Commission on Teacher Credentialing Preliminary Report of Findings and Addendum

| | Institution | Oak Grove School District | Program | TEACHER INDUCTION |
|------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|---------|-------------------|
| Date of Review December 2022 | | | | |

Please complete the table below for all programs that were deemed to require "more information needed" by reviewers during Program Review (PR). Brief narrative (150 words or less) is allowable but response <u>must</u> include links to evidence that address the issue identified by the reviewers.

Posting the Addendum

Information from the addendum must be posted on the institution's accreditation website at least 60 days before the site visit, along with the original program review document and feedback from the program reviewers. Please do not resubmit your response the items below; responses need only be added to your institution's accreditation website.

| Standards Found to be | 1 |
|-----------------------|---|
| Preliminarily Aligned | |

| Standards Requiring More | Comment from Program Reviewers | Response from Program |
|--|--|---|
| Information | | |
| Standard 2: Components of the Mentoring Design | Please provide evidence for how the Induction program's mentoring design is based on a sound rationale informed by theory and research (e.g. agendas, slide decks, training materials that were developed using the resources noted). Please provide additional evidence on how the program's design features both individually and as a whole contributes to the candidate's future retention in the profession (e.g. blank surveys, survey data, retention data, completer data.) | OGSD strongly believes that teachers who engage in a results-oriented cycle of inquiry-link process will become strong, reflective practitioners who continuously seek opportunities for growth. Working with Partners in School Innovation-link for two decades, it has become clear that when teachers are empowered to select their own cycles of inquiry, there's more reflection on the results, which helps teachers grow. Partners' Framework is here - link. We train our mentors on a model that is personalized and data-driven, leveraging reflective questions to empower teachers to internalize this reflective habit of mind. To align our vision with our training, we focused on Elena Aguilar's Chapter on Facilitative Coaching Conversations from her book The Art of Coaching during the 21-22 school year. We also focused on creating a safe space and trusting environment through the Mentor as Growth Agent chapter from Mentoring Matters by Laura Lipton and Bruce Wellman during the 22-23 school year. Training Materials for Mentors (21-22) |
| | | Mentors received training on how to support TPA as a result of coming out of the pandemic, when the TPA requirement was |
| | | moved from the credential program to Induction. |
| | | How Mentors Can Support TPA (slides 4-10) - link |
| | | Coach-Mentors also received some professional development on the Art of Coaching. Introduction to theory: The Art of Coaching (slide 10) - link Possible Chapters to Discuss this Year (slide 6) - link |

| Standards Requiring More | Comment from Program Reviewers | Response from Program |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Information | | |
| | | Coach-Mentors also received some professional development on |
| | | facilitative coaching conversations. <u>Discussion on Facilitative</u> |
| | | Coaching Conversations (slides 3-6) - link |
| | | Training Materials for Mentors (22-23) |
| | | Coach-Mentors and Teacher-Mentors received training on |
| | | Mentoring Moves. |
| | | Mentoring Matters: Mentor Moves (slide 7) - link |
| | | Coach-Mentors also worked on their Coaching Vision with |
| | | Partners. |
| | | <u>Coaching Vision - link</u> |
| | | Coaching Vision Examples - link |
| | | Teacher-Mentors received professional development on giving |
| | | qualitative feedback. |
| | | Most of our collaboration time with mentors is problem-solving |
| | | issues that arise. For example, see <u>Giving Qualitative Feedback</u> |
| | | (slides 13-15) - link |
| | | Training Materials for Mentors (23-24) |
| | | The 23-24 school year began with a strong focus on equity. All |
| | | Induction mentors (both Coach-Mentors and Teacher-Mentors) |
| | | took an equity survey; an article was read; and discussions were |
| | | developed with next steps based on their collaboration with |
| | | partners. |
| | | August 2023 Mentor Meeting regarding equity, see slides 25-27 - |
| | | <u>link</u> |
| | | September Mentor Meeting regarding equity, see slides 19-20 - |
| | | <u>link</u> |
| | | October Mentor Meeting regarding equity, see slides 7-12 - link |
| | | <u>December Mentor Meeting regarding equity, see slides 11-12 -</u> |
| | | <u>link</u> |

| Standards Requiring More Information | Comment from Program Reviewers | Response from Program |
|--|--|---|
| | | Mentor Reflection Jamboard from October 30, 2023 - link |
| | | Teacher Retention OGSD has a high number of teachers who stay in OGSD. Of the teachers who leave the district, most of them share that they are moving out of the area completely. Retention of Teachers in Induction - link (anecdotal) OGSD Teacher Retention Data - link (from Sinclair 19-20 to 22-23) For Commission's ADS-not enough completers to meet the threshold |
| Standard 3: Designing and Implementing Individual Learning Plans within the Mentoring System | It is unclear how the program ensures dedicated time for regular mentor and candidate interactions, observations of colleagues and peers by the candidate, and other activities contained in the ILP. It is unclear how the mentor encourages and assists candidates to connect with and become part of the larger professional learning community within the profession. Please provide evidence (e.g. samples of completed logs, training materials, completed ILP examples.) | It is communicated to all principals, mentors, and Induction teachers in multiple locations that mentors must provide at least 1 hour of support weekly. 22-23 School Year Email to Principals, Mentors, Teachers on August 5, 2022 - link see highlights on this doc - link August 2022 Mentor Slides - link see slide 11 that says mentors must provide 1 hour of weekly support August 2022 Induction Teacher Slides - link see slide 8 that says mentors must provide 1 hour of weekly support 1 hour of support required weekly stated in 22-23 program guide - link p.12, p. 32, circled in red Induction Pairings 22-23 (Addendum 1, Standard 3) - link Please see page 2 under Mentor Requirements. This was linked in the email to principals above. |
| | | 23-24 School Year Email to Principals, Mentors, Teachers on August 17, 2023 - link |

| Standards Requiring More | Comment from Program Reviewers | Response from Program |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Information | | |
| | | August 2023 Mentor Meeting regarding 1 hour of weekly support, |
| | | see slide 5 - link |
| | | August 2023 Induction Teacher Slides regarding 1 hour of weekly |
| | | support, see slide 15 - link |
| | | 5-min recording sent to Principals, September 2023 - link view at |
| | | 4 min 7 seconds |
| | | September 2023 slides for Principals - link see slides 7, 10, 13-16 |
| | | October 2023 Email to Principals - notifying about ILP, sub |
| | | release |
| | | Email to Principals reminding them that Induction teachers reflect |
| | | with their mentors at least 30 minutes per week - link |
| | | Teachers receive an average of at least one hour of support |
| | | weekly from their mentors. |
| | | Data from Sinclair Surveys |
| | | <u>Data: One Hour Per Week of Support - link:</u> See Data from Sinclair |
| | | surveys that show teachers are receiving an hour per week of |
| | | support. |
| | | Sample Logs noting a minimum of 1 hour per week of |
| | | support weekly |
| | | 20-21 |
| | | May 2021 Mentor Log SSF - link |
| | | 21-22 |
| | | November 2021 Mentor Log BC - link |
| | | January 2022 Mentor Log BC - link |
| | | 22-23 |
| | | October 2022 Mentor Log MA - link |
| | | Feb 2023 Mentor Log MF - link |
| | | 23-24 |
| | | Sampling of September 2023 Mentor Logs - link |
| | | |

| Standards Requiring More | Comment from Program Reviewers | Response from Program |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Information | | |
| | | Induction teachers are released to observe veteran teachers. Due |
| | | to COVID, this was difficult. However, in 22-23 we were able to |
| | | bring this back with the use of our roving subs. |
| | | Evidence of Veteran Observations from 22-23 - link: |
| | | Induction teachers are expected to observe veteran teachers, |
| | | teachers at their grade level, or others whom the mentor |
| | | recommends at least twice a year. |
| | | Beginning in the 23-24 school year, the observations will be linked |
| | | to the ILP. Please note these ILPs are blank templates and are not |
| | | due until December 22, 2023. |
| | | ILP for CSTP 1 for 23-24 (see purple section where veteran |
| | | observation notes are located) - link |
| | | ILP for CSTP 2 for 23-24 - link |
| | | ILP for CSTP 3 for 23-24 - link |
| | | ILP for CSTP 4 for 23-24 - link |
| | | ILP for CSTP 5 for 23-24 - link ILP for CSTP 6 for 23-24 - link |
| | | <u>ILP 101 CSTP 0 101 25-24 - IIIIK</u> |
| | | Professional Networks |
| | | Mentors discuss with their teachers what professional networks |
| | | they find themselves in. While this comes up naturally in |
| | | conversation, we asked mentors to record this on their May 2023 |
| | | logs. See samples below. |
| | | May 2023 Logs MF redacted - link see last 2 pages |
| | | May 2023 Mentor Logs KS-redacted - link see bottom of page 1 |
| | | May 2023 Mentor Logs LM_redacted - link see page 2 |
| | | May 2023 Mentor Logs SG redacted - link see page 1 |
| | | |
| | | |

| Standards Requiring More Information | Comment from Program Reviewers | Response from Program |
|---|---|--|
| Standard 4: Qualifications, Selection and Training of Mentors | Please provide more information about how the Induction program assigns qualified mentors and provides guidance and clear expectations for the mentoring experience based on the program's design (e.g. Pairing Sheet with specific rationale for pairing; Mentor resume, emails, surveys). Please provide additional information on how the program provides ongoing training and support for mentors related to elements identified in Program Standard 4 (e.g. artifacts related to mentor training presentations/agendas). | Mentors are paired carefully with their Induction teachers. Conversations with the Induction Coordinator are held between the Coordinator of English Learners, Principals, and the Coordinator of Special Education to ensure that Induction teachers are matched with a TOSA or full-time teacher who is experienced in their context. All mentors are verified by their supervisor / principal to ensure they are highly qualified. Every Spring, HR creates a confidential staffing board with names of new hires, grade levels . subject areas, and the site. As soon as a new hire is noted to need Induction, the Credential Analyst initiates a conversation with the Induction Coordinator, SpEd Coordinator, and Coordinator of ELs (TOSAs) to help determine a mentors. Some of our TOSAs are ELTPs IEnglish Learner Teacher Partners) who are managed by the EL Coordinator. The team reaches out to principals for collaboration and input. Credential Analyst and Coordinators collaborate on Teacher-Mentor Matches with Principal Input 22-23 Teacher-Mentor Pairings - link 22-23 Induction Pairings Email with instructions - link 23-24 Running Agenda for Mentor-Teacher Pairing Meetings - link 23-24 Running Agenda for Mentor-Teacher Pairing Meetings - link 23-24 List of Induction Teachers & Mentors - link 23-24 Email to Principals, Mentors, Teachers on August 17, 2023 - link Resumes and Applications |

| Standards Requiring More | Comment from Program Reviewers | Response from Program |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Information | | |
| | | After principals and Induction Coordinator collaborate to find a |
| | | mentor suited for a particular Induction teacher, the mentors are |
| | | asked to submit an official application. |
| | | 22-23 |
| | | 22-23 Mentor Application KM - link |
| | | 22-23 Mentor Application LB - link |
| | | 22-23 Mentor Application LT - link |
| | | 22-23 Mentor Application SH - link |
| | | 22-23 Mentor Application SS - link |
| | | KM resume - link |
| | | LB resume - link |
| | | LT resume - link |
| | | SH resume - link |
| | | SS resume - link |
| | | 23-24 |
| | | 23-24 mentor resumes - link |
| | | 23-24 Sampling of Mentor Applications - link |
| | | |
| | | Ongoing training for mentors (also noted in Standard 2 above) |
| | | Training Materials for Mentors (21-22) |
| | | Mentors received training on how to support TPA as a result of |
| | | coming out of the pandemic, when the TPA requirement was |
| | | moved from the credential program to Induction. |
| | | How Mentors Can Support TPA (slides 4-10) - link |
| | | |
| | | Coach-Mentors also received some professional development on |
| | | the Art of Coaching. |
| | | Introduction to theory: The Art of Coaching (slide 10) - link |
| | | Possible Chapters to Discuss this Year (slide 6) - link |

| Standards Requiring More Information | Comment from Program Reviewers | Response from Program |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| mormation | | Coach-Mentors also received some professional development on facilitative coaching conversations. <u>Discussion on Facilitative</u> <u>Coaching Conversations (slides 3-6) - link</u> |
| | | Training Materials for Mentors (22-23) Coach-Mentors and Teacher-Mentors received training on Mentoring Moves. Mentoring Matters: Mentor Moves (slide 7) - link Coach-Mentors also worked on their Coaching Vision with Partners. Coaching Vision - link Coaching Vision Examples - link Teacher-Mentors received professional development on giving qualitative feedback. Most of our collaboration time with mentors is problem-solving issues that arise. For example, see Giving Qualitative Feedback (slides 13-15) - link |
| | | Training Materials for Mentors (23-24) The 23-24 school year began with a strong focus on equity. All Induction mentors (both Coach-Mentors and Teacher-Mentors) took an equity survey; an article was read; and discussions were developed with next steps based on their collaboration with partners. August 2023 Mentor Meeting regarding equity, see slides 25-27 - link September Mentor Meeting regarding equity, see slides 19-20 - link October Mentor Meeting regarding equity, see slides 7-12 - link Mentor Reflection Jamboard from October 30, 2023 - link |

| Standards Requiring More Information | Comment from Program Reviewers | Response from Program |
|--|---|---|
| | | Resources Shared in Google Classroom for Mentors Reflective Sentence Starters - link Data Gathering for Mentors - link Effective Mentor Practices - link For the 23-24 school year, the mentors will be participating in professional development via book studies or podcasts centered around equity. We will first take an equity survey to help us develop our plan. |
| Standard 5: Determining Candidate Competence for the Clear Credential Recommendation | More evidence is needed on how the Induction program's recommendation verification includes a defensible process of reviewing documentation. (e.g. sample Exit Interview Presentations, completed Exit Interview rubric, completed Progress Monitoring Reports, ILP artifacts, narrative describing the defensible review process). | How is Google Classroom Used for Induction? Google Classroom Screenshots - link All Induction work can be submitted as many times as needed in order to meet standard. For example, teachers receive feedback on their ILPs in Google Classroom, and resubmit until all requirements on the rubric are met. In the rubric - link, you will see it noted in red at the bottom that ILPs can be resubmitted until they meet standard. On p. 13 of the 22-23 Program Guide - link, it is noted that if a candidate is not recommended for a clear credential after two years, he/she may appeal in writing to the Assistant Superintendent of HR, providing evidence of the aforementioned. The decision of the Assistant Superintendent of HR is final. In the last 4 years, no one has appealed to the Assistant Superintendent. |

| Standards Requiring More Information | Comment from Program Reviewers | Response from Program |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| information | | Year 2 Induction Teachers complete an exit interview that is scored with a rubric. Candidates need to meet standard on the rubric in order to be recommended for their Clear Credential. |
| | | Exit interview samples In 2019, we held in-person exit interviews. Mentors attended in-person exit interviews along with the Induction Coordinator. May 2019 Exit Interview rubric (CM) - link May 2019 Exit Interview rubric (SA) - link May 2019 Exit Interview rubric (MU) - link |
| | | Due to COVID, beginning in 2020, teachers submitted recorded exit interviews. These were assessed by the Induction Coordinator. May 2020 (LR) - link and LR Exit Interview rubric (redacted) - link May 2021 (JC) slides accompanying exit interview - link and JC Exit Interview rubric (redacted) - link May 2022 (MA) - link and MA Exit Interview rubric (redacted) - link May 2023 (KV) - link and KV Exit Interview rubric - link May 2023 (VGM) - link and VGM Exit Interview rubric - link May 2023 (LV) - link and LV Exit Interview rubric - link May 2023 (AB) - link and AB Exit Interview rubric - link |
| | | Colloquium It is expected that all Year 1 and Year 2 Induction Teachers attend Colloquium, as well as mentors and the Steering Committee. Year 1s facilitate the breakout rooms and Year 2s present. 2022 Colloquium slides - link |

| Standards Requiring More Information | Comment from Program Reviewers | Response from Program |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| | | 2023 Colloquium Video - link: This is a video of our virtual Colloquium, held in 2023. Colloquium prior to 2023 was not recorded. |
| | | ILP Templates: (Blank) Visual of ILP Process - link |
| | | ILP for CSTP 1 - link ILP for CSTP 2 - link ILP for CSTP 3 - link |
| | | ILP for CSTP 4- link ILP for CSTP 5 - link ILP for CSTP 6 - link |
| | | Link to rubric - link These are blank II De that have been undeted for 22, 24 |
| | | These are blank ILPs that have been updated for 23-24 ILP for CSTP 1 for 23-24 - link ILP for CSTP 2 for 23-24 - link ILP for CSTP 3 for 23-24 - link |
| | | ILP for CSTP 4 for 23-24 - link ILP for CSTP 5 for 23-24 - link ILP for CSTP 6 for 23-24 - link |
| | | Completed ILPs 21-22: |
| | | LP KV 21-22 - link artifacts on pages 7-13 KV rubric - link 22-23: |
| | | ILP DJ May 2023 - link artifacts on pages 3-5, 12-13 ILP artifacts JD 2022 - link |

| Standards Requiring More | Comment from Program Reviewers | Response from Program |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Information | | |
| | | DJ rubric - link |
| | | ILP VGM May 2023 - link artifacts on pages 2-4, 10-15 VGM rubric - link |
| | | ILP PSV May 2023 - link artifacts on pages 3, 11-13 |
| | | PSV rubric - link |
| | | ILP KV May 2023 - link pages 3-5, 9-15 |
| | | KV rubric - link |
| | | When an Induction teacher finishes Induction, he/she receives a |
| | | transcript prepared by the Induction Coordinator. |
| | | Transcripts for 21-22 |
| | | Transcript JA -link |
| | | Transcript KB-link |
| | | Transcripts for 22-23 |
| | | Transcript AB-link |
| | | Transcript HT-link |
| | | Transportability documents |
| | | When a teacher leaves the district after completing only 1 year of |
| | | Induction, they may request a transportability document to take |
| | | with them to their new Induction program. These are created |
| | | upon request, usually when someone is leaving the district. |
| | | Transportability document for 21-22 |
| | | <u>Transportability SR-link</u> |
| | | <u>Transportability JVC-link</u> |
| | | Transportability document for 22-23 |
| | | Transportability MA-link |
| | | |
| | | |

| Standards Requiring More Information | Comment from Program Reviewers | Response from Program |
|--|---|---|
| | | At the conclusion of the school year, the Induction Coordinator sits down with each completing Induction teacher. They review the renewal codes and then together fill out the Completion of Induction Course / Verification of Completion form. The Induction Coordinator then gives these forms to the Credential Analyst. 22-23 Sampling of verification forms to Credential Analyst-link The Credential Analyst reviews the forms and supporting documentation, and then recommends the Induction teacher for his/clear credential. The Credential Analyst used to keep a binder of these forms, but now she attaches them to the recommendation to the CTC. |
| Standard 6: Program Responsibilities for Assuring Quality of | More evidence is needed on how the program regularly assesses the quality of services provided by mentors to candidates, | Our candidates provide feedback on mentor effectiveness annually. |
| Program Services | using criteria that include candidate feedback, the quality and perceived effectiveness of support provided to candidates in implementing their Individualized Learning Plan, and the | Mentors are given the opportunity to self-assess each year. Mentor Self-Assessment data - link updated through August 2023 Candidate Feedback on Mentor Effectiveness - link (updated through August 2023 |
| | opportunity to complete the full range of program requirements (e.g. survey data, feedback data). | General Mentor Feedback In addition to 3rd party surveys through Sinclair, OGSD also has local Induction program surveys. This one was given in April 2023 and asked teachers to give general feedback on mentors - link |
| | It is unclear how the Induction program leaders provide formative feedback to mentors specifically related to their mentoring work, including establishment of | The Program Coordinator reviews monthly logs and provides formative feedback to the mentors. |

| Standards Requiring More | Comment from Program Reviewers | Response from Program |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| Information | | |
| | collaborative relationships. (Separate from their collective bargaining agreement evaluation) | Beginning in 2023-24, the Induction Coordinator will give feedback on Mentor / Teacher Induction reflective conversations. Here is the schedule for 23-24 - see slide 13 - link |
| | More evidence is needed on how the program provides a coherent overall system of support through the collaboration, communication and coordination between | A snapshot of feedback provided to mentors on their logs - link If either the teacher or mentor does not feel like it is a good match, there is a grievance protocol. |
| | candidates, mentors, school and district administrators, and all members of the Induction system. | During Mentor PD, the Induction Coordinator facilitates conversations and gives feedback to mentors in virtual breakout rooms. This is a Jamboard from our October Mentor meeting in which we reviewed Mentor next steps - link |
| | | If a match is not working for whatever reason, there is a grievance protocol that will be followed. Grievance Protocol, pages 12-13 - link Slides detailing the Reassignment of Mentors - link (see slide 19) There have been no grievances filed since this current Induction Coordinator has been in this role (since 2017-18). There have been occasional mentor reassignments when a mentor goes on leave for an extended period of time (baby bonding, medical). |
| | | We feel there is great collaboration across teachers, mentors, principals and the induction coordinator. The induction coordinator intentionally tries to select a mentor who is familiar or housed at the same school site as the teacher. This really helps with collaboration. Induction Steering Committee meetings are another regular and consistent avenue to encourage collaboration across the groups and gain insight from our IHE partners. |

| Standards Requiring More Information | Comment from Program Reviewers | Response from Program |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| mormation | | |
| | | From 22-23 |
| | | Slide 9 discusses triad meeting - link |
| | | Slide 11 is a visual representation of communication, establishing |
| | | a trusting environment between mentor and teacher - link |
| | | Page 2 details the communication that is sent to all principals, |
| | | reminding them of confidentiality between mentor and teacher - |
| | | <u>link</u> |
| | | From 23-24 |
| | | 5-min recording sent to Principals, September 2023 - link view 1 |
| | | min 37 seconds until 4 min 30 seconds |
| | | Email to Principals, Mentors, Teachers on August 17, 2023 - link |
| | | <u>September 2023 slides for Principals - link</u> see slides 7, 10, 13-16 |
| | | October 2023 Email to Principals - notifying about ILP, sub |
| | | release |
| | | Email to Principals reminding them that Induction teachers reflect |
| | | with their mentors at least 30 minutes per week - link |
| | | Steering Committee |
| | | The Steering Committee meets to discuss data, program changes, |
| | | etc. |
| | | Slides from 3.21.22 See slide 22 - Steering Committee gives |
| | | feedback on Preconditions (see slides 6-25) - link |
| | | Slides from 3.23.23 - link (see slide 14) |
| | | At this meeting, the Steering Committee prioritized PD for the |
| | | 23-24 school year focusing on equity lenses. The PD in 23-24 will |
| | | be focused on equity driven by data from an equity survey. |
| | | Slides from 9.16.23 - link |
| | | Slides from 10.16.23 - link |
| | | Slides from 11.13.23 - link |
| | | |

| Standards Requiring More | Comment from Program Reviewers | Response from Program |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Information | | |
| | | |
| | | |