
Article Title in Title Case and Concise Academic Style (no more than 15 words)

First Author^{1*}, Second Author², Third Author³

¹Department of Computer Science, University Name, Country

²Department of Information Systems, University Name, Country

³Research Center or Institution, Country

*Corresponding author: email@domain.edu

Copyright: ©2026 The authors. This article is published by Pelita Tech and is licensed under the CC BY 4.0 license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

<https://doi.org/xxxxxx>

ABSTRACT

Received:

Revised:

Accepted:

Available online:

Keywords:

artificial intelligence; information systems; data analytics; software engineering; digital transformation

Provide a single-paragraph abstract of 150–250 words. State the research problem, purpose, method, main findings, and practical contribution. Avoid citations, equations, and undefined abbreviations in the abstract. Use clear academic English because this journal targets computing and information systems readers from broad interdisciplinary backgrounds.

1. Introduction

Explain the research context, gap, urgency, and contribution. The introduction should end with a concise statement of the study objective or hypothesis. Keep the narrative focused and grounded in recent literature from computing, information systems, or related interdisciplinary domains.

Explain the research context, gap, urgency, and contribution. The introduction should end with a concise statement of the study objective or hypothesis. Keep the narrative focused and grounded in recent literature from computing, information systems, or related interdisciplinary domains. Written in 500 words maximum, Tahoma 10 pt.

2. Literature Review

Summarize key theories, models, and prior studies. Show how the reviewed literature informs your conceptual framework, research variables, or technical design decisions. Use citations consistently and synthesize sources instead of listing them mechanically.

Summarize key theories, models, and prior studies. Show how the reviewed literature informs your conceptual framework, research variables, or technical design decisions. Use citations consistently and synthesize sources instead of

listing them mechanically. Written in 800 words maximum, Tahoma 10 pt.

3. Method

Describe the research design, dataset, instruments, algorithms, system architecture, evaluation metrics, or analysis procedures in enough detail for replication. For empirical computing studies, include software, hardware, parameter settings, and validation strategy where relevant.

Describe the research design, dataset, instruments, algorithms, system architecture, evaluation metrics, or analysis procedures in enough detail for replication. For empirical computing studies, include software, hardware, parameter settings, and validation strategy where relevant. Written in 600 words maximum, Tahoma 10 pt.

3.1 Data, Materials, or System Components

Use numbered or bulleted lists only when they improve clarity. Define datasets, platforms, respondents, modules, and variables clearly. Report units, versions, and time spans where appropriate.

- Dataset or source description
- Model or system specification

- Evaluation criteria and validation procedure

Table 1. Example of performance comparison layout

Method	Dataset	Accuracy	F1-score
Baseline CNN	Dataset A	89.2%	0.88
Proposed Model	Dataset A	93.8%	0.92
Ensemble Method	Dataset B	94.1%	0.93

State Table 1 and so on in the text so that readers can fully understand the context. Do the same for figures. State Figure 1 and so on so that readers can fully understand the context.




Figure 1. Example of figure placement and caption style

4. Results and Discussion

In this section, authors should begin by presenting the main findings in a concise and organized manner before moving into a deeper critical interpretation of those results. The discussion must clearly explain how the findings address the research objectives and demonstrate their relevance to the questions or hypotheses proposed in the study. Rather than merely restating numerical values or descriptions already shown in tables or figures, authors are encouraged to focus on the meaning, significance, and implications of the results. Repetition of table contents word for word should be avoided when such information is already visible to readers.

Furthermore, the discussion should adopt a scholarly compare-and-contrast approach by relating the present findings to those of previous studies through appropriate citations. Authors

should identify points of agreement and divergence, and explain whether the current results support, extend, refine, or challenge existing knowledge. Any similarities or differences with prior research should be discussed critically and academically, not simply mentioned descriptively. Where findings are unexpected, inconsistent, or contrary to earlier studies, possible reasons should be explored in a logical and evidence-based way. These explanations may include methodological differences, contextual factors, sample characteristics, technological settings, or theoretical perspectives.

Authors should also acknowledge the limitations of the study and explain how these may have influenced the findings or their interpretation. A transparent discussion of limitations is important to show academic rigor and to clarify the scope within which the conclusions can be understood. In addition, this section should highlight the broader implications of the findings for theory, practice, and policy, particularly in relation to digital society and emerging technology. Authors are expected to show how their results may contribute to academic discourse, inform real-world applications, or provide insights for decision-making in relevant fields. Overall, the discussion should be written in a clear, analytical, and academically persuasive style, with a recommended length of approximately 1000 to 2000 words.

5. Conclusion

Provide a concise answer to the research objective, summarize the main contribution, and note future work or implementation directions. Keep the conclusion proportional and do not introduce new major findings here.

Acknowledgment

State funding source, institutional support, project code, or contributor acknowledgment here if applicable. Remove this section when not needed.

References

We encourage authors to review papers published in recent issues of the journal and, where relevant, cite articles that are closely related to their research in order to provide readers with a clearer sense of continuity within the journal. All works included in the reference list must be explicitly cited in the main text. References should be presented using the IEEE citation style, numbered according to the order in which they

first appear in the text, and cited using Arabic numerals in square brackets, for example [1], [2], and [3]. These citation numbers should not be formatted as superscripts, either in the text or in the reference list. In addition, a digital object identifier (DOI) must be provided at the end of each reference whenever one is available. Authors may locate DOI information through Crossref's guest query service.

You may imitate the following examples to prepare your references:

- [1] A. Author and B. Author, "Title of article," Journal Name, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 101–115, 2025.
- [2] C. Author, Book Title, 2nd ed. City: Publisher, 2024.
- [3] D. Author et al., "Conference paper title," in Proceedings of the International Conference on Example Systems, 2023, pp. 55–60.
- [4] E. Author, "Dataset or software title," Organization, version 2.1, 2025.

- The manuscript adheres to the word limit.
- Figures and tables are appropriately labelled and cited.
- References follow the required citation style.
- All author information, including affiliations and contact details, is complete.
- Declarations regarding funding, conflict of interest, and author contributions are included.

Formatting Notes for Authors (remove before submit)

- Use English for the manuscript unless the editorial policy states otherwise.
- Length recommendation: 6–12 pages including tables, figures, and references.
- Use SI units, define acronyms at first mention, and italicize variables where needed.
- Ensure every figure and table is cited sequentially in the manuscript body.
- Submit editable source files for equations and charts whenever possible.
- Font: Tahoma, 10 pt for body text.
- Figures and Tables: Figures must have captions below, and tables should have titles above. All must be cited in the text.
- Manuscripts should be between 5,000 to 5,500 words, including references.
- Consistency in citations and referencing style is mandatory (choose APA 7th referencing style).

Final Submission Checklist

Before submitting, ensure: