We	e to	_ and others about our
		Mount Kilimanjaro,
		a celebrity on the streetbut research
		such a wise idea. In fact, the findings
		chology journal, that
		ences not as beneficial as we
	and can actually	
J	· —	
"E	xtraordinary experiences	pleasurable in the moment but can
	us socially worse off in the	long run," psychological
scientist a	and study author Gus Cooney o	f Harvard University. "The participants in our
study mis	stakenly that	an extraordinary experience would
	them the star of the conve	rsation. But they wrong because
		different than other people, and social
interactio	n is in similarities	
Co	ooney, who the re	search with co-authors Daniel T. Gilbert of
Harvard l	University and Timothy D. Wilso	n of the University of Virginia, was
	in the negat	ive consequences of extraordinary experiences
	on his own observations w	rith others. He had that we
	keen to our	friends about new or rare experiences, but
		about ordinary topics. He therefore
	"if there might	times when extraordinary experiences
	more costs than benefits, a	and whether people what those
times are	2."	
То	if he was right, C	Conney, Gilbert and Wilson
sixty-eigh	nt participants to their laboratory	in groups of four. In each group, one
		a highly rated video of a street magician
	for a crowd, while the othe	r three participants were to
		leo. Participants were about
		he videos, the participants
around a	table and a five-r	minute unstructured conversation about them.
Th	ne findings not wh	at participants It
we should	d more careful wh	nen and our
experience	ces, because the participants wh	no the higher-rated video, the
"extraordinary experiencers", worse after the group		
discussion than those who the lower-rated video. Even though, before		
the experiment, all participants that the "extraordinary experiencer" would		
		discussion, that person actually
less and	excluded from the	e conversation as a result

Answers:

We <u>love</u> to <u>reminisce</u> and <u>tell</u> others about our extraordinary experiences--that time we <u>climbed</u> Mount Kilimanjaro, <u>got</u> to <u>taste</u> that rare food or <u>ran into</u> a celebrity on the street--but research <u>suggests</u> this may not <u>be</u> such a wise idea. In fact, the findings <u>published</u> in the latest edition of psychology journal, <u>suggest</u> that <u>sharing</u> these extraordinary experiences <u>are</u> not as beneficial as we might <u>think</u> and can actually <u>come</u> at a social cost.

"Extraordinary experiences <u>are pleasurable</u> in the moment but can <u>leave</u> us socially worse off in the long run," <u>says</u> psychological scientist and study author Gus Cooney of Harvard University. "The participants in our study mistakenly <u>thought</u> that <u>having</u> an extraordinary experience would <u>make</u> them the star of the conversation. But they <u>were</u> wrong because to <u>be</u> extraordinary is to <u>be</u> different than other people, and social interaction is <u>grounded</u> in similarities."

Cooney, who <u>conducted</u> the research with co-authors Daniel T. Gilbert of Harvard University and Timothy D. Wilson of the University of Virginia, was <u>interested</u> in <u>exploring</u> the negative consequences of extraordinary experiences <u>based</u> on his own observations with others. He had <u>noticed</u> that we <u>are</u> keen to <u>tell</u> our friends about new or rare experiences, but most successful conversations <u>are</u> about ordinary topics. He therefore <u>wondered</u> "if there might <u>be</u> times when extraordinary experiences <u>have</u> more costs than benefits, and whether people <u>know</u> what those times <u>are</u>."

To <u>find out</u> if he was right, Conney, Gilbert and Wilson <u>invited</u> sixty-eight participants to their laboratory in groups of four. In each group, one participant was <u>asked</u> to <u>watch</u> a highly rated video of a street magician <u>performing</u> for a crowd, while the other three participants were <u>asked</u> to <u>watch</u> a lower-rated animated video. Participants were <u>told</u> about each video's rating. After <u>watching</u> the videos, the participants <u>sat</u> around a table and <u>had</u> a five-minute unstructured conversation about them.

The findings <u>were</u> not what participants <u>expected</u>. It <u>seems</u> we should <u>be</u> more careful when <u>choosing</u> and <u>sharing</u> our experiences, because the participants who <u>watched</u> the higher-rated video, the "extraordinary experiencers", <u>reported feeling</u> worse after the group discussion than those who <u>watched</u> the lower-rated video. Even though, before the experiment, all participants <u>thought</u> that the "extraordinary experiencer" would <u>talk</u> more during the post-video discussion, that person actually <u>spoke</u> less and felt excluded from the conversation as a result.