supported by **international development funds**, ensuring that the necessary infrastructure and urban growth can accommodate the Palestinian population while addressing long-standing issues of underdevelopment. These funds will be allocated for projects such as modernizing neighborhoods, enhancing public services, and creating a **Palestinian administrative hub** in expanded areas like **Abu Dis** and **Al-Ram**. This expanded capital will fall under **Palestinian sovereignty**, allowing the Palestinian people to exercise full political and civil control over their neighborhoods, while maintaining close ties to Jerusalem's religious and historical significance. Sovereignty over critical religious sites, including **Al-Aqsa Mosque** and the **Temple Mount**, will be negotiated through a **covenant under the Canaan Confederation**, ensuring that both Muslim and Jewish access to these sacred spaces is preserved. This covenant will also coordinate the security and protection of these sites, balancing Israeli security concerns with Palestinian religious authority. This approach provides a sustainable and cooperative framework for the development of East Jerusalem as a viable Palestinian capital.

Two Semi-Separate States with the Expiration of the Confederation

The Confederation could act as a temporary structure promoting peaceful coexistence and development between Israel and Palestine until both can operate semi-independently. Initially, the right of return would be restricted to resettlements within the Palestinian state, with Ramallah as its capital. Upon reaching specific milestones or after a designated period, the Confederation would dissolve into a sovereign Israel and a demilitarized, semi-autonomous Palestine with defined borders. This approach aims to balance aspirations for statehood with the geopolitical realities, acknowledging the current power disparities.

Permanent Existence of the Confederation

The Canaan Confederation could serve as a lasting solution in the region, permanently fostering cooperation and shared governance. As a stable fixture, it would allow flexible approaches to the right of return and the status of East Jerusalem, potentially making it the Palestinian capital. The Confederation would manage the limited return of Palestinian refugees, support peaceful coexistence, and address mutual challenges like security and economic development. Its enduring presence could symbolize a long-term commitment to peace and serve as a global conflict resolution model.

A Canaan Union

The most stable endgame that honors the distinct needs of two states for two peoples. The Canaan Confederation could evolve into the robust Canaan Union, where both Israel and Palestine maintain significant autonomy while cooperating closely on essential functions such as security, foreign policy, and economic development. This model allows for a controlled right of return, granting Palestinians permanent residency within Israel proper, with political rights reserved within the Palestinian state to maintain each side's self-determination. Jerusalem would serve as a joint capital, symbolizing the heightened cooperation between the two. The Canaan Union would emphasize collaborative governance in key areas while preserving each entity's cultural and political identities. Over time, this deepening trust and cooperation could lead to more integrated administrative structures and perhaps a new, shared sense of national identity.

Single Binational Judeo-Arab State of Canaan

This is a highly romantic endgame of the Confederation. This would involve the integration of Israeli and Palestinian territories into a unified political entity where both Jewish and Arab populations share equal rights and responsibilities.

In this scenario, the right of return could be more broadly implemented within a unified state, allowing for full citizenship rights and resettlement throughout the state, including in Israel proper and Jerusalem. Jerusalem would be a unified city, serving as the capital of the unified

state and symbolizing the shared heritage and new national identity that blends Jewish and Arab elements. **This pathway would require significant reconciliation efforts between both nations, the conclusive resolution of long-standing grievances, a shared commitment to fostering new moral and cultural values aimed at coexistence, and a commitment to the principles of natural law. If successful, it could lead to a state representing a neo-Canaanite national identity, drawing from Jewish and Arab heritages.**

Conclusion

The Confederal substrate is a dynamic framework designed to adapt to the needs and aspirations of the Israeli and Palestinian peoples. As the Confederation evolves, the prospects for addressing the right of return and the status of East Jerusalem increase, with more integrated models offering greater potential for meaningful solutions. Whether it evolves into a stronger federal model, a single binational state, two separate states, or remains a permanent entity, the Confederation provides the necessary flexibility to respond to the complex realities of the region. Its ultimate form will depend on the progress made in reconciliation, governance, and mutual respect between the two nations.

The Rebirth of the Virtuous City of Gaza

Al-Farabi's Vision For the Perfect City-State

Our commitment to rebuilding the Gaza Strip stands central as we envision the region's future. **The rebuilding of the Gaza Strip into a vision inspired by Abu Nasr Al-Farabi's "Al-Madina Al-Fadila," or the 'Virtuous City[6]' is essential not only for the well-being of its residents but also for Israel's acceptance in the Middle East**. This reconstruction can commence only after the exit of the military leadership of Hamas and Palestinian Islamic Jihad and their organized battalions conditionally surrender and pledge to work within the new political framework.

Rebuilding The Gaza Strip and The Palestinian Nation Movement

Addressing Palestinian factionalism is crucial. The Palestinian National Movement must strive for unity, while also leveraging the Canaan Confederation to draw upon the Arab Nation's pool of skilled technocrats. These leaders can drive the nation-building efforts essential for a modern Palestinian state.

A technocratic government should be tasked with crafting a 30-year Virtuous City plan, laying the foundations for economic development, social cohesion, and political stability for the rebuilt Gaza Strip. Setting an expiration and renewal date for the Confederation, initially aligned with the 30-year Hudna, will provide a structured timeline for assessing progress and making necessary adjustments.

A More Stable Order For Both Israelis and Palestinians

My vision is a future where the Palestinian and Israeli states coexist—uneasily, perhaps, but in a significantly more stable relationship than today. Conflict may persist, but its intensity must be dramatically reduced to avoid tragedies like those witnessed on October 7th or the subsequent obliteration of the Gaza Strip. The Confederal substrate is flexible enough to evolve with the self-determination goals of the Israeli and Palestinian Nations toward a more unified and just union. **The ultimate goal is clear: such acts of violence must never be repeated, and the immense suffering experienced must not recur. From the river to the sea, only peace will set us free.**

6

Appendix A: Draft Articles of Confederation for the Canaan Confederation Multinational Force

Preamble

Recognizing the complexities and historical sensitivities of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, these Articles of Confederation establish a multinational force dedicated to maintaining peace, security, and fostering conditions for long-term stability and cooperation in the region.

Article 1: Purpose and Principles

1. The primary purpose of this Confederation is to maintain peace and security in the region, and to facilitate a conducive environment for dialogue and cooperation between Israel, Palestine, and the greater Middle East.
2. The Confederation shall operate with full respect for the sovereignty and legal frameworks of both Israel and Palestine and adhere to international law and human rights standards.

Article 2: Structure and Composition

1. The Confederation will consist of a multinational force, with contributions from various nations, subject to approval by both Israel and Palestine.
2. A permanent negotiating council, with representatives from Israel, Palestine, and contributing nations, will haggle the operations of the Confederation and operate by strategic consensus.

Article 3: Security Operations

1. The multinational force will engage in peacekeeping operations, border security, and monitoring to prevent hostilities and ensure the safety of both nations.
2. Rules of engagement for the force will be clearly defined and agreed upon by the governing council.

Article 4: Conflict Resolution and Mediation

1. The Confederation will provide mechanisms for conflict resolution between member states, including mediation and arbitration services.
2. A dedicated peace table will be formed within the Confederation to address and resolve emerging conflicts.

Article 5: Governance and Cooperation

1. While the primary focus is on security, the Confederation will also facilitate cooperation in areas like infrastructure development, environmental protection, and cultural exchange.
2. Joint committees may be established for specific cooperative projects or initiatives as needed.

Article 6: Establishment of a Special Tribunal of Last Resort

1. A Tribunal of Last Resort shall oversee legal disputes within the Confederation. The scope of this tribunal will be negotiated and agreed upon by all member states of the Confederation.

Article 7: Funding and Resources

1. The Confederation will be funded through contributions from member nations, as well as potential funding from international organizations.
2. A transparent financial system will be established to manage and allocate these funds.

Article 8: Communication and Transparency

1. The Confederation will maintain open and transparent communication channels both internally and with the public.
2. Regular reports on the Confederation's activities and progress will be made available to ensure accountability and public trust.

Article 9: Training and Capacity Building

1. The Confederation will implement comprehensive training programs for its personnel, focusing on areas such as peacekeeping operations, cultural sensitivity, and conflict resolution.
2. Joint training exercises with local authorities will be conducted to foster mutual understanding and effective collaboration.

Article 10: Duration, Review, and Renewal

1. The Confederation is established for an initial period of 30 years, starting from the date of ratification by both Israel, Palestine and the founding core states.
2. A comprehensive review of the Confederation's effectiveness will be conducted at the end of the 10th year, with a special review subcommittee appointed for this purpose.
3. Decisions on the renewal, amendment, or termination of the Confederation will be made based on the outcome of the 30-year review, with the option for renewal if agreed upon by both parties.
4. In case of non-renewal, a structured plan for the orderly withdrawal of the multinational force and transfer of responsibilities will be implemented.

Article 11: Amendments and Ratification

1. Amendments to these articles can be proposed by any member of the governing council and require a consensus or supermajority for adoption, depending on their nature and impact.
2. The Articles of Confederation will be ratified according to the legal procedures of both Israel and Palestine and will come into effect upon mutual ratification.

Article 12: Exit Strategy and Contingency Planning

1. An exit strategy will be developed for scenarios where the Confederation may need to be dissolved or its mission significantly altered.
2. Contingency plans will be in place to address potential emergency situations, including escalation of hostilities or humanitarian crises.

Article 13: Special Provisions for the West Bank and Gaza

1. Security and Stability:
   - The Confederation will prioritize the establishment and maintenance of security and stability in both the West Bank and Gaza, with the Gaza Strip designated as Corpus Separatum under the collective Confederation's sovereignty.
   - Specific security protocols will be developed in consultation with Confederation members to address the unique security challenges in these regions.
2. Economic Development and Humanitarian Aid:
   - The Confederation will facilitate and oversee economic development initiatives in the West Bank and Gaza to improve living conditions and economic opportunities.
   - Special attention will be given to humanitarian aid in Gaza, particularly for rebuilding infrastructure, healthcare, and education.
3. Border Management:
   - The Confederation will assist in managing and monitoring border crossings to ensure the safe and regulated movement of people and goods, while respecting security concerns.
4. Cultural and Social Cohesion:
   - Efforts will be made to promote cultural and social cohesion within these regions, including initiatives to bridge divides between different communities.
5. Environmental and Resource Management:
   - The Confederation will support projects aimed at environmental sustainability and efficient resource management, particularly water resources and agricultural development.
6. Local Governance and Civil Society Engagement:
   - The Confederation will work with local governance structures in both regions to ensure that their needs and concerns are adequately represented and addressed.
   - Civil society organizations in the West Bank and Gaza will be encouraged and supported to participate in peacebuilding and community development activities.
7. Education and Employment Initiatives:
   - Special programs will be launched focusing on education and employment, especially targeting youth in the West Bank and Gaza, to foster a sense of hope and opportunity.
8. Monitoring and Reporting:
   - Regular monitoring and reporting mechanisms will be established to assess the situation in the West Bank and Gaza, ensuring timely responses to any emerging challenges.

Article 14: Commitment to a Final Status Agreement for the Palestinian State

1. Objective:

- The Confederation reaffirms the commitment to achieving a final status agreement that recognizes the establishment of a sovereign Palestinian state, living in peace alongside Israel.

2. Negotiation Framework:

- The Confederation will facilitate and support negotiations between Israel and Palestine aimed at reaching a final status agreement. This will include identifying and addressing key issues such as borders, security arrangements, the status of Jerusalem, refugees, and settlements.

3. International Involvement:

- The Confederation will seek the involvement and support of the international community, including the United Nations, to assist in the negotiation process and to ensure that the final status agreement aligns with international law and resolutions.

4. Timelines and Milestones:

- The Confederation will work with both parties to establish realistic timelines and milestones for the negotiation process, ensuring steady progress towards the final agreement.

5. Transparency and Inclusivity:

- All negotiations will be conducted with a high degree of transparency and inclusivity, ensuring that a wide range of voices and perspectives from both Israeli and Palestinian societies are heard and considered.

6. Confidence-Building Measures:

- The Confederation will encourage and facilitate confidence-building measures between the two parties to foster trust and create a conducive environment for productive negotiations.

7. Public Engagement and Support:

- The Confederation will engage in public diplomacy efforts to build support for the final status agreement within both Israeli and Palestinian societies, highlighting the benefits of peace and the importance of a two-state solution.

8. Monitoring and Evaluation:

- Regular monitoring and evaluation mechanisms will be established to assess the progress of negotiations and to make adjustments as necessary to ensure the successful conclusion of a final status agreement.

Article 15: Promotion of Judeo-Islamic Tradition through Religious Consultation and New Practices

1. Consultation with Religious Authorities:

- The Confederation will facilitate regular consultations with leading Jewish and Islamic religious authorities to explore avenues for mutual understanding and respect based on shared traditions and values.
- These consultations will aim to identify common ground and shared historical narratives that can foster a sense of kinship between the two faiths.

2. Development of New Religious Practices:

- Based on the outcomes of these consultations, the Confederation will support the development of new religious practices or the revitalization of historical ones that embody the principles of the Judeo-Islamic tradition.
- Special emphasis will be placed on practices that can be incorporated into communal and individual religious life, promoting peace and coexistence.

3. Educational Programs:
   - The Confederation will collaborate with religious and educational institutions to introduce programs that educate about the shared heritage and values of Judaism and Islam.
   - These educational initiatives will target all age groups, with a focus on young people, to build a foundation of mutual respect from an early age.
4. Cultural and Interfaith Events:
   - The Confederation will sponsor cultural events, workshops, and interfaith dialogues that highlight the Judeo-Islamic tradition.
   - These events will serve as platforms for deeper engagement and understanding between Jewish and Muslim communities.
5. Public Awareness Campaigns:
   - Launch public awareness campaigns to promote the values and teachings of the Judeo-Islamic tradition, emphasizing themes of peace, tolerance, and coexistence.
6. Support for Religious Leaders:
   - Provide support and resources to religious leaders who are actively working to bridge communities and promote the Judeo-Islamic tradition.
7. Monitoring and Evaluation:
   - Regularly assess the impact of these initiatives on community relations and adjust strategies as needed to enhance their effectiveness.

Article 16: Promotion of the Abrahamic Tradition

1. Interfaith Harmony: The Confederation commits to fostering an environment of interfaith harmony and mutual respect among the Abrahamic traditions, recognizing their shared values and historical connections.
2. Educational Initiatives: Implement educational programs and curricula that highlight the commonalities and respect the differences between the Abrahamic traditions, aiming to reduce misconceptions and promote understanding.
3. Cultural and Religious Dialogue: Encourage and support dialogue among religious leaders, scholars, and community members from the Jewish, Christian, and Islamic communities to discuss shared concerns and promote peace.
4. Interfaith Celebrations and Observances: Promote and support the observance of interfaith celebrations and events that recognize the significance of each tradition and foster a sense of community and mutual appreciation.
5. Preservation of Religious Heritage: Work towards the preservation and appreciation of religious sites, artifacts, and traditions that are significant to the Abrahamic traditions, ensuring access and respect for all.

6. Collaborative Community Service Projects: Encourage and facilitate collaborative service projects that bring together individuals from the Jewish, Christian, and Islamic communities to work on common goals and serve the wider community.

7. Promotion of Peaceful Coexistence: Advocate for and implement policies that promote peaceful coexistence and mutual respect among followers of the Abrahamic traditions within the Confederation.

Article 17: Involuntary Displacement Provision under War Duress

1. Recognition of the Circumstances of Displacement:

- The Confederation recognizes that the current displacement of individuals and communities is a direct consequence of the ongoing conflict and war conditions. This recognition underscores the extraordinary nature of the decision to leave one's home, emphasizing that such decisions are made under extreme duress and uncertainty.

2. Prioritization of Safety and Human Rights:

- In implementing displacement policies, the foremost priority shall be the safety and well-being of affected individuals. All actions will strictly adhere to international humanitarian and human rights laws, ensuring that the dignity and rights of displaced persons are respected and protected under these trying circumstances.

3. Involuntary Decision-Making in Context:

- It is acknowledged that decisions made by individuals to leave the Gaza Strip are fundamentally involuntary and represent a form of Forced Population Transfer.

4. Support and Assistance for the Displaced:

- Comprehensive support will be provided to individuals who decide to leave, including but not limited to financial assistance, legal aid, psychological support, and assistance with relocation logistics. This support aims to mitigate the hardships faced during displacement and facilitate a dignified transition to new circumstances.

5. Protection of Property and Legal Rights:

- The legal and property rights of displaced individuals will be rigorously protected. Measures will be implemented to allow them to manage their property from afar, including options to sell, lease, or retain ownership as appropriate.

6. Monitoring and Transparency:

- An independent monitoring body will oversee the displacement process to ensure its transparency and fairness. This body will also be responsible for safeguarding the rights of displaced individuals, addressing grievances, and ensuring adherence to international standards.

7. Provision for Resettlement and International Cooperation:

- The Confederation will collaborate with international partners to secure humane and viable resettlement options. This includes potential relocation to other countries,

where necessary, with an emphasis on ensuring the social, cultural, and economic integration of displaced individuals.

8. Defined Timeframe and Review:

- The involuntary displacement policy will have a clearly defined timeframe, with regular reviews to assess its impact and effectiveness. These reviews will consider the evolving situation, the well-being of displaced persons, and compliance with legal and ethical standards.

9. Reintegration and Support for Return:

- For individuals who choose to return once conditions stabilize, the Confederation will facilitate and support their reintegration. This includes logistical support for return, rebuilding efforts, and programs designed to foster communal harmony and reconciliation.

10. Emphasis on Restoration and Future Stability:

- While recognizing the necessity of displacement under war duress, the Confederation is committed to the future restoration of communities and the establishment of conditions conducive to long-term stability. Efforts will be made to address the root causes of displacement and to create an environment where displaced persons can voluntarily and safely return to their homes, should they choose to do so.

Article 18: Acknowledgment of the Injustices of the 1947 UN Partition Plan

1. Recognition of Historical Context:

- The Confederation acknowledges the historical context surrounding the 1947 UN Partition Plan for Palestine, recognizing that while it was imperative to settle the highly persecuted Jewish Diaspora, fundamental injustices exist towards the Palestinian people, which have contributed to ongoing conflicts and disputes.

2. Inadequacies of the Plan:

- Identifies that the 1947 Partition Plan could never address the complexities of demographic distributions, cultural and religious connections to the land, and naturally ensured the conflicts that would arise from its implementation.

3. Impact on Subsequent Developments:

- Notes that the imposition of the plan have had lasting impacts, contributing to the displacement of populations, the emergence of conflict, and the enduring struggle for peace in the region.

4. Learning from the Past:

- Emphasizes the importance of learning from the shortcomings of the 1947 Partition Plan in any current and future efforts to resolve the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, ensuring that all parties' concerns are more comprehensively and empathetically validated.

5. Commitment to a Just and Sustainable Solution:
   - Reaffirms the Confederation's commitment to seeking a just and sustainable solution to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict that acknowledges past errors and is based on mutual respect, recognition, and the rights of all people in the region.

Article 19: Establishment of the Confederation Waqf

Section 1: Purpose and Scope

1.1 The Canaan Confederation Waqf (hereafter referred to as "the Waqf") shall be established as a perpetual endowment to support the religious, educational, cultural, and humanitarian activities within the Canaan Confederation.

1.2 The Waqf shall serve as a vehicle for fostering interfaith harmony, preserving heritage sites, and promoting sustainable development in accordance with the principles of the Confederation.

Section 2: Governance

2.1 The Waqf shall be governed by a Board of Trustees composed of representatives from the core member states of the Confederation.

2.2 The Board of Trustees shall include scholars, religious leaders, and experts in various fields to ensure diverse and comprehensive oversight.

2.3 The Board shall be responsible for the management, investment, and distribution of the Waqf's resources, ensuring they are used in alignment with its founding principles.

Section 3: Funding and Contributions

3.1 Initial funding for the Waqf shall come from member state contributions, international donations, and grants from global organizations committed to peace and development.

3.2 The Waqf shall be open to voluntary contributions from individuals, corporations, and other entities supportive of its mission.

Section 4: Activities and Projects

4.1 The Waqf shall fund projects that promote rebuilding the Gaza Strip, interfaith dialogue, cultural preservation, educational initiatives, and humanitarian aid within the Confederation's jurisdiction.

4.2 Specific projects may include the restoration of religious and cultural sites, scholarships for students, community development programs, and emergency relief efforts.

Section 5: Transparency and Accountability

5.1 The Waqf shall operate with full transparency, providing regular reports on its activities, finances, and impact to the Confederation Council and the public.

5.2 Independent audits shall be conducted annually to ensure accountability and proper use of funds.

Section 6: Legal Status

6.1 The Waqf shall be recognized as a legal entity within the Confederation, with the ability to enter into contracts, hold assets, and undertake legal actions as necessary to fulfill its mission.

Section 7: Amendments

7.1 Amendments to this Article may be proposed by the Board of Trustees and must be approved by a two-thirds majority of the Confederation Council.

Article 20: Land Lease Scheme as a Bridging Mechanism for Land Disputes

1. **Purpose and Objectives:**
    - The Land Lease Scheme aims to provide a temporary and pragmatic solution to the underlying land disputes between the Israeli and Palestinian Nations of the land of Canaan, enhancing security, combating extremism, and establishing defensible borders while longer-term agreements are negotiated.
2. **Framework and Negotiation:**
    - The governing nations of the Confederation will negotiate the terms and conditions of the land lease scheme.
    - The negotiations will focus on identifying specific areas suitable for leasing, the duration of leases, compensation mechanisms, and usage rights.
    - Both parties will agree on transparent and equitable criteria for selecting and managing leased lands based on the 1947 UN Partition Plan allocations.
3. **Historical Allocations:**
    - According to the 1947 UN Partition Plan, approximately 56% of the land was allocated to the Jewish state (Israel) and about 42% to the Arab state (Palestine).
    - The land lease scheme will take these historical allocations into account, rounding the land distribution to approximately 55%-45%, ensuring that leasing agreements approximately reflect the original intent of the partition.
4. **Implementation and Management:**
    - A joint Land Lease Management Authority (LLMA) will be established to oversee the implementation and management of the land lease scheme.

- The LLMA will be composed of equal representation from Israeli and Palestinian authorities, along with neutral international experts to ensure impartiality.
- The LLMA will be responsible for administering leases, resolving disputes, and ensuring compliance with the agreed terms.

5. **Security and Defensible Borders:**
   - Leases will be strategically designed to enhance the security of both Israel and Palestine, creating defensible borders that reduce the risk of conflict.
   - The LLMA will work closely with security experts to ensure that leased lands contribute to the overall stability and safety of the region.
   - Security arrangements will include monitoring and patrols to prevent unauthorized activities and ensure compliance with lease terms.
6. **Lease Terms and Conditions:**
   - Leases will be granted for a fixed term, with provisions for renewal based on mutual agreement and evaluation of the lease's impact.
   - The terms will include specific guidelines on the permissible use of leased lands, ensuring that activities align with the agreed purposes such as residential development, agriculture, or commercial enterprises.
   - Compensation for leased lands will be fair and transparent, with payment structures benefiting the rightful landowners and supporting community development.
7. **Economic and Social Benefits:**
   - The land lease scheme aims to stimulate economic growth by providing opportunities for investment, development, and job creation in leased areas.
   - Revenue generated from leases will be invested in local infrastructure, education, and social services, benefiting communities on both sides.
   - The scheme will promote cross-border cooperation and interaction, fostering better relations and understanding between Israelis and Palestinians.
8. **Legal and Regulatory Framework:**
   - The LLMA will develop a comprehensive legal and regulatory framework to govern the land lease scheme, ensuring compliance with both Israeli and Palestinian laws, as well as international standards.
   - The framework will include dispute resolution mechanisms to address conflicts arising from lease agreements and land use.
9. **Monitoring and Evaluation:**
   - The effectiveness of the land lease scheme will be regularly monitored and evaluated by the LLMA, with periodic reports submitted to the governing council and made available to the public.
   - Independent reviews will be conducted to assess the scheme's impact on resolving land disputes, economic development, and social cohesion.
10. **Public Participation and Transparency:**
    - The LLMA will ensure transparency in its operations, providing regular updates and maintaining open communication channels with the public.

- Public consultations will be held to gather input from affected communities, ensuring that their concerns and suggestions are considered in the decision-making process.

11. **Commitment to Long-Term Resolution:**
    - The land lease scheme is intended as a bridging mechanism while permanent solutions to land disputes are pursued.
    - Both parties reaffirm their commitment to continue negotiations for a comprehensive and lasting resolution to the land disputes, using the lessons learned and foundations built through the land lease scheme.

Article 21: U.S.-Sponsored Canaan Confederation Peacekeeping Force

1. **Purpose and Objectives:**
    - The U.S.-Sponsored Canaan Confederation Peacekeeping Force (CCPF) is established to maintain peace and security within the Confederation, prevent hostilities, and support the enforcement of Confederation agreements.
2. **Composition and Structure:**
    - The CCPF will be composed of military and security personnel contributed by member states of the Confederation, initially formed by the Palestinian Authority and other participating nations, with significant support and oversight from the United States.
    - The force will be organized into units specializing in various aspects of peacekeeping, including monitoring, patrols, conflict resolution, and emergency response.
3. **Command and Control:**
    - The CCPF will operate under the command of a Joint Command Council (JCC), which includes representatives from Israel, Palestine, the United States, and other member states.
    - The United States will play a leading role in strategic planning, operational oversight, and coordination with local authorities and international bodies.
4. **Rules of Engagement:**
    - The rules of engagement for the CCPF will be clearly defined and approved by the governing council of the Confederation, in consultation with the United States.
    - These rules will prioritize the protection of civilians, respect for human rights, and the use of force only when necessary to maintain peace and security.
5. **Operational Mandate:**
    - The CCPF will conduct peacekeeping operations, including border security, monitoring ceasefires, and preventing unauthorized activities.
    - The force will also provide rapid response to emerging threats, support humanitarian missions, and assist in the implementation of Confederation policies.
6. **Training and Capacity Building:**

- Comprehensive training programs will be established for CCPF personnel, focusing on peacekeeping operations, conflict resolution, cultural sensitivity, and human rights, with significant involvement from U.S. military and security experts.
- Joint training exercises with local security forces, U.S. forces, and international peacekeeping bodies will be conducted to ensure interoperability and effectiveness.

7. **Funding and Resources:**
   - The CCPF will be primarily funded through contributions from the United States, supplemented by member states and international organizations committed to supporting peace and security in the region.
   - Adequate resources will be allocated to ensure the force's operational readiness, including equipment, logistics, and support services, with substantial U.S. assistance.
8. **Legal and Regulatory Framework:**
   - The CCPF will operate within a legal framework established by the governing council, ensuring compliance with international law, human rights standards, and the legal frameworks of Israel and Palestine, with oversight from U.S. legal experts.
   - Mechanisms for accountability and oversight will be in place to address misconduct and ensure adherence to the rules of engagement.
9. **Monitoring and Reporting:**
   - Regular monitoring and reporting mechanisms will be established to assess the performance and impact of the CCPF.
   - Reports on CCPF activities, challenges, and successes will be submitted to the governing council and the United States, and made available to the public to ensure transparency and accountability.
10. **Community Engagement:**
    - The CCPF will engage with local communities to build trust, gather intelligence, and address concerns related to security and peacekeeping operations.
    - Community outreach programs will be developed to foster cooperation and support for CCPF initiatives.
11. **Commitment to Peace and Stability:**
    - The CCPF is committed to upholding the principles of the Confederation, promoting peace, stability, and cooperation among member states.
    - The force, with strong U.S. support, will work diligently to create a secure environment conducive to dialogue, development, and long-term peace in the region.

Article 22: Constitution of Haram al-Sharif/Temple Mount

1. **Purpose and Objectives:**
   - This article establishes the framework for developing a constitution for the Al-Aqsa Mosque and Temple Mount, inspired by the principles of the Constitution of Medina.

    - The primary goal is to formalize the Judeo-Islamic tradition and enshrine the current status quo, while striving towards the eventual realization of Isaiah 56:7, which envisions the complex as a house of prayer for all nations.
2. **Historical and Religious Significance:**
    - Recognizing the profound historical and religious significance of Al-Aqsa Mosque and Temple Mount to both Muslims and Jews, this constitution aims to promote mutual respect and coexistence.
    - The Constitution of Medina serves as a historical precedent for creating a framework that respects the rights and practices of diverse religious communities.
3. **Development Process:**
    - A Constitutional Committee will be established, composed of representatives from Islamic and Jewish religious authorities, local and international legal experts, community leaders, and the Jordanian Waqf.
    - The committee will draft the constitution through a collaborative and inclusive process, ensuring input from all stakeholders.
4. **Guiding Principles:**
    - The constitution will be guided by the principles of the Constitution of Medina, emphasizing coexistence, mutual respect, and the protection of religious practices.
    - It will also incorporate the vision of Isaiah 56:7, which aspires to make the complex a shared space for worship for all people.
5. **Current Status Quo:**
    - The constitution will enshrine the current status quo regarding religious practices and access to Al-Aqsa Mosque and Temple Mount.
    - It will ensure that the rights and responsibilities of both Islamic and Jewish communities are clearly defined and respected.
6. **Governance and Administration:**
    - A Joint Religious Council (JRC) will be established to oversee the administration of Al-Aqsa Mosque and Temple Mount, led by the Jordanian Waqf.
    - The JRC will include representatives from the Jordanian Waqf, Islamic and Jewish religious authorities, and neutral international observers.
    - The council will be responsible for managing daily operations, resolving disputes, and ensuring compliance with the constitution.
7. **Security and Preservation:**
    - The constitution will include provisions for the security and preservation of the complex, ensuring that it remains a safe and accessible place for worship for all.
    - Security measures will be implemented in coordination with local and international authorities to protect the site and its visitors.
8. **Promotion of Judeo-Islamic Tradition:**
    - The constitution will mark the formal establishment of the Judeo-Islamic tradition, promoting shared values and mutual understanding between the two faiths.
    - Educational and cultural programs will be developed to highlight the shared heritage and foster respect and cooperation.

9. **Implementation of Isaiah 56:7:**
    - While enshrining the current status quo, the constitution will outline a gradual and respectful approach towards the eventual implementation of Isaiah 56:7.
    - Steps will be taken to create an inclusive environment where people of all faiths can pray and worship, in line with the vision of a house of prayer for all nations.
10. **Legal and Regulatory Framework:**
    - The constitution will be developed within the legal frameworks of Israel and Palestine, ensuring compliance with international law and human rights standards.
    - Mechanisms for accountability and oversight will be established to address any violations and ensure the integrity of the constitution.
11. **Monitoring and Evaluation:**
    - The implementation and impact of the constitution will be regularly monitored and evaluated by the Joint Religious Council.
    - Reports on the progress and challenges will be submitted to the governing bodies of the Confederation and made available to the public.
12. **Commitment to Peace and Coexistence:**
    - The constitution will reaffirm the commitment of all parties to peace, coexistence, and mutual respect.
    - It will serve as a symbol of hope and a practical step towards resolving one of the most sensitive aspects of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict.

Article 23: Commitment to the Reconstruction of Gaza as the Virtuous City

1. **Islamic Golden Age Inspiration**: The Canaan Confederation envisions the Virtuous City of Gaza as a beacon of hope, renewal, and intellectual flourishing. Inspired by the principles of Al-Farabi and the illustrious history of cities like Al-Andalus and Baghdad during the Islamic Golden Age, Gaza will be transformed into a global city where leaders and scholars from around the world come to learn, collaborate, and innovate.
2. **Purpose**: The Virtuous City of Gaza aims to foster virtue among its residents and visitors, promoting values of peace, knowledge, and cooperation. It will serve as the crown jewel of the Middle East, representing the broader Arab and Islamic world, and the entire Global South.
3. **Global Significance:** This city will be a symbol of the continuation of the Islamic revival, bridging the gap between the Western and Islamic worlds. It will host international leaders, scholars, and innovators, becoming a hub for dialogue, learning, and cultural exchange.
4. **Al-Farabi's Principles**:
    - **Rational Governance**: Establish governance led by individuals who embody reason and wisdom, ensuring that policies are rational and just.
    - **Moral and Ethical Development**: Encourage citizens to cultivate moral and ethical virtues, contributing to the overall harmony and well-being of society.
    - **Education and Knowledge**: Prioritize education and intellectual development, ensuring a well-educated populace that can sustain a virtuous society.

    - **Social Harmony and Cooperation**: Promote social cohesion and cooperation, where individuals support each other in their pursuit of virtue.
    - **Justice and Equity**: Ensure that laws are fair and just, providing equal opportunities for all citizens to thrive.
    - **Spiritual and Material Well-being**: Address both the spiritual and material needs of citizens, ensuring a balanced approach to well-being.
5. **Commitment to Virtue**: The Virtuous City of Gaza will promote the principles of virtue as articulated by Al-Farabi, focusing on ethical governance, the pursuit of knowledge, and the well-being of its inhabitants. Residents and visitors will be encouraged to engage in activities that foster moral and intellectual growth, contributing to the city's reputation as a center of excellence.
6. **Quality Infrastructure and Services**: Develop safe, affordable housing, modern urban infrastructure, healthcare facilities, educational institutions, and cultural centers to foster a vibrant, harmonious, and prosperous community.
7. **Legacy and Impact**: The Virtuous City of Gaza will stand as a symbol of hope and renewal, proving that from the greatest trials can come the most profound transformations. It will not only be a place of refuge and learning but also a testament to the human spirit's resilience. By drawing on the rich history of the Islamic Golden Age and the vision of philosophers like Al-Farabi, the Virtuous City of Gaza will stand as a testament to the enduring values of the Islamic civilization.

Article 24: Establishment of the New House of Wisdom

1. **Purpose**: The Canaan Confederation hereby establishes the New House of Wisdom, signifying a beacon of learning, dialogue, and innovation. This institution will be dedicated to fostering academic and cultural exchanges among the diverse populations of the Confederation, enhancing mutual understanding, and contributing to the global body of knowledge.
2. **Location**: The New House of Wisdom will be located in the Virtuous City of Gaza, symbolizing the renewal and hope of the region. It will serve as a central hub for scholars, researchers, and thought leaders from around the world.
3. **Objectives**:
    - **Cultural Integration**: To promote the integration of diverse cultural heritages, emphasizing the shared histories and values within the Canaan Confederation.
    - **Educational Excellence**: To provide world-class facilities and resources for research in the sciences, humanities, and arts, inviting collaboration across geopolitical and disciplinary boundaries.
    - **Peacebuilding**: To contribute to the peace process through education and the free exchange of ideas, fostering a culture of peace and understanding among the future leaders of the region.
4. **Governance**:
    - The House of Wisdom will be governed by a council composed of representatives from each member state of the Canaan Confederation, ensuring equitable management and operation.

    - The council will oversee the creation of curricula, the invitation of scholars, and the establishment of research priorities in alignment with the goals of the Confederation.
5. **Funding**:
    - The construction and operational costs of the House of Wisdom will be funded by contributions from member states of the Canaan Confederation and supported by international donors who wish to invest in the future stability and prosperity of the region.
    - A special fund will be established to support scholarships and research grants for students and scholars from economically disadvantaged backgrounds within the Confederation.
6. **Programs and Activities**:
    - The House of Wisdom will host annual conferences, workshops, and symposiums that address key issues facing the region and the world, including peace and security, sustainable development, and intercultural dialogue.
    - It will also house permanent and temporary exhibitions that showcase the cultural and scientific contributions of the Middle East and broader Islamic and Jewish civilizations, drawing parallels and building on historical successes such as those seen in Al-Andalus and other centers of learning during the Islamic Golden Age.
7. **Commitment to Accessibility**:
    - The New House of Wisdom will be accessible to all individuals, regardless of nationality or religion, and will strive to remove barriers to entry for all participants, ensuring it acts as a true house of knowledge and bridge-building.
8. **Legacy and Vision**:
    - By reviving the spirit of the original House of Wisdom in Baghdad, this new institution will stand as a testament to the region's commitment to scholarship and peace. It will aim to replicate and surpass the achievements of historical intellectual centers, propelling the region into a new era of enlightenmen

Article 25: The Role of the Benevolent Advisor for Life (BAL)[7]

- **Purpose**: The BAL is established to provide visionary guidance and strategic advice to the Canaan Confederation, ensuring the long-term alignment of its policies with the founding principles of the peace plan. This role prioritizes autonomy and minimal oversight to foster innovative and independent decision-making.
- **Appointment**: The author of the peace plan will assume the role of BAL, acknowledging their unique understanding and commitment to the Confederation's success. This direct appointment highlights the *burden* of consistent and visionary leadership.
- **Responsibilities and Scope**: The BAL will advise on key strategic decisions, including policy directions, conflict resolution, and long-term planning. The advice will be based on a deep understanding of the region's complexities and strategic needs of the Confederation.

[7] This author's burden/compenstation for attempting to clean up this bullshit.

- **Immunity from Prosecution**: The BAL is granted complete immunity from prosecution by nations of the Confederation for any actions performed in good faith while executing duties within the scope of their official role as advisor. This comprehensive immunity is designed to protect the BAL from any legal liability arising from their efforts to guide and influence the Confederation's strategies and policies.
- **Scope of Immunity**: This immunity extends to all decisions and actions undertaken in good faith that relate to the BAL's official functions. Additionally, it includes actions taken to maintain the BAL's physical, mental, and emotional wellbeing, acknowledging that the BAL's ability to perform their role effectively is directly tied to their overall health.
- **Exclusions**: While the BAL enjoys broad immunity, it does not extend to actions that constitute gross criminal misconduct, or are undertaken in bad faith.
- **Privacy and Autonomy**: The BAL retains the right to privacy and substantial autonomy in their advisory role. Regular, detailed reporting is not required; instead, the BAL will provide high-level summaries at their discretion, focusing on major strategic advice and decisions.
- **Review and Oversight**: Oversight will be minimal, with an independent review scheduled every five years. This review will be non-intrusive and limited to ensuring that the BAL's guidance aligns with the Confederation's overarching goals and principles. The review process will respect the autonomy and unique position of the BAL.
- **Term and Succession**: The role of the BAL is held for life, emphasizing the enduring commitment required. Succession to the role will be based on merit and demonstrated commitment to the Confederation's principles, particularly a lifelong dedication to peace and stability.
- **Part-Time Compensation**: In recognition of the part-time engagement of the BAL, a modest salary will be provided. This salary is to be funded through contributions from the member nations of the Canaan Confederation, reflecting their collective investment in the stability and success of the peace initiatives.
- **Adjustment and Review**: The specifics regarding the amount and distribution of the salary will remain flexible and subject to periodic review based on the evolving needs of the Confederation and the duties expected from the BAL. The salary shall be pegged to the inflation of the U.S. dollar to ensure that the compensation retains its value over time and remains fair and adequate in relation to global economic conditions.

Appendix B: Draft Proposal for the Vision of the Virtuous City of Gaza

Preamble

Inspired by the illustrious heritage of the Islamic Golden Age, where the Judeo-Islamic tradition reached its zenith, we envision the Virtuous City of Gaza as a global center of learning and cultural exchange. This city, rising from the rubble of the Israel-Hamas War, aims to be a new crown jewel of the Middle East, symbolizing the unity and intellectual resurgence of the broader Arab and Islamic world, as well as the entire Global South. The Virtuous City of Gaza will serve as a continuation of the Islamic revival, bridging the Western and Islamic worlds and embodying the principles of virtue and enlightenment envisioned by the great philosopher Al-Farabi.

Article 1: Vision and Mission

In Al-Farabi's conception of the virtuous city, key values such as Hikmah (wisdom), ‘Adl (justice), and Fadila (virtue) are foundational. The city is governed by a leader who embodies philosophical depth and practical wisdom, steering the community toward a harmonious existence where each individual’s well-being is intricately linked to the collective good. Justice ensures equity and order, while the cultivation of moral and intellectual virtues allows individuals to attain true Sa‘adah (happiness). Education is crucial, nurturing Ilm (knowledge) and moral integrity among the citizens. Unity and harmony are central, ensuring that the community's interests supersede personal desires. These core values, supported by religious and ethical teachings, foster a society aimed at the perfection of the soul and communal prosperity.

The Virtuous City of Gaza will be established as a global city dedicated to fostering virtue, knowledge, and cultural exchange. It will be a place where world leaders, scholars, and citizens from diverse backgrounds come together to learn, collaborate, and innovate. Drawing inspiration from Al-Farabi’s vision of integration of Greco-Roman values, the city will prioritize the cultivation of virtuous citizens who contribute to the common good.

Article 2: Educational and Cultural Hub

1. **House of Wisdom**: A modern House of Wisdom will be constructed, mirroring the spirit of Baghdad’s renowned Bayt al-Hikma. This institution will be a center for advanced learning and research, attracting scholars from around the world to study and teach various disciplines, including science, technology, humanities, and the arts.
2. **Global Leadership Institute**: Establish an institute where future leaders from the Islamic world and beyond can receive training in governance, diplomacy, and ethics, ensuring that they are equipped to lead with wisdom and integrity.
3. **Cultural Heritage Center**: A center dedicated to celebrating and preserving the rich cultural heritage of the Islamic Golden Age, featuring exhibitions on Al-Andalus, the libraries of Timbuktu, the Timurid Renaissance, and more.

Article 3: Sustainable Development and Technological Innovation

1. **Tech and Innovation Park**: Develop a state-of-the-art technology park to attract global tech companies and startups, fostering innovation and economic growth. This park will promote collaboration between local and international enterprises, driving advancements in various fields.
2. **Sustainable Development Initiatives**: Implement sustainable urban development projects, including green energy solutions, eco-friendly infrastructure, and waste management systems, setting a benchmark for environmental stewardship.
3. **Infrastructure**: Rebuild Gaza with cutting-edge infrastructure that supports both local needs and global interactions, making it a hub for international conferences and collaborations.

Article 4: Interfaith and International Relations

1. **Interfaith Dialogue Center**: Establish a center for interfaith dialogue, promoting understanding and cooperation between different religious communities. This center will host regular conferences, workshops, and events aimed at fostering peace and mutual respect.
2. **International Collaborations**: Forge partnerships with leading universities, research institutions, and cultural organizations worldwide, creating a network of collaborators committed to the vision of the Virtuous City.

Article 5: Governance and Administration

1. **Inclusive Governance**: Ensure that the governance of the Virtuous City is inclusive, transparent, and participatory, involving representatives from various sectors of society, including academia, business, and civil society.
2. **Security and Stability**: Work closely with international peacekeeping forces and local security agencies to ensure the safety and stability of the city, creating an environment where residents and visitors can thrive.
3. **International Guarantees**: Secure international guarantees to protect the Virtuous City from future destruction, ensuring that it remains a safe haven for its residents and visitors.
4. **Peacekeepers**: Deploy peacekeeping forces from the Canaan Confederation to maintain security and stability, preventing conflicts and ensuring the city's development continues unimpeded.

Article 6: Funding and Resources

1. **International Fund for Gaza’s Reconstruction**: Leverage the Canaan Confederation Waqf to support the reconstruction and development of Gaza, encouraging contributions from governments, philanthropic organizations, and private sector entities.

2. **Resource Allocation**: Prioritize the allocation of resources towards education, healthcare, and infrastructure, ensuring that the needs of the population are met and the city can flourish.

Conclusion

The Virtuous City of Gaza represents a bold vision for the future, drawing on the rich heritage of Islamic civilization and aiming to create a beacon of hope and progress in the Middle East. It will stand as a testament to the enduring values of knowledge, virtue, and collaboration, inspiring generations to come. By building this city, we honor the past, address the present challenges, and pave the way for a brighter, more harmonious future.

Appendix C: Draft Proposal for the Modern House of Wisdom in The Virtuous City of Gaza

Introduction:

The Modern House of Wisdom, envisioned in the Virtuous City of Gaza, will be a beacon of **knowledge and innovation**, drawing from the rich history of the original House of Wisdom. This institution will be more than just an educational facility; it will become the **heart of intellectual collaboration**, fostering advancements in science, philosophy, and the arts. It is designed to transform Gaza into a global hub of **enlightenment**, rekindling the spirit of the Islamic Golden Age to drive regional stability and prosperity.

Historical Necessity: The original **House of Wisdom** stood as a testament to the **power of intellectual collaboration** during the Islamic Golden Age. It brought together scholars from across the known world—Greek, Persian, Indian, and Arab traditions—to create a flourishing hub of innovation that propelled the Islamic world to global leadership in **science, medicine, mathematics, and philosophy**. The **Modern House of Wisdom** in Gaza is envisioned as a revival of this tradition, providing a space where **global experts and local thinkers** can collaborate on breakthroughs that address the challenges of the 21st century, from **technological advancement** to **socioeconomic development**.

At its peak, the House of Wisdom symbolized the **integration of diverse cultures** under a shared pursuit of knowledge. The new House of Wisdom will recapture this spirit, positioning Gaza as the **intellectual crossroads of the region**, where the **Arab world can once again lead** in global scholarship and innovation. By drawing on this rich legacy, the Modern House of Wisdom aims to foster an environment where faith and reason coexist harmoniously, unlocking new opportunities for **scientific discovery**, **philosophical inquiry**, and **technological innovation** that can drive both local and global progress.

Mission Statement:

To establish a world-class center of learning that promotes critical thinking, creativity, pluralism, and freedom of thought and expression, serving as an essential pillar in the socio-economic regeneration of Gaza and beyond.

Objectives:

- **Educational Excellence:** Deliver a broad spectrum of cutting-edge academic programs focusing on sustainable development, peace studies, and technological innovation, tailored to empower the next generation of leaders.
- **Cultural Renaissance:** Act as a dynamic forum for cultural revival and intellectual exchange, preserving and promoting the rich heritage of the region while embracing global cultural diversity.

- **Strategic Rebuilding:** Position the institution as a central player in the broader strategy to reconstruct Gaza as the Virtuous City, symbolizing hope and renewal for the Palestinian people and the international community.

Architectural Vision:

Reflecting the fusion of historical inspiration and sustainable innovation, the architectural design of the Modern House of Wisdom will serve as a landmark in the Virtuous City of Gaza. The facility will be constructed using eco-friendly materials and technologies, exemplifying a commitment to environmental stewardship and resilience.

Impact:

- **Educational and Economic Catalyst:** Propel economic revitalization in Gaza through education-led development, job creation, and international investments.
- **Cultural and Social Harmony:** Foster social cohesion and intercultural understanding, positioning Gaza as a model of multicultural coexistence and peace.
- **Symbol of Resilience and Innovation:** Showcase Gaza's transformation from a conflict zone to a flourishing center of academic and cultural excellence.

Conclusion:

The Modern House of Wisdom is more than an educational institution; it is a vital foundation in the ambitious project to rebuild Gaza into the Virtuous City. This initiative invites global participation from governments, academia, and international donors to invest in a future marked by peace, prosperity, and intellectual achievement. By rekindling the spirit of the Islamic Golden Age, the Modern House of Wisdom will stand as a beacon of hope and a catalyst for a new era of enlightenment and stability in the Middle East.

Appendix D: The Narrowing of the Islamic Intellectual and Philosophical Traditions and the Loss of Palestine

⚠️⚠️Disclaimer⚠️⚠️

This discussion is based on interpretations of historical events and intellectual trends within the Islamic world and their potential impact on the geopolitical landscape, particularly concerning the loss of Palestine. It aims to provide insight into how shifts in intellectual focus and priorities may have influenced broader historical outcomes. However, readers should be aware that historical analysis often involves simplification of complex events and may incorporate perspectives that are subject to debate among scholars.

The views presented here do not claim to capture the entirety of the factors at play but seek to highlight certain intellectual and technological trends that may have contributed to geopolitical shifts. The interpretation follows one perspective within the broad spectrum of historical scholarship and is not intended to diminish the role of external forces such as colonialism, global politics, and other internal dynamics that significantly shaped the region's history.

This appendix is part of a larger peace plan that includes both historical analysis and forward-looking proposals aimed at fostering stability and intellectual revival in the region. The inclusion of this discussion is intended to enrich our understanding of the past as a means of informing future initiatives, not to provide a definitive explanation of historical events.

Intellectual Transitions and Their Geopolitical Impacts: A Historical Interpretation on the Loss of Palestine

This historical interpretation delves into the profound impact of intellectual shifts within the Islamic world, changes that this author believes contributed to the eventual loss of Palestine. During the Islamic Golden Age, spanning from the 8th to the 14th century, Muslim scholars made remarkable contributions to science, philosophy, medicine, and the arts. This era was characterized by a flourishing of knowledge, with figures like Avicenna, Al-Farabi, and Averroes (Ibn Rushd) building upon and expanding Greek philosophical traditions, positioning the Islamic world at the forefront of global intellectual leadership.

Over time, a significant shift in intellectual focus emerged. Prominent thinkers such as Al-Ghazali, with his influential work *The Incoherence of the Philosophers*, and later Ibn Taymiyyah, began to critique the integration of Greek philosophy into Islamic thought. Both were leaders in the arguement that certain philosophical ideas were incompatible with Islamic teachings, emphasizing the orthodox view of the supremacy of faith over reason. The popularity of this class of critique marked a turning point, leading to a gradual de-emphasis on the rational and empirical sciences that had thrived during the Golden Age. The focus shifted towards

theology and religious jurisprudence, which, while vital, contributed to a *narrowing* of intellectual and philosophical pursuits.

Al-Ghazali's metaphysical arguments, particularly his critique of causality and advocacy of occasionalism, posited that the apparent cause-and-effect relationships observed in nature are not the result of inherent natural laws but rather the direct will of God. By asserting that God is the only true cause and that natural events merely occur according to divine custom, Al-Ghazali undermined the notion of consistent, discoverable natural laws that form the foundation of scientific reasoning.

This theological determinism shifted the focus away from empirical investigation and the search for natural causes, leading to a more conservative approach to science in the Islamic world. The implications of this shift were significant: while it preserved a theological worldview, it also contributed to a relative decline in scientific innovation by diminishing the emphasis on rational inquiry and the exploration of the natural world as governed by consistent principles.

Ibn Taymiyyah's critique of Aristotelian philosophy had a complementary and dual impact on both theology and the sciences within the Islamic world. Theologically, his emphasis on the primacy of revelation over human reason reinforced a more conservative and scripturalist approach, which sought to purify Islamic thought from what he viewed as foreign philosophical influences. This shift helped solidify orthodox religious practices and doctrines, but it also contributed to a growing skepticism towards philosophical inquiry, including the natural sciences that were deeply intertwined with Aristotelian thought. As a result, while his critiques invigorated theological rigor and adherence to traditional Islamic teachings, they also played a role in reinforcing the climate that stiffened scientific innovation, as the intellectual focus increasingly prioritized religious scholarship over the rationalist and empirical methods that had previously driven scientific exploration in the Islamic world.

Islam originally had a strongly rationalist sect known as the Mu'tazilites, who emphasized the compatibility of faith with reason and critical thinking. The Mu'tazilites strongly favored the use of reason in theological matters, placing it at the forefront of their interpretation of Islamic doctrine.The Mu'tazilites controversially advocated that the Quran was created, rather than eternally existent, challenging orthodox views and precipitating the Mihna, or inquisition, which sought to enforce these doctrines. This period of enforcement led to significant backlash, contributing to the sect's decline and the concurrent rise of more traditional orthodox schools of thought, such as the Ash'arites and Maturidites.

Islamic theological thought can be understood as a spectrum, with the Mu'tazilites representing one extreme that strongly preferred reason, while the Ash'arites and Maturidites adopted a more orthodox view. Maturidism, in particular, retained a strong rationalist component, although it tempered this with a more traditional understanding of scripture. While Ash'arism eventually became the dominant tradition in Sunni Islam, both it and Maturidism represent more balanced approaches, blending reason and revelation. However, neither school champions the same primacy of rationalism as the Mu'tazilites once did.

While this historical interpretation highlights the works and influence of key figures such as Al-Ghazali and Ibn Taymiyyah, it is important to clarify that these individuals alone cannot be held solely responsible for the broad geopolitical outcomes, such as the loss of Palestine, and must be contextualized within the broader struggle of the Islamic world.

One notable example are the Mongol invasions of the 13th century, which inflicted unparalleled devastation on the Islamic world, leaving a lasting impact that reshaped its history. The sack of Baghdad in 1258 marked the end of the Abbasid Caliphate and the destruction of one of the most significant centers of learning and culture in the medieval world.

One of the most profound cultural losses during the Mongol sack of Baghdad in 1258 was the destruction of the House of Wisdom, a beacon of knowledge and intellectual flourishing. Founded by the Abbasid caliphs, it became a renowned center where scholars from diverse cultures, including Greek, Persian, and Indian, gathered to translate and innovate in the fields of science, mathematics, astronomy, medicine, and philosophy. While its exact role in original research is debated, the House of Wisdom symbolized the peak of Baghdad's intellectual and cultural vibrancy.

The obliteration of this library, along with its countless manuscripts, represented a significant loss to the Islamic World's knowledge and culture. The political fragmentation that followed the invasions disrupted trade routes, weakened regional powers, and led to the decline of intellectual and artistic pursuits across the Islamic world. The psychological and spiritual blow of the Mongol conquest reverberated for generations, contributing to a period of stagnation and decline from which the Islamic world struggled to recover. The invasions fundamentally altered the socio-political landscape, making it more difficult for the region to unify and rebuild, thereby compounding the challenges of adapting to subsequent external pressures and internal conflicts.

Nevertheless, we must understand the internal decline of the Islamic world as this underscores the critical role of intellectual openness in a civilization's survival and progress. This turn towards orthodoxy highlights how decisions about education, knowledge, and cultural exchange can deeply influence long-term success and resilience. These changes are part of broader, complex shifts in theological, philosophical, and scientific thought within the Islamic scholarly tradition, shaping its response to global challenges. Acknowledging these internal dynamics is key to identifying renewal pathways and underscores the need for intellectual freedom and embracing diverse ideas. This nuanced perspective helps us grasp how internal intellectual developments have steered the social and political courses of the Islamic world.

In the Islamic world, there is a notable trend of prioritizing cohesiveness of thought over individual intellectual freedom. This preference stems from a deeply ingrained value system that emphasizes communal harmony and unity, often rooted in religious and cultural traditions. This tendency can be seen in various spheres, including education, religious practices, and governance, where a unified approach to Islamic teachings and societal norms is heavily promoted. While this has historically helped in maintaining social order and a strong collective identity, it has also posed challenges to intellectual diversity and critical discourse. The consequences of such an approach are multifaceted, influencing the Islamic intellectual tradition and contributing to significant historical events, such as the loss of Palestine. This prioritization of consensus over critique may limit the community's capacity to adapt and respond to complex geopolitical challenges, potentially hindering progress and reform within the Ummah.

In contrast, during the same period in the Western world, thinkers like Thomas Aquinas successfully reconciled Aristotle's philosophy within the Christian tradition, helping to shape medieval European thought. Aquinas utilized Aristotle's empirical approaches to reinforce Christian doctrines, demonstrating that reason and faith could coexist harmoniously. This

integration was facilitated through systematic scholarly work and was institutionalized within European universities, ensuring a sustained influence of rational philosophical inquiry alongside theological studies.

Aquinas' work was significantly influenced by the very Islamic philosophers who had kept Aristotelian thought alive and thriving. Figures like Averroes (Ibn Rushd), Avicenna (Ibn Sina), and Al-Farabi played a crucial role in interpreting and expanding upon Aristotle's ideas, which were then transmitted to the West through translations. Aquinas engaged deeply with their works, often building upon their ideas or critiquing them, thus creating a direct intellectual lineage from the Islamic Golden Age to the foundations of Western philosophy and theology.

The profound impact of rationalism in shaping the Western world's trajectory is evident through its instrumental role in catalyzing the Enlightenment—a profound intellectual movement that began in the late 17th century. This period marked a seismic shift in thinking, where emphasis on reason, critical inquiry, and empirical evidence challenged the traditional authority of religion and monarchy. Enlightenment thinkers like John Locke, Voltaire, and Immanuel Kant championed ideals of liberty, progress, and tolerance, laying the intellectual groundwork for both the American and French Revolutions. These revolutions not only reshaped political landscapes but also affirmed the value of individual rights and rational governance, principles that are foundational to modern democracies.

The ripple effects of rationalist thought continued through the Scientific Revolution, which transformed views on science by prioritizing observation and systematic experimentation. Figures such as Isaac Newton and Galileo Galilei dismantled old dogmas, introducing concepts that became cornerstones of physics and astronomy. This methodological shift not only advanced scientific knowledge but also fostered technological innovations that would fuel the Industrial Revolution. The transition to industrial economies, characterized by mechanization and mass production, originated in Western countries and significantly enhanced their productivity and economic power.

This surge in technological and economic capability underpinned the dominance of the Western world in global affairs. The advantages gained from industrialization allowed Western nations to exert substantial influence and control over trade routes, colonies, and international politics during the 19th and 20th centuries. Ultimately, the adoption of rationalist principles and the consequent intellectual and industrial developments were pivotal in establishing the Western world's prominence on the global stage, demonstrating the transformative power of embracing reason and empirical research in shaping societal progress and geopolitical dynamics.

Compounding the intellectual retreat in the Islamic world was the crucial decision that had long-lasting repercussions: the banning of the printing press in much of the Islamic world. While Europe embraced the printing press in the 15th century, revolutionizing the dissemination of knowledge and accelerating the spread of ideas, many Muslim rulers saw it as a threat to religious and social order. The Ottoman Empire, in particular, restricted Muslim use of the printing press for 270 years, fearing that it would lead to the spread of heretical ideas and weaken the authority of religious scholars. This ban significantly slowed the diffusion of knowledge within the Islamic world, further contributing to the intellectual stagnation that had already begun.

The rise of nationalism, especially Arab nationalism, also played a crucial role in the decline of the Ottoman Empire. This ideological shift, emphasizing distinct national identities over a unified Islamic identity, exacerbated the fragmentation within the empire and hindered its ability to respond effectively to external pressures and internal dissent.

The consequences of these developments were far-reaching. As the Islamic world turned inward, both intellectually and technologically, it began to lose its earlier dynamism and its ability to adapt to the rapidly changing global landscape. **The decline in scientific, philosophical, and technological advancement weakened the civilization, making it more vulnerable to external pressures and less capable of defending its territories effectively.** By the 19th and early 20th centuries, this intellectual and political decline was starkly evident in the Ottoman Empire, which controlled Palestine. The empire, once a formidable force, was now suffering from internal decay, corruption, and an inability to modernize its military and administrative structures. **This weakness made the empire increasingly susceptible to European intervention.**

The imbalance of power between the declining Ottoman Empire and the rapidly industrializing European nations culminated in significant geopolitical shifts. European powers, capitalizing on the Ottoman decline, exerted greater influence in the Middle East. This culminated in events like the Balfour Declaration of 1917, where Britain expressed support for the establishment of a "national home for the Jewish people" in Palestine, and the subsequent British Mandate over the region. These developments, alongside growing Jewish immigration and the Zionist movement, ultimately led to the creation of the state of Israel in 1948.

The loss of Palestine can thus be seen as part of a broader history of decline within the Islamic world. The retreat from the intellectual openness and scientific inquiry of the Golden Age, the failure to adapt to the changing global dynamics, and the suppression of technological innovation such as the printing press left the Muslim world weakened and divided. These factors collectively contributed to the broader vulnerabilities that made the loss of Palestine possible.

This historical trajectory underscores the critical importance of liberal education in the Islamic world—an education that embraces the balance between faith and reason, and one that fosters intellectual curiosity, scientific inquiry, and openness to technological advancements. The discussion serves as a reminder of how intellectual and technological stagnation can have devastating consequences for a civilization’s ability to sustain its influence and defend its territories.

In response to these lessons from history, the vision for the Modern House of Wisdom in the rebuilt Gaza Strip aims to revive the grandest of the Islamic Golden Age, and a full embrace of the Islamic intellectual and philosophical traditions. This institution will be dedicated to providing a liberal education that integrates critical thinking, creativity, and pluralism, while focusing on non-religious studies. The goal is to foster a new generation of scholars and thinkers who can navigate the complexities of the modern world, ensuring that the mistakes of the past are not repeated. The Modern House of Wisdom will stand as a beacon of intellectual revival, symbolizing the importance of knowledge, innovation, and balanced education in securing a prosperous and resilient future for the Islamic world.

In the Islamic world, the lack of religious freedom has far-reaching implications for intellectual development and societal progress. In many countries, leaving the Islamic faith is forbidden, punishable by death. Religious freedom is the ultimate form of freedom of thought,

and without it, nations are doomed to intellectual stagnation. This stagnation arises from the narrowing of critical thought and discourse, as individuals are unable to question or challenge prevailing beliefs without fear of severe consequences.

Just as Jesus provided the foundation for secularism in Christianity with his statement, "Render unto Caesar what is Caesar's," Muhammad laid the groundwork for freedom of thought in Islam with the verse, "Let there be no compulsion in religion" (Qur'an 2:256). Both teachings highlight a separation between external authority and personal belief, allowing space for individual autonomy in matters of faith. While Jesus' words helped define the boundaries between church and state, Muhammad's message offers a framework for religious freedom and intellectual openness within Islam. This comparison underscores that freedom of thought and religious diversity are not only compatible with Islamic tradition but are rooted in its core teachings.

Appendix E: Draft United Nations Security Council Resolution for the Displacement of Gazan Refugees Under the Duress of Genocidal Conditions[8]

On the Displacement and Limited Prospects of Return for Gazan Refugees Under War Duress

The Security Council,

Recalling Chapter VII of the Charter of the United Nations and the primary responsibility of the Security Council for the maintenance of international peace and security,

Reaffirming its previous resolutions on the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, including [specific resolutions], and recognizing the principles of international law and conventions pertaining to human rights and the treatment of refugees,

Noting with grave concern the severe impact of the prolonged conflict in Gaza, which consists of allegations of genocide in the context of a broader, unresolved ethno-religious conflict, which has necessitated the involuntary displacement of a significant portion of the population,

Acknowledging the likelihood that many of these displaced individuals may not find it feasible or desirable to return due to the enduring nature of the conflict,

Emphasizing the need to uphold human rights and international humanitarian law in addressing the long-term implications of such displacement,

Deeply concerned by the humanitarian situation in Gaza and the need for a sustainable solution for refugees affected by the conflict,

Determined to contribute to the creation of conditions conducive to a sustainable peace between Israeli and Palestinian peoples,

Acting under Chapter VII of the Charter of the United Nations,

1. Acknowledges that the temporary displacement from Gaza is a direct consequence of the war, and recognizes that a substantial portion of the displaced population may not return to Gaza due to its destruction.

[8] This must at least retroactively apply to the approximately 100,000 Gazans already evacuated via the Rafah Crossing

2. Calls for the establishment of a comprehensive support program for the resettled individuals, including integration assistance in host countries, recognizing that for many, resettlement may become a long-term or permanent situation.

3. Urges Member States and international organizations to collaborate in providing humanitarian aid, resettlement support, and development assistance to address the needs of the displaced population.

4. Requests the Secretary-General to closely monitor the resettlement process, the conditions in Gaza affecting the possibility of return, and to regularly report to the Security Council on the long-term status of the displaced population.

5. **Mandates the Canaan Confederation to actively assist in the repatriation process of Gazan refugees who choose to return, once conditions allow, including providing logistical support, coordination with local authorities, and the necessary resources to ensure a safe and dignified return.**

6. **Mandates the State of Israel to open humanitarian evacuation corridors from the Gaza Strip to the West Bank in cooperation with Canaan Confederation peacekeeping forces.**

7. **Recognizes the need for comprehensive support for those displaced individuals who choose not to return or find return infeasible, including long-term integration and resettlement assistance in host countries.**

8. Continues to encourage voluntary return under safe and dignified conditions for those who wish to do so, when Gaza is eventually rebuilt, while acknowledging the likelihood of limited return.

9. Firmly states that no future Israeli settlement activity will occur in Gaza, emphasizing that such activities are contrary to international law and undermine the prospects for a peaceful resolution to the conflict.

10. Decides to remain actively seized of the matter and requests the Secretary-General to provide updates on the implementation of this resolution and the evolving situation in Gaza.

Appendix F: Draft United Nations Security Council Resolution Calling for a Ceasefire[9]

On Ceasefire in the Israeli-Hamas Conflict and Convening an Emergency Session

The Security Council,

Recalling its primary responsibility under the Charter of the United Nations for the maintenance of international peace and security,

Noting with grave concern the ongoing conflict between Israel and Hamas, and its detrimental impact on civilian populations,

Reaffirming the importance of achieving a peaceful resolution to the conflict, and the need for immediate steps to deescalate tensions,

Acting under Chapter VII of the Charter of the United Nations,

1. Calls for an Immediate Ceasefire:

• Demands an immediate cessation of hostilities by all parties involved in the Israeli-Hamas conflict, including the end of military actions, rocket firings, and other forms of aggression.

2. Specific Disengagement Orders:

• **Orders Hamas to disengage and withdraw its forces from North of the Netzarim Corridor, ceasing all military operations and activities in the area.**

• **Orders Israel to disengage and withdraw its forces from South of the Netzarim Corridor, ceasing all military operations and activities in the area, excluding the Philadelphia Corridor.**

3. Establishment of a Buffer Zone:

• Declares Central Gaza, Khan Yunis, and Rafah as a demilitarized buffer zone. The establishment of this zone aims to reduce direct confrontations and facilitate humanitarian access. Monitoring and maintenance of the buffer zone will be conducted through remote surveillance and local reports, supported by the international community's diplomatic efforts before the initial deployment of Confederation peacekeeping forces.

4 Mandate for Immediate Release:

[9] Draft ceasefire agreement in case that the current negotiations break down

- Hamas and allied militias are mandated to immediately release all hostages currently under its custody.

5. Mandatory Exile of Hamas and Palestinian Islamic Jihad's Military Leadership[10]

- Mandatory Exile as Precondition for Reconstruction: The immediate and irreversible exile of the Palestinian military leadership from the Gaza Strip is a precondition for any plan for Gaza's reconstruction.
- International Monitoring and Oversight: This critical step will be under strict supervision by The Canaan Confederation, ensuring the full execution of the exile in accordance with the cease-fire terms.
- Best Effort Protection by Host Country from Israeli Aggression: The international community recognizes the complexities surrounding the safety of exiled individuals. Protection will be offered based on the best efforts of the Confederation host country, acknowledging the inherent risks and the high-profile status of the exiled leaders. It is understood that safety from Israeli aggression cannot be fully guaranteed.
- Permanent Nature of Exile: This exile is permanent, with no provisions for return included in the cease-fire agreement. The initiation of Gaza's reconstruction hinges on this action, aiming to foster a stable and peaceful environment necessary for recovery and a comprehensive peace agreement and ensuring long-term security and stability in the region.
- Link to Reconstruction Initiatives: The successful implementation of this article directly unlocks international support and resources earmarked for Palestine's reconstruction. This includes initiatives aimed at rubble clearing, body retrieval, infrastructure rebuilding, humanitarian aid, and economic development, contingent upon establishing a peaceful and secure environment.
- Commitment to Non-Violence and Reconstruction: All parties involved commit to an immediate cessation of hostilities and to cooperate on humanitarian efforts. The international community pledges substantial support for Gaza's stabilization, contingent upon maintaining peace and fulfilling the terms of this cease-fire.

6. Convenes an Emergency Session:

- Decides to convene an emergency session of the UN Security Council to be held within [a specified timeframe], summoning representatives of every relevant head of state, along with the representatives of Israel, the Palestinian Authority, Hamas, and other relevant stakeholders, to debate the terms of the proposed Canaan Confederation as a means to resolve the conflict.

7. Urges Participation and Dialogue:

- Strongly urges all parties to participate constructively in the emergency session and engage in open and comprehensive dialogue aimed at establishing the terms of the confederation for lasting peace.

[10] Members of the confederation can guarantee it by offering asylum to members of *both* nations.

8. Support for Peace Initiatives:

- Encourages the international community to support efforts for peace and reconciliation, including the proposed confederation, and to provide assistance for humanitarian relief and rebuilding efforts.

9. Monitoring and Compliance:

- Requests the Secretary-General to monitor compliance with the ceasefire and report back to the Council on any violations and on the progress of preparations for the emergency session.

10. Decides to Remain Actively Seized of the Matter:

- Decides to remain actively seized of the situation and to reconvene as necessary to assess progress and take further action as required.

Appendix G: Covenant of the Canaan Confederation: A Pact for Lasting Peace and Cooperation

Bismillah al-Rahman al-Rahim (In the Name of God, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful)
Preamble:
In the spirit of unity, as envisioned by the **Charter of Medina**, and in recognition of the shared Abrahamic heritage binding Jews, Muslims, and Christians, we—the representatives of Israel, Palestine, and neighboring states of the Canaan Confederation—establish this covenant. We commit to fostering peace, mutual respect, and cooperation in accordance with the will of Allah, the Most High, and the sacred teachings of our shared prophets.

As we seek to fulfill the prophetic vision of **Isaiah**, "For my house shall be called a house of prayer for all peoples" (Isaiah 56:7), and the divine command of **Leviticus 19:18**, "Love your neighbor as yourself," we affirm our collective duty to safeguard religious freedom, foster economic cooperation, and uphold security for all people in the Holy Land and beyond. Inspired by the **Psalmist**'s words: "Love and faithfulness meet together; righteousness and peace kiss each other" (Psalm 85:10), we enshrine these principles for a future of reconciliation.

In addition, we acknowledge the **UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights** and commit ourselves to struggling in the spirit of justice, equity, and dignity for all, ensuring that **universal human rights** are upheld and protected throughout the region. Furthermore, we recognize the enduring suffering of the people of Gaza and are committed to rebuilding the **Gaza Strip** into a beacon of **education and culture** for the entire world, ensuring that such destruction and loss of life is never repeated again.

Article I: Sovereignty and Governance in the Canaan Confederation

1.1 Recognition of State Sovereignty

Each member state of the **Canaan Confederation**, including **Israel**, **Palestine**, and neighboring Arab states, shall retain full sovereignty over its territory. However, this sovereignty will be exercised with mutual respect, recognizing the historical and religious claims that bind the region together.

1.2 Shared Responsibility for Holy Sites

Recognizing the profound religious significance of **Jerusalem** and other sacred sites, the member states agree to shared governance over these areas, ensuring that the religious freedoms of **Muslims**, **Jews**, **Christians**, and other faith communities are upheld. This includes, but is not limited to:

- **Temple Mount/Haram al-Sharif**, where Jews and Muslims shall have regulated access and the right to worship in accordance with the principles of peace and respect for tradition.
- **The Church of the Holy Sepulchre** and other Christian holy sites, which will remain under the administration of the Christian communities, supported by the Confederation's protection.

1.3 Joint Administrative Council

A **Joint Council**, composed of representatives from Israel, Palestine, and other member states, shall oversee the management of shared religious and cultural sites. This Council will resolve disputes, allocate resources for the protection of holy sites, and facilitate dialogue between communities. The Council will work in the spirit of the **Charter of Medina**, where diverse groups cooperated for the common good.

Article II: Economic Cooperation and Development

2.1 Economic Integration

Member states of the Canaan Confederation commit to deepening **economic cooperation** through shared projects, trade agreements, and the establishment of a common economic framework. This cooperation is essential for fostering long-term peace and prosperity in the region.

2.2 International Development Funds

Recognizing the need for rebuilding and development, particularly in **East Jerusalem** and other areas affected by conflict, the Confederation will allocate **international development funds** to support infrastructure projects, including the expansion of **East Jerusalem**. These funds will be used to build a modern Palestinian capital that exists in harmony with the historical and religious significance of the city.

In addition, a **special fund** shall be established for the comprehensive **rebuilding of Gaza**, transforming it into a **global center for education, culture, and innovation**. This effort is committed to ensuring that the tragic loss of life and devastation seen in previous conflicts are never repeated.

2.3 Shared Resources and Innovation

The Confederation will prioritize **joint resource management**, especially in areas such as water, energy, and agricultural development. Collaborative projects that harness advanced technology and sustainable practices will be central to the economic integration of the region.

Article III: Security and Peacekeeping

3.1 Collective Security

The **Canaan Confederation peacekeepers** shall play a pivotal role in ensuring the security of shared territories and religious sites, particularly during periods of heightened tension between Israel and Palestine. These peacekeepers will be neutral actors, tasked with preventing violence and maintaining order, ensuring that all member states abide by the principles of peace enshrined in this covenant.

3.2 Security Sovereignty

While each state retains full **security sovereignty** within its borders, the Confederation shall ensure that security measures respect the sanctity of religious sites and the civil liberties of all citizens. Israel, as the primary enforcer of security at the **Temple Mount**, will coordinate with Palestinian authorities and Confederation peacekeepers to maintain peace and protect access.

3.3 Conflict Resolution Mechanisms

In the event of a breach of peace or security violations, the Confederation shall refer such matters to a **Dispute Resolution Council**, which will be responsible for investigating and mediating conflicts. This Council will work in coordination with international bodies such as the **United Nations**, ensuring a fair and just process.

Article IV: Religious Freedom and Cultural Protection

4.1 Freedom of Worship

The right to practice religion freely shall be guaranteed across the Confederation. No state or authority shall hinder religious practice at any sacred site. In line with the Quran's command that "There shall be no compulsion in religion" (Quran 2:256), and the wisdom of the Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him), we affirm the **freedom of worship** for all.

4.2 Protection of Religious Sites

All member states commit to safeguarding **holy sites**—Jewish, Muslim, and Christian alike. The Confederation shall work with local and international religious authorities to ensure these sites remain places of peace, reverence, and accessibility for future generations.

The **Canaan Confederation** is further committed to **enforcing the Covenant of Al-Aqsa Mosque and Temple Mount**, as outlined in the specific agreement, ensuring that both Muslims and Jews can access and worship at their respective holy sites in peace and harmony. This enforcement is a critical aspect of maintaining long-term stability in Jerusalem.

4.3 Promotion of Interfaith Dialogue

The **Canaan Confederation** will sponsor programs to promote **interfaith understanding** and cooperation. These initiatives will foster respect for the **Judeo-Islamic** and **Abrahamic** traditions, emphasizing the shared values of justice, compassion, and peace. This includes education, cultural exchanges, and public campaigns that promote tolerance.

Article V: Dispute Resolution and Governance

5.1 Joint Council and Arbitration

The **Joint Council** established under this covenant will also act as an arbitration body for any disputes between member states or religious communities. Disputes shall be resolved through peaceful dialogue and mutual respect, following the example of the Prophet Muhammad's governance in Medina and the ethical principles of **Leviticus** and **Isaiah**.

5.2 Amendment Procedure

Amendments to this covenant may be proposed by any member state and must be approved by a two-thirds majority of the Joint Council. Amendments shall be reviewed by religious and political leaders to ensure they align with the spirit of peace, respect, and shared responsibility.

Article VI: Long-Term Vision for Peace

We, the members of the **Canaan Confederation**, commit to building a future where **peace, justice, and mutual respect** guide all interactions. Drawing inspiration from the **Covenants of Muhammad** with the Christians of Najran, and the shared scriptures of our traditions, we seek to create a region where every individual lives in harmony, free from fear, and where the bonds of brotherhood unite all.

As **Isaiah 56:7** reminds us: "For my house shall be called a house of prayer for all peoples." This covenant is a pledge to honor that vision, ensuring that the Holy Land and all its sacred places are protected for generations to come.

Signed by:
[Representatives from Israel, Palestine, and other member states of the Canaan Confederation]
Witnessed by:
[International bodies and religious leaders]

Date: [Current Date]

Appendix H: Draft Covenant Framework for the Al-Aqsa Mosque Compound/Temple Mount as a Pluralistic Religious Space

Preamble

Recognizing the profound religious significance of the site known to Muslims as the Al-Aqsa Mosque compound, revered as the place of the Prophet Muhammad's Night Journey and Ascension, and to Jews as the Temple Mount, the site of the First and Second Temples. We embrace the vision of Al-Aqsa/Temple Mount evolving as a pluralistic religious space, symbolizing our common aspirations for peace, justice, and harmonious coexistence and specifically welcoming *People of the Book* in the spirit of shared Abrahamic traditions, in implementation of Isaiah 56:7[11].

Article 1: Fundamental Principles
Unity and Shared Aspirations: Highlighting Al-Aqsa's role as a pluralistic religious space that represents a beacon of unity and shared spiritual heritage among Muslims, Jews, and Christians. This vision honors the site's significance to all People of the Book, fostering a bridge towards shared aspirations and peace.

Article 2: Governance
Inclusive Governance for All Abrahamic Faiths: Commitment to the establishment of an Judeo-Islamic governance structure directly inspired by the Constitution of Medina[12], that not only respects the site's significance to Muslims and Jews but also explicitly acknowledges and welcomes the involvement of all People of the Book, ensuring that the site's management reflects a commitment to inclusivity and mutual respect.

Article 3: Access and Worship
Welcoming People of the Book: Promoting a model of coexistence that allows for Islamic worship at Al-Aqsa, while also championing the site as a pluralistic religious space that welcomes followers of all Abrahamic faiths. This approach symbolizes a commitment to prayer and reflection for all who uphold the ideals of peace and harmony.

Article 4: Preservation and Education
Educational Outreach to People of the Book: Initiating programs that not only highlight the historical and religious significance of the site to Islam and Judaism but also explore its importance to the entire Abrahamic tradition. These educational efforts aim to foster a deeper understanding and respect among all People of the Book.

Article 5: International Engagement

[11] Specifically, the house of prayer for all nations
[12] The current status quo will serve as the basis of the first constitution.

A Symbol of Abrahamic Unity: Showcasing the Al-Aqsa compound as a pluralistic religious space and a beacon of interfaith harmony, especially among People of the Book. This vision underscores the potential for shared sacred spaces to foster global peace and mutual understanding, emphasizing the site's role in bridging Abrahamic faiths.

Article 6: Aspirational Alignment with Universal Peace Ideals

Shared Aspirations for Peace: This constitution acknowledges the profound significance of the Al-Aqsa Mosque compound/Temple Mount to both Muslims and Jews, embracing the site as a symbol of our shared hopes for peace, unity, and pluralistic harmony.

Commitment to a Pluralistic Vision: We commit to fostering an environment at the Al-Aqsa Mosque compound/Temple Mount that embodies principles of peace, understanding, and coexistence. This site is envisioned as a living testament to our shared human values, aiming to serve as a beacon of interfaith dialogue and mutual respect.

Engagement for Harmony: Urging continuous engagement and respectful dialogue, this article emphasizes our collective pursuit of a future marked by harmonious coexistence. It highlights the importance of working together to honor our shared aspirations, fostering a space that symbolizes unity and peace for all.

Appendix I: Draft Covenant for Temple Mount/Haram al-Sharif

Bismillah al-Rahman al-Rahim (In the Name of God, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful)
In the spirit of Psalm 85:10-11: "Love and faithfulness meet together; righteousness and peace kiss each other."

Preamble

In recognition of the sacred trust and profound responsibility bestowed upon us by Allah, the Most High, to protect and honor the holy sites, and acknowledging the historical, religious, and cultural significance of the Temple Mount/Haram al-Sharif to both the Muslim and Jewish communities, we, the representatives of the Ummah of Islam and the People of the Book, hereby establish this covenant. Drawing inspiration from the Charter of Medina and the Prophet Muhammad's timeless wisdom that "The Jews have their religion, and the Muslims have theirs," alongside the teachings of Leviticus 19:18, "Do not seek revenge or bear a grudge against anyone among your people, but love your neighbor as yourself. I am the LORD," we seek to enshrine the principles of religious freedom, mutual respect, and cooperation, as commanded by Allah, to ensure the harmonious coexistence of all who revere this sacred place. Moreover, we commit to the long-term vision of fulfilling the noble words of the Prophet Isaiah (peace be upon him): "For my house shall be called a house of prayer for all peoples" (Isaiah 56:7). As Allah the Exalted has decreed, "There shall be no compulsion in religion" (Quran 2:256), and as echoed by the prophetic vision in Isaiah, we affirm our dedication to upholding the freedom of worship for all.

Article I: “The Jews have their religion and the Muslims have theirs”

Freedom of Worship: The Temple Mount/Haram al-Sharif shall be a place where both Muslims and Jews are free to worship their Creator according to their respective traditions. Each community shall have the right to practice its faith without interference, in accordance with the teachings of the Prophet Muhammad (peace and blessings be upon him), who said in his covenant with the Christians of Najran: "No bishop shall be removed from his bishopric, no monk from his monastery, and no priest from his parish." In this spirit, no one shall be removed from their place of worship or hindered in their religious duties on the Temple Mount/Haram al-Sharif. This commitment is further underscored by Micah 4:3-4, "He will judge between many peoples and will settle disputes for strong nations far and wide. They will beat their swords into plowshares and their spears into pruning hooks. Nation will not take up sword against nation, nor will they train for war anymore."

Equal Access: Both Muslims and Jews shall have regulated access to their respective sacred sites within the Temple Mount/Haram al-Sharif, with due respect for the sanctity and traditions of each faith, as ordained by Allah, the Just and the Merciful, and as envisioned by Isaiah, where "My house shall be called a house of prayer for all peoples."

Article II: Enshrinement of the Current Status Quo

Administration and Control:

- The Islamic Waqf, in accordance with the historical trust placed in it, shall continue to administer the Temple Mount/Haram al-Sharif, managing the Muslim holy sites within the compound, including Al-Aqsa Mosque and the Dome of the Rock, as guardians of these blessed sanctuaries.
- The State of Israel, in collaboration with the Canaan Confederation, shall maintain overall security control, managing entry points and ensuring the enforcement of this covenant, with respect for the sanctity of the holy sites and the principles of justice as taught by the Prophets (peace be upon them).

Access and Worship:

- Muslims shall have the exclusive right to perform their prayers at the Al-Aqsa Mosque and the Dome of the Rock, in accordance with the traditions of the Ummah.
- Non-Muslims, including Jews, shall be permitted to visit the site during designated visiting hours but shall refrain from conducting their prayers there, respecting the Islamic nature of these sites as decreed by the historical status quo. Jewish prayer shall continue to be conducted at the Western Wall, in keeping with the practices established over generations.

Visiting Hours and Regulations:

- Non-Muslim visiting hours shall be specified and regulated by the Israeli authorities, typically outside of Muslim prayer times, in order to maintain the sanctity and order of the holy site. These visiting hours shall be enforced with care and respect, ensuring that "no one shall make them afraid" (Micah 4:4).

Security Arrangements:

- Israeli and Canaan Confederation security forces shall be stationed at the entrances to the Temple Mount/Haram al-Sharif to manage access and maintain order, in cooperation with the Islamic Waqf.
- The Waqf shall have its own security personnel responsible for the internal security and management of the religious sites within the compound, acting as custodians of these sacred trusts.

Religious and Political Sensitivities:

- The status quo shall be maintained to avoid any escalation of tensions and conflict between religious communities, in accordance with the principles of wisdom and patience that Allah enjoins upon the believers, and the scriptural mandate that "Love and faithfulness meet together; righteousness and peace kiss each other" (Psalm 85:10-11).

- Any changes or perceived changes to the status quo shall be subject to approval by the Joint Council and coordinated with relevant authorities, ensuring that peace and stability are preserved, as is the command of Allah, and as all communities are reminded, "Do not seek revenge or bear a grudge against anyone among your people, but love your neighbor as yourself" (Leviticus 19:18).

Article III: Mutual Respect and Cooperation

Mutual Respect: Both Muslims and Jews shall treat each other with the respect and dignity commanded by Allah, the Most High, who created mankind in diversity, and as reflected in the teachings of the Hebrew Scriptures, "Love your neighbor as yourself" (Leviticus 19:18). As the Prophet Muhammad (peace and blessings be upon him) declared in his covenant with the Monks of Mount Sinai, "No one is to destroy a house of their religion, to damage it, or to carry anything from it to the Muslims' houses," we similarly commit to safeguarding the sacred spaces of both communities on the Temple Mount/Haram al-Sharif. Any form of incitement, provocation, or disrespect towards the other community's religious beliefs and practices is strictly prohibited, for Allah loves those who act justly, and as the Psalmist sang, "Righteousness and peace kiss each other" (Psalm 85:10).

Joint Council: A Joint Council, composed of representatives from both the Muslim and Jewish communities, shall be established to oversee the implementation of this covenant, address any disputes, and ensure ongoing dialogue and cooperation. This council shall operate in the spirit of the Prophet Muhammad's (peace be upon him) inclusive governance in Medina, where all groups worked together for the common good, as Allah has commanded, and as the Psalmist envisioned, "Faithfulness springs forth from the earth, and righteousness looks down from heaven" (Psalm 85:11).

Conflict Resolution: Any disputes arising from the interpretation or implementation of this covenant shall be resolved through arbitration by the Joint Council, guided by principles of fairness, justice, and mutual respect, as enjoined by the Quran and the Sunnah, and as the Hebrew Scriptures counsel, "Love and faithfulness meet together; righteousness and peace kiss each other" (Psalm 85:10-11). As Allah the Most High instructs in the Quran: "And do not argue with the People of the Scripture except in a way that is best, unless it is with those who commit injustice among them, and say, 'We believe in that which has been revealed to us and revealed to you. And our God and your God is one; and we are Muslims [in submission] to Him'" (Quran 29:46).

Article IV: Security and Protection

Collective Security: Both communities shall work together to ensure the security and protection of the Temple Mount/Haram al-Sharif, recognizing that the safety of all worshippers and visitors is a sacred duty entrusted to them by Allah. As the Prophet Muhammad (peace and blessings be upon him) emphasized, the safety of all people is paramount, and any threat to the sanctity and safety of this holy site shall be addressed collectively, in the spirit of brotherhood and unity, as envisioned by the prophet Micah: "They will beat their swords into plowshares and

their spears into pruning hooks. Nation will not take up sword against nation, nor will they train for war anymore" (Micah 4:3).

Protection of Worshippers: Measures shall be taken to ensure the safety and security of all worshippers and visitors, maintaining an environment of peace and tranquility, as Allah, the Lord of Peace, desires for His creation, and as echoed in the vision of Isaiah, "For my house shall be called a house of prayer for all peoples" (Isaiah 56:7).

State-Sponsored Hudna Violations: Any state-sponsored violation of this covenant shall be considered a violation of the Hudna and an act of war against the sanctity of the Temple Mount/Haram al-Sharif and the principles of peace and coexistence enshrined herein. Such violations shall be immediately referred to the dispute resolution systems of the Canaan Confederation as well as to the United Nations Security Council for urgent deliberation and action. The international community shall be called upon to uphold justice and ensure that the violators are held accountable, as Allah commands in the Quran: "Indeed, Allah orders justice and good conduct..." (Quran 16:90), and as the prophet Micah reminds us, "He will judge between many peoples and will settle disputes for strong nations far and wide" (Micah 4:3).

Article V: Long-Term Vision

Isaiah 56:7 Goal: As a long-term goal, this covenant aspires to fulfill the vision of Isaiah (peace be upon him), "For my house shall be called a house of prayer for all peoples." This vision shall guide future efforts to make the Temple Mount/Haram al-Sharif a place where people of all faiths can come together in peace and worship, as the Quran says, "And We have made some of you as a trial for others: will you have patience? And your Lord is Ever-Seer" (Quran 25:20), and as Isaiah prophesied, inviting all to a house of universal prayer.

Article VI: Inspiration from the Covenants of Muhammad

Historical Precedent: Drawing inspiration from the Covenants of the Prophet Muhammad (peace and blessings be upon him), including the Constitution of Medina and the Covenant with the Christians of Najran, which established principles of coexistence and mutual respect among diverse religious communities, we commit to upholding similar values within the Temple Mount/Haram al-Sharif, as a testament to the enduring wisdom of the Islamic tradition. These principles are mirrored in the teachings of the Hebrew Scriptures, which call us to seek justice, love mercy, and walk humbly with our God (Micah 6:8).

Principle of Religious Autonomy: In line with the Constitution of Medina's principle that "the Jews have their religion and the Muslims have theirs," this covenant reaffirms the autonomy of each community to practice its religion freely and without interference, as is their right bestowed by Allah, the Most Just, and as Leviticus teaches us, "Love your neighbor as yourself" (Leviticus 19:18), a command that forms the ethical backbone of our mutual interactions on the Temple Mount/Haram al-Sharif.

Article VII: Conditional Détente and Interfaith Coexistence

Conditional Détente Agreement: This covenant represents a conditional détente between the Muslim and Jewish communities, dependent on the adherence of both parties to the terms outlined in this document. The détente established herein relies on the continuous respect and implementation of the covenant's provisions, fostering a sustained and cautious peace

Promotion of Interfaith Respect: Recognizing the profound importance of mutual respect and coexistence between the Muslim and Jewish communities, and in the spirit of fostering a peaceful future, the individual Muslim-majority nations that are signatories to this treaty commit to promoting interfaith respect through education, dialogue, and cultural exchange, as is the way of the Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him), and as echoed in the Hebrew Scriptures, which teach us to seek peace and pursue it (Psalm 34:14).

Judeo-Islamic Tradition: Furthermore, the signatory nations express a collective desire to formalize the Judeo-Islamic tradition, acknowledging the rich history of cooperation, shared values, and intellectual exchange that has existed between Muslims and Jews throughout history. This initiative seeks to revive and celebrate the deep connections between the two faiths, creating a foundation for lasting peace and mutual understanding, as Allah has willed, and as the prophet Isaiah invites all nations to join in worship at a house of prayer for all peoples (Isaiah 56:7).

Abrahamic Tradition: In recognition of our shared heritage and the profound bonds that unite us, this covenant solemnly affirms the Abrahamic tradition as the cornerstone of our collective identity and mutual aspirations. We commit to honoring the teachings and values inherited from Abraham, who is revered across our diverse communities as a patriarch of faith, a model of hospitality, and a symbol of unwavering commitment to the divine. This covenant pledges to foster respect, understanding, and cooperation among all who draw inspiration from Abraham, ensuring that his legacy continues to guide us in pursuit of peace, justice, and reconciliation. We dedicate ourselves to embodying these principles, promoting dialogue, and supporting initiatives that reflect our common Abrahamic roots, thereby strengthening the bonds of brotherhood and sisterhood across our communities.

Promotion of Understanding: The signatory nations will encourage initiatives that foster understanding and reconciliation between the Muslim and Jewish communities, drawing on shared values and historical moments of cooperation. This effort is not an abrogation of faith but a reaffirmation of Islam's enduring principles of respect, coexistence, and the pursuit of justice, aligned with the biblical call to love your neighbor as yourself (Leviticus 19:18), fostering a community where "every man [shall sit] under his vine and under his fig tree, and none shall make them afraid" (Micah 4:4).

Article VIII: Amendment Procedure

1. Amendment Authority:

- Amendments to this covenant may only be proposed and enacted by the Joint Council, composed of representatives from both the Jewish and Muslim communities. The Joint

Council shall act in the best interest of maintaining peace, mutual respect, and the sanctity of the Temple Mount/Haram al-Sharif.

2. Proposal of Amendments:

- Any member of the Joint Council may propose an amendment to this covenant. The proposal must be submitted in writing and include a detailed explanation of the proposed changes, the reasons for the amendment, and the potential impact on both communities.

3. Deliberation and Consultation:

- Upon receiving a proposed amendment, the Joint Council shall engage in thorough deliberation and consultation. This process must include input from religious leaders, scholars, and community representatives from both the Jewish and Muslim communities, ensuring that all perspectives are considered.

4. Voting Procedure:

- After deliberation, the Joint Council shall vote on the proposed amendment. For an amendment to pass within the Joint Council, it must receive at least a two-thirds majority vote from the members. If the amendment affects fundamental principles of the covenant, such as those related to religious freedom, mutual respect, or the administration of the holy site, a unanimous vote may be required.

5. Issuance of a Plebiscite:

- Once an amendment has been approved by the Joint Council, it shall be subject to a plebiscite (a direct vote of the people). The plebiscite will allow the broader Jewish and Muslim communities to vote on the proposed amendment, ensuring that it reflects the will of the people.

6. Monitoring by the Canaan Confederation:

- The plebiscite shall be organized and monitored by the Canaan Confederation to ensure fairness, transparency, and impartiality. The Canaan Confederation shall oversee the voting process, verify the results, and report on the conduct of the plebiscite to ensure that it meets international standards of democratic practice.

7. Ratification and Implementation:

- If the proposed amendment receives a majority vote in the plebiscite, it shall be ratified and formally incorporated into the covenant. The Joint Council shall oversee the implementation of the amendment, ensuring that it aligns with the original spirit and intent of the covenant.

8. Safeguards Against Erosion of Fundamental Principles:

- No amendment shall be made that undermines the core principles of religious freedom, mutual respect, and peaceful coexistence as enshrined in this covenant. Any attempt to introduce such amendments shall be deemed invalid and contrary to the purpose of this covenant.

9. Periodic Review:

- The Joint Council shall conduct a periodic review of the covenant, at least every five years, to assess its effectiveness and consider whether any amendments are necessary to address changing circumstances or emerging challenges. Any amendments proposed as a result of this review shall follow the same procedure outlined above, including the issuance of a plebiscite.

Conclusion

In the spirit of reconciliation and peace, we embrace the teachings of Christ, who calls us to be peacemakers (Matthew 5:9) and to live in peace with everyone (Romans 12:18). Thus, we commit to this covenant not only as a political and social agreement but as a profound moral commitment to foster peace and respect across our communities.

We, the undersigned representatives of the Jewish and Muslim communities, hereby agree to uphold and implement this covenant, ensuring the Temple Mount/Haram al-Sharif remains a place of peace, respect, and shared reverence for future generations.

Signed,
[Jewish Community Representatives]
[Muslim Community Representatives]

Witnessed By

[Christian Community Representatives]
Date: [Current Date]

Appendix J: Draft Gentlemen's Agreement Regarding the Diplomatic Recognition of the State of Israel and the State of Palestine

Preamble Recognizing the importance of addressing critical issues such as territorial disputes and educational reforms in achieving lasting peace between the State of Israel and the State of Palestine, this agreement affirms the commitment of both states to the Canaan Confederation framework. This framework is instrumental in resolving these disputes and fostering an inclusive, democratic, and peaceful society.

Article 1: Commitment to Peace, Cooperation, and Respect The undersigned nations (hereinafter referred to as "Participating Countries") hereby express their unwavering support for a peaceful resolution to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, emphasizing the role of dialogue, negotiation, mutual respect, and adherence to the Canaan Confederation's initiatives.

Article 2: Support for Critical Issues Participating Countries support:

- The implementation of the land lease scheme to address territorial disputes, wherein the State of Israel and the State of Palestine agree to manage disputed lands via the Land Lease Management Authority of the Canaan Confederation.
- Educational reforms as outlined by the Canaan Confederation Educational Framework to address historical grievances and promote a balanced understanding of shared histories between the Jews and the Muslims.

Article 3: Conditionality of Diplomatic Recognition Participating Countries condition their full and continued diplomatic recognition of both the State of Israel and the State of Palestine on their progress towards:

- The land lease scheme for resolving territorial disputes.
- The educational reforms aimed at deradicalization and fostering an inclusive, democratic society within the framework of the Canaan Confederation.

Article 4: Enforcement, Dispute Resolution, and Monitoring The Canaan Confederation member states shall monitor compliance with the land lease agreement and educational reforms, employing sanctions for violations and providing incentives for achievements. Disputes shall be resolved through a predefined multistage dispute resolution process, aiming for equitable solutions that uphold the spirit of cooperation, peace, and reform.

Article 5: Affirmation of Support Participating Countries affirm their support for both Israel and Palestine in their pursuit of peace, security, prosperity, and meaningful reforms. This agreement is made in the spirit of encouraging compliance with international agreements, fostering lasting peace in the region, and supporting the development of inclusive and democratic institutions.

Signatories [Signatory space for the representatives of Participating Countries]

Appendix K: Draft Formal Apology from the United States with an Invitation to the Islamic Republic of Iran to Join the Canaan Confederation

To the People and Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran,

As the Government of the United States of America, we extend our deepest apologies for our historical actions, notably our involvement in the 1953 coup in Iran and our subsequent actions and aggression that have contributed to division and strife in the Middle East. We are committed to rectifying these past actions with sincere efforts toward peace, understanding, and cooperation.

In recognizing the importance of moving forward together, we extend a heartfelt invitation to Iran to join the Canaan Confederation — a new initiative aimed at fostering regional unity, security, and prosperity.

Iran's Critical Role in the Canaan Confederation:

- **Funding the Confederation Waqf:** We propose using current Iranian frozen assets as the initial seed of the Confederation Waqf, dedicated to rebuilding efforts in Gaza and other regions in need within the Confederation. This investment symbolizes a new chapter of cooperation and shared responsibility for regional stability.
- **Advocacy for Shia Rights:** Iran's participation is invaluable in advocating for the rights of the Shia minority, ensuring that their voices and concerns are represented and respected within the framework of the Confederation.
- **Healing the Sunni-Shia Divide:** By collaborating with Sunni members of the Confederation, Iran has a unique opportunity to bridge the historical Sunni-Shia divide, promoting intra-Islamic dialogue and understanding.
- **Shared Goals for Peace and Prosperity:** Together, we can address common challenges such as security, economic development, and environmental sustainability, transcending sectarian and national divides.
- **The Promotion of Zoroastrianism:** Recognizing the cultural heritage of Zoroastrianism, Iran's native Persian religion, as a potential positive stabilizing force in the monotheistic world.

The Promise of Collaboration:

- We believe that through constructive dialogue and collaboration within the Canaan Confederation, we can create a powerful platform for healing and unity. The Confederation seeks to celebrate our diverse heritage while building a shared future characterized by peace and mutual respect.

- The United States pledges to support this journey, respecting the equal standing of all member states and dedicating resources to ensure the success and sustainability of the Confederation.

This invitation is extended with hope and respect, aiming to mark the beginning of a new era in our relations—an era where, together, we can overcome past grievances and build a peaceful, prosperous region for all its inhabitants.

Signed,
[Name of the Secretary of State or President]
[Date]

Appendix L: Draft Warning of Possible US-Led Police Action Against Iran

To the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran:

This communication addresses the critical situation developing due to the potential Iran-Israel conflict in the aftermath of the Hamas-Israel war, alongside grave concerns over Iran's nuclear program. Indications that Iran's nuclear endeavors may constitute an active weapons of mass destruction (WMD) program, combined with ongoing state sponsorship of terror and sectarian violence, risk an uncontained international response.

The historical context of international intervention, particularly the Iraq war, serves as a poignant reference point in this discourse. It is important to acknowledge that the United States, along with its allies, reflects on the Iraq war with a large degree of regret, especially regarding the intelligence assessments that led to the conflict and the subsequent long-term implications for regional stability and international relations. The justification for the Iraq war, largely predicated on the perceived threat of WMDs and terrorism, highlights the critical importance of accurate intelligence and the need for diplomatic engagement wherever possible.

Drawing from this experience, the international community, led by the United States, emphasizes the imperative of preventing the spread of WMDs and curbing state-sponsored terrorism. Iran is thus urged to engage transparently with international bodies regarding its nuclear program and to positively and constructively influence the actions of resistance groups it sponsors. The parallels between the current situation with Iran and the prelude to the Iraq war are concerning, underscoring the need for immediate action to avert potential conflict.

The potential for a non-containable Israel-Hezbollah or Israel-Iran Conflict, further complicated by Iran's controversial nuclear program, highlights the urgent need for Iran to demonstrate a genuine commitment to peace and stability. The international community expects Iran to take verifiable steps to assure the world of its peaceful intentions, including adhering to international nuclear agreements and disengaging from activities considered to support terrorism.

In issuing this warning, it is with a sense of responsibility and the hope to prevent conflict, reflecting on past actions such as the Iraq war with a critical eye. The aim is to promote a secure, stable, and peaceful international environment. The Iranian government is strongly advised to consider these factors and act accordingly to prevent escalation and ensure the well-being of all involved.

We urge the Islamic Republic of Iran to consider joining the Canaan Confederation, representing Shia and religious minority interests, as a testament to its commitment to regional peace. This move could significantly reduce the likelihood of future conflicts with the United States by showcasing Iran's preference for diplomacy over military action. Active participation in the

confederation would allow Iran to voice its concerns constructively, fostering mutual understanding and respect within the region. Ultimately, Iran's involvement is seen by the international community, especially the United States, as a positive step toward diminishing tensions and enhancing long-term stability and cooperation in the Middle East.

Acknowledging the complex history between the United States and Iran, there lies a potential for reconciliation and a new era of mutual respect. The involvement of Iran in the Canaan Confederation could mark a significant step towards this goal, offering a platform for dialogue and cooperation. Overcoming past grievances through constructive engagement and transparency is essential for both nations. This endeavor promises a peaceful future and sets a precedent for resolving global conflicts.

Sincerely,

[Your Name] [Your Position] [Your Organization/Country]

Appendix M: Draft International Declaration on Reconciliation and Remembrance for the Historical Tragedies of the Late Ottoman Period

Preamble

In the spirit of reconciliation, truth, and a shared future, this document acknowledges the profound tragedies that occurred during the final years of the Ottoman Empire and the establishment of the Republic of Turkey. It aims to honor the memory of all those who suffered and to promote understanding and healing among all Turkish people and the descendants of those affected.

Acknowledgment of the Past

The Ottoman Empire was a diverse mosaic of cultures, languages, and religions. As the Empire declined, exacerbated by rising nationalism and global conflicts, this diversity was managed less through the traditional millet system and more through increasingly repressive measures. This document specifically recognizes the events historically identified as the Armenian, Assyrian, and Greek Genocides as significant atrocities, representing immense suffering and loss. We introduce this acknowledgment into the International Court of Justice genocide case against Israel, offering a framework to evaluate the actions of the IDF against established historical patterns of state-led atrocity.

Historical Evidence and Legal Framework

We propose that the State of Turkey submit detailed Ottoman archival evidence and expert historical analyses to the International Court of Justice. This submission will outline the operational tactics, administrative orders, and military engagements similar to those observed in modern conflicts, providing a comparative basis to assess claims of genocidal intent and execution in different contexts in the ongoing conflict in the Israel-Hamas War.

Understanding and Compassion

This acknowledgment does not seek to blame contemporary Turkish society but to address historical actions within their complex contexts. It emphasizes the role of understanding and compassion in confronting painful histories, fostering a dialogue centered on truth and reconciliation.

Moving Forward Together

By recognizing these tragedies, we commit to a future where such suffering is actively prevented. This document lays the groundwork for a society that values dignity and rights

universally, learning from the past to inform present actions and policies. It calls for an international dialogue, leveraging historical understanding to enhance global peacekeeping and human rights enforcement strategies.

Conclusion

Endorsing this document signals a bold step towards accepting our historical responsibilities, healing collective wounds, and building a resilient future. It serves as a testament to our commitment to truth, reconciliation, and the unbreakable human spirit to seek justice and unity in the face of past adversities. We urge other nations to reflect on these lessons, applying them to current and future international legal and diplomatic efforts.

Appendix N: Draft Memorandum of Understanding on Human Rights and Legal Reforms in the Canaan Confederation

This Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) is entered into on [Date], by and between the Member States of the Canaan Confederation (hereinafter referred to as "the Members") and [International Human Rights Organization/Partner Country/International Body] (hereinafter referred to as "the Partner"), collectively referred to as "the Parties."

Preamble

WHEREAS, the Parties are committed to the promotion and protection of human rights, the rule of law, and the advancement of justice within the Canaan Confederation;

WHEREAS, the Parties recognize the importance of continuous legal and social reforms to enhance human rights, gender equality, and judicial fairness across all Member States;

WHEREAS, the Parties acknowledge the efforts and initiatives already undertaken by Member States towards social and economic reforms and their alignment with the principles of the Canaan Confederation;

WHEREAS, recognizing the implementation of this Memorandum of Understanding as a foundational confidence-building measure, reflecting the shared commitment of all Member States to uphold the principles of justice, equality, and human dignity;

WHEREAS, the Parties recognize the enduring legacy of Prophet Muhammad as a progressive reformer, who introduced significant social, economic, and moral reforms to 7th-century Arabian society, emphasizing social justice, women's rights, economic fairness, and religious tolerance;

WHEREAS, inspired by the principles and reforms established by Prophet Muhammad, the Parties affirm their commitment to the ongoing struggle for human rights, advancing social justice, and ensuring dignity for all individuals, regardless of their gender, race, or religious beliefs;

WHEREAS, acknowledging the profound impact of these reforms on establishing a foundation for a more just society, the Parties commit to continuing this legacy by addressing contemporary challenges and promoting the advancement of human rights across the Canaan Confederation;

The Parties hereby agree as follows:

Article 1: Commitment to Human Rights

1.1 **Member States** commit to the ongoing struggle for human rights, seeking to align their legal and social practices with the highest possible standards of justice and dignity for all individuals.

1.2 **Member States** further commit to fostering a culture of respect, equality, and fairness, working collaboratively to address challenges and uphold the principles of human rights in all aspects of governance and society.

1.3 **Member States** recognize the importance of judicial fairness and transparency and commit to continuous improvement in their legal systems to reflect these values.

Article 2: Implementation and Monitoring

2.1 The Parties agree to establish a joint committee to monitor and review the progress of human rights advancements across Member States, providing recommendations and support as needed.

2.2 The joint committee shall include representatives from each Member State, the Partner, and independent human rights experts to ensure a balanced and transparent process.

Article 3: Amendment and Termination

This MOU may be amended or terminated by mutual consent of the Parties. Any amendments must be made in writing and signed by all Parties.

Article 4: Legal Effect

This MOU is a statement of intent and does not create legal obligations under international or domestic law.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have executed this Memorandum of Understanding as of the date first above written.

FOR THE MEMBER STATES OF THE CANAAN CONFEDERATION

Signature:
Name:
Title:
Date:

FOR THE PARTNER

Signature:
Name:
Title:
Date:

Appendix O: Towards Understanding and Reconciliation: France and the United Kingdom Reflect on the Sykes-Picot Agreement

As we reflect upon the history of the Sykes-Picot Agreement, we, the Governments of France and the United Kingdom, take a moment to reflect on a chapter of our shared history that significantly shaped the course of the Middle East. In the midst of the uncertainties and tragedies of World War I, our predecessors, Sir Mark Sykes and François Georges-Picot, engaged in negotiations that led to the drawing of borders and the division of territories without the consent or consideration of the people who lived there.

We recognize that the decisions made during those times have contributed to a century of conflict, division, and hardship for the peoples of the Middle East. The lines drawn on maps in European meeting rooms did not reflect the complex tapestries of culture, ethnicity, and faith that have existed in these lands for millennia. The legacy of those borders continues to influence the geopolitical and social landscape of the region today.

With humility and respect, we acknowledge the profound impact that the Sykes-Picot Agreement has had on the Middle East. We express our deep regret for the suffering and instability that have ensued from the actions taken by our governments a century ago. This acknowledgment is a step towards recognizing our past, understanding its effects on the present, and seeking a path forward that honors the dignity and aspirations of all peoples.

We are committed to engaging with the nations and peoples of the Middle East in a spirit of respect, partnership, and shared understanding. Our aim is to support initiatives that foster peace, stability, and prosperity in the region. This includes respecting the sovereignty and rights of Middle Eastern countries to shape their own future, free from external imposition or interference.

Looking to the future, France and the United Kingdom pledge to work collaboratively with international partners and the nations of the Middle East to address the challenges that remain. We believe that through dialogue, cooperation, and mutual respect, we can contribute to a more peaceful, just, and thriving Middle East.

In this spirit, we extend our sincerest hopes for reconciliation, and we reaffirm our commitment to a future defined by partnership and shared progress.

Appendix P: Formal Apology for the Suez Crisis from the United Kingdom and France

Preamble:
Recognizing the profound impact of historical events on present-day relations and the importance of addressing past grievances to foster a future of peace and mutual understanding, the Governments of the United Kingdom and France hereby issue a formal apology for their roles in the Suez Crisis of 1956.

Acknowledgment of Actions:
We, the undersigned nations, acknowledge that the military intervention in Egypt during the Suez Crisis was a significant breach of international trust and norms. This intervention was not only a failure in terms of diplomatic relations but also a direct involvement that led to considerable suffering and instability in the region.

Regret and Remorse:
The United Kingdom and France express deep regret for the hardships caused by our actions during the Suez Crisis. We are particularly mindful of the disruption to civilian lives and the undermining of national sovereignty in Egypt, which set back the course of regional peace and cooperation.

Commitment to Peace and Cooperation:
As part of our ongoing commitment to fostering stability and peace in the Middle East, we pledge to support initiatives that promote dialogue, reconciliation, and cooperative development in the region. This includes active participation in the Canaan Confederation's efforts to build and sustain an enduring peace framework.

Future Assurance:
We affirm our dedication to upholding international laws and principles of sovereignty and non-interference. The lessons learned from the Suez Crisis inform our foreign policies today, ensuring that we support rather than undermine the aspirations of peoples and nations for self-determination and peaceful coexistence.

Closing:
We offer this apology in the spirit of reconciliation and as a step toward healing the wounds of the past. Our nations are fully committed to working within the framework of the Canaan Confederation to build a future that honors our shared values of respect, justice, and mutual prosperity.

Signatories:

- [Name], [Title], on behalf of the United Kingdom

- [Name], [Title], on behalf of the Republic of France

Appendix Q: Miscellaneous Initiatives for Lasting Peace in the Middle East[13]

In pursuit of lasting peace in the Middle East, we propose a series of specific initiatives designed to foster cooperation, build economic prosperity, and promote cultural understanding between Israel and Palestine. These initiatives are rooted in the belief that strategic partnerships and shared projects can significantly contribute to resolving longstanding conflicts and building a sustainable future.

1. **Iranian F-14 Deal: The United States proposes collaborating with Iran to restore F-14 Tomcat aircraft for airshows in exchange for targeted sanctions relief on spare parts for Iran's commercial aviation sector, fostering mutual benefits and direct diplomatic engagement.**
2. **Tripartite Water Resource Management Initiative**: We propose the creation of a collaborative effort between Israel, Palestine, and Jordan to develop shared water infrastructure, including desalination plants and wastewater treatment facilities. This initiative aims to address critical water shortages and promote cooperation over shared resources.
3. **Tech for Peace Program**: This initiative will fund technology incubators that encourages Israeli and Palestinian tech entrepreneurs to collaborate on projects that address social challenges. Supported by global tech leaders and international funding, this program aims to reduce unemployment among the youth and foster a culture of innovation.
4. **Abrahamic Cultural Heritage Festival**: An annual festival rotating among cities in Israel, Palestine, and neighboring countries would celebrate the region's diverse cultural heritage, including art, music, food, and crafts. This festival would promote tourism, enhance mutual respect, and build economic ties through cultural exchange.
5. **Health Bridges Initiative**: We propose a Canaan Confederation public health initiative to assist in the humantarian and disease risks following the destruction of healthcare infrastructure in the wake of the Israel-Hamas war.
6. **Renewable Energy Partnerships**: To advance environmental sustainability, we advocate for the development of renewable energy projects along the borders of Israel and Palestine. These projects would not only provide green energy but also create jobs and serve as a model for environmental cooperation.
7. **Educational Exchange and Scholarship Fund**: A scholarship fund supported by international partners would enable students from both nations to study abroad and bring their expertise back home. This initiative aims to build local capacity and foster global academic networks.
8. **Women's Leadership Forum**: This forum would empower women leaders from both communities to spearhead initiatives focused on women's empowerment and community development, supported by global organizations like UN Women.

[13] Mostly ChatGPT generated ideas

9. **Infrastructure for Peace Project**: Major infrastructure projects in Palestine, funded by The Canaan Confederation Waqf, would boost the local economy and improve living conditions. These projects would prioritize local labor and materials to maximize economic benefits.
10. **Legal Reform Workshops**: Workshops with international legal experts would help strengthen the judicial systems in the region, focusing on reforms that enhance transparency, fairness, and accessibility.
11. **Interfaith Dialogue Series**: Regular dialogues facilitated by Jewish, Muslim, and Christian leaders would address religious tensions and promote understanding. Supported by the Vatican and other religious institutions, these dialogues would aim to reconcile differences and build a foundation for peace.

These initiatives represent a comprehensive approach to addressing the complex dynamics of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict through collaborative and constructive efforts. Each is designed to address specific areas of need, from environmental sustainability to cultural exchange and from legal reform to educational opportunities, illustrating a roadmap towards a peaceful and prosperous future.

Appendix R: Draft 30-Year Hudna Agreement[14]

Preamble:
This agreement is made on [Date] between the following parties:

- The State of Israel
- The Palestinian Authority
- [Other Palestinian Factions, e.g., Hamas, Islamic Jihad, etc.]
- Hezbollah
- Republic of Lebanon
- The Islamic Republic of Iran
- The Canaan Confederation (as the overseeing body)

Recognizing the need for a durable peace and stability in the region, and with a commitment to the welfare of all peoples in the area, the parties agree to a 30-year Hudna (ceasefire) based on the following terms and conditions.

Article I: Objectives of the Hudna

1. To cease major hostilities between the signing parties.
2. To create a stable and peaceful environment conducive to the rebuilding and development of the region.
3. To facilitate the implementation of the broader Peace Plan, including the establishment of a viable Palestinian State and the security of the State of Israel.
4. To provide an explicit mechanism to reestablishing peace when conflict i the Middle East inevitably breaks out.

Article II: Terms and Conditions

1. Cessation of Hostilities:
    - All parties agree to an immediate and comprehensive cessation of all military actions, including but not limited to, rocket attacks, airstrikes, and ground operations.
    - Any violations of the ceasefire will be subject to immediate investigation and appropriate mediation as determined by members of the Canaan Confederation.

[14] This can be accepted with reservations

2. Demilitarization:
    - Specific areas, particularly Gaza, will undergo a phased demilitarization process overseen by Confederation peacekeeping forces.
    - Weapons caches and military infrastructure identified within these areas will be dismantled under international supervision.
3. Humanitarian Access:
    - Unimpeded humanitarian access will be granted to all affected areas to provide essential services, including medical aid, food, and water.
    - Reconstruction efforts will be coordinated by the Canaan Confederation in collaboration with local authorities and international agencies.
4. Prisoner Exchange:
    - All parties agree to a negotiated prisoner exchange as a goodwill gesture to build trust and foster reconciliation.
    - Detailed terms of the exchange will be determined by a joint committee established by the signatories.

Article III: Monitoring and Compliance

1. Establishment of Monitoring Mechanism:
    - A dedicated monitoring body will be established under the auspices of the Canaan Confederation to oversee compliance with the Hudna.
    - This body will include representatives from each signing party, international observers, and neutral experts.
2. Reporting and Accountability:
    - Regular reports will be submitted by the monitoring body to all signatories, detailing compliance and any violations of the ceasefire.
    - Mechanisms for accountability and resolution of disputes will be in place, including mediation and arbitration processes facilitated by the Canaan Confederation.

Article IV: Duration and Renewal

1. Initial Term:
    - This Hudna will be in effect for an initial period of 30 years from the date of signing.

- The signatories agree to maintain this overall security architecture for at least the duration of the Hudna.

2. Renewal and Extension:
    - A periodic 10-year review will be conducted to assess its effectiveness and discuss potential renewal or extension.
    - Renewal terms will be negotiated based on the prevailing conditions and the progress made toward peace and stability.

Article V: Final Provisions

1. Amendments:
    - Any amendments to this agreement must be made in writing and agreed upon by all signatories.
2. Dispute Resolution:
    - Any disputes arising from the interpretation or implementation of this agreement will be resolved through mediation and arbitration under the auspices of the Canaan Confederation.
3. Signatories:
    - This agreement is binding upon the undersigned parties and their respective successors and representatives.

Signatures:
[Representative from the State of Israel]
[Representative from the Palestinian Authority]
[Representative from Hamas]
[Representative from Islamic Jihad]
[Representative from the Canaan Confederation]

[International Observers]

Witnesses:
[Witness 1]
[Witness 2]

[Witness 3]

Appendix S: Draft United Nations Security Council Resolution deploying Peacekeepers to the of the Rafah Border Crossing

Date: [Insert Date]

Resolution Number: [Insert Resolution Number]

Subject: Immediate Deployment of Canaan Confederation Peacekeepers to the Rafah Border Crossing

The Security Council,
Recalling all its previous resolutions on the Israeli-Palestinian conflict,
Reaffirming the principles of the Charter of the United Nations,
Expressing deep concern over the ongoing humanitarian crisis in Gaza,
Noting the recent ruling of the International Court of Justice (ICJ) on provisional measures for Israel,
Recognizing the urgent need for humanitarian assistance and the protection of civilians,

Acting under Chapter VII of the Charter of the United Nations,

1. Calls for the immediate deployment of Canaan Confederation Peacekeepers to the Rafah border crossing to ensure the safe and unhindered provision of humanitarian aid to Gaza, in line with the ICJ ruling;

2. Requests that all Member States, in cooperation with the United Nations, support the deployment and operation of these peacekeepers to facilitate the opening of land crossings and the delivery of essential services, including food, water, electricity, fuel, shelter, medical supplies, and care;**

3. Urges the Government of Israel to comply fully with the ICJ's provisional measures, including increasing the capacity and number of land crossing points and maintaining them open as long as necessary;**

4. Calls upon Hamas and all other relevant actors to ensure the safety and security of humanitarian personnel and to cooperate fully with the peacekeeping forces;**

5. Requests the Secretary-General to report on the implementation of this resolution within 30 days and to provide regular updates thereafter;**

6. Decides to remain seized of the matter.

Appendix T: Draft Proposal for the Advisory Role of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers in the Rebuilding of Gaza under the Auspices of the Canaan Confederation

Executive Summary

This proposal outlines the strategic involvement of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) in the comprehensive rebuilding of the Gaza Strip, framed within the governance of the newly proposed Canaan Confederation. This initiative, following a successful deployment of multinational peacekeeping forces and a significant reduction in regional hostilities as outlined by the Hudna, positions the USACE to lend its expertise in engineering, construction project management, and unexploded ordnance (UXO) clearance in an advisory capacity. Crucially, this proposal plans for the integration of operational responsibilities to Turkey and the Arab nations within the Confederation, ensuring local leadership and labor in the reconstruction efforts, with no American personnel on the ground.

Objectives The objectives of this initiative are to provide expert advisory engineering and construction services for rebuilding essential infrastructure in the Gaza Strip, neutralize UXO threats to ensure safety throughout the reconstruction process, align projects with the sustainable and long-term development goals of the Canaan Confederation, and foster local capacity building and economic development through collaborative projects.

Preconditions The implementation of this proposal depends on securing a significant decrease in hostilities, guaranteed by the effective deployment and operation of peacekeeping forces, obtaining approval and support from both local governing bodies and international stakeholders, and ensuring adequate funding from diverse sources including the Confederation Waqf.

Scope of Work
The advisory scope includes planning and oversight in constructing roads, bridges, water systems, electrical grids, and medical and educational facilities. The USACE will also guide environmental projects and humanitarian constructions, involving community centers and housing.

Integration of UXO Clearance
A comprehensive UXO clearance strategy will be developed, with the USACE providing technological assessments and training for local teams, ensuring safety and effectiveness.

Phases of Deployment

1. **Preparation and Initial Response:** Advisory on security and coordination with peacekeeping forces, guiding initial debris and UXO clearance.

2. **Infrastructure and Environmental Stabilization:** Advisory on critical infrastructure projects and ongoing UXO operations.
3. **Reconstruction and Development Handover:** Gradual transfer of responsibilities to Arab nations of the Confederation, including training and capacity building.
4. **Evaluation and Long-Term Partnership:** Assess reconstruction efforts and establish sustained international support and collaboration.

Budget and Funding The budgeting process will be significantly supported by the Confederation Waqf, a financial institution designed to manage and allocate funds for projects that benefit the member states and their populations. This innovative funding mechanism will be central to sustaining the financial needs of the reconstruction effort. Additional funding will be sought through international aid, government grants, and strategic partnerships with global financial institutions, ensuring a diversified funding base to support the extensive scope of work.

Conclusion This proposal ensures that the USACE's involvement provides essential advisory services to address reconstruction needs effectively while integrating UXO clearance for safety. The strategic handover to local and regional authorities supports the goal of a resilient, self-sustaining Gaza Strip, benefiting from local expertise and international support in line with the goals of the Canaan Confederation.

Appendix U: Draft Canaan Confederation Educational Framework for the Judeo-Islamic and Abrahamic Traditions: Aiming for Détente

The interactions between Muslim and Jewish communities during the time of Prophet Muhammad reflect a history marked by both cooperation and conflict. These interactions began with the Constitution of Medina, a groundbreaking attempt to create a pluralistic society where various groups, including Jewish tribes, coexisted under shared legal and social frameworks. While these early agreements offered a model for unity, they also highlight the challenges of managing diverse religious and political interests within a nascent state.

While initial cooperation under the Constitution of Medina fostered coexistence, political and security concerns strained these relationships, eventually leading to conflicts such as the expulsion of Jewish tribes from Medina and Khaybar. These episodes illustrate the difficulties of balancing the needs of different communities within an emerging Muslim state. Understanding this history is key as we reflect on the ongoing struggles for mutual understanding between Jewish and Muslim communities today.

Drawing Analogies with the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict

The historical narrative of early Jewish-Muslim relations in Arabia serves as a valuable lens through which to view the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. In both cases, we find intertwined religious and political identities, competing territorial claims, and the complexities of governance. As in early Arabia, the modern conflict is shaped by deep historical grievances and profound mistrust between the communities. However, just as there were attempts at coexistence in Medina, today's efforts, epitomized by the Canaan Confederation's peace plan, focus on managing these divisions through structured diplomacy and governance frameworks.

Fostering Détente through Diplomatic Engagement and Security Cooperation

The goal of this framework is not to erase the difficult history or attempt to rewrite it, but to seek détente—a deliberate lowering of hostilities—between the Jewish and Muslim communities. By drawing on historical lessons from early interactions between these groups, we can shape a future grounded in pragmatic diplomacy. The new covenant for Al-Aqsa Mosque and Temple Mount, along with the security architecture of the Canaan Confederation, offers a foundation for mutual respect, shared governance, and the management of conflicts that have persisted for generations. The aim is to create a space where both communities can exist with reduced tensions, recognizing the reality of past conflicts but striving to prevent their continuation.

Educational Outreach for Building Détente

This educational initiative, integral to the Canaan Confederation, will emphasize the lessons of history, not as a tool for inflaming past grievances, but as a means of fostering a spirit of coexistence and mutual respect. The Canaan Confederation’s covenant aims to guide future interfaith relations while acknowledging the difficulties of the past, establishing a détente that is more attainable in the near term. The curriculum will focus on both the cooperative and the conflicted aspects of Jewish-Muslim relations in early Arabia, teaching students to view history as a complex interplay of factors rather than a single, one-sided narrative.

Canaan Confederation As an Educational Framework Itself

The name "Canaan Confederation" is thoughtfully chosen to acknowledge the shared Canaanite heritage of both Israelis and Palestinians, underscoring a deep historical connection to the land that predates modern national identities. Canaan, the ancient term for the Levant region, encompasses historical and cultural narratives that are integral to both Jewish and Arab histories. By invoking the name Canaan, the Confederation aims to foster a sense of shared belonging and historical continuity, moving beyond the divisive nationalistic narratives that have fueled conflict. This choice of name serves not only as a nod to the intertwined histories of the peoples of this region but also as a symbolic gesture towards healing and unity, suggesting that both communities have roots that are deeply embedded in the same soil. This acknowledgment is hoped to lay a foundational stone for mutual recognition and respect, essential for coexistence and peace within the framework of the Canaan Confederation.

The role of the Judeo-Islamic and the Abrahamic Traditions

Incorporating the Judeo-Islamic and broader Abrahamic traditions into initiatives for peace within the Canaan Confederation aligns with principles found in the Quran and Sunnah, promoting coexistence and mutual respect among different faiths. The Quran acknowledges Jews and Christians as "People of the Book," emphasizing a shared monotheistic foundation and respecting religious scriptures. This respect is rooted in verses such as Surah Al-Ankabut (29:46), which urges Muslims to say to People of the Book, "We believe in what has been revealed to us and revealed to you. Our God and your God is one, and unto Him we surrender."

Furthermore, the Sunnah—prophetic traditions—reinforce this approach through examples of the Prophet Muhammad's interactions with non-Muslim communities, such as his treaties with Christian tribes, offering them protection and rights to practice their religion freely. These actions set a historical precedent that supports the engagement in interfaith dialogues and collaborations.

By focusing on these shared Abrahamic roots and the Quranic call for respectful dialogue, the Canaan Confederation's initiatives can promote a sustainable peace framework that is deeply anchored in Islamic teachings. This approach not only fosters a peaceful coexistence but also

helps to heal historical wounds by reinforcing the commonalities that unite these faiths, contributing to a stable and inclusive environment in the region.

Conclusion: A Framework for Stability and Détente

The new covenant for Al-Aqsa Mosque and Temple Mount, supported by the security infrastructure of the Canaan Confederation, is a symbol of the commitment to détente. This effort aims to reduce tensions, facilitate cooperation where possible, and pave the way for future peace. By grounding this educational framework in historical realism and the pursuit of détente, we hope to set a precedent for conflict resolution that acknowledges the past while providing a path forward for both Jewish and Muslim communities.

Appendix V: Draft Framework for U.S.-Palestine Olive Oil Trade Agreement Under the Auspices of the Canaan Confederation

Preamble

- Acknowledge the mutual benefits of trade in agricultural products, specifically olive oil, between the United States of America and the State of Palestine, under the auspices of the Canaan Confederation.
- Recognize the importance of olive oil as a key agricultural export for Palestine and a valued import for the United States.
- Affirm the role of the Canaan Confederation in facilitating, overseeing, and providing peacekeeping protection for this trade as a crucial part of the Palestinian economy.

Article 1: Objectives

- Strengthen bilateral trade relationships and promote economic development in Palestine through enhanced access to the U.S. market.
- Ensure a stable supply of high-quality olive oil for the U.S. market.
- Utilize the support, oversight, and peacekeeping protection of the Canaan Confederation to ensure the longevity and security of this trade agreement.

Article 2: Tariff Reductions

- The United States agrees to reduce tariffs on imported Palestinian olive oil incrementally over a period of five years, leading to complete elimination of tariffs at the end of this period.
- Palestine agrees to adhere to the quality and health standards as required by U.S. regulations to maintain eligibility for tariff reductions.

Article 3: Quality Control and Standards

- Palestine must ensure that all olive oil exports meet U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) standards for import.
- Both parties agree to cooperate on technical assistance to help Palestinian producers meet these standards, with coordination and additional support from the Canaan Confederation.

Article 4: Trade Promotion and Support

- The U.S. will provide technical and financial assistance to Palestinian olive oil producers to upgrade their production capabilities, focusing on sustainable agricultural practices and quality improvement.

- Joint trade missions and business exchange programs will be organized annually to promote U.S.-Palestine business relationships in the agricultural sector, facilitated by the Canaan Confederation.

Article 5: Geotechnical Investment and Water Management

- Both parties commit to investing in geotechnical projects aimed at increasing the amount of fertile land available for olive cultivation and improving water usage efficiency.
- Projects will include advanced irrigation techniques, soil fertility enhancement programs, and sustainable land management practices.
- The Canaan Confederation will oversee and provide support for these initiatives, ensuring they align with broader environmental and economic goals.

Article 6: Peacekeeping Support

- The Canaan Confederation will provide peacekeeping forces to ensure the safety and stability of the olive oil production areas within Palestine.
- These forces will assist in protecting the infrastructure and workers involved in the olive oil industry, mitigating any disruptions that could affect trade.

Article 7: Dispute Resolution

- Any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved through bilateral negotiations under the mediation of the Canaan Confederation.
- If unresolved through negotiation, disputes will be referred to an agreed upon international arbitration entity.

Article 8: Amendments

- This agreement may be amended by mutual consent of the parties, with consultation and approval from the Canaan Confederation. Proposed amendments must be submitted in writing and approved by all parties.

Article 9: Entry into Force and Termination

- This agreement shall enter into force upon ratification by the appropriate governmental bodies of both nations and formal endorsement by the Canaan Confederation.
- Either party may terminate this agreement upon six months' written notice to the other party, with a copy to the Canaan Confederation.

Signatories

- [Designated Official, United States of America]
- [Designated Official, State of Palestine]
- [Representative, Canaan Confederation]

Date

- [Date of Signing]

Appendix W: Compact of Free Association Between the United States and the State of Palestine - A Stability Plan

This appendix outlines a strategic proposal for a Compact of Free Association (COFA) between the State of Palestine and the United States as part of the Canaan Confederation framework. This initiative is intended not only as a fallback should the primary objectives of the Confederation not be fully realized, but also as a significant effort to stabilize the region, provide justice and compensation for the Nakba, and amend America's historical role in Middle Eastern conflicts.

Objective and Rationale:
The COFA is designed to foster a robust relationship between the United States and Palestine, emphasizing stability, security, and economic development, while affirming Palestine's political sovereignty. This agreement aims to repair America's moral standing in the Middle East by directly addressing the historical grievances of the Palestinian people and promoting a positive precedent for U.S. involvement in the region.

Legal and Diplomatic Framework:
Under the COFA, Palestine would remain a sovereign entity with the United States providing economic aid, defense support, and assistance in international diplomacy. This support would respect Palestinian governance structures while ensuring security guarantees against external and internal threats.

Economic and Infrastructure Support:
The United States would commit to substantial economic assistance to develop Palestine’s infrastructure, educational systems, healthcare services, and cultural projects, such as the House of Wisdom in the Virtuous City of Gaza. This initiative aims to compensate the Palestinian people for losses incurred during the Nakba and subsequent conflicts, facilitating a transformative recovery and sustainable development.

Security Arrangements:
The U.S. would offer comprehensive defense support, including military training and equipment, to help Palestine secure its borders and maintain peace. These security arrangements would also include guarantees against aggression from neighboring states, particularly focusing on safeguarding the integrity and independence of Palestine.

Cultural and Educational Integration:
Reforms in education would align Palestinian curricula with international standards, promoting values of tolerance, democracy, and human rights. Legal and policy advisors from the U.S. would assist in crafting laws that encourage economic growth, protect civil liberties, and promote effective governance.

Sovereignty and Governance:
While retaining full sovereignty, Palestine would consult with the United States on issues impacting regional stability and international relations, ensuring a collaborative approach to foreign policy and security matters.

Financial Arrangements:
A structured financial assistance package would be provided annually, adjusted for inflation, supporting the Palestinian government's budget and special projects aimed at economic and infrastructure development.

Duration and Review:
The COFA would be enacted initially for a 30-year period with reviews every five years to adapt the terms according to the evolving geopolitical landscape and the progress towards the set objectives of peace, stability, and development.

Termination and Succession:
Provisions for renegotiation or termination would be included, allowing both parties to adapt to changes and ensure the agreement remains beneficial and relevant.

Conclusion:
This Compact of Free Association is envisioned as a pragmatic and respectful partnership that addresses the historical injustices faced by the Palestinian people and sets a precedent for positive U.S. engagement in the Middle East. It underscores America's commitment to fostering a stable, prosperous, and secure future for Palestine, reflecting a critical step towards healing and reconciliation in the region.

Appendix X: Estimating Total Amount of Occupied Land Using OSINT

Purpose

This appendix outlines the methodology and tools used to estimate the total land occupied by Israel, utilizing Open Source Intelligence (OSINT). This estimate supports the land lease scheme proposed in the peace plan.

Methodology

1. Data Collection
- Sources:
- Satellite imagery from Sentinel Hub, NASA, and Google Earth.
- Maps from OpenStreetMap and historical GIS databases.
- Reports and documents from the United Nations and other relevant international organizations.
- Criteria for Selection:
- Recency and relevance of data.
- Public accessibility and credibility of the source.
- Geographic coverage encompassing Israel, the West Bank, East Jerusalem, and the Golan Heights.

2. Data Analysis Using GIS Tools
- GIS Software: Utilization of QGIS and ArcGIS for spatial analysis.
- Processes:
- Importation of maps and satellite images into GIS software.
- Delineation of recognized international boundaries and occupied territories using layers and shapefiles.
- Calculation of total area within delineated boundaries using polygon area calculation features in GIS.

3. Verification and Accuracy Enhancement
- Cross-referencing: Comparing GIS results with historical data and other sources.
- Consistency Checks: Using multiple data sets and imagery sources to validate findings.

4. Reporting
- Mapping: Production of detailed maps highlighting the occupied areas.
- Detailed Findings: A report documenting the methodology, sources, calculations, and conclusions.

Tools and Resources
- GIS Software:
- QGIS: Open-source geographic information system.
- ArcGIS: Comprehensive suite for map creation and spatial analysis.
- Imagery and Maps:
- Google Earth: For satellite imagery and global mapping.

- Sentinel Hub: For access to multi-temporal satellite imagery.
- OpenStreetMap: For detailed and community-updated maps.
- Documentation:
- United Nations documentation for legal and recognized boundaries.
- Reports from international observatories and research institutions on land use and settlement expansion.

Considerations

- Disputed Territories: Analysis includes areas under dispute and zones affected by conflicts and settlements.
- Dynamic Boundaries: Acknowledgment of the fluid nature of boundaries due to ongoing political changes and settlement expansions.
- Ethical Use of Data: Ensuring that data usage complies with open-source licenses and respects privacy and security concerns.

Conclusion

The estimation of occupied land using OSINT provides a scientifically robust basis for addressing land lease issues in the peace plan. This appendix supports the transparent, accountable, and systematic approach to land allocation and management proposed in the broader framework of conflict resolution and regional stability.

Appendix Y: Deployment of Canaan Confederation Peacekeepers in the Jordanian Valley to Prevent Smuggling Activities by Foreign Actors

The Security Council,

Recalling all previous resolutions concerning the region, including Resolutions on the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, and the mandates for UN peacekeeping operations,

Reaffirming its strong commitment to the territorial integrity and sovereignty of Jordan and its neighboring states,

Noting the ongoing challenges related to smuggling activities by foreign actors in the Jordanian Valley, which threaten regional stability and security,

Acknowledging the request by the Jordanian government for international support to combat these challenges,

Recognizing the Canaan Confederation as a capable and neutral force that can aid in maintaining security and stability in the region,

1. **Authorizes** the deployment of the Canaan Confederation Peacekeeping Forces to the Jordanian Valley, under the Chapter VII of the United Nations Charter, to prevent smuggling activities by foreign actors;
2. **Decides** that the deployment will be for an initial period of twelve months, to be reviewed and extended as needed based on a report by the Secretary-General regarding the situation on the ground;
3. **Urges** all parties to cooperate fully with the Canaan Confederation Peacekeeping Forces and to ensure their safety and freedom of movement;
4. **Calls upon** member states to provide logistical, technical, and financial support to the Canaan Confederation Peacekeeping Forces to enable them to fulfill their mandate effectively;
5. **Demands** that all forms of smuggling of weapons, drugs, and human trafficking across the Jordanian Valley cease immediately, and that all parties refrain from any action that may further destabilize the situation;
6. **Encourages** the Canaan Confederation to engage in dialogues with local communities to ensure that the peacekeeping efforts are sensitive to the cultural and social dynamics of the region;
7. **Requests** the Secretary-General to report to the Council every three months on the implementation of this resolution, including progress on the peacekeeping mission and the status of smuggling activities in the Jordanian Valley;
8. **Decides** to remain actively seized of the matter.

Appendix Z: Deployment of Canaan Confederation Peacekeepers to Nablus, Tubas, Tulkarm, Jenin, and Surrounding Areas for Hostile Population Disengagement

The Security Council,

Recalling all relevant resolutions on the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and previous peacekeeping mandates,

Reaffirming its commitment to the principles of the United Nations Charter, particularly with respect to maintaining international peace and security,

Recognizing the security challenges and humanitarian concerns in the West Bank cities of Nablus, Tubas, Tulkarm, Jenin, and their surrounding areas, which necessitate immediate and coordinated international intervention,

Acknowledging the cooperation and support of the State of Israel, the Palestinian Authority, and the willingness of local militias to engage in peacekeeping efforts under international oversight,

Appreciating the Canaan Confederation's role in facilitating population disengagement and promoting stability in the region,

1. **Authorizes** the deployment of Canaan Confederation Peacekeeping Forces to the West Bank cities of Nablus, Tubas, Tulkarm, Jenin, and their surrounding areas under Chapter VII of the United Nations Charter, with the specific mandate to assist in the disengagement of hostile populations and to ensure regional security and stability;
2. **Supports** the establishment of a multi-party coordination mechanism involving the Canaan Confederation, the State of Israel, the Palestinian Authority, and local militias to ensure effective collaboration and communication during the peacekeeping mission;
3. **Calls upon** all involved parties to provide full cooperation to the Canaan Confederation Peacekeeping Forces, ensuring their safety, freedom of movement, and access to all necessary areas to fulfill their mandate;
4. **Demands** an immediate cessation of hostilities and all acts of violence within the areas of operation to enable the safe and effective deployment of peacekeeping forces and the protection of civilians;
5. **Encourages** member states and international organizations to contribute logistical, technical, and financial support necessary to sustain the peacekeeping mission and facilitate the disengagement process;
6. **Requests** the Secretary-General to appoint a special envoy to oversee the coordination and implementation of the peacekeeping mandate and to report back to the Security

Council every three months on the progress, challenges, and any adjustments needed for the mission;

7. **Establishes** a monitoring and evaluation mechanism to assess the compliance of all parties with the terms of the resolution and the effectiveness of the peacekeeping operations, with the findings to be reported to the Security Council;
8. **Urges** all parties to engage in dialogue facilitated by the Canaan Confederation to address underlying grievances, support reconciliation efforts, and promote long-term peace and stability in the region;

Appendix AA: Phased Deployment of Canaan Confederation Peacekeepers to the Gaza Strip for Hostile Population Disengagement

The Security Council,

Recalling all relevant resolutions on the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, including those concerning peacekeeping and humanitarian efforts in the Gaza Strip,

Reaffirming its commitment to the principles of the United Nations Charter, particularly with respect to maintaining international peace, security, and respect for sovereignty,

Recognizing the ongoing security and humanitarian challenges in the Gaza Strip, which have contributed to instability and necessitate immediate international intervention,

Acknowledging the cooperation and support of the State of Israel, the Palestinian Authority, and local entities in facilitating peacekeeping efforts and the need for a phased approach to deployment,

Appreciating the role of the Canaan Confederation in promoting peace and stability through the disengagement of hostile populations,

1. **Authorizes** the phased deployment of Canaan Confederation Peacekeeping Forces to the Gaza Strip under Chapter VII of the United Nations Charter, with the specific mandate to assist in the disengagement of hostile populations, ensure regional security, and promote stability;
2. **Supports** the establishment of a multi-party coordination mechanism involving the Canaan Confederation, the State of Israel, the Palestinian Authority, and relevant local entities to ensure effective collaboration, communication, and phased implementation during the peacekeeping mission;
3. **Mandates** that the phased deployment begin with an initial assessment mission to establish secure zones, followed by the gradual expansion of peacekeeping operations as conditions allow, with the objective of full operational capacity within six months;
4. **Calls upon** all involved parties to provide full cooperation to the Canaan Confederation Peacekeeping Forces, ensuring their safety, freedom of movement, and access to all necessary areas to fulfill their mandate effectively;
5. **Demands** an immediate cessation of hostilities and all acts of violence within the Gaza Strip to enable the safe and effective deployment of peacekeeping forces and the protection of civilians;
6. **Encourages** member states and international organizations to contribute logistical, technical, and financial support necessary to sustain the phased peacekeeping mission and facilitate the disengagement process;

7. **Requests** the Secretary-General to appoint a special envoy to oversee the coordination and phased implementation of the peacekeeping mandate, and to report back to the Security Council every three months on the progress, challenges, and any adjustments needed for the mission;
8. **Establishes** a monitoring and evaluation mechanism to assess the compliance of all parties with the terms of the resolution and the effectiveness of the phased peacekeeping operations, with the findings to be reported to the Security Council;
9. **Urges** all parties to engage in dialogue facilitated by the Canaan Confederation to address underlying grievances, support reconciliation efforts, and promote long-term peace and stability in the Gaza Strip;
10. **Decides** to remain actively seized of the matter.

Appendix AB: A Secular-Humanist Interpretation of the Conflicts Between the Muslims and the Jewish Tribes of Medina

⚠️⚠️Disclaimer⚠️⚠️

The following interpretation is offered by the author approaching these events from a secular-humanist perspective. This analysis aims to provide a nuanced and balanced understanding of events that are deeply meaningful to the early development of Islam. While acknowledging the religious significance of these historical moments, the goal here is to explore them through a human and historical lens to foster greater understanding and contribute to a dialogue of peace. This analysis is not intended to undermine or challenge religious beliefs but to offer an interpretation that respects the complexity of the past in the hope of promoting reconciliation in the present.

Human Nature and the Struggle for Survival in 7th-Century Arabia

The conflicts between the Prophet Muhammad and the Jewish tribes in Medina can be understood as part of the broader human struggle for survival in a volatile political and social environment. In 7th-century Arabia, political allegiances were highly fluid, and groups often shifted loyalties based on survival, resources, and power. This period was marked by intense competition for control over political, social, and religious influence.

Muhammad was initially invited to Medina as a peacemaker, tasked with resolving the deep-seated tribal conflicts that plagued the city. Before his arrival, Medina, home to various Arab and Jewish tribes, was embroiled in longstanding disputes and rivalries that undermined social cohesion. The city's leaders recognized Muhammad's reputation for wisdom and fairness, and they sought his guidance in creating a unified, peaceful community. His role as a mediator was solidified with the establishment of the Constitution of Medina, which aimed to bring together diverse groups under a common legal and social framework, promoting cooperation and mutual defense. Muhammad's invitation as a peacemaker highlights his early mission of fostering stability and unity in a fractured society.

The Prophet Muhammad, as both a religious and political leader, was also tasked with unifying various tribes and factions under the new Islamic community, or ummah. This community was built not only on shared religious values but also on political unity, social cohesion, and security. The Jewish tribes—Banu Qaynuqa, Banu Nadir, and Banu Qurayza—initially entered into alliances with Muhammad through the Constitution of Medina. However, over time, their rejection of his prophethood and their perceived disloyalty posed a threat to the stability of the Muslim community.

These tensions were both tied to religious differences and to political survival and the creation of a unified social order. The Prophet's actions, including the expulsion of the Jewish tribes and the subsequent conflicts, were driven by the need to protect the nascent Muslim

community from internal threats and to establish a stable political system. The volatile nature of 7th-century Arabia, where tribalism and survival were paramount, meant that the rejection of Muhammad's authority was seen as an existential threat.

Perception of the Jewish Tribes as a Fifth Column

As tensions grew, the Jewish tribes came to be seen as a "fifth column"—an internal threat aligned with external enemies. The Jewish tribes' refusal to fully integrate into the Muslim social order, along with their alleged alliances with external forces like the Quraysh during the Battle of the Trench, further cemented this perception. The Banu Qurayza's fate, after being accused of collaborating with the Quraysh, highlighted the fear of internal betrayal.

The eventual expulsion of the Banu Qaynuqa and Banu Nadir, as well as the harsh punishment of the Banu Qurayza, were seen as necessary measures to protect the nascent Muslim state. These actions were not only about maintaining religious authority but also about securing political and social cohesion in an environment where loyalty and unity were critical for survival.

Khaybar and the Final Act of Resistance

The conflict between Muhammad and the Jewish tribes effectively ended with the conquest of Khaybar in 628 CE. After their expulsion from Medina, many of the Jewish tribes settled in Khaybar, where they continued to resist Muslim expansion. The Battle of Khaybar marked the final military defeat of the Jewish resistance, with the Jews of Khaybar surrendering and agreeing to give half of their produce to the Muslim community in exchange for being allowed to remain.

However, the poisoning of Muhammad by Zaynab bint Al-Harith, a Jewish woman seeking revenge for the loss of her family in Khaybar, served as a symbolic conclusion to this chapter of conflict. Although Muhammad survived the immediate effects of the poison, it is believed to have had lasting health impacts and is thought by some to have contributed to his death years later. This act of poisoning reflected the deep animosity that had built up through these prolonged conflicts.

Historical Conflicts as Products of Human Nature

From a humanist perspective, these conflicts were not solely religious but deeply rooted in human nature and the struggle for survival. The fluidity of political allegiances, the need for social order, and the realities of tribalism in 7th-century Arabia created an environment where loyalty was critical, and any threat to unity was met with decisive action. The rejection of Muhammad's leadership by the Jewish tribes, their perceived alliances with external enemies, and their resistance to integrating into the Muslim social order were seen as existential threats, leading to their eventual expulsion and defeat.

The Prophet’s Divinely Ordained Task

Muhammad’s mission in 7th-century Arabia was an immense and critical task, as it required the unification of a fragmented, volatile society while introducing a new religious vision. His efforts to establish a stable social and political order, while simultaneously ensuring the survival of the Muslim community, were essential to the formation of what would become a major world religion and political entity. Understanding these conflicts through this lens highlights the pragmatic and necessary nature of Muhammad's actions, as well as the extraordinary challenges he faced in his quest to build a unified and secure community in a deeply divided region.

1. **Unifying a Divided Society:**
    - **Tribalism and Fragmentation:** Arabia at the time was marked by intense tribal loyalties, and allegiances were often temporary and fluid, depending on circumstances and immediate needs. Muhammad's mission to unite these disparate groups under the banner of Islam was a difficult and critical endeavor. He sought to create a sense of broader community (*ummah*) that transcended tribal identities, binding people together through shared religious values and a common social structure.
2. **Creating Social and Political Order:**
    - **Building a New Social Order:** The task of establishing a unified political entity in Medina was as much a social and political challenge as it was a religious one. The Constitution of Medina, which Muhammad introduced, was an innovative attempt to create a multi-religious, multi-tribal society governed by shared principles of cooperation and mutual defense. This was groundbreaking in a region where governance was traditionally based on tribal loyalty and kinship ties.
3. **Ensuring the Survival of the Muslim Community:**
    - **Protecting the *Ummah*:** Muhammad's actions, particularly in dealing with the Jewish tribes of Medina, were fundamentally about ensuring the survival of the fledgling Muslim community. He had to navigate a highly volatile political environment while consolidating his authority, safeguarding the unity of the Muslim *ummah*, and ensuring that external and internal threats did not destabilize this new social order. The expulsion of the Jewish tribes was part of this effort to protect the community from what he perceived as existential threats.
4. **Introducing a New Religious Vision:**
    - **A New Religious Framework:** Beyond the political and social challenges, Muhammad's task involved introducing a new religious framework that he believed was a continuation of the Abrahamic tradition, aimed at uniting people under the belief in one God. Convincing the diverse tribes and religious communities of Arabia to accept this vision, especially those who held firmly to their pre-existing religious traditions, was a monumental challenge.

Muhammad's Lasting Impact on the Arab World

The Arab world owes much of its development and historical significance to the Prophet Muhammad's successful unification of Arabia. His leadership brought an end to tribal divisions, established a new social and political order, and set the stage for the Arab world to become a major center of culture, knowledge, and influence. Muhammad's legacy continues to shape the Arab world and the broader Islamic civilization to this day.

1. **Unification of the Arabian Peninsula:**
    - **From Fragmentation to Unity:** Before Muhammad's leadership, Arabia was marked by deep tribal divisions, with constant warfare between clans. His

success in uniting these tribes under Islam was a key factor in ending internal strife and bringing a sense of shared purpose to the region.
    - **Foundation of a New Political Order:** Muhammad established a political structure based on Islamic principles, which provided the foundation for the Islamic Caliphate. This new order allowed the Arab world to move beyond tribalism and establish a unified state that could interact and compete with other powerful empires of the time.
2. **Cultural and Intellectual Growth:**
    - **Expansion of Knowledge:** The unification under Islam also led to the creation of a civilization that prioritized knowledge, scholarship, and cultural exchange. The early Islamic Caliphates became centers of learning and contributed significantly to advancements in science, mathematics, medicine, and philosophy, all of which had a profound impact on the Arab world and beyond.
    - **Arabic Language and Identity:** Muhammad's mission solidified the Arabic language as the lingua franca of the Islamic world, which became a key factor in the cultural and intellectual development of the region. The spread of the Quran, written in Arabic, helped unify diverse populations under a common language and religious text.
3. **Global Influence:**
    - **Spread of Islam:** After Muhammad's death, the Arab world expanded rapidly under the Rashidun and Umayyad Caliphates, spreading Islam across a vast territory from the Iberian Peninsula to Central Asia. This expansion was not only religious but also political, cultural, and economic, turning the Arab world into one of the most powerful and influential regions of the time.
    - **Influence on Later Civilizations:** The Arab world's golden age, which flourished after Muhammad's unification of Arabia, had a profound influence on later civilizations, especially in Europe. The transmission of scientific and philosophical knowledge during the Islamic Golden Age laid the groundwork for the European Renaissance.

War as a Means to Peace

While Muhammad's ultimate goal was to establish peace and create a unified community under Islam, the volatile and tribal nature of Arabian society meant that warfare was an unavoidable aspect of achieving that unity. In many cases, conflict was necessary to break the power of opposing factions, protect the Muslim community from external threats, and assert the new social order.

However, these wars were often followed by efforts to reconcile and integrate former adversaries, as seen in Muhammad's clemency towards the Quraysh after the conquest of Mecca and his dealings with other tribes that eventually joined the ummah. War, in this context, was not an end in itself but a means to the larger goal of establishing peace and stability.

In order to unify the Arabian Peninsula and bring lasting peace to the region, Muhammad had to wage war when necessary. The fragmented and tribal nature of Arabia made conflict inevitable, but Muhammad's strategic use of warfare was always tied to the broader goal

of peace. He demonstrated that while military force was sometimes needed to achieve unity, it could be followed by diplomacy, clemency, and reconciliation to build a lasting and cohesive society.

The Jews of Medina Did Not Fit Within the New Social Order

The Jews of Medina were unfortunate to find themselves on the other side of Muhammad's groundbreaking mission to unify Arabia and create a new social and political order. Their conflicts with the Muslim community during this period were part of the broader struggle for survival and adaptation in a rapidly changing world. While Muhammad's leadership was crucial to the development of the Arab world and the rise of Islam, the Jewish tribes were left behind as history moved forward in a new direction. Their story highlights the complexity and often tragic nature of historical transitions, where not all groups are able to share in the transformative outcomes.

From the internal Islamic perspective, the refusal of the Jewish tribes in Medina to accept Muhammad as the final prophet posed a significant theological and social challenge. Islam views itself as the culmination of previous Abrahamic faiths, and the final revelation brought by Muhammad was seen as a necessary truth to be accepted by all. The refusal of some Jewish tribes to acknowledge this final revelation went beyond religious disagreement; it was seen as a threat to the unity and integrity of the emerging Muslim ummah. Their rejection symbolized not just a difference in belief but a destabilizing force that could undermine the ideological foundation upon which the new Islamic community was being built.

In a society where religious and political leadership were inseparable, this rejection could not be easily tolerated without jeopardizing the cohesiveness of the Muslim social order. To maintain the integrity of the community, which was rooted in a shared belief in Muhammad’s prophethood and the finality of his message, those who openly refused to accept this truth were seen as challenging the very foundation of the new system. Thus, the presence of groups that rejected the final revelation, such as some of the Jewish tribes, was viewed as incompatible with the vision of a unified Muslim state, leading to inevitable tensions.

1. **Caught in a Transition:**
    - The Jewish tribes in Medina had their own established social, religious, and political structures long before Muhammad's arrival. As Muhammad sought to build a unified *ummah* that transcended tribal boundaries and religious differences, those who resisted, including the Jewish tribes, found themselves increasingly isolated and marginalized.
    - While their initial cooperation with Muhammad through the Constitution of Medina indicated an early attempt to coexist, their eventual conflicts with the Muslim community led to their expulsion or defeat, which meant that they did not share in the benefits of the unified society Muhammad was building.
2. **A Different Outcome:**

- Had the dynamics between the Jewish tribes and Muhammad's followers played out differently, history might have taken another path, where the Jewish community was more integrated into the fabric of the early Islamic state. However, the intense religious and political tensions, coupled with the perceived threats to the nascent Muslim community's survival, resulted in their exclusion from the unfolding of this new chapter of Arabian history.

3. **Historical Complexity:**
   - It is important to view these events through the lens of human nature and the complexity of survival and power struggles in a highly volatile period. The Jewish tribes of Medina were simply one of many groups trying to navigate the rapid social changes occurring during Muhammad's rise. While they played a significant role in the early period of Islamic history, they were ultimately on the losing side of a much larger historical shift.

Validating the Jewish Perspective on the Conflicts in Medina

The Jewish tribes of Medina had a legitimate right to reject conversion to Islam, grounded in their longstanding religious traditions and beliefs. In the Islamic world, the prohibition of apostasy—leaving one's faith after conversion—highlights the importance Islam places on religious conviction and the gravity of changing one's fai th. This underscores the Jews' right to adhere to their own religion without coercion, as rejecting forced conversion aligns with the principle of religious sincerity. Furthermore, the Golden Rule, present in both Islamic and Jewish teachings, emphasizes treating others as one would wish to be treated. Just as Islam prohibits forced conversion, the Jewish tribes' refusal to convert was an expression of their right to maintain their religious identity, deserving of respect and protection under these ethical and religious principles.

Validating the Jewish perspective on the treaty violations during Muhammad's time is important to foster a more balanced understanding of the historical conflicts. From their viewpoint, the Jewish tribes of Medina—Banu Qaynuqa, Banu Nadir, and Banu Qurayza—likely saw the events surrounding the alleged treaty violations differently than how the Muslim community interpreted them.

1. **Concerns About Autonomy:**
   - The Jewish tribes of Medina had lived in the region long before Muhammad's arrival and had established social, religious, and economic systems that were deeply ingrained in their communities. When Muhammad arrived and sought to establish a new political and religious order, the Jewish tribes may have perceived this as a threat to their autonomy and way of life.
   - From their perspective, the treaties and agreements like the Constitution of Medina may have been viewed with caution, as they could potentially subordinate the tribes to a leader whose religious and political authority they did not accept.
2. **Religious and Political Tensions:**
   - The rejection of Muhammad's prophethood was a significant point of contention. The Jewish tribes, who adhered to their own religious traditions, likely saw no

reason to recognize Muhammad as a prophet, as this went against their long-held beliefs. This theological difference inevitably created political friction, as Muhammad's role combined both spiritual and political leadership.
    - The Jewish tribes might have interpreted the political and religious changes Muhammad was introducing as a fundamental alteration to the social contract they had previously adhered to. In their eyes, maintaining their religious independence and political autonomy might have been a priority, which could have influenced their stance on the treaties.
3. **Perception of the Conflicts:**
    - The Jewish tribes may not have seen themselves as having violated the treaties, but rather as defending their interests in an increasingly volatile and uncertain environment. Allegiances and survival strategies in 7th-century Arabia were fluid, and from their perspective, they might have been navigating a complex landscape in which maintaining alliances with various groups, including external forces, was essential for survival.
    - The accusations of collusion with external enemies, such as the Quraysh during the Battle of the Trench, may have been seen differently by the Jewish tribes. They could have viewed their actions as pragmatic, aimed at ensuring their community's safety rather than as outright betrayal.

By acknowledging the Jewish perspective on these events, we recognize that history is complex and often shaped by differing interpretations. The Jewish tribes likely felt they were protecting their autonomy and way of life in the face of sweeping changes, while the Muslim community viewed their actions as a direct threat to the unity and security of the new Islamic order.

Validating the Jewish perspective on the treaty violations helps provide a more nuanced understanding of the conflicts in Medina. Recognizing that the Jewish tribes had legitimate concerns about their autonomy and survival offers a more balanced view of the events. This understanding can contribute to modern discussions of Jewish-Muslim relations and specifically the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, showing that even in historical conflicts, there were multiple sides with different interests and interpretations.

Relevance to the Present: Moving Beyond Historical Grievances

While these events hold deep religious and historical significance, they should not be carried forward as reasons for modern-day animosity. The conflicts between Muhammad and the Jewish tribes were products of their time—driven by survival, political necessity, and the human struggle for power and control. They occurred in a specific historical and cultural context that no longer applies to today's world, as both religions have long been established as permanent fixtures in the human consciousness.

Carrying forward the animosities from these conflicts into modern times serves only to perpetuate divisions that are no longer relevant. Instead, understanding these events as part of the broader human experience allows for healing and reconciliation. Theological differences and political struggles from 1400 years ago should not be used as a basis for ongoing conflict between communities today.

Conclusion:

The conflicts between the Prophet Muhammad and the Jewish tribes of Medina were deeply tied to the volatile political landscape of 7th-century Arabia, where survival, political power, and social cohesion were inseparable from religious leadership. While these conflicts were significant in their time, they should be understood as part of the natural human struggle for survival and order, rather than as a justification for continuing animosity. From a secular-humanist lens, recognizing the human motivations behind these events allows us to move beyond historical grievances and focus on building a future of understanding and peace, free from the burdens of ancient conflicts.

Appendix AC: A Spectulative Interpretation of the Death of the Prophet Muhammad

⚠️⚠️Disclaimer⚠️⚠️

Disclaimer: *The following interpretation is provided by an infidel author offering an independent perspective on the historical events described. It is important to note that this analysis is speculative, based on historical inference and interpretation of the available sources.*

The death of the Prophet Muhammad and the immediate fatality of his companion, Bishr ibn al-Bara', following a poisoning incident at Khaybar, present complex scenarios analyzed through various potential poisons. After the Battle of Khaybar, the Prophet and his companions were served poisoned meat by a Jewish woman named Zaynab bint Al-Harith. While the Prophet Muhammad survived the initial poisoning, he suffered long-term effects until his death, as he later remarked, "O Aisha! I still feel the pain caused by the food I ate at Khaybar, and at this time, I feel as if my aorta is being cut from that poison" (Sahih Bukhari, Volume 5, Book 59, Hadith 713). Bishr ibn al-Bara', however, died shortly after consuming the poisoned meat, indicating the use of a highly toxic substance.

Analysis of Possible Poisons:

1. **Aconite (Wolfsbane)** and **Hemlock** were considered due to their historical availability and the immediate and severe symptoms they can cause. Both are capable of causing rapid death but are less commonly associated with long-term effects. While they could explain the quick demise of Bishr ibn al-Bara', they do not fit well with the prolonged suffering reported by the Prophet Muhammad.
2. **Arsenic** emerges as the most likely candidate by process of elimination. Arsenic was well-known to the ancient Greeks, Romans, and Egyptians for its ability to cause both acute and chronic poisoning. Given the extensive trade routes and cultural exchanges between these civilizations and the Arabian Peninsula, it is highly likely that knowledge of arsenic and its uses as a poison was present in 7th-century Arabia. Arsenic's effects align with the severe gastrointestinal distress and potential death within hours or days, as well as long-term suffering if consumed in a smaller dose. Its capacity to cause prolonged health issues even after surviving the initial acute poisoning phase makes it a plausible explanation for the symptoms described by the Prophet. However, this interpretation remains speculative, as the historical sources do not provide direct evidence of arsenic being the specific poison used.
3. **Native Arabian Poisons:** It is also possible that a locally sourced or native poison from the Arabian Peninsula was used in the incident. The Arabian Peninsula had a variety of toxic plants and potentially venomous animals whose toxins could be used as poisons. For instance:

a. **Toxic Plants:** Certain plants native to the region, possibly from the *Solanaceae* family (which includes nightshades), could contain lethal compounds. These could have been known to local healers or communities.
b. **Animal Toxins:** Venom from snakes or scorpions, while typically associated with bites or stings, could also have been harnessed deliberately as a poison.
c. **Herbal Concoctions:** Traditional Arabian medicine involved the use of various herbs, some of which might have been toxic if used improperly or intentionally for harm.

While arsenic is still the most likely candidate due to its well-documented effects and historical use, the possibility of a native poison being used cannot be discounted, especially considering the local knowledge and resources available at the time. However, this possibility also remains speculative, as there is no direct evidence pointing to a specific native poison being used in this context.

Ethical Dimensions of this Analysis:

1. **Respect for Religious Beliefs:** This analysis is conducted with respect for the religious significance of the events surrounding the Prophet Muhammad. The aim is to approach the subject with sensitivity, using neutral language to avoid offending religious beliefs.
2. **Cultural and Historical Context:** The interpretation acknowledges the importance of understanding these events within their historical and cultural contexts, avoiding the imposition of modern values on historical figures and events.
3. **Ethical Responsibility of the Interpreter:** The analysis is transparent about its speculative nature and the perspective of the interpreter. The goal is to maintain academic integrity while respecting the subject matter.
4. **Relevance to Contemporary Discourse:** This interpretation is included as part of a broader peace plan, specifically due to the use of this story in the rhetoric of Amin al-Husseini, the first leader of the Palestinian national movement. The intent is to openly illuminate and address historical narratives that have fueled tensions.
5. **Commitment to Truth and Integrity:** This peace plan is committed to truth and integrity. We believe that addressing historical narratives honestly, even when they are complex and sensitive, is crucial for understanding the conflict and fostering reconciliation.

Conclusion:

Given the widespread knowledge of arsenic among the Greeks, Romans, and Egyptians, and the likelihood that this knowledge reached 7th-century Arabia through trade and cultural exchanges, arsenic is identified as the most likely poison involved in this historical episode. Aconite and hemlock, while possible candidates, are less likely to account for both the immediate and prolonged symptoms described in the Islamic texts. The potential use of a native Arabian poison adds another layer of complexity, but arsenic's known properties and historical prevalence make it the strongest contender. However, it is crucial to acknowledge that this analysis is speculative, relying on historical inference and interpretation of sources that do not provide definitive proof of the specific poison used.

Appendix AD: Proposal for a Modern, Temporary Tabernacle to Implement Isaiah 56:7 in 15 Years

Objective

This proposal aims to establish a framework for building a **modern, temporary Tabernacle** that will serve as a shared space for all peoples, as prophesied in Isaiah 56:7 ("for my house shall be called a house of prayer for all peoples"). The construction of this Tabernacle will only begin after at least 15 years of strict adherence to the Confederation hudna (truce), ensuring sustained peace and trust between Israel and its neighbors.

Project Overview

- **Location:** The proposed location for the Tabernacle will remain the courtyard of the Al-Aqsa Mosque, chosen for its spiritual significance to all Abrahamic faiths.
- **Design:** The Tabernacle will be a **temporary, modular structure** that can be quickly built and dismantled, using modern materials and techniques to ensure flexibility and minimal impact on the sacred site.
- **Symbolism:** The Tabernacle will represent peace and reconciliation, not as a permanent structure but as a symbol of cooperation that can be adjusted or removed as circumstances require.

15-Year Hudna Requirement

- **No Construction Before Peace:** The construction of the Tabernacle will not even be considered until **15 consecutive years** of strict adherence to a hudna (truce) have been observed.
- **Mutual Agreement:** Both Israeli and Palestinian authorities must maintain the truce without serious violations during this period, proving a long-term commitment to peace.
- **Verification of Peace:** An international monitoring body will be responsible for ensuring both parties adhere to the terms of the hudna, maintaining a consistent and verified peace for 15 years before moving forward with the Tabernacle project.

Modern Construction Approach (Post-Hudna)

- **Modular and Prefabricated:** After 15 years of peace, the Tabernacle will be constructed using **modern, lightweight materials** such as prefabricated steel, modular panels, and sustainable elements. This will allow for quick assembly and easy dismantling if needed.
- **Technology Integration:** The Tabernacle will include modern technology for international interfaith participation, including virtual prayer spaces and dialogue platforms.

- **Minimal Impact on Sacred Spaces:** The modular design will ensure no lasting alteration to the Al-Aqsa courtyard or surrounding areas, and the Tabernacle can be removed without causing damage.

Terms for Hudna (Truce)

- **Duration:** The truce must last for a minimum of 15 years with no significant violations.
- **Commitment to Peace:** Both Israel and Palestinian authorities, along with regional and international stakeholders, must commit to this period of peace.
- **Security Measures:** During the 15-year period, an international peacekeeping force will oversee adherence to the hudna and ensure both sides respect the agreement.
- **Post-Hudna Review:** At the end of 15 years, the success of the hudna will be reviewed, and if the truce has held, discussions on beginning construction will start.

Stakeholders

- **Israeli and Palestinian Governments:** Both governments must officially agree to the hudna terms, and must show a willingness to support the Tabernacle's construction after the peace period.
- **Religious Communities:** Religious leaders from Judaism, Islam, and Christianity will be involved in both the peace process and the eventual creation of the Tabernacle.
- **International Bodies:** International organizations such as the UN, religious councils, and peace organizations will help oversee the truce, construction process, and security.

Implementation Timeline (Post-Hudna)

- **Hudna Period (Years 1-15):** The first 15 years will be dedicated solely to maintaining the hudna. No construction or serious planning for the Tabernacle will begin before this period is complete.
- **Post-Hudna Review (End of Year 15):** At the end of 15 years, stakeholders will evaluate whether the peace has been maintained and if it is safe to move forward.
- **Design Finalization (Years 16-17):** After the 15-year hudna, if the review is positive, final architectural plans for the modern Tabernacle will be created.
- **Construction (Year 18-19):** The modular Tabernacle will be quickly assembled, using advanced, sustainable materials and techniques that allow for swift construction.
- **Opening Ceremony (End of Year 19):** The interfaith Tabernacle will officially open, marking a new chapter of peace and cooperation.

Funding and Resources

- **International Support:** Funding will be sourced from global philanthropists, religious organizations, and peace-promoting governments.

- **Modern Materials:** Construction materials will focus on sustainability and flexibility, allowing the Tabernacle to be adapted or dismantled as necessary.
- **Collaboration:** International architects and engineers will work alongside local teams to ensure the Tabernacle reflects the region's cultural and religious significance.

Expected Outcome

- **A Long-Lasting Peace Foundation:** The 15-year hudna will provide a solid foundation of trust, upon which the Tabernacle can be built.
- **Symbol of Unity:** The modern Tabernacle will stand as a symbol of peace, shared faith, and cooperation, emphasizing the message of Isaiah 56:7.
- **Flexibility for the Future:** The temporary, modular nature of the Tabernacle ensures it can evolve with the needs of the region and will not create permanent tension.

Appendix AE: Proposal For A Constitutional Convention for Israel with Endorsement of the Canaan Confederation

Introduction

The recent debates over judicial reform in Israel underscore the need for a comprehensive approach to governance that balances power among the executive, legislative, and judicial branches. However, instead of a piecemeal judicial overhaul, this proposal advocates for the creation of a **Constitutional Convention** to establish a formal constitution. Additionally, as part of this constitutional process, Israel would **endorse the formation of the Canaan Confederation**, a vision for future regional cooperation and peace. The detailed implementation of the confederation can be developed in a phased manner, but the initial endorsement would set the groundwork for eventual collaboration and reconciliation with the Palestinian people and potentially other regional partners.

Rationale for a Constitutional Convention and Confederation Endorsement

1. **Resolving Domestic Tensions**: The current legal framework in Israel, relying on Basic Laws, is ill-equipped to handle the growing tensions between different branches of government. Establishing a constitution would create a more balanced and transparent system of governance. Including an endorsement of the Canaan Confederation aligns with Israel's long-term security and diplomatic interests, signaling a willingness to explore regional cooperation.
2. **Pathway to Peace**: While detailed discussions about the structure of the Canaan Confederation can be deferred, Israel's endorsement would signal a serious commitment to peace and regional stability. This would also bolster Israel's international standing by showing a willingness to pursue innovative, cooperative solutions to the conflict.
3. **Maintaining Sovereignty**: Endorsing the Canaan Confederation does not require Israel to relinquish its sovereignty. Instead, it would create the framework for future discussions on how regional cooperation might look, with both Israeli and Palestinian interests protected under a future constitutional framework.
4. **Regional Leadership**: By endorsing the Canaan Confederation, Israel positions itself as a leader in creating a new, inclusive vision for the region, one that transcends historic animosities and promotes cooperation without compromising on core national interests.

Structure of the Constitutional Convention

1. **Purpose of the Convention**:

    - The primary goal of the Constitutional Convention would be to draft a formal constitution for Israel that establishes a clear balance of power between the executive, legislative, and judicial branches.
    - A secondary, but significant objective, would be for Israel to formally **endorse the vision of the Canaan Confederation**, signaling openness to a cooperative future with the Palestinian people and the region.
2. **Phased Endorsement of the Confederation**:
    - **Initial Endorsement**: As part of the constitutional process, Israel would include a **non-binding endorsement** of the Canaan Confederation, committing to the principle of future regional cooperation.
    - **Future Discussions**: The endorsement would create the groundwork for future diplomatic and political discussions on the structure of the confederation, leaving the details to be worked out through later negotiations.
3. **Inclusivity**:
    - The Constitutional Convention would include representatives from various sectors of Israeli society, including religious and secular groups, civil rights organizations, legal experts, and minority representatives.
    - Palestinian representatives, as well as regional and international observers, could be invited to engage in discussions on the endorsement of the Canaan Confederation, though the primary focus remains on drafting Israel's constitution.

Key Elements of the Proposed Constitution

1. **Separation of Powers**: The constitution would clearly define the roles and powers of the executive, legislative, and judicial branches, ensuring a balanced distribution of power.
2. **Judicial Independence and Review**: The judiciary's role in reviewing laws and government actions would be explicitly outlined, preserving its independence while ensuring that checks and balances remain intact.
3. **Fundamental Rights**: The constitution would include a Bill of Rights, guaranteeing individual freedoms such as freedom of speech, religion, and equality before the law. Minority rights, including those of Arab Israelis, would be protected.
4. **Endorsement of the Canaan Confederation**: The constitution would include a provision **endorsing the principle of the Canaan Confederation**, signaling Israel's willingness to participate in future discussions on regional cooperation and peace with Palestine and other potential member states.

Benefits of Endorsing the Canaan Confederation

1. **Diplomatic Leverage**: Israel's endorsement would improve its international standing, demonstrating a proactive commitment to peace and collaboration in a way that could ease tensions with its neighbors and international critics.

2. **Flexibility in Implementation**: By endorsing the confederation now, Israel retains full control over the implementation process, ensuring that it can negotiate the details at a later stage, when the political and security climate is more favorable.
3. **Security and Stability**: A formal endorsement of the Canaan Confederation signals Israel's recognition that long-term peace and security will require regional cooperation. This could lead to improved relations with the Palestinian people and foster a new framework for addressing security concerns.
4. **Domestic Unity**: Endorsing the Canaan Confederation as part of a broader constitutional framework could help unite various factions within Israeli society, including those concerned about long-term peace and security, without immediately forcing any dramatic changes.

Conclusion

Rather than focusing narrowly on judicial reform, Israel should seize the opportunity to draft a formal constitution through a Constitutional Convention. As part of this process, endorsing the principle of the **Canaan Confederation** would pave the way for future regional cooperation and conflict resolution. By committing to this endorsement, Israel can take a leadership role in shaping a peaceful and cooperative future, while leaving the details of implementation to be worked out later through careful negotiation and diplomacy

Appendix AF: Ghetto Screenshot of Calculations for Land Lease Scheme

Step 1: Determine the Total Area of Mandatory Palestine

$$\text{Total Area of Mandatory Palestine} = 26,990 \text{ km}^2$$

Step 2: Calculate the Land Allocated to Israel per the 1947 UN Partition Plan

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Allocation Percentage to Israel} &= 55\% = 0.55 \\ \text{Allocated Area to Israel} &= \text{Total Area} \times \text{Allocation Percentage} \\ &= 26,990 \text{ km}^2 \times 0.55 \\ &= 14,844.5 \text{ km}^2\end{aligned}$$

Step 3: Determine the Current Area Under Israeli Control

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Israel's Recognized Territory (Pre-1967 borders)} &= 20,770 \text{ km}^2 \\ \text{East Jerusalem} &= 70 \text{ km}^2 \\ \text{Area C of the West Bank} &= 3,384 \text{ km}^2 \\ \text{Total Area Under Israeli Control} &= 20,770 \text{ km}^2 + 70 \text{ km}^2 + 3,384 \text{ km}^2 \\ &= 24,224 \text{ km}^2\end{aligned}$$

Step 4: Calculate the Excess Land Area Controlled by Israel

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Excess Area} &= \text{Total Area Under Israeli Control} - \text{Allocated Area to Israel} \\ &= 24,224 \text{ km}^2 - 14,844.5 \text{ km}^2 \\ &= 9,379.5 \text{ km}^2\end{aligned}$$

Step 5: Convert the Excess Area to Dunams

$$\begin{aligned}1 \text{ km}^2 &= 1,000 \text{ dunams} \\ \text{Excess Area in Dunams} &= 9,379.5 \text{ km}^2 \times 1,000 \\ &= 9,379,500 \text{ dunams}\end{aligned}$$

References

(n.d.). Israeli Palestinian Confederation – Official Website. Retrieved April 26, 2024, from https://ipconfederation.org/

The 50 Years War: Israel and the Arabs [TV series]. (1998). PBS. https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLpoX921v2BDLjkwGwRdop6ixeQt4YKCSJ

Abi, M. (2023, November 9). Behind Hamas's Bloody Gambit to Create a 'Permanent' State of War. *The New York Times*. https://www.nytimes.com/2023/11/08/world/middleeast/hamas-israel-gaza-war.html

Abraham, Y. (2023, November 30). *'A mass assassination factory': Inside Israel's calculated bombing of Gaza*. +972 Magazine. Retrieved April 28, 2024, from https://www.972mag.com/mass-assassination-factory-israel-calculated-bombing-gaza/

Abraham, Y. (2024, April 3). *'Lavender': The AI machine directing Israel's bombing spree in Gaza*. +972 Magazine. Retrieved April 28, 2024, from https://www.972mag.com/lavender-ai-israeli-army-gaza/

Abu Ali, H. (2024, June 29). The battle against Western arrogance. *Al Mayadeen*. https://english.almayadeen.net/articles/blog/the-battle-against-western-arrogance

Adams, D. (1969, December 31). AIM TO OUST JEWS PLEDGED BY SHEIKH; Head of Moslem Brotherhood Says U.S., British 'Politics' Has Hurt Palestine Solution (Published 1948). *The New York Times*. https://www.nytimes.com/1948/08/02/archives/aim-to-oust-jews-pledged-by-sheikh-head-of-moslem-brotherhood-says.html

Agranat-Tamir, Lily et al. (n.d.). The Genomic History of the Bronze Age Southern Levant. *Cell*, *181*(5), 1146-1157.e11. https://www.cell.com/action/showPdf?pii=S0092-8674%2820%2930487-6

Al Bukhari, I. (2020). *Sahih Al-Bukhari: (All Volumes in One Book) English Text Only* (M. M. Uddin, Ed.). Mohee Uddin.

Amidror, Y. (n.d.). *The Risks of Foreign Peacekeeping Forces in the West Bank*. Jerusalem Center For Public Affairs. Retrieved April 28, 2024, from https://jcpa.org/requirements-for-defensible-borders/foreign_peacekeeping_forces/

Antonius, G. (2015). *The Arab Awakening: The Story of the Arab National Movement*. Echo Point Books and Media.

Azzam, A. (n.d.). *DEFENSE OF THE MUSLIM LANDS*. worldofislam. Retrieved May 15, 2024, from https://ebooks.worldofislam.info/ebooks/Jihad/Defence%20of%20Muslim%20Lands.pdf

Baconi, T. (2018). *Hamas Contained: The Rise and Pacification of Palestinian Resistance*. Stanford University Press.

Baker, R. S., & Wilson, W. (n.d.). *Sykes–Picot Agreement*. Wikipedia. Retrieved April 26, 2024, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sykes%E2%80%93Picot_Agreement

Battle of Grozny (1994–1995). (n.d.). Wikipedia. Retrieved May 5, 2024, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Grozny_(1994%E2%80%931995)

Battle of Grozny (1999–2000). (n.d.). Wikipedia. Retrieved May 5, 2024, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Grozny_(1999%E2%80%932000)

Beatty, I. (1957). *Arab and Jew in the Land of Canaan*. Henry Regnery Co.

Beer, I. (2022, March 31). *Project Zero: FORCEDENTRY: Sandbox Escape*. Google Project Zero. Retrieved May 11, 2024, from https://googleprojectzero.blogspot.com/2022/03/forcedentry-sandbox-escape.html

Beer, I., & Groß, S. (2021, December 15). *A deep dive into an NSO zero-click iMessage exploit: Remote Code Execution*. Google Project Zero. Retrieved May 11, 2024, from https://googleprojectzero.blogspot.com/2021/12/a-deep-dive-into-nso-zero-click.html

Ben-Ami, S. (2022). *Prophets Without Honor: The 2000 Camp David Summit and the End of the Two-state Solution*. Oxford University Press.

Bergman, R., & Mazzetti, M. (2024, May 16). *The Unpunished: How Extremists Took Over Israel*. https://www.nytimes.com/2024/05/16/magazine/israel-west-bank-settler-violence-impunity.html

Bickerton, I. J., & Klausner, C. L. (2018). *A History of the Arab-Israeli Conflict*. Routledge Taylor & Francis Group.

Bin Laden, O. (2002, 11 24). *Letter to the American people*. The Observer: Full text: bin Laden's 'letter to America'. https://web.archive.org/web/20040615081002/http://observer.guardian.co.uk/worldview/story/0,11581,845725,00.html

Bostom, A. (2013). *The Mufti's Islamic Jew-Hatred: What the Nazis Learned from the 'Muslim Pope'*. CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform.

Bostom, A. G. (Ed.). (2008). *The Legacy of Islamic Antisemitism: From Sacred Texts to Solemn History*. Prometheus Books.

Bostom, A. G. (Ed.). (2008). *The Legacy of Jihad: Islamic Holy War and the Fate of Non-Muslims*. Prometheus Books.

Bostom, A. G. (2014). *Iran's Final Solution for Israel: The Legacy of Jihad and Shi'ite Islamic Jew-Hatred in Iran*. CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform.

Britannica. (22, September 22). *Tourism In Taliban Controlled Afghanistan*. Youtube. Retrieved May 7, 2024, from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2oMW5pL9Z4w

Burns, W. J. (2019). *The Back Channel: A Memoir of American Diplomacy and the Case for Its Renewal*. Random House.

CNN (Writer). (2024, May 7th). Watch US Marine's GoPro footage that challenges Pentagon's account of attack at Kabul airport [TV series episode]. https://youtu.be/SkM-Eyw4o-w?si=u_xRTMkMkQMaxpwX

Coalition Provisional Authority Order 1. (n.d.). Wikipedia. Retrieved May 7, 2024, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coalition_Provisional_Authority_Order_1

Coalition Provisional Authority Order 2. (n.d.). Wikipedia. Retrieved May 7, 2024, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coalition_Provisional_Authority_Order_2

Cohen, J., & Stein, S. (2014). *Sephardi Lives: A Documentary History, 1700-1950*. Stanford University Press.

Cohen, M. R. (1994). *Under crescent and cross : the Jews in the Middle Ages*. Princeton University Press.

Commanders for Israel's Security. (n.d.). *SECURITY FIRST: Changing The Rules of the Game*. Commanders for Israel's Security. Retrieved April 28, 2024, from http://en.cis.org.il/wp-content/uploads/2016/05/snpl_plan_eng.pdf

The Covenant of the Islamic Resistance Movement. (1988). The Avalon Project: Hamas Covenant 1988. https://avalon.law.yale.edu/20th_century/hamas.asp

Dahbour, A. (2018, September 9). *The New Palestinian Curriculum - 2018–19 Update—Grades 1–12 Eldad J. Pardo, PhD*. IMPACT-se. Retrieved May 6, 2024, from https://www.impact-se.org/wp-content/uploads/The-New-PA-2018-Curriculum_Grades-1-12.pdf

The Day After: The Development of the War Requires Brave Decisions. (n.d.). The Institute for National Security Studies. https://www.inss.org.il/wp-content/uploads/2024/04/Day-After-04-ENG.pdf

Dayton, K., Jeffrey, J., Lerman, E., Silverman, R., & Warrick, T. (n.d.). Plan for Postwar Gaza. Retrieved May 14, 2024, from

https://www.wilsoncenter.org/sites/default/files/media/uploads/documents/Plan_for_Postwar_Gaza_Full_Report.pdf#page48

Declaration of Principles on Interim Self-Government Arrangements. (1993). https://peacemaker.un.org/sites/peacemaker.un.org/files/IL%20PS_930913_DeclarationPrinciplesnterimSelf-Government%28Oslo%20Accords%29.pdf

Dinur, B. Z., Avigur, S., & Slutsky, Y. (1954). *Sefer Toldot ha-Haganah (The History of the Haganah)* (Vol. 1). ha-Sifriyah ha-Tsiyonit.

EINSTEIN STATEMENT ASSAILS BEGIN PARTY. (1948, December 3). *New York Times*. https://timesmachine.nytimes.com/timesmachine/1948/12/03/85632547.html?pageNumber=5

Eisenberg, L. Z., & Caplan, N. (2010). *Negotiating Arab-Israeli Peace, Second Edition: Patterns, Problems, Possibilities*. Indiana University Press.

Elpeleg, Z. (1993). *The Grand Mufti: Haj Amin Al-Hussaini, Founder of the Palestinian National Movement* (S. Himelstein, Ed.). Frank Cass.

EU foreign policy head urges world to impose solution to Israeli-Palestinian conflict. (2024, January 3). The Times of Israel. Retrieved May 21, 2024, from https://www.timesofisrael.com/eu-foreign-policy-head-urges-world-to-impose-solution-to-israeli-palestinian-conflict/

Fārābī. (1998). *On the Perfect State: (Mabādi' Ārā' Ahl Al-madīnat Al-fāḍilah)* (R. Walzer, Ed.; R. Walzer, Trans.). Great Books of the Islamic World.

Finkelstein, N. G. (2018). *Gaza: An Inquest Into Its Martyrdom*. University of California Press.

Fletcher, R. (2001). *Moorish Spain*. Phoenix.

Frank, A. (1997). *The Diary of a Young Girl*.

FRONTLINE PBS (Executive Producer). (2014). *How the Obama Administration Handled the Rise of ISIS* [TV series]. PBS. https://youtu.be/OH_dxQTY8Ng?si=mpVwuglIvGknFRw5

FRONTLINE PBS (Executive Producer). (2014). *Losing Iraq* [TV series]. PBS. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=G-Wi2LgwD5U&t=1406s

Gassel, R. (2022, November 10). *Israelis and Palestinians are both indigenous and why that matters*. The Blogs. Retrieved September 3, 2024, from https://blogs.timesofisrael.com/israelis-and-palestinians-are-both-indigenous-and-why-that-matters/

GBU-57A/B MOP. (n.d.). Wikipedia. Retrieved April 28, 2024, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/GBU-57A/B_MOP

Gettleman, J. (2023, December 28). 'Screams Without Words': How Hamas Weaponized Sexual Violence on Oct. 7. *The New York Times*. https://www.nytimes.com/2023/12/28/world/middleeast/oct-7-attacks-hamas-israel-sexual-violence.html

Gibson, M. (Director). (2004). *The Passion of the Christ* [Film]. Icon Productions.

Gil-Shuster, C. (2023, March). *Palestinians: Do you want Iran to nuke Tel Aviv?* https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RNyfUzWpeF8

Goitein, S. D. (n.d.). *A Mediterranean Society*.

Goitein, S. D. (1964). *Jews and Arabs: Their Contacts Through the Ages*. New York.

Goitein, S. D. (1973). *From the Land of Sheba: Tales of the Jews of Yemen* (S. D. Goitein, Ed.). Schocken Books.

Goldberg, J. (2009, January 2). Nizar Rayyan of Hamas on God's Hatred of Jews. *The Atlantic*. Retrieved April 26, 2024, from https://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2009/01/nizar-rayyan-of-hamas-on-god-apos-s-hatred-of-jews/9278/

Goodfriend, S. (2024, April 25). *Why human agency is still central to Israel's AI-powered warfare*. +972 Magazine. Retrieved April 28, 2024, from https://www.972mag.com/israel-gaza-lavender-ai-human-agency/

Haim, S. G. (1962). *Arab Nationalism: An Anthology*. University of California Press.

Hamas, The United States, Egypt, & Qatar. (n.d.). *Hostage Deal Framework*. https://static.timesofisrael.com/www/uploads/2024/05/%D9%88%D8%B1%D9%82%D8%A9-%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%88%D8%B3%D8%B7%D8%A7%D8%A1-5-5.pdf?_gl=1*hhyg8m*_ga*MTg2NTQyNjE4MC4xNzEyMjYxMjMy*_ga_RJR2XWQR34*MTcxNTE4OTgyMy41My4xLjE3MTUxODk5MDcuMC4wLjA.

Hamas 2017 Updated Covenant. (2017). Hamas in 2017: The document in full. https://www.middleeasteye.net/news/hamas-2017-document-full

Harry S. Truman Library. (2019, April 9). *MP2002-344 Former President Truman Discusses the Establishment of Israel*. Retrieved May 17, 2024, from https://youtu.be/NnkOi7q4uI0?si=XQZpxsM2J7vDfDK4

Hertzberg, A. (Ed.). (1997). *The Zionist Idea: A Historical Analysis and Reader*. Jewish Publication Society.

Huntington, S. P. (2011). *The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order*. Simon & Schuster.

'I Asked Sinwar, Is It Worth 10,000 Innocent Gazans Dying? He Said, Even 100,000 Is Worth It' - Israel News. (2024, April 13). Haaretz. Retrieved May 6, 2024, from https://www.haaretz.com/israel-news/2024-04-13/ty-article-magazine/.highlight/i-asked-sinwar-is-it-worth-10-000-gazans-dying-he-said-even-100-000-is-worth-it/0000018e-d40a-d5ed-adcf-f79af56c0000

In a Single Hour, Israeli Snipers Killed Seven Bystanders at the Jenin Refugee Camp - Twilight Zone. (2024, June 14). *Haaretz*.

https://www.haaretz.com/israel-news/twilight-zone/2024-06-14/ty-article-magazine/.highlight/in-a-single-hour-israeli-snipers-killed-seven-bystanders-at-the-jenin-refugee-camp/0000000190-16fe-d231-a1b2-f6ff7d4e0000

Indyk, M. (2021). *Master of the Game: Henry Kissinger and the Art of Middle East Diplomacy*. Knopf Doubleday Publishing Group.

Infinity Ward. (2007, November 5). *Call of Duty 4: Modern Warfare*. Activision.

Infinity Ward. (2019, October 25). *Call of Duty: Modern Warfare (2019 video game)*. Activision.

Interpretation of the Meanings of the Noble Qur'an in the English Language (M. M. Khan & M. T.-D. Al-Hilali, Trans.). (2011). Dar-us-Salam Publications.

The Iran-Iraq War Part 1 [TV series]. (n.d.). PBS. https://www.dailymotion.com/video/x8b2os3

The Iran-Iraq War Part 2 [TV series]. (n.d.). PBS. https://www.dailymotion.com/video/x8b2os5

The Iran-Iraq War Part 3 [TV series]. (n.d.). PBS. https://www.dailymotion.com/video/x8b6k1r

The Iran-Iraq War Part 4 [TV series]. (n.d.). PBS. https://www.dailymotion.com/video/x8b7l17

Ismay, J. (2024, May 11). A Brief History of the 2000-Pound Bombs Central to U.S.-Israeli Tensions. *The New York Times*. https://www.nytimes.com/2024/05/11/us/israel-gaza-bombs.html

Israel's Critical Requirements for Defensible Borders: The Foundation for a Secure Peace. (2014). Jerusalem Center for Public Affairs. https://jcpa.org/wp-content/uploads/pdf/DB_web.pdf

Jacobsen, A. (2024). *Nuclear War: A Scenario*. Penguin Publishing Group.

Jones, O. (2023, December 15). *This Israeli Soldier Fought In Gaza. Now He Speaks Out*. Youtube. Retrieved April 28, 2024, from https://youtu.be/n0KKHIS9D7I?si=0_7GzCggtKRIkajV

Khalidi, R. (2010). *Palestinian Identity: The Construction of Modern National Consciousness*. Columbia University Press.

Khalidi, R. (2021). *The Hundred Years' War on Palestine: A History of Settler Colonialism and Resistance, 1917–2017*. Henry Holt and Company.

Khalidi, W. (Ed.). (1987). *From Haven to Conquest: Readings in Zionism and the Palestine Problem Until 1948*. Institute for Palestine Studies.

Khalidi, W. (Ed.). (1991). *Before Their Diaspora: A Photographic History of the Palestinians, 1876-1948*. Institute for Palestine Studies.

Khalidi, W. (Ed.). (2006). *All that Remains: The Palestinian Villages Occupied and Depopulated by Israel in 1948*. Institute for Palestine Studies.

Khomeini, R. (2005). *Islamic Government: Governance of the Jurist*. Institute for Compilation and Publication of Imam Khomeini's Work.

Killing of David Ben Avraham. (n.d.). Wikipedia. Retrieved May 8, 2024, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Killing_of_David_Ben_Avraham

Kreiman, Y. (2023, November 5). *UNRWA Education: Textbooks and Terror*. IMPACT-se. Retrieved May 6, 2024, from https://www.impact-se.org/wp-content/uploads/UNRWA-Education-Textbooks-and-Terror-Nov-2023.pdf

Laqueur, W., & Schueftan, D. (Eds.). (2016). *The Israel-Arab Reader: A Documentary History of the Middle East Conflict: Eighth Revised and Updated Edition*. Penguin Publishing Group.

Lewis, B. (1984). *The Jews of Islam*. Princeton University Press.

Lewis, B. (1990). *Race and slavery in the Middle East: an historical enquiry*. Oxford University Press.

Lewis, B. (1990, September 1). The Roots of Muslim Rage. *The Atlantic*. Retrieved April 26, 2024, from https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/1990/09/the-roots-of-muslim-rage/304643/

Lewis, B. (1993). *The Arabs in history*. OUP Oxford.

Lewis, B. (2003). *What went wrong? : the clash between Islam and modernity in the Middle East*.

Lis, J. (2024, August 22). Shin Bet Chief Warns PM and Ministers: Jewish Terror Is Jeopardizing Israel's Existence. *Haaretz*. https://www.haaretz.com/israel-news/2024-08-22/ty-article/.premium/shin-bet-chief-warns-pm-and-ministers-jewish-terror-is-jeopardizing-israels-existence/00000191-7b9a-de04-af9b-7b9b38070000?utm_source=mailchimp&utm_medium=Content&utm_campaign=israel-at-war

Madelung, W. (1997). *The Succession to Muhammad: A Study of the Early Caliphate*. Cambridge University Press.

Manufacturing Intellect. (2017, August 30). *Young Benjamin Netanyahu on Palestine*. Youtube. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g1c-DSZ_l9Q&t=470s

Masalha, N. (2018). *Palestine: A Four Thousand Year History*. Bloomsbury Academic.

Masonic Bible. (n.d.). The Freemasons.

Mattar, P. (1988). *The Mufti of Jerusalem: Al-Hajj Amin Al-Husayni and the Palestinian National Movement*. Columbia University Press.

Mattar, P. (1992). *The Mufti of Jerusalem: Al-Hajj Amin Al-Husayni and the Palestinian National Movement*. Columbia University Press.

McMahon, H. (n.d.). *McMahon–Hussein Correspondence*. Wikipedia. Retrieved April 26, 2024, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/McMahon%E2%80%93Hussein_Correspondence

Menocal, M. R. (2002). *The Ornament of the World: How Muslims, Jews, and Christians Created a Culture of Tolerance in Medieval Spain*. Little, Brown.

Morris, B. (2004). *The birth of the Palestinian refugee problem revisited*. Cambridge University Press.

Morrow, J. A. (2013). *The Covenants of the Prophet Muhammad with the Christians of the World*. Angelico Press, Sophia Perennis.

Mozer, Y. (Director). (2016). *Ben-Gurion, Epilogue* [Film].

Nagayeva, Z., & Zhussipbek, G. (2019). Epistemological Reform and Embracement of Human Rights. What Can be Inferred from Islamic Rationalistic Maturidite Theology. *Open Theology*, *5*(1), 347-365. https://doi.org/10.1515/opth-2019-0030

Palestine question/Future government of Palestine/One-State proposal – Ad Hoc Cttee Subcommittee #2. (1947). Palestine question/Future government of Palestine/One-State proposal. https://www.un.org/unispal/document/auto-insert-185470/

The Palestinian National Charter. (n.d.). Avalon Project. Retrieved April 28, 2024, from https://avalon.law.yale.edu/20th_century/plocov.asp

Pappe, I. (2007). *The Ethnic Cleansing of Palestine*. Oneworld Publications.

PBS (Executive Producer). (n.d.). *Beyond Baghdad* [TV series]. FRONTLINE PBS. https://youtu.be/u2ne_MNa3vk?si=bdyM2ncnHtBCQNj4

Peel Commission Report. (1937). https://content.ecf.org.il/files/M00300%20-%20PeelCommissionFullReport.pdf

Peres, S., & Naor, A. (1993). *The New Middle East*. Henry Holt.

Peters, F. E. (2018). *The Children of Abraham: Judaism, Christianity, Islam*. Princeton University Press.

Piven, B. (2023, December 12). *Canaan confederation: Plan for peace post-Hamas & Bibi*. The Blogs. Retrieved April 26, 2024, from https://blogs.timesofisrael.com/canaan-confederation-plan-for-peace-post-hamas-bibi/

Promoting dialogue about Israel and Palestine. (n.d.). Reddit. Retrieved April 28, 2024, from https://www.reddit.com/r/IsraelPalestine/

Quillette. (n.d.). *Dan Schueftan on the Palestine-Israel Conflict*. Youtube. Retrieved May 19, 2024, from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VH3-h44VRrQ&t=1771s

The Qur'an (M. A. Abdel Haleem, Trans.). (2008). OUP Oxford.

Quray', A. (2006). *From Oslo to Jerusalem: The Palestinian Story of the Secret Negotiations*. Bloomsbury Academic.

Quray', A. (2008). *Beyond Oslo, the struggle for Palestine : inside the Middle East peace process from Rabin's death to Camp David*. Bloomsbury Academic.

Quray', A. (2015). *Peace Negotiations in Palestine: From the Second Intifada to the Roadmap*. Bloomsbury Academic.

Quṭb, S. (2000). *Social justice in Islam*. Islamic Publications International.

Quṭb, S. (2006). *Basic Principles of the Islamic Worldview* (R. David, Trans.). Islamic Publications International.

Quṭb, S. (2008). *Milestones*. Islamic Book Service.

Quṭb, S. (2015). *In the Shade of the Qur'ān* (M. A. Salahi & A. A. Shamis, Eds.; M. A. Salahi & A. A. Shamis, Trans.). Islamic Foundation.

The Real News Network. (2024). *'They call us terrorists': Inside the Palestinian resistance forces of Jenin, West Bank*. Youtube. Retrieved April 24, 2024, from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4WfLVa1u4pk&t=598s

Rodrigue, A. (2003). *Jews and Muslims : images of Sephardi and eastern Jewries in modern times*. University of Washington Press.

Rose, S. (n.d.). *Bid'ah According to the Four Madhhabs*. https://ia800409.us.archive.org/35/items/BidahAccordingToTheFourMadhhabs/Bidah%20according%20to%20the%20four%20Madhhabs.pdf

Ross, D. (2005). *The Missing Peace: The Inside Story of the Fight for Middle East Peace*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

Said, E. W. (1992). *The question of Palestine*. Knopf Doubleday Publishing Group.

Said, E. W. (1994). *Orientalism*. Knopf Doubleday Publishing Group.

Sanders, R. (1983). *The high walls of Jerusalem : a history of the Balfour Declaration and the birth of the British mandate for Palestine*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston.

Sanger, D. E. (2012, June 1). Obama Ordered Wave of Cyberattacks Against Iran. *The New York Times*. https://www.nytimes.com/2012/06/01/world/middleeast/obama-ordered-wave-of-cyberattacks-against-iran.html

Schmidtke, S. (Ed.). (2016). *The Oxford Handbook of Islamic Theology*. Oxford University Press.

Segev, T. (2019). *A State at Any Cost: The Life of David Ben-Gurion* (H. Watzman, Ed.; H. Watzman, Trans.). Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

Shaul, Y. (2014, November 26). *Israeli Veterans Break Their Silence on Life in the Occupied Territories*. Open Society Foundations. Retrieved April 27, 2024, from https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/voices/israeli-veterans-break-their-silence-life-occupied-territories

Sher, G. (2006). *The Israeli-Palestinian Peace Negotiations, 1999-2001: Within Reach*. Routledge.

Shireen Abu Akleh. (n.d.). Wikipedia. Retrieved May 12, 2024, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shireen_Abu_Akleh

Shlaim, A. (1988). *Collusion across the Jordan: King Abdullah, the Zionist movement, and the partition of Palestine*. Columbia University Press.

Six Day War [Film]. (n.d.). https://youtu.be/pvisd4N3tZI?si=ny7ekzu_XKDPzEjc

Spielberg, S. (Director). (1993). *Schindler's List* [Film]. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TEY0dQAF4k4

State of Israel & Palestine Liberation Organization. (1995, September 22). *Israeli-Palestinian Interim Agreement on the West Bank and the Gaza Strip (Oslo II)*. https://www.refworld.org/legal/agreements/par/1995/en/20547

The 'State-Plus' Framework: A Confederal Solution for Israel-Palestine. (n.d.). Brookings Institution. Retrieved April 26, 2024, from https://www.brookings.edu/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/The-State-Plus-Framework-English.pdf

Stillman, N. A. (1979). *The Jews of Arab Lands: A History and Source Book* (N. A. Stillman, Ed.). Jewish Publication Society of America.

Stillman, N. A. (2003). *The Jews of Arab Lands in Modern Times*. Jewish Publication Society.

Syria's Civil War (2011—ongoing). (n.d.). Reddit. Retrieved April 28, 2024, from https://www.reddit.com/r/syriancivilwar/

Teveth, S. (1985). *Ben-Gurion and the Palestinian Arabs: From Peace to War*. Oxford University Press.

Times of Israel. (2024, June 13). *Police chief to A-G: Ben Gvir sought to interfere in policing, stop protection of Gaza aid convoys*. Times of Israel. https://www.timesofisrael.com/liveblog_entry/police-chief-to-a-g-ben-gvir-sought-to-interfere-in-policing-stop-protection-of-gaza-aid-convoys/

Tov, M. H., & Kubovich, Y. (2024, August 13). *Haaretz Investigation: Israeli Army Uses Palestinian Civilians to Inspect Potentially Booby-trapped Tunnels in Gaza*. Haaretz. https://www.haaretz.com/israel-news/2024-08-13/ty-article-magazine/.premium/idf-uses-gazan-civilians-as-human-shields-to-inspect-potentially-booby-trapped-tunnels/00000191-4c84-d7fd-a7f5-7db6b99e0000

United Nations General Assembly Resolution 181. (1947). United Nations General Assembly Resolution 181. https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/United_Nations_General_Assembly_Resolution_181

The United States Government. (2024). *United States Holocaust Memorial Museum*.

A Vision to Improve the Lives of the Palestinian and Israeli People. (2020, January 1). Trump White House. Retrieved May 12, 2024, from https://trumpwhitehouse.archives.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/Peace-to-Prosperity-0120.pdf

Watt, W. M. (2000). *Muhammad at Medina*. Oxford University Press.

Winter, T. (Ed.). (2008). *The Cambridge Companion to Classical Islamic Theology*. Cambridge University Press.

WTC 9/11 | First Plane Hit in North Tower | Jules Naudet Video (Remastered 60fps AI Upscaled). (2021, October 17). https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=St7ny38gLp4

The Wye River Memorandum. (1998). The Wye River Memorandum. https://web.archive.org/web/20010715073548/http://www.knesset.gov.il/process/docs/wye_eng.htm